Cardiovascular Disease Burden in Patients with Non-Dialysis Dependent Chronic Kidney Disease in Cameroon: Case of the Douala General Hospital
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Abstract

Introduction: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). Objective: To evaluate the burden of CVD and audit the management of cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF) in patients with non-dialysis (ND) dependent CKD in Cameroon. Patients and Methods: A cross-sectional study in the Douala general hospital was conducted from January to March 2016, including CKD patients’ stages 3 - 5 ND. Socio-demographic data, comorbidities, medications and biological data were extracted from patient’s records. For each participant, lipid profile and urinary protein excretion were measured; a resting electrocardiogram was done. Hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking, alcohol consumption, anemia, hyperuricemia, proteinuria and high calcium-phosphorus product were considered as CVRF. CVD was defined as a history of stroke, peripheral artery disease, coronary heart disease (CHD), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), heart failure (HF) and arrhythmia. We used KDOQI 2003, KDIGO 2012 and JNC 8 guidelines for definition and evaluation of the management of lipid abnormalities, proteinuria and hypertension respectively. Results: A total of 83 patients (45 males) were included; mean age was 56 ± 15 years. Mean number of CVRFs per patient was 5.19 ± 1.64; hypertension (90.3%), obesity (79.5%), anemia (78.3%), dyslipidemia (69.8%) and hyperuricemia (69.8%) were the most frequent. Mean number of...
CVD per patient was 1.5 ± 0.63 with an overall prevalence of 69.8%; LVH (48.2%) and CHD (30.1%), were the most frequent and prevalence increased significantly with CKD stage. Treatment rate of hypertension was 100%, 53.4% for dyslipidemia and 75.3% for anemia. Target values were achieved in 50% of participants for LDL-cholesterol and phosphorus levels, 26.6% for blood pressure and 6% for hemoglobin levels. **Conclusion:** CVRF are highly prevalent in non-dialyzed CKD populations in this setting. This may contribute to the burden of CVD implying strict control of these factors.
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1. **Introduction [1]**

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a public health problem with a prevalence estimated at 13% [1]. It progresses through 5 stages and carries a high morbi-mortality [2]. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is frequent at each stage of CKD with reported prevalence ranging from 26% to 48% [3]-[8]. Furthermore CKD is an independent risk factor of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [9] [10] and CVD represent almost 50% of the causes of death in CKD patients [11] [13].

Traditional risk factors such as diabetes, hypertension, age, gender, and dyslipidemia are the main cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF) in general, but in CKD patients, specific factors such as anemia, endothelial dysfunction, vascular calcification, hyperparathyroidism, hyperhomocysteinemia, albuminuria, and chronic inflammation are associated with CVD. Studies have shown that more than one CVRF existed in patients with CKD, and the number increased with decreasing renal function [13] [14]. Majority of these CVRF are potentially modifiable. Early detection and adequate management of these factors is a key strategy in the prevention of CVD. Blood pressure should be maintained below 140/90 mmHg and blockage of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) is the cornerstone of the treatment [15] [16]. In diabetic patients hemoglobin A1C levels below 7% and treatment of dyslipidemia with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol below 90 mg/dl is fundamental [17] [18] [19]. Anemia a strong predictor of left ventricular hypertrophy should be treated with recommended hemoglobin levels between 11 and 12 g/dl [20] [21] [22]. Treatment of hypophosphatemia reduces the rate of cardiac valve calcification and CKD progression [23] [24]. Studies have shown that treatment of these risk factors is suboptimal and the control poor [25].

CKD is a major health issue in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) with an estimated prevalence of 13.9% [26]. Morbi mortality of these patients is high due to the appearance of CVD [3]. The epidemiology of CVRF and CVD in CKD patients in SSA is limited. Few studies reported that the burden of CVRF and CVD is high in CKD patients in this setting and the care of these patients is largely in-
adequate and suboptimal [27] [28]. In Cameroon, CKD prevalence is high mainly due to hypertension, diabetes and chronic glomerulonephritis [29] [30]. Patients carry a high morbi-mortality, late referral to nephrologist as well as poor management is frequent [31] [32] [33], and there is no data on the epidemiology of CVRF and CVD in these patients. Therefore, we undertook this study aiming to describe the spectrum of CVRF and evaluate their management according to guidelines among CKD patients in Cameroon.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Setting
This cross sectional study was carried out from 1st January to 31st March 2016 in the outpatient section of the nephrology unit in Douala General Hospital (DGH), a tertiary referral hospital in Cameroon. DGH is a 320 bedded public institution and the main referral hospital for patients with kidney disease in the littoral region of the country. The medical staff of the unit comprises two nephrologists, one general practitioner. Patients with CKD referred to the unit are assigned a unique identifier and attached to one of the nephrologists, and then followed-up at intervals that are determined by the stage of the renal disease and comorbidities. At the first consultation in the unit, each patient has clinical assessment and laboratory tests done. The diagnosis of kidney disease was based on elevated serum creatinine level with or without urine dipstick abnormalities, and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) less than 60 ml/min/1.73m². GFR was estimated using the CKD EPI equation [34]. The etiology of CKD was mostly based on clinical arguments in the absence of renal biopsy.

2.2. Data Collection
Final year undergraduate medical students collected consecutively data of all patients who provided a written informed consent and attended their first nephrology consultation with a nephrologist diagnosis of CKD stage 3 to 5 non-dialysed. We included all consenting patients with CKD stage 3 to 5 non-dialyzed and followed up in the unit for more than 3 months. From medical records, we collected relevant data: socio-demographic (sex, age, level of education, source of funding), anthropometric parameters (weight, height, waist and hip circumference), comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, history of CVD, HIV, Gout), lifestyle habit (alcohol and tobacco use), etiology of CKD, medications and blood pressure at referral were recorded. Biological parameters done within 3 months of inclusion in the study (serum urea and creatinine level, glycaemia, uric acid, hemoglobin level, serum albumin, calcium, and phosphorus) were collected. For each participant 5 ml of fasting blood was collected for triglycerides, total cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) measurement using AUTOMATIC COBAS 311 (HITACHI®, TOKYO.105-8717 JAPAN) in the biochemical laboratory of the DGH. Also a spot urine sample was collected be-
before 9 am for protein and creatinine dosage by the pyrogallol red calorimetric method and the Jaffe kinetic method respectively, using the visual spectrophotometer (BIOMERIEUX®, FRANCE). The urinary protein to creatinine ratio (UPCR) was computed as urinary protein/urinary creatinine in mg/g. A resting electrocardiogram (ECG) was done for all participants using a single channel CARDIART 6180T ECG (2011 FROST and SULLIVAN-Delhi-India). The ECG leads were placed accordingly, in line with the recommendation of the American Heart Association guidelines [35].

2.3. Definitions and Calculations

Chronic kidney disease was defined as estimated GFR < 60 ml/min for more than 3 months associated with or without complications such as anemia, hypocalcaemia, hyperphosphatemia, and other signs of chronicity such as abnormalities of kidney size or structure on ultrasound.

CKD was classified using the KDIGO classification as follows: Stage 3a: eGFR = 45 - 59 ml/min/1.73m², Stage 3b: eGFR 30 - 44 ml/min/1.73m², Stage 4: eGFR 15 - 29 ml/min/1.73m², Stage 5: eGFR < 15 ml/min/1.73m² [15].

Hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking and alcohol use were considered as traditional CVRF. Hypertension was defined as either blood pressure > 140/90 mmHg, or use of antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as either a history of diabetes, or fasting glucose ≥ 7.0 mmol/L, or HbA1c ≥ 7%, or use anti-diabetic medications. Dyslipidemia was defined as any abnormality of plasma lipid concentration or treatment with statin. Lipid abnormalities were considered as; Total cholesterol > 2.40 g, LDL > 1.60 g/l, HDL < 0.40 g/l, Triglyceride > 2 g/l [36].

BMI was calculated using the formula [Weight/(Height)²]. Obesity was defined as a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² and abdominal obesity if waist circumference > 94 cm in men and >80 cm in women or waist to hip ratio > 1 in males and >0.85 in females. Tobacco use was defined as history of smoking within the last 6 months. Alcohol use was defined as history of an average alcohol consumption greater than 14 bottles of beer or equivalent a week for men and greater than 7 bottles of beer or equivalent for women week within the last 6 months [37].

Non-traditional cardiovascular risk factors were: anemia, hyperuricemia, proteinuria and a high calcium-phosphate product.

Proteinuria was defined as urinary protein excretion > 150 mg/g [15]. Hyperuricemia was defined as serum uric acid level > 70 mg/l for men and >60 mg/l for women [38] or use of hypouricemic agents. Anemia was defined as hemoglobin of less than 13 g/l in males and less than 12 g/l in females [15]. Calcium phosphorus product was considered high if Ca × PO₄ > 55 mg²/dl².

CVD was defined as a history of stroke, peripheral artery disease, coronary heart disease (CHD), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), heart failure (HF) or arrhythmia.

Peripheral artery disease was defined as prior radiological confirmation of atherosclerosis of limbs vessels or absence of lower limbs pulses. Coronary heart
disease was defined as history of myocardial infarction or ST segment abnormalities or abnormal Q waves on ECG. LVH was defined using either the Sokolow-Lyon Criteria or by the Cornell voltage criteria on ECG [35]. HF was defined as any systolic or diastolic dysfunction of the heart confirmed by a physician with or without an ejection fraction less than 50%. Arrhythmia was considered as a history of atrial fibrillation with current medication or ECG signs of atrial fibrillation.

Target values were: blood pressure < 140/90 mmHg for patient [39], Hemoglobin ≥ 11 g/dl. [22], LDL cholesterol < 1 g/l [36], Phosphorus level < 5.5 mg/dl [40].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed with the aid of EPI Info Version 7 software. Continuous variables were presented as means (standard deviation) when distribution is symmetrical or median (25th-75th IQR) when skewed. Categorical data were expressed as frequencies, proportions and percentages. Comparison between proportions was done using chi-square test and fisher’s exact where appropriate. Means were compared using the t-test for comparison of two means and ANOVA test for more than two means. The degree of association between qualitative variables was evaluated by estimating odds ratio. The level of statistical significance was set at a p value < 0.05.

3. Results

Characteristics of study population

A total of 83 participants were included among which 45 (54.2%) males and mean age was 56 ± 15 years. The median (IQR) estimated GFR was 16 (7 - 35) ml/min/1.73m² (Table 1). Half of the participants (n = 42) were at CKD Stage 5, while 33.7% (n = 28) were at Stage 3 and 15.7% (n = 13) at Stage 4 and. Mean SBP and DBP were 144.8 ± 24.1 mmHg and 89.1 ± 14.1 mmHg respectively and showed a non-significant increase across CKD stages (Table 1). Hypertension (37.35%), diabetes (20.48%) and chronic glomerulonephritis (12.05%) were the main causes of CKD. The etiology was undetermined in 10% of patients (Figure 1).

Prevalence of CVRF, CVD and treatment

The mean number of CVRF in the study population was 5.19 ± 1.64 (Figure 2) and the number increased although not significantly with severity of CKD (Table 2). The mean number of non-traditional CVRF significantly increased with stage of CKD (p = 0.004). Hypertension (90.3%), abdominal obesity (79.5%), dyslipidemias (69.8%), and diabetes (42.1%) were the most frequent traditional CVRF, while anemia (78.3%), hyperuricemia (69.8%) and proteinuria (44.5%) were frequent non-traditional factors. Alcohol use decreased significantly with the stages of CKD, while anemia and proteinuria increased significantly with the severity of disease (Table 2).

The mean number of CVD per patient was 1.5 ± 0.6 with an overall preva-
rence of 69.8% (n = 58). LVH (48.2%) and CHD (30.1%) were the most frequent CVD found. Prevalence of CVD (p = 0.022), LVH (p = 0.009) and CHD (p = 0.02) increased significantly with the severity of CKD (Table 2). All patients with hypertension were on antihypertensive drugs and 61% of them on RAAS blockade. Treatment of other risk factors was as followed: dyslipidemia (53.4%), anaemia (75.3%) hyperuricemia (72.4%), and hypophosphatemia (81.8%). Target values were achieved in 51.6% of participants for LDL-cholesterol, 59.1% for phosphate, 26.6% for blood pressure and 6% for haemoglobin. The control rates of dyslipidaemia decreased significantly. Please check Table 3.
Table 1. Comparison of clinical data across the stages of CKD in our study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (n = 83)</th>
<th>Stage 3 (n = 28)</th>
<th>Stage 4 (n = 13)</th>
<th>Stage 5 (n = 42)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56.6 ± 15.5</td>
<td>59.8 ± 14.1</td>
<td>60.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>53.2 ± 17.8</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min)</td>
<td>16 (range 1 - 59, IQR 7 - 35)</td>
<td>41.1 ± 8.18</td>
<td>21.2 ± 4.16</td>
<td>7.80 ± 3.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.4 ± 5.01</td>
<td>27.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>26.6 ± 5.04</td>
<td>25.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>90.8 ± 12.4</td>
<td>93.6 ± 13.8</td>
<td>92.2 ± 10.1</td>
<td>88.6 ± 11.9</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>144.8 ± 24.1</td>
<td>140.3 ± 18.9</td>
<td>150.4 ± 25.5</td>
<td>146 ± 26.7</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>89.1 ± 14.1</td>
<td>87 ± 14.5</td>
<td>92.3 ± 10.7</td>
<td>89.6 ± 14.8</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

eGFR = Estimated glomerular filtration rate, SBP = Systolic blood pressure, DBP = Diastolic blood pressure; BMI = Body Mass Index WC = Waist Circumference, WHR = Waist-to-hip ratio.

Table 2. Distribution of CVRF and Cardiovascular Diseases according to CKD stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional risk factors n (%)</th>
<th>Total (n = 83)</th>
<th>Stage 3 (n = 28)</th>
<th>Stage 4 (n = 13)</th>
<th>Stage 5 (n = 42)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVRF*</td>
<td>3.24 ± 1.24</td>
<td>3.29 ± 1.53</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.89</td>
<td>3.23 ± 1.14</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>75 (90.36%)</td>
<td>25 (33.33%)</td>
<td>12 (16.00%)</td>
<td>38 (50.67%)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal obesity</td>
<td>66 (79.52%)</td>
<td>21 (31.82%)</td>
<td>11 (16.67%)</td>
<td>34 (51.52%)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>58 (69.88%)</td>
<td>16 (27.59%)</td>
<td>8 (13.79%)</td>
<td>34 (58.62%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>35 (42.17%)</td>
<td>11 (13.79%)</td>
<td>7 (20.00%)</td>
<td>17 (48.57%)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>19 (22.8%)</td>
<td>10 (52.63%)</td>
<td>3 (15.79%)</td>
<td>6 (31.58%)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>12 (14.46%)</td>
<td>8 (66.67%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>4 (33.33%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>3 (3.61%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>1 (33.33%)</td>
<td>2 (66.67%)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nontraditional risk factors n (%)</th>
<th>Total (n = 83)</th>
<th>Stage 3 (n = 28)</th>
<th>Stage 4 (n = 13)</th>
<th>Stage 5 (n = 42)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVRF*</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.78</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.62</td>
<td>1.76 ± 1.09</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>65 (78.31)</td>
<td>19 (29.23%)</td>
<td>8 (13.32%)</td>
<td>38 (56.46%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperuricemia</td>
<td>58 (69.88%)</td>
<td>21 (36.21%)</td>
<td>8 (13.79%)</td>
<td>29 (50.00%)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>37 (44.58%)</td>
<td>7 (18.82%)</td>
<td>5 (13.51%)</td>
<td>25 (67.57%)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca × P</td>
<td>4 (4.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (75%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiovascular disease n (%)</th>
<th>Total (n = 83)</th>
<th>Stage 3 (n = 28)</th>
<th>Stage 4 (n = 13)</th>
<th>Stage 5 (n = 42)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVD Total</td>
<td>58 (69.8%)</td>
<td>15 (25.8%)</td>
<td>8 (13.8%)</td>
<td>35 (60.3%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVH</td>
<td>40 (48.2%)</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
<td>26 (65.0%)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>25 (30.1%)</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>17 (68%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>10 (12%)</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>6 (7.2%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>4 (4.8%)</td>
<td>2 (50%)</td>
<td>2 (50%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVRF* = Mean number of CVRF, Ca × P = Calcium Phosphorus product CVD = Cardiovascular Disease, LVH = Left ventricular hypertrophy CHD = Coronary Heart Disease, HF = Heart Failure, PAD = Peripheral Artery Disease, AF = Atrial Fibrillation.
Table 3. Management and control rates of some CVRF across the stages of CKD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control CVRF CVRF</th>
<th>Stage 3 N (%)</th>
<th>Stage 4 N (%)</th>
<th>Stage 5 N (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 75)</td>
<td>Anti-hypertensive use</td>
<td>25 (33.3%)</td>
<td>12 (16%)</td>
<td>38 (50.6%)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% on RAAS blockers</td>
<td>17 (36.9%)</td>
<td>7 (15.2%)</td>
<td>22 (47.8%)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal control</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 58)</td>
<td>Statin use n (%)</td>
<td>10 (32.3%)</td>
<td>4 (12.9%)</td>
<td>17 (54.8%)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal control</td>
<td>9 (56.2%)</td>
<td>1 (6.2%)</td>
<td>6 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperphosphatemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
<td>phosphate binders use</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>7 (77.7%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal control</td>
<td>1 (7.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>12 (92.3%)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 65)</td>
<td>% on treatment</td>
<td>9 (18.3%)</td>
<td>8 (16.3%)</td>
<td>32 (65.3%)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal control</td>
<td>1 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (66.6%)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperuricemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 58)</td>
<td>% on treatment</td>
<td>14 (33.3%)</td>
<td>6 (14.29%)</td>
<td>22 (52.3%)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 37)</td>
<td>% on RAAS blockers</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>15 (62.5%)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

In the present study including 83 patients with CKD stage 3 - 5 ND in Cameroon, we found that CVD more than 2/3 of participants presented at least one CVD with LVH, CHD and HF being the most frequent. Prevalence of CVD, LVH and CHD increased with the severity of CKD. The mean number of CVRF was 5.19 ± 1.64. Hypertension (90.3%), abdominal obesity (79.5%), anemia (78.3%), hyperuricemia (69.8%), dyslipidemia (69.8%), proteinuria (44.5%) and diabetes (42.1%) were the most frequent CVRF. The management of these factors was appropriate for the majority but control was poor especially for blood pressure and anaemia.

**Prevalence CVRF**

We found a mean number of 5 CVRF per patient with traditional CVRF accounted for over two-thirds of the risk burden. When only traditional factors were considered, there was a mean number of 3 traditional CVRF higher than the 1 - 2 factors reported in some high-income countries [41] [42]. Early presentation of patients for medical care, universal access to healthcare and less physicians’ inertia towards therapeutic guidelines may account for the lower numbers in those countries [41] [42] [43]. Hypertension was the most common CVRF and constitutes the leading cause of CKD in Sub-Saharan Africa [26] [30] [31] as well as complication [44]; this could explain the higher prevalence of coronary artery disease (CAD) and LVH is these patients with CKD as noted elsewhere ranging from 87% to 90% [6]. Obesity was present in 23.17% of pa-
tients higher than the mean urban prevalence reported in Cameroon [45]; this may reflect the rising epidemic of obesity observed in Africa and worldwide [46] also obesity is the main risk factor for hypertension and diabetes the 2 leading cause of CKD in our setting [29]. Few studies have reported on the prevalence of obesity in the CKD population, with rates varying from 16% - 38% depending on the method of diagnosis and the definition [28] [42] [47] with the severity of CKD. We found anaemia, hyperuricemia and proteinuria as common non-traditional CVRF in this CKD population. Few studies include non-traditional CVRF in computing the number of factors in CKD [48]. As expected, anaemia and proteinuria increased with the severity of CKD. The prevalence of hyperuricemia was high with about half of these patients at CKD. Similarly, Chonchol et al. reported an increase in the prevalence of hyperuricemia with GFR decline [49]. The prevalence of proteinuria in CKD varies worldwide due to the definition, and clinical characteristics of the study population. The prevalence of proteinuria in the present study was 44.5%. This is higher compared to most studies in the literature that range from 3% - 37% [50], but lower to the prevalence found by in other studies in Africa [28] [47].

**Prevalence of CVD**

More than 2/3 of participants presented at least one CVD and the rates increased significantly with the severity of CKD; this is in consonance with the findings in literature [2] [8] [10]. CVD is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality [11] in CKD patients with reported prevalence ranging from 26% to 48% [4] [5] [6] [7]. LVH and CHD were the most common CVD similar to other studies in SSA [50] [51]. The high prevalence of hypertension, anaemia and patients with advanced CKD in our study may explain this high prevalence. About 12% of the population had heart failure with 2/3 of individuals in CKD stage 5. Much higher rates were reported in the western world [52]. The difference is due to diagnostic criteria. Our prevalence of CHD (30%) was higher than reported in patients on haemodialysis in Cameroon [53], which may suggest that majority of patients with CHD die before getting to end stage renal disease. Also our diagnosis of CHD was mostly made by ST segment changes on ECG, which is not specific to ischemia, and could increase the prevalence.

**Management**

We found that 2/3 of patients with proteinuria and 61% with hypertension were on RAAS blockers. Our rate of RAAS blockers use was much lower compared to other studies in similar population in Cameroon [28] [54]. This could be due to the fact that the majority of our participants were in advanced stage of CKD where the use of RAAS blockers can be harmful [55]. Control of hypertension in CKD is difficult [4] [26]. Only 26% of our population achieved their BP target value. Other studies in Cameroon have reported low control rates [28] [54]. The change in the target value as suggested by the JNC 8 made target values in CKD more permissive.

It is fundamental in managing CV complication in CKD to target dyslipide-
mia [19]. About half of participants with dyslipidaemia were on statins. Higher rates were shown in a population in Taiwan [39]. This low rate of statin use in our study could be due to the high cost of the drug that is out of pocket payment in our setting [56]. The control of LDL-cholesterol was optimal in half of the patients on statin and the rate decreased significantly with the severity of CKD. Higher control rate were reported by Akpan et al. and Lin et al. in Taiwan amongst CKD patients stages 1 - 5 ND [27] [55]. We found that just 6% of patients met their target haemoglobin. This was in conformity with the findings of Nicola et al. [43] in Italy. The control rates of anaemia a risk factor of LVH is poor and one main reason is the high cost of erythropoietin stimulating agent that is out of pocket payment in our setting [20]. We observed 72% of patients with hyperuricemia were on a hypouricemic agent. They are no guidelines that suggest we should treat asymptomatic hyperuricemia. However, studies have shown that hyperuricemia is a factor of progression of CKD and that treatment reduces this progression [57].

5. Strength and Limitations

This study has some limitations: We use ECG criteria to determine LVH and did not confirm with echocardiography. Also blood pressure control was defined using office values and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring would have been a preferred means. We used self-report and review of medical records to define CVD in this study. This may have missed a small group of participants with undiagnosed CVD. This was a single center study and the results could not be generalized. Despite these limitations, this is the first study to provide basic information on the burden of CVRF and CVD in CKD patients in our setting.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study reported that CKD patients have a high prevalence of CVD and CVRF. Adequate control risk of these factors is important to reduce mortality of patients but controls were suboptimal in our setting especially for hypertension and anemia. This basic evaluation may serve as foundation for further studies with a big sample and follow up data.
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