

Management of Isolated Coronal Fractures of Distal Humeral Epiphysis by Herbert Screw

Yassine Ben Bouzid, Rida-Allah Bassir, Monsef Boufettal, Jalal Mekkaoui, Mohamed Kharmaz, Moulay Omar Lamrani, Mohamed Saleh Berrada

Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatologic Surgery, Ibn Sina University Hospital, Rabat, Morocco Email: yassine.benbouzid2@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Ben Bouzid, Y., Bassir, R.-A., Boufettal, M., Mekkaoui, J., Kharmaz, M., Lamrani, M.O. and Berrada, M.S. (2023) Management of Isolated Coronal Fractures of Distal Humeral Epiphysis by Herbert Screw. *Open Journal of Orthopedics*, **13**, 50-54.

https://doi.org/10.4236/ojo.2023.132005

Received: January 18, 2023 Accepted: February 14, 2023 Published: February 17, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Abstract

Isolated fractures of the distal humerus are rare. Their diagnosis is often late, and treatment has progressed but serious complications may occur. We conducted a retrospective study of a series of 10 cases at the Department of Orthopedic and Traumatologic Surgery of the Ibn Sina University Hospital in Rabat over a 4-year period, from January 2018 to January 2022. All our cases were treated surgically with Herbert screws. The functional, clinical and radiological outcome was satisfactory with a return to pre-fracture activity in all patients.

Keywords

Elbow, Capitellum, Herbert Screw

1. Introduction

Coronal joint fractures of the distal humerus are a rare injury (less than 1% of elbow fractures) [1] and often go unnoticed. They are caused by an axial force transmitted by the radial head during a fall on a hand in extension or during the reduction of a posterolateral dislocation of the elbow [2] [3]. They may involve only the capitellum or part or all of the trochlea. Vigilance is necessary since the lateral collateral ligament may be affected and a fracture of the radial head may be observed in some patients [4].

Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) is the treatment of choice for coronal joint fractures of the distal humerus. Several fixation options have been described for this type of injury. However, stable fixation remains limited by the small volume of the subchondral fracture fragment, which presents a surgical challenge [2]. Also, it should be mentioned that the ideal management for frontal fractures of the distal humerus is vague since no comparative studies have been conducted in this direction.

We report a series of 10 cases treated in the Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery of the Ibn Sina University Hospital in Rabat.

2. Material and Methods

The present work is a retrospective study of 10 cases of coronal fractures of the distal humerus treated by Herbert's screw regardless of the traumatic mechanism, sex, terrain or affected side (notably dominant or not) over a period from January 2018 to January 2022, collected at the Department of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery of the Ibn Sina University Hospital in Rabat.

We excluded from our study fractures involving the metaphysis and diaphysis of the humerus as well as epiphyseal fractures not treated with Herbert screws. We also excluded incomplete records and patients who were lost to follow-up.

Our study was conducted in accordance with the standards of medical ethics.

The average age of our patients was 26 years (between 18 and 40 years), with a sex ratio of 1.5 (6 males and 4 females).

All patients underwent a complete radiological workup including two orthogonal radiographic views and a CT scan.

We used Dubberley's classification. A slight predominance was noted in the type 2A group, with 4 patients (**Figure 1**); 3 patients were diagnosed as type 1A (**Figure 2**), 2 as type 1B and only one patient as type 3A.

No fracture nor dislocation was noted. The neurovascular system was intact in all our patients.

All our patients underwent open surgery. In 8 cases, a lateral (Kocher) approach was adopted; only 2 patients were treated by anterolateral approach.



Figure 1. Pre- and post-operative radiological work-up of a type 2A patient.



Figure 2. Pre- and post-operative radiological work-up of a type 1A patient.

Osteosynthesis was performed with Herbert screws, and plaster cast immobilization was routinely applied. Our patients followed a well-defined rehabilitation program.

The average follow-up was 14 months with a range of 12 to 24 months. A monthly check-up was recommended for the first 6 months, followed by a long-term follow-up every 6 months.

Clinical follow-up was based on the presence of pain according to the visual analog scale (VAS); joint amplitudes were tested by goniometer; grip strength was evaluated subjectively in the absence of the availability of a dynamometer; and joint laxity was evaluated by valgus and varus provocation tests.

Radiographic monitoring of bone healing was based on the appearance of a bone callus or the persistence of the fracture line, suggesting pseudoarthrosis, as well as the evaluation of the osteosynthesis in search of possible disassembly of the material.

Data were summarized in Table 1 and analyzed on SPSS.

3. Results

The average bone healing time was 5 months. No reduction loss nor pseudarthrosis was noted. Clinical evaluation showed intermittent pain in 2 cases; grip strength was similar to the uninjured side in all patients; no laxity was reported. Mobility analysis showed satisfactory results (**Figure 3**), especially in both type 1B patients, with a mean flexion-extension arc of 100°. Pronosupination was complete in all patients.



Figure 3. Clinical control of a type 2A patient.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
18	20	27	32	22	24	25	28	24	40
Male	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female	Male	Male	Male	Female
P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI	P + FI
X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray	X-ray
+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan	+ CT scan
2A	2A	2A	1A	2A	1B	3A	1B	1A	1A
Lateral	Anterolateral	Lateral	Lateral	Lateral	Lateral	Anterolateral	Lateral	Lateral	Lateral
	Male P + FI X-ray + CT scan 2A	1820MaleMaleP + FIP + FIX-rayX-ray++CT scanCT scan2A2A	182027MaleMaleFemaleP + FIP + FIP + FIX-rayX-rayX-ray+++CT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A	18202732MaleMaleFemaleMaleP + FIP + FIP + FIP + FIX-rayX-rayX-rayX-ray++++CT scanCT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A1A	1820273222MaleMaleFemaleMaleFemaleP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-ray+++++CT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A1A2A	182027322224MaleMaleFemaleMaleFemaleFemaleP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-ray++++++CT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A1A2A1B	18202732222425MaleMaleFemaleMaleFemaleFemaleMaleP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-ray++++++CT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A1A2A1B3A	1820273222242528MaleMaleFemaleMaleFemaleMaleMaleP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-ray++++++CT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A1A2A1B3A1B	182027322224252824MaleMaleFemaleMaleFemaleFemaleMaleMaleMaleMaleP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIP+FIX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-rayX-ray+++++++++CT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scanCT scan2A2A2A1A2A1B3A1B1A

P: Pain; FI: Functional Impotence.

4. Discussion

Coronal fractures of the distal epiphysis of the humerus are rare, and the literature is limited to a series of cases, which makes it difficult to make any conclusions and to outline the therapeutic management [5].

The physical examination is a very essential step since associated lesions are frequently involving the lateral collateral ligament or the radial head (in 60% of cases) [4]. Brouwer *et al.* [6] point out that 33% of the patients in their series had a dislocation of the elbow and/or a fracture of the radial head. The clinical examination always begins with an inspection, which may reveal ecchymosis, edema or deformity. Then, palpation allows localizing a painful point especially at the level of the bony prominences (lateral and/or medial epicondyle, olecranon). The joint amplitudes of the elbow are also examined. The overlying and underlying joints are assessed (shoulder and wrist) and finally a vascular-nerve examination is performed. Imaging is based on radiographic views of the face and profile and possibly oblique views. Additional CT scans are most often necessary since they improve inter- and intra-observer reliability [7].

Several classifications have been reported in the literature for coronal fractures of the distal humerus. The system described by Dubberley [10] is used to guide surgical management in the choice of the approach and fixation method. It also allows the prognosis of the fracture to be assessed on the basis of type. Type I involves the capitellum with or without the lateral ridge of the trochlea; type II involves the capitellum and trochlea in a single fragment; and finally type III involves the capitellum and trochlea in two separate fragments. The absence (A) or presence (B) of comminution was also noted.

The traditional treatment for coronal elbow fractures is open reduction internal fixation (ORIF), requiring preoperative planning and anatomical reduction of the articular surface. Other therapeutic options include orthopedic treatment, closed reduction and percutaneous screw fixation, osteochondral fragment excision, and fixation with arthroscopic assistance [8] [9].

Lateral exposure is the most appropriate approach and provides access to the entire anterior surface of the trochlea. Dubberley *et al.* recommended the posterior approach to control the medial and lateral side and avoid nerve damage [10].

Screw fixation is the ideal technique for ORIF. Screws are placed according to the fracture line, playing a compression role [11] or acting as a lag screw which was described by Silvère *et al.* [12]. Some authors have demonstrated that using plates offers better resistance to rotational and shear forces, but leads to clinical discomfort and posterior impingement, requiring removal of the implant [13] [14].

5. Conclusion

Coronal joint fractures of distal humerus are a rare condition and often go undetected. Surgical treatment is the GOLD Standard, allowing anatomic restoration of the joint with better clinical results.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Bryan, R.S. and Morrey, B.F. (1985) Fractures of the Distal Humerus. In: Morrey, B.F., Ed., *The Elbow and Its Disorders*, 3rd Edition, Saunders, Philadelphia, 302-339.
- [2] McKee, M.D., Jupiter, J.B. and Bamberger, H.B. (1996) Coronal Shear Fractures of the Distal End of the Humerus. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, **78**, 49-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-199601000-00007</u>
- [3] O'Driscoll, S.W., Morrey, B.F., Korinek, S. and An, K.N. (1992) Elbow Subluxation and Dislocation. A Spectrum of Instability. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, No. 280, 186-197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199207000-00024</u>
- [4] Heck, S., Zilleken, C., Pennig, D., *et al.* (2012) Reconstruction of Radial Capitellar Fractures Using Fine-Threaded Implants (FFS). *Injury*, 43, 163-167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2011.04.009
- [5] Carroll, M.J., Athwal, G.S., King, G.J. and Faber, K.J. (2015) Capitellar and Trochlear Fractures. *Hand Clinics*, **31**, 615-630. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hcl.2015.07.001</u>
- [6] Brouwer, K.M., Jupiter, J.B. and Ring, D. (2011) Nonunion of Operatively Treated Capitellum and Trochlear Fractures. *Journal of Hand Surgery—American Volume*, 36, 804-807. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2011.01.022</u>
- [7] Doornberg, J., Lindenhovius, A., Kloen, P., et al. (2006) Two and Three-Dimensional Computed Tomography for the Classification and Management of Distal Humeral Fractures. Evaluation of Reliability and Diagnostic Accuracy. *Journal of Hand Sur*gery—American Volume, 88, 1795-1801. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200608000-00016
- [8] Watson, J.J., Bellringer, S. and Phadnis, J. (2020) Coronal Shear Fractures of the Distal Humerus: Current Concepts and Surgical Techniques. *Shoulder Elbow*, 12, 124-135. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-58931-1_83</u>
- [9] Fram, B.R., Seigerman, D.A. and Ilyas, A.M. (2019) Coronal Shear Fractures of the Distal Humerus: A Review of Diagnosis, Treatment, and Outcomes. *Hand (N Y)*, 16, 577-585. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1558944719878817</u>
- [10] Dubberley, J.H., Faber, K.J., Macdermid, J.C., Patterson, S.D. and King, G.J. (2006) Outcome after Open Reduction and Internal Fixation of Capitellar and Trochlear Fractures. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, 88, 46-54. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.D.02954
- [11] Dressler, H.B. and de Paula, R.N.B. (2015) Bryan and Morrey Type IV Intra-Articular Fracture of the Distal Extremity of the Humerus Treated Surgically with Anterior Access: Case Report. *Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia*, **50**, 352-355.
- [12] Silveri, C.P., Corso, S.J. and Roofeh, J. (1994) Herbert Screw Fixation of a Capitellum Fracture. A Case Report and Review. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, No. 300, 123-126.
- [13] Shin, S.J., Sohn, H.S. and Do, N.H. (2010) A Clinical Comparison of Two Different Double Plating Methods for Intraarticular Distal Humerus Fractures. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, **19**, 2-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2009.05.003</u>
- [14] Niglis, L., Bonnomet, F., Schenck, B., et al. (2015) Critical Analysis of Olecranon Fracture Management by Pre-Contoured Locking Plates. Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research, 101, 201-207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2014.09.025</u>