Estimation of Curie Point Depth (CPD) across the Pan African Belt in Northern Cameroon from Aeromagnetic Data

Jean Daniel Ngoh¹, Théophile Ndougsa Mbarga¹,², Kevin Mickus³, Yara Tarek⁴, Tabod Charles Tabod¹,⁵

¹Postgraduate School of Science, Technology and Geosciences, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon
²Department of Physics, Advanced Teachers' Training College, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon
³Department of Geography Geology and Planning, Missouri State University, Springfield, MO, USA
⁴Exploration Department, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, Cairo, Egypt
⁵Faculty of Science, University of Bamenda, Bambili, Cameroon
Email: theopndougsa@gmail.com, jdingoh@gmail.com

Abstract

The depth to the Curie isothermal point (CPD) was estimated using aeromagnetic data from northern Cameroon. The CPD was estimated using a two-dimensional power density spectral analysis of nineteen 30 × 30 km blocks over a series of Neoproterozoic lithologies associated with the Pan African orogeny. The results show that there are two main regions characterized by the CPD analysis: 1) Shallow depths (~6.37 - 10.09 km) which are located in several regions including the northern portions of the study area (toward the regions of Hina Marbak, Gawel and northern Moutouroua), the southeast (Kaele region), the south (Guider) and the southwest (Mayo Oulo), and 2) deeper depths (~10.68 - 13.72 km) are located in the northeast (Mindif) and southwest (southern Moutouroua, Guider and Bossoum). The shallow depths can be related to two tectonic regimes: 1) the West-Central African Rift System with northeast-trending strike-slip faults emanating from the Gulf of Guinea and 2) the Cameroon Volcanic Line. However, the ultimate source of these shallow regions is interpreted to be related to the Cameroon Volcanic Line based on low seismic velocities imaged by recent broadband seismic studies which are concentrated along the northeast-trending strike-slip faults. An additional finding using the CPD depths, a Curie isothermal temperature of 580°C and a one-dimensional heat flow model, was heat-flow values ranging from 105.68 to 227.63 mW/m², which are above average global heat flow values and are therefore indicative of potential geothermal resources.
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1. Introduction

The thermal structure of the lithosphere is required for many geodynamic investigations, including the deformation of rocks, boundaries of mineral phase changes, and rates of geochemical reactions [1]. Geothermal gradients are commonly estimated from near-surface heat-flow measurements, but heat-flow measurements are commonly unavailable [2] [3], are distributed randomly, and may be affected by local thermal anomalies. In Cameroon, there are no heat flow data and the nearest heat flow data publicly available is in northern Nigeria. In regions where heat-flow data are sparse or unavailable, geophysical and geochemical methods can be used to estimate the thermal structure. For the geophysical methods, one can use magnetotellurics, magnetics, and seismic velocity to indirectly determine the presence of high heat flow values. Magnetotellurics and seismic velocity only indirectly infer the presence of higher temperatures by low electrical conductivities or low seismic velocities but do not provide temperature estimations. The magnetic method can determine the depth to the Curie point depth (CPD) where these depths can be used to estimate the regional heat flow [4].

The CPD is the bottom of a magnetic susceptibility source where the magnetic minerals lose their permanent magnetism at a temperature of approximately 580°C for magnetite which is the most common magnetic mineral. Magnetic anomalies can be used to study magnetic susceptibility structure above the CPD and the depth of the magnetic susceptibility sources [5]. Once the CPD is estimated, the geothermal gradient can be determined and used to estimate the heat flow using commonly accepted thermal properties of the lithologies in a given region. The use of aeromagnetic data to estimate the CPD is not new and has been used in various tectonic environments, either by using spectral methods or forward and inverse modelling of magnetic anomalies. In the spectral methods, there are a wide variety of techniques including calculating the spectrum of an anomaly using one-dimensional (1D) spectral methods [6], using two-dimensional (2D) spectral methods over a region of magnetic data [7] [8] or fractal methods based spectral methods [9]. In the forward and/or inverse methods, one can use 2D methods to model isolated anomalies [10] or three-dimensional (3D) inversion of magnetic anomalies [11] [12] to determine the bottom of magnetic susceptibility sources. One must remember with all of these methods, that one is determining the bottom of a magnetic susceptibility source and the solutions must still be assessed with outside geological and geophysical constraints to determine if the value obtained for the bottom of the source is lithological or related to
temperature [13].

Magnetic studies in Cameroon have generally focused on regional geological structures [14] [15], oil and gas exploration [16], and mineral exploration [17]. There have been many tectonic studies that have used geological [18], gravity [19] and seismic [20] [21] data to study the tectonic evolution of Cameroon but most have mainly been involved in the investigation of the Cameroon Volcanic Line (CVL). To date, there have been no studies involving the determination of the CPD or heat flow studies within northern Cameroon.

In this study, we apply the fractal-based spectral method to magnetic anomalies over the NeoProterozoic Pan African region that has been affected by both the Mesozoic rifting of the West-Central African Rift System (WCARS) and the volcanism of the CVL in northern Cameroon to estimate the depth to the bottom of magnetic sources throughout this region. These depths will be further analysed to determine how they are related to the CDP and will be the first heat flow estimates reported for northern Cameroon. Furthermore, these magnetic anomalies, depths to the bottom of the magnetic susceptibility sources and the heat flow estimates will be used to infer lithospheric structures related to the Pan African orogeny.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Geological and Tectonic Setting

The tectonic setting of north-western Cameroon includes Pan African collision tectonics [14], Cretaceous rifting forming the WCARS [22] [23] and the CVL [24]-[31]. The dominant outcropping lithologies of north-western Cameroon are Proterozoic metamorphic, igneous and sedimentary units related to the Pan African orogeny of central Africa (Figure 1(a)). This orogeny consists of a mobile belt formed by the collision of several Precambrian terranes [26]. The Pan African belt in Cameroon is bordered on the south by the Congo Craton and by the Benue Trough on the north-northwest. The belt was formed by tectonic interactions of portions of the West African and Congo Cratons [26] (Figure 1(b)). These interactions are first represented by eastward dipping subduction in eastern Cameroon followed by a collision between the Nigerian Shield and the West African Craton [14]. However, the tectonic evolution along the northern border of the Congo Craton still raises questions due to the lack of oceanic rocks, but structural and geochemical evidence points to a collisional boundary [27]. This lack of agreement has led to a number of different tectonic models that all involve collision between various blocks [28] [29]. Additionally, at least two major strike-slip tectonic episodes have been reported that are neither coeval nor post-date the major collisional events [26] but are most likely related to the collision between the Congo Craton and some blocks to the northwest of the craton.

The Pan African belt in Cameroon consists of three main lithological units: 1) the Poli-Lere Group, 2) the Adamawa Domain and the 3) Yaounde Group [26] (Figure 1(a)). Of these, the Poli-Lere Group is the most prevalent within the
study area (Figure 1(a)) with the Adamawa Group occurring in the southern portion of the study area. The Neoproterozoic Poli-Lere Group consists of volcanic and sedimentary origin medium- to high-grade schists and gneisses, formed in a magmatic arc context probably within a back-arc basin [29] and that pre-, syn- and post-Pan African tectonic calc-alkaline granitoids [30]. Post-Pan African tectonic alkaline granitoids contain mafic and felsic dykes that are cross-cut by intrusive granites and syenites. These intrusions intrude the schists and gneisses, and form a NNW-trending line of batholiths [14]. Overlying the above units are unmetamorphosed sediments and volcanic rocks contained in several small basins [17] [31].

Three tectonic events affected the structural development of northern Cameroon, particularly the Poli area. These include a crustal shortening and thickening event caused by collision between Neoproterozoic blocks followed by syn to post-orogenic shear tectonic movements [26]. The crustal shortening event is seen as gentle folding outcropping in isoclinal folds with flat-lying foliations which were formed by thrust faulting (Figure 1(a)) [26]. Later compressional deformation formed vertical and NNE-trending foliations, and tight upright to recline folds [32].
The tectonic phase of compression and late stage shear zone development was followed by NE-SW to N-S sinistral shear zones. The Tcholliré-Banyo (TBSZ),
Gode-Gormaya (GGSZ), and Balche (BSZ) shear zones are the major sinistral shear zones that are mainly represented by mylonites (Figure 1(a)). The BSZ is classified by a secondary shear zone that cuts across the GGSZ but these are considered coeval with the NE-trending shear zones despite trending in a different direction [14].

The WCARS is a series of extensional and transtensional basins that extend from Nigeria and Cameroon eastwards into Chad through Kenya and northwards through Lake Chad into southern Algeria [23]. These basins were active [22] and, in Nigeria and Cameroon are represented by the thick clastic sediments of the Benue Trough (Figure 1(a), Figure 1(b)). Within the study area, the Cretaceous clastic sediments [33] and alkaline plutonic and volcanic rocks [34] are scattered throughout the study area (Figure 1(a)). The origin of the alkali igneous rocks is controversial, but most models are related to one or two hot spots in the Mesozoic [34].

The CVL (Figure 1(b)) overlies the Proterozoic basement in Cameroon [21] and covers over a 1600 km long Y-shaped trend of Cenozoic volcanoes that extend to the southern extent of the study area (Figure 1(b)). These volcanoes and sub-volcanic complexes [24] trend from the ocean-continent boundary in the Gulf of Guinea into the northern Cameroon. The volcanoes consist of mainly alkali basalts and some more evolved phonolites and trachytes with an inferred asthenospheric source [21]. Seismic studies indicate that low velocity nearly vertical P- and S-wave zones extend to at least 300 km in depth beneath the CVL, suggesting that edge flow convection against the Congo Craton may be responsible for these anomalies [20] [25]. These low velocity zones are more prevalent in western and northwestern Cameroon implying that these regions might be more susceptible to future volcanic eruptions.

2.2. Aeromagnetic Data

A series of aeromagnetic surveys were carried out in 1970 by SURVAIR with a flight height above the topography of 235 m and 750 m flight line spacings oriented at N˚135. Each individual survey was corrected for diurnal variations and was gridded into one coherent dataset. Then the regional magnetic field of the Earth or the IGRF (International Geomagnetic Reference Field) was removed from the dataset to produce a residual magnetic dataset. Then the residual magnetic dataset was gridded at a 0.75 km spacing interval and displayed as a color image (Figure 2). Next, the gridded TMI data were reduced to the equator (RTE) using inclination and declination angles of the IGRF of January 1, 1970 of −1.01˚ and −3.96˚, respectively. The RTE anomaly map (Figure 3) contains both small wavelength anomalies, high amplitude anomalies formed by magnetic lithologies at the surface or at depths near the surface, and longer wavelength anomalies related to deeper magnetic sources. To amplify the effect of the deeper source or longer wavelength anomalies, the RTE data were upward continued to 1 km above the Earth’s surface. Figure 4 shows the upward continued RTE map.
Figure 2. Total magnetic anomaly intensity (TMI) map of study area. Names and locations mentioned in the text and are located on all subsequent anomaly maps.

2.3. Methodology

2.3.1. Spectral Analysis

Numerical modelling of magnetic data has been used to determine the depth of magnetic interfaces including the Curie point depth (CPD) [35]. Spectral methods involve a number of different methods [4] [6] [36]. In either case, the 2D power spectrum methods are the most used methods in analysing Curie Point Depths and will be used in our study as [35] showed that these methods are more appropriate for the analysis of regional magnetic anomalies than forward and inverse modelling. While all the above methods can determine a bottom of a magnetic source, small-scale variations of these depths make it difficult for them. This is especially true when using the spectral methods as the maximum depth of a magnetic source is a dependent on the size of the analyzed region [9]. The 2D power spectrum technique [8] [36] [37] was used to estimate the maximum
Figure 3. Total magnetic anomaly intensity reduced to equator map of study area. The black and white numbers are anomalies that are discussed in the text.

Following the steps done by [4] [36], the depth ($Z_b$) of the magnetic susceptibility source can be estimated using:

$$Z_b = -\frac{ctz}{2}.$$

where $z_t$ and $z_c$ are, respectively, the depth to the top and the depth to the centroid of the magnetized source. This depth can be related to the bottom of magnetically susceptible minerals or the CPD.

2.3.2. Heat Flow Estimates from CPD

One of the by-products of determining CPDs is that one can estimate temperature gradients and thus the heat flow over a region. This is probably the only geophysical method to determine the regional heat flow when there are no actual
heat flow estimates from deep drill holes. The estimates of heat flow from the CPD analyses depend on the temperature used for Curie point and for the most geological environment, magnetite with a Curie temperature which is variable [38]. The 1D conductive heat flow equation using a constant gradient temperature was used to determine the heat flow. However, using this equation will only provide a first-order estimation of the heat flow [12] but in regions without drill hole determined heat flows, using the CPD is the best option. Fourier’s law which describes 1D conductive heat movement can be expressed as:

\[ Q = k \frac{dT}{dz} \]  

(2)

where \( Q \) is the heat flow and \( k \) is the coefficient of thermal conductivity.

Equation (2) assumes that the temperature gradient \( (dT/dz) \) is constant. The geothermal gradient the CPD \( (Z_b) \) and the surface can be defined [39] by:

\[ T_c = z_b \frac{dT}{dz} \]  

(3)

where \( T_c \) is the Curie temperature.

The next step is determining how the geothermal gradient is related to the heat flow \( (Q) \) [40]:

\[ Q = k \frac{T_c}{z_b} \]  

(4)

The Curie temperature is dependent on the magnetic mineralogy of the subsurface lithologies. The accepted value of the Curie temperature of magnetite is approximately 580˚C, however, an increase of Ti within titanomagnetite causes the Curie temperature to be lowered [41]. Based on the increase of pressure with depth [42], it was suggested that magnetite might have a Curie temperature of about 600˚C. However, the average Curie temperature may be as low as 450˚C based on comparing Curie depths determined from magnetic data and the associated calculated temperature gradients [43]. The above temperature variations for the Curie point for magnetite suggest that an estimate of the heat flow will be variable from the analysis of CPDs. However, using 580˚C in regions with scattered heat flow estimates from drill holes have shown that this temperature can provide reasonable regional heat flow estimates [11] [12]. In addition to estimating a Curie temperature, the thermal conductivities \( (k) \) of the lithologies must be estimated to determine the heat flow. Within the northwest of Cameroon, the most common lithologies are metamorphic schists and gneisses, and granites with have thermal conductivities between 1.3 - 2.9 and 2.4 - 3.8 W·m⁻¹·K⁻¹, respectively [40].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Total Magnetic Anomaly Intensity Reduced to the Equator (RTE)

The RTE magnetic map (Figure 3) has anomaly values ranging from approx...
mately $-77$ to $300$ nT. These values are in general reflected by variations in the lateral variations in the amount of magnetite within the crustal rocks. Within northwestern Cameroon, these anomalies can be usually correlated with the Proterozoic granitic and metamorphic lithologies including metavolcanics, metaigneous rocks, gneisses and schists (Figure 1(a)). The RTE map contains mainly northeast trending minima and maxima anomalies that are roughly parallel to the strike-slip tectonic episodes that related to the Proterozoic collisional events [26]. The most prominent anomalies are a series of large wavelength, low amplitude magnetic maxima (anomalies 1 and 2) and slightly higher amplitude magnetic minima (anomalies 3 and 4) in the northern portion of the map (Figure 3). The magnetic maxima are caused by lithologies with lower magnetic susceptibility content. The area of anomalies 1 and 2 occur over the medium to high grade gneisses of the NW Cameroon terrane and post Pan African sediments (Figure 1). The extent of this large amplitude magnetic maxima implies that the magnetic susceptibility of the gneisses is relatively low with some regions (small wavelength maxima within the region of anomaly 1) containing higher susceptibilities. This could be due to higher grade gneisses or isolated bodies of mafic metaigneous rocks.

The upward continued RTE map shown in Figure 4(a) exhibits a similar pattern of high and low magnetic anomalies that are seen in Figure 3. The upward continued RTE map is characterized by anomalies values ranging from about $-164.47$ to $288.31$ nT. The upward continued RTE map is basically a smoothed version of the RTE map and contains prominent negative (long wavelength) anomalies distributed at the northeastern, central and southern parts of our study area. The persistence of the prominent anomalies with large wavelength, low amplitude magnetic maxima (anomalies 1 and 2) and slightly higher amplitude magnetic minima (anomalies 3 and 4) observed in the northern portion of the map (Figure 4(a)) which indicates that they are associated to deeper structures. In addition, the upward continued RTE map shows attenuated anomalies (anomalies 5, 8, 9 and 10) that are still related to upper crustal lithologies. However, all these anomalies are also present on the RTE map (Figure 3).

3.2. Curie Point Depth and Heat Flow

The CPD’s and depths to the bottom magnetic sources were determined using the methods of [4] where a 2D radial power spectra analysis of the upward continued RTE data was used (Figure 4(a)) utilizing a 50% overlapping of $30 \times 30$ km square blocks, as is illustrated in Figure 4(b). The study area was subdivided into twenty-one blocks. It is well known that the using of a small window width may produce an error in using the spectral methods in aeromagnetic interpretation [44]. Furthermore, it was showed that [12] window size is dependent on the depth to the bottom of a magnetic susceptibility source and that the window size should be two to three times the depths to be determined. Accordingly, the radial power spectra for different window widths were calculated, it is ranging...
from 10 to 55 km with a 5 km increase in window width and found that a 30 × 30 km window best delineated depths between 6 and 13 km. The 2D radial power spectrum (Figure 4(c)) of the upward continued RTE data for each window was then computed. Next, the long wavelengths of regional magnetic anomalies were removed using a first-order polynomial trend surface for each block, and the grids were expanded by 10% to lessen the edge effects of using the Fourier Transform in determining the power spectrum [45].

Following [4] [36] [37] and references therein, for each block, the depth to bottom of magnetic source in the present study is estimated in four main steps using [4] method:

1) compute the radially averaged power spectrum of the magnetic data;
2) Firstly, pick two points to determine the slope on first spectrum (black line) in order to estimate the depth to the top of the magnetic source (Zt);
Figure 4. (a) The RTE map (Figure 3) upward continued to 1 km above the Earth’s surface. The black and white numbers are anomalies that are discussed in the text. (b) The RTE map upward continued to 1 km above the Earth’s surface, illustrating some selected overlapping blocks. (c) Computed radially averaged power spectrum with frequency-scaled spectrum for block 1.
3) Afterwards, pick two points to determine the slope on the frequency-scaled spectrum (red line) to estimate the depth to the centroid of the magnetic source ($Z_c$);

4) Thus, deduce the depth to the bottom of the magnetic source ($Z_b$) using relation (1).

The results of the power spectrum analysis are shown in Table 1, on which the heat flow and geothermal gradient were deduced using relation (2) and (4).

The estimated depths to the centroid range from 4.06 km for block 13 to 9.74 km for block 9. However, the depths to the top of magnetic susceptibility sources ranged from 1.74 to 5.73 km. Hence, the depths to the bottom of the magnetic susceptibility sources range (which was interpreted to be the CPD) from a minimum depth of 6.36 km, to a maximum depth of 13.72 km. The spectrally determined depths reflect the average depths beneath each block [46]. The ranges of the estimated depths to the top boundary of the magnetic sources are well correlated with the other regional work in and surrounding the study area.

Table 1. Estimated CPD, geothermal gradients and heat flow for the 21 blocks in the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block number</th>
<th>Coordinates (UTM)</th>
<th>Depth to Top (km)</th>
<th>Depth to Centroid (km)</th>
<th>CPD (km)</th>
<th>Geothermal Gradient (˚C/km)</th>
<th>Heat Flow (mW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bloc1</td>
<td>429.771 1145.118</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>55.82</td>
<td>139.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc2</td>
<td>414.607 1145.118</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>144.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc3</td>
<td>399.326 1145.118</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>72.13</td>
<td>180.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc4</td>
<td>384.28 1145.118</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>91.05</td>
<td>227.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc5</td>
<td>429.653 1130.072</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>68.47</td>
<td>171.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc6</td>
<td>414.372 1130.307</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>60.35</td>
<td>150.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc7</td>
<td>399.326 1130.307</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>58.70</td>
<td>146.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc8</td>
<td>384.28 1130.307</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>67.67</td>
<td>169.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc9</td>
<td>384.516 1115.496</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>13.72</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>105.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc10</td>
<td>399.326 1115.496</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>42.87</td>
<td>107.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc11</td>
<td>349.722 1092.692</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>54.25</td>
<td>135.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc12</td>
<td>364.768 1092.692</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>49.23</td>
<td>123.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc13</td>
<td>379.579 1092.692</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>90.90</td>
<td>227.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc14</td>
<td>429.418 1120.433</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>63.66</td>
<td>159.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc15</td>
<td>414.372 1120.198</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>111.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc16</td>
<td>384.751 1092.692</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>54.46</td>
<td>136.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc17</td>
<td>389.923 1095.278</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>54.05</td>
<td>135.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc18</td>
<td>394.625 1100.685</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>50.21</td>
<td>125.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc19</td>
<td>379.814 1145.588</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>219.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc20</td>
<td>379.579 1130.542</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>42.77</td>
<td>106.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloc21</td>
<td>379.814 1115.731</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>62.70</td>
<td>156.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From seismic refraction studies, the maximum depth to the basement in the central sector of the WCARS is around 7 km [18].

Previous CPD studies [12] have shown that the CPD is related to the geological makeup of an area. The shallowest depth to the bottom of the magnetic susceptibility sources (CPD), ranging between 6.37 and 10.01 km, is obtained within the blocks 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 19 and 21. These blocks are located in different regions of the study area including the northern portion (Hina Marbak, Gawel and north of Moutouroua), the southeastern portion (Kaele), southern portion (Guider) and southwestern portion (Mayo Oulo). The majority of these areas (e.g., Hina Marbak, Gawel and north of Moutouroua) (Figure 1(a)) are located where Lower Cretaceous sediments outcrop. Numerous lineaments and shear zones are located in this region [15]. These shallow depths may be due to magmatic intrusions which could be related to the CVL, and the other shallow depths are likely due to the rifting related to the Benue Trough [48]. [47] showed that this region is mainly related to the CVL, although this region has been affected by a failed arm of the Benue Trough. The thermal effect of the igneous activity on the Cretaceous sedimentary basins and the basement complex, led to local thermal anomalies observed in the region [49]. [4] using Japan as an example showed that CPDs less than 10 km occur in volcanic and geothermal areas, between 15 - 25 km in island arcs, and deeper than 20 km in oceanic plateaus, while [12] showed that in Precambrian terrains these depths are greater than 15 km.

From our analysis, four shallows (depths less than 10.38 km) CPD regions: SCPD1, SCPD2, SCPD3 and SCPD4 can be identified. These regions might be related to elevated heat flow in the study area. SCPD1 is in the northwestern part of the study area and is located near the Hina-Gawel area where it trends in a northwest direction. SCPD2 is located in the eastern part of the study area and is located in the Kaele area, approximately trending north-south. SCPD3 is located in the southern portion of the study area near the Guider neighbourhoods where it trends approximately north-south. SCPD4 is located in the Mayo Oulo area and also approximately trends north-south. However, the shallower regions of SCPD1 and SCPD2 could also be considered as a separate shallow CPD regions as this region is split into two parts by a broad northeast trending of deeper CPD region centered at longitude 14°10'. This slightly deeper trend occurs over the mafic to intermediate maetagneous lithologies of the Mayo-Kebbi domain and the metavolcano-sedimentary units of the Poli-Lere Group (Figure 1(a)). This region also is the site of numerous thrust faults related to the collision tectonics of the Pan African belt and thus may be a region of thicker crust with lower heat flow values and may have been harder to rift during the formation of the Central African Rift system. The other shallow CPD regions are found in the SCPD3 and SCPD4 regions (Figure 5). These regions are adjacent to the inferred trend of the CVL and the elevated CPD may be related to magmatic activity within the CVL.
The deeper depths to the bottom of magnetic sources, ranging between 10.38 and 13.72 km, is found in the northeast portion of the study area (DCPD4, Mindif region) and in the southwest (DCPD3, Moutouroua, Guider, Bossoum). DCPD4 is within the Cretaceous sediments related to the Benue Trough but lies along trend to the deeper values mentioned above related to the thrust zone with the Mayo-Kebbi domain. DCPD3 is in a region of syntectonic granites and medium to high grades gneisses of the NW-Cameroon domain (Figure 1). Additionally, two other deeper regions (DCPD1 and DCPD2) are seen on Figure 5. This northwest trending zone occurs mainly over the Neoproterozoic gneisses of the NW-Cameroon zone (Figure 1) suggesting that the shallow regions to the northeast and southwest are related to small isolated geothermal anomalies. Recently, the studies done by [50] in Mindif-Kaele area revealed potential geothermal reservoirs at Djangal and Moundjou, located in northeastern portion of the study area (SCPD1, Mindif neighbourhood), which is corroborated by the
CPD regions. The shallow CPDs in regions SCPD4 and SCPD3 (Figure 5) can be associated with regions that have been extended in the Mesozoic during the formation of the WCARS which formed the Benue Trough. Regions SCPD1 and SCPD2 are not so readily interpretable as these regions occur over Quaternary sediments and Proterozoic units (Figure 1). Seismic S-wave tomographic images locate these regions over low velocity zones indicating that these shallow regions may be related to magma in the subsurface. However, the S-wave tomographic images were constructed by 25 broadband stations covering the entire country of Cameroon [25] and do not have the resolution to image small-scale differences in the S-wave velocity structure. The S-wave tomographic results [25] indicate that the main zone of the CVL is underlain by deep low velocity zones, but in northern Cameroon the low velocity zones are of a smaller magnitude and cover isolated areas. The most prominent low velocity region, which occurs over the Cretaceous sediments, is correlated to shallow CPD regions SCPD3 and SCPD4. Additionally, the P-wave velocity images, which are less sensitive to partially melted material, indicate similar but more subtle trends than the S-wave results. The P-wave images also indicate small amplitude low velocity regions under our shallow CDP regions SCPD1 and SCPD2. This lack of correlation may be due to other factors other than thermal variations such as the wide spacing of the broadband stations, or that the northern low P-wave velocity zones are more indicative lithological variations at depth than the S-wave results. In fact, the shallow CDP regions seen in Figure 5 may represent regions of potentially higher magmatic and/or geothermal activity that cannot be imaged by widely spaced broadband seismic experiments. To determine that cause of our shallow depths, more detailed crustal scale seismic studies in the form of seismic refraction or closely spaced broadband stations, and/or magnetotelluric studies are needed to confirm if these regions are indeed related to geothermal activity. In contrast, the deeper CPD regions (DCPD1-4) may be related to thicker crust [51] or are regions with less Quaternary magmatic activity. The CPD depths occur in general trends which are consistent with the prevailing tectonic environment, where a series of NNW to NW-trending extensional basins occur, caused by the strain of NE to ENE trending strike-slip faults coming from the Gulf of Guinea [22].

The determination of the surface heat flow requires a CPD and a thermal conductivity of the most common lithologies in the region. For the thermal conductivity, 2.5 W·m⁻¹·˚C⁻¹ was used based on the average thermal conductivity values for Proterozoic lithologies [52]. Using the CPDs from Table 1 and a Curie isothermal point of 580˚C, a geothermal gradient was calculated. The heat flow values were then determined by multiplying the geothermal gradient by the thermal conductivity using equation 8. The lowest heat flow value (105.68 mW/m²) was obtained for block 9 and the highest heat flow value (227.63 mW/m²) was obtained for block 4 (Table 1). The heat flow values are all greater than 100 mW/m² and worldwide heat flow values average between 80 - 100
mW/m². Thus, our heat flow values indicate that northern Cameroon has geothermal anomalous conditions [53]. In particular, the regions with heat flow values greater than 180 mW/m² are indicative of regions with geothermal potential. The region with the greatest geothermal potential is found in the northern portions of the study area, particularly in the neighbourhood of Hina Marbak where the heat flow value was the highest. The study area exhibits two main potential geothermal areas which are characterized by low and high heat flow. The high heat flow values are concentrated around the Hina-Gawel, Kaele, Guider and Mayo Oulo areas (Figure 5). The results also show that shallow depths and the attendant high heat flow values may be related to the effects of large-scale tectonic events. In northern Cameroon, the most likely magma rising event is the formation of the volcanic material in the CVL that has risen along the northeast-trending strike-slip faults. The known volcanoes occur just to the south of the study area [24] but a recent broadband seismic study indicates that potentially partially melted material may exist in isolated areas in northern Cameroon [31]. Heat flow studies in other regions have shown that the heat flow in sedimentary basins the amplitude of the temperature effect is larger for thermal events within the basin (intrusions or diapirism) [54] and in Proterozoic metamorphic and igneous terranes where the lithologies have an average higher thermal conductivities [55]. This same reasoning applies that high heat flow found in northern Cameroon may be indicative of wide scale thermal events. Potential regions for geothermal exploration are regions characterized by high heat flow, high temperature gradient, and therefore shallow depths to the bottom of magnetic susceptibility sources [56].

Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b) show the variations of the geothermal gradient and heat flow with the calculated CPDs, respectively. The geothermal gradient and heat flow decrease significantly with the deeper depths to the CPD. The results obtained also indicate that the geothermal gradients in this study area vary between 42.27 and 91.05°C/km; with an atypical maximum of 91.05°C/km stands out in the in the neighbourhood of Hina Marbak.

4. Conclusion

Aeromagnetic data from northern Cameroon were investigated in order to determine the Curie point depth (CPD) and to aid in assessing the geothermal potential of the region. The CPDs were estimated using a 2D radially averaged power spectral method using 30 × 30 km blocks of upward continued aeromagnetic data. The estimated CPDs indicate that depths vary from 6.37 to 13.72 km. The results suggest that there are four regions of shallow CPDs with two regions in northern part of the study area and one in the southeast and one in the southwest portions of the study area. The southern shallow CPD regions correspond to a region of Cretaceous sediment formed by West-Central African Rift System and are along the northern extent of the still active Cameroon Volcanic Line which occurs above low S-wave velocity regions imaged by broadband
Figure 6. (a) The variation of geothermal gradient with the estimated Curie point depth. (b) The variation of heat flow with the estimated Curie point depth.

Seismic studies. The northern shallow CPD zones occur over Proterozoic metamorphic and igneous lithologies but also occur above low P-wave velocity regions. Using the CPD and average thermal conductivity values, heat flow values ranged from 227.63 to 105.68 mW/m², which are considered above average global heat flow values. The regional trends observed in CPDs are thought to be related to magmatic activity associated with the Cameroon Volcanic Line and possibly to the strain being released by the northeast-trending strike-slip motions associated with the Benue Trough. Overall, the most important information’s provided from this study, is existence of potential geothermal energy resources in terms as subsurface hot spots or geothermal reservoir, which could be a powerful source of renewable energy. On other hand, the estimation of the CPD values could be useful tool to explain hydrothermal activity or geodynamic processes in the study area, delineate zones with a favourable geothermal system.
More details of geophysical investigations should determine further the geothermal potential of these high flow areas. These supplementary data may allow to accurately predict the economic strategies for exploitation of this potential geothermal energy resource in aiming to support the Gov’t energy policies in the area.
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