Efficacy of Customized Foot Orthoses in Children Aged 6 - 7 Years Old with Symptomatic Flexible Flat Foot (SFFF)
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Abstract

Introduction: Pediatric Flat Foot (PFF) is a deviation consisting of loss of the Medial Longitudinal Arch in children. Purpose: Aim of this study is to collect information on the recent literature and to investigate through clinical assessment and parental observations the effectiveness of the use of foot orthotics by children with SFFF. Method: 20 children aged 6 - 7 years old with mobility difficulties were evaluated before and after a six-month treatment with orthotics regarding pain, post-game pain, fatigue during game, balance impairment, gait deviations, falls, clumsiness, activity avoidance and worn soles. Results: Study results indicate that foot orthotics (FOs) appears to have a positive impact on the advance of pain, post-game pain, gait deviations and worn insoles. It is implied that orthoses have a great potential as a treatment for SFFF. Thorough comprehension of the literature evidence, as well as composition of supplementary studies of larger pediatric populations is essential in order to reach a consensus on the use of foot orthotics (FOs) by children SFFF.
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1. Introduction

Pes planus is a deformation of the lower extremity in children. It is defined as a
deviation consisting of loss of the Medial Longitudinal Arch (MLA) of the foot where it contracts or nearly contracts the ground. This deformity can be apparent in any age but it mostly affects children [1]. When it presents in childhood it is referred to as Pediatric Flat Foot (PFF).

Flat foot (FF), besides children, has been described in an abundance of cases from infancy through adolescence [2]. Pediatric flat foot (PFF) is reported in up to 20% of children and adolescents.

Howbeit, there is an ongoing debate whether it represents a normal variant or pathological feature. It is estimated that 10% - 60% of children with pediatric flatfoot (PFF) complain about functional difficulties [3]. PFF is categorised according to anatomical or other aetiological factors. PFF could be due to constitutional deviation, isolated pathology or part of a clinical entity such as generalized ligamentous laxity, neurological and muscular abnormalities, genetic conditions, various syndromes and collagen vascular disease [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10].

Pes planus either caused by genetic or neuromuscular disorders, as already mentioned, can be classified into flexible and rigid flatfoot. Flexible flatfoot is recognized by flattening of the Medial Longitudinal Arch (MLA) when weight-bearing, while MLA will be apparent when the patient stands on toes. Rigid flatfoot is identified by a stiff, flattened arch equally on and off weight-bearing [7] [8] [9] [10].

Another division of flatfoot concerning children is symptomatic and asymptomatic, taking into consideration the development and evolution of the arch. In the absence of universal specific recommendations on the appropriate diagnostic procedure of measurement and standardization of the arch, diagnosis is based on clinical examination, angle-drawing techniques, evaluation of weight, posture, construction of the arch and semiology of pain or fatigue [11]-[16].

We investigate the efficacy of utilization of customized orthotics on Symptomatic Flexible Flat Feet (SFFF) in children with the cooperation of their parents.

2. Method

A sample of thirty seven (37) children of both genders (boys and girls) aged 6 - 7 years old was examined from January 2018 to January 2020, of which twenty children (20) were eligible for the study.

Inclusion criteria for participation were hypermobile joints on clinical assessment scoring higher than 4 in Belighton test, symptoms of pain in the lower limbs and parental concern for the patients’ functional performance. As exclusion criteria were determined the absence of pain in the lower extremities, history of trauma, surgery or diagnosed genetic, neurological or musculoskeletal disease.

A questionnaire and a participant consent form was provided to parents to note movement impairment and describe the general health status of the child. The foundation of the composition of the questionnaire was the constant reporting from the caregivers of the deviations of past years along with the clinical
experience of the multidisciplinary team of experts. Pain, falls, activity avoidance, fatigue and worn soles were detected. All participants were examined by a Pedo Orthopedic specialist and Pediatrician and the Belighton test was scored as an assessment tool. Furthermore, they were referred to a specialized Pediatric Physiotherapist for clinical evaluation. Children with Symptomatic Flexible Flat Foot (SFFF) and positive Belighton test were treated with customized orthotic insoles. Recommendations were made to use orthoses on everyday basis while weight-baring and documentation of the symptomatology by the scientific team and the parents would be reviewed after six months’ use.

It is a cohort study where collective results cannot be supported because of the small sample and the absence of control group. This is an inherent limitation which concerns all such studies and it emphasizes the need for more extended research. Another limitation factor is the worldwide truancy of standardized assessment tools of the deviations of lower limbs.

Data used in this study were retrieved from electronic databases (Cochrane, Medline, AMED, EMBASE, CINHAL, SportDiscus, Scopus, Physiotherapy-PEDro, PUBMED) from January 2019 to May 2020. Key words were used: Pesplanus, Pesplanus, Planovalgus, flat feet (flat foot), low arch, orthotics, insoles, treatment of PFF, non surgical intervention, child, adolescent. Electronic research was restricted to humans, english language and 0 - 18 years of age.

3. Statistical Analysis

The advanced statistical software GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com) was used for the statistical evaluation of patients outcome before and after treatment, applying Fisher’s exact test for the comparison of categorical variables, as it is more accurate for small sample sizes. SPSS ver. 25.0 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for Cramer’s V tests, in order to evaluate possible significant association of outcomes after treatment. Statistical significance threshold was set at 0.05.

4. Results

Twenty children with mobility difficulties were evaluated before and after a six-month treatment with orthopedics, regarding pain, post-game pain, fatigue during game, balance impairment, gait deviations, falls, clumsiness, activity avoidance and worn soles. A great statistical difference was observed for both pain and post-game pain with only 30% of patients still feeling pain after treatment. Statistically significant improvement was also recorded for gait deviations, clumsiness and worn soles, and a slightly one for balance impairment and falls.

Regarding pain all of the subjects tested complained about pain before treatment. After a six-month treatment the percentage decreased to 30%. Fisher’s exact test indicated a strong statistical significance in pain reduction with a p value < 0.0001. The same rates were also observed for post-game pain. Statistically significant improvement was also recorded for gait deviations, as the num-
ber of patients was decreased from 15 (75%) to 6 (30%) with a p value of 0.01. Compared to clumsiness at 75% before treatment, clumsiness was also significantly reduced to 15% after six-month treatment (p value 0.0003). Improvement of worn soles was also tested. Sixteen patients reported wear of soles before treatment, while only half of them after the use of foot orthoses. In this case p value was found to be 0.023.

Looking into balance impairment and reported falls, a slight statistical significance was observed, as the percentage of patients reduced from 75% to 40% (p value 0.053) in both outcomes.

However, no differentiation was found on fatigue during game and activity avoidance on patients tested before and after the treatment, with 7 patients (35%) answering positively in phase 2 in both cases.

**Table 1** and **Figure 1** presents statistics before and after treatment regarding these parameters.

Statistical analysis was also performed for exploring possible correlation between significant reduction in pain after treatment and all the other outcomes after treatment as well. Cramer’s V test showed a strong correlation between reduction of pain and post-game pain (phi value 1.0), as well as between pain and gait deviations (phi value 1.0).

![Figure 1](image-url) **Figure 1.** Graphical illustration of patients’ answers regarding all parameters tested before and after treatment.
Table 1. Patients’ outcomes before and after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Before treatment (n = 20)</th>
<th>After treatment (n = 20)</th>
<th>pvalue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain (n, %)</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-game pain (n, %)</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue during game (n, %)</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance impairment (n, %)</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait deviations (n, %)</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls (n, %)</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clumsiness (n, %)</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Avoidance (n, %)</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worn soles (n, %)</td>
<td>16 (80%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

Aim of this study is to collect information on the recent literature and to investigate through clinical assessment and parental observations the effectiveness of the use of foot orthotics by children with symptomatic flexible flat foot (SFFF). More specifically, data where retrieved and analysed through a form filled by the medical team and the parents, before and after a six months’ use of orthotics of the lower limb in children with symptomatic flatfoot.

Study results indicate that foot orthotics (FOs) appear to have a positive impact on the advance of pain, post game pain, gait deviations and worn insoles. A large and statistically significant percentage has a considerable amelioration of pain on a daily basis as well as distinct improvement of post game pain simply by using lower limb orthotics. Statistically significant were the results regarding improvement of gait deviations, clumsiness and worn insoles, as well as a slightly less balance impairment and falls. No differentiation in fatigue during game and activity avoidance was reported.

Literature research findings are in accordance with the outcomes of our study of a pediatric population establishing alterations by using orthotics [17]-[22]. In particular, Whitford and Esterman have compared a group of custom-made versus ready-made orthoses concluding that there is improvement in pain relief and function after 12 months, but with no statistically significant difference between the two groups (19). Lee, H.-J et al. have demonstrated that short-term use of adaptive orthotics of the foot has notably eliminated pain and balance impairment in children with symptomatic flexible flat feet (SFFF) [20].

Powell et al., in an attempt not to surgically intervene in pediatric symptomatic flexible flat feet (SFFF) with symptoms of arthritis and pain of the lower extremity, has reached the conclusion that by using adaptive orthotics we achieve drastic pain relief [21]. A recent systematic review of orthotics in pediatric patients (2018) demonstrates that plethora of evidence supports that orthotics (FOs) can have a positive effect on pain, posture of feet, gait, structural and
functional features of the foot [22].

Heterogenous studies on symptomatic flexible flat foot (SFFF) report limited evidence on the use of orthotics in pediatric populations [23] [24]. Results of two studies show that pediatric flatfoot (PFF) does not have an effect on the ability to move, exercise or permanently avoid activity [25] [26]. Another study indicates that pes planus does not need any intervention unless symptoms are induced [27]. In cases where malfunctions or diverse symptoms are confirmed, such as pain, impairment of the ability to exercise, gait deviations or fatigue, the patient should be evaluated and interventions should be discussed on a new basis [28] [29]. Mac Kenzie et al. (2012) could not definitely deduce that orthotics have statistically significant positive results when treating pediatric pes planus [30]. Thus, current evidence throughout the literature lacks uniformity in terms of consensus on treatment of pediatric pes planus or symptomatic flexible flat foot (SFFF) with orthotics. Acknowledgements of the lack of clarity of evidence of the present databases should be made, in order to compose further research more precise and standardized on a larger pediatric population to properly justify the use of orthoses (FOs).

It should be mentioned that the present study appears to have several restrictions. In particular, it is consisted of a single group of “patients” and no extensive results should be reached, given the small sample and the absence of a control group, which is an intrinsic defect of such studies.

A necessity is set to conduct randomized control studies for safe deductions on the use of foot orthoses (FOs).

Finally, it should be highlighted that future studies will benefit from a wide pediatric sample and will focus on the development of standardized diagnostic criteria regarding the use of custom-made foot orthotics (FOs).

6. Conclusion

Despite various diversities in literature references regarding accurate documentation of the efficacy of foot orthotics (FOs), there are emerging positive facts in our research that claim improvement after using adaptive orthotics of the lower extremities in parameters such as: pain, post game pain, gait deviations and worn insoles. Apart from clinical evaluation of the symptomatology, facts retrieved through the questionnaire filled by the parents reinforce the positive outcome after a six month’s use of foot orthotics (FOs). Due to the small sample used in the research, the effectiveness of foot orthotics (FOs) in symptomatic pediatric flexible flat feet (SFFF) still remains to be confirmed. Although there are limitations in methodology and application, foot orthotics (FOs) appear to have a great potential as a treatment for symptomatic pediatric flexible flat foot (SFFF). Thorough comprehension of the literature evidence, as well as composition of supplementary studies of larger pediatric populations is essential in order to reach a consensus on the use of foot orthotics (FOs) by children with symptomatic flexible flat foot (SFFF).
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