

On the Number of Idempotent Partial Contraction Mappings of a Finite Chain

Oladapo Adekunle Ojo¹, Fatma Salim Ali Al-Kharousi², Abdullahi Umar³

¹Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology, Igboora, Oyo State, Nigeria

²Department of Mathematics, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khod, Muscat, Oman

³Department of Mathematics, Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Sas Al-Nakhl, Abu Dhabi, U. A. E.

Email: ojooladapoade@yahoo.com, fatma9@squ.edu.om, abdullahi.umar@ku.ac.ae

How to cite this paper: Ojo, O.A., Al-Kharousi, F.S.A. and Umar, A. (2021) On the Number of Idempotent Partial Contraction Mappings of a Finite Chain. *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 11, 94-101.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojdm.2021.113007>

Received: March 4, 2021

Accepted: July 10, 2021

Published: July 13, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Let \mathcal{P}_n be the partial symmetric semigroup on $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let \mathcal{OCP}_n and \mathcal{ODCP}_n be its subsemigroups of order-preserving contractions and order-preserving, order-decreasing contractions mappings of X_n , respectively. In this paper we investigate the cardinalities of $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ and $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$, the set idempotents of \mathcal{OCP}_n and \mathcal{ODCP}_n , respectively. We also investigate the cardinalities of certain equivalences on $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ and $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$.

Keywords

Height, Right (Left) Waist and Fix of a Transformation, Idempotents

1. Introduction

Let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A (partial) transformation $\alpha : \text{Dom}\alpha \subseteq X_n \rightarrow \text{Im}\alpha \subseteq X_n$ is said to be *full* or *total* if $\text{Dom}\alpha = X_n$; otherwise it is called *strictly* partial. The set of partial transformations of X_n , denoted by \mathcal{P}_n , more commonly known as the *partial transformation semigroup* is also known as the *partial symmetric semigroup or monoid* with *composition of mappings* as the semigroup operation. Similarly, the set of full (or total) transformations of X_n , denoted by \mathcal{T}_n , more commonly known as the *full transformation semigroup* is also known as the *(full) symmetric semigroup or monoid*.

We shall write the image of x under α as $(x)\alpha$ (or simply $x\alpha$) instead of $\alpha(x)$. This is called the *right-hand* notation and it has the advantage that composition of maps is read from left to right, that is, $(x)\alpha\beta = ((x)\alpha)\beta$. Further, a transformation $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is said to be *order-preserving* (*order-reversing*) if

$(\forall x, y \in \text{Dom}\alpha) \quad x \leq y \Rightarrow x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ ($x\alpha \geq y\alpha$) and, a *contraction mapping* (or simply a *contraction*) if $(\forall x, y \in \text{Dom}\alpha) \quad |x - y| \geq |x\alpha - y\alpha|$. We shall denote by \mathcal{OCP}_n and \mathcal{ODCP}_n , the semigroups of order-preserving partial contractions and of order-preserving, order-decreasing partial contractions of X_n , respectively.

Recently, Zhao and Yang [1] initiated the algebraic study of semigroups of order-preserving partial contractions of X_n , where they referred to our contractions as *compressions*. A general systematic studied of various semigroups of contraction mappings of a finite chain was initiated in the papers [2] [3] [4] [5]. While the papers [2] [4] [5] investigated algebraic properties of various semigroups of contraction mappings of a finite chain, Adeshola and Umar [3] investigated combinatorial properties of the semigroups of order-preserving full contraction mappings, \mathcal{OCT}_n and its subsemigroup of order-decreasing full contraction mappings, \mathcal{ODCT}_n . This paper investigates the combinatorial properties of the set of idempotents of \mathcal{OCP}_n and \mathcal{ODCP}_n and of certain natural equivalences on them.

An element e in a semigroup S , is said to be an *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. Every finite semigroup contains an idempotent and in a group there is only one idempotent, namely the identity. Semigroups which contain a “sufficient” supply of idempotents (for example, *regular*, *abundant*, etc.) have been the object of study by many semigroup theorists largely due to the role idempotents play in determining the structure of such semigroups. Counting the number of idempotents in a semigroup has attracted the attention of several authors, see for example [3] [6]-[17], which is by no means a complete list. For a detailed account on combinatorial enumeration problems in transformation semigroup theory we refer the reader to Umar [17]. It is fairly obvious that the number of full and partial transformation idempotents of X_n denoted by $|E(\mathcal{T}_n)|$ and $|E(\mathcal{P}_n)|$ are, respectively,

$$|E(\mathcal{T}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} p^{n-p} \quad [14] \quad \text{and} \quad |E(\mathcal{P}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} (p+1)^{n-p} \quad [18].$$

However, at least two non-trivial cases are worth mentioning. In an elegant paper in 1971, Howie [10] showed that the number of full order-preserving idempotents denoted by $|E(\mathcal{O}_n)|$ is

$$|E(\mathcal{O}_n)| = f_{2n},$$

where f_m denotes the m th Fibonacci number, defined recursively for $m > 2$ by

$$f_1 = f_2 = 1, \quad f_m = f_{m-1} + f_{m-2}.$$

More recently, Adeshola and Umar [3] showed that the number of full order-preserving contraction idempotents denoted by $|E(\mathcal{OCT}_n)|$ is

$$|E(\mathcal{OCT}_n)| = n(n+1)/2 = \binom{n+1}{2}.$$

We conclude this section with a breakdown of our investigation section by section. In Section 2 we obtain the cardinalities of various equivalence classes defined on $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$. In Section 3 we obtain the analogues of the results from Section 2 for $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$. These cardinalities lead to formulae for the orders of $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ and $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$ as well as new triangles of numbers which are as at the time of submitting this paper not yet recorded in [19].

For standard concepts in semigroup and transformation semigroup theory, see for example [8] [9]. In particular, the set of idempotents of a semigroup S is denoted by $E(S)$.

2. The Semigroup \mathcal{OCP}_n

Our approach is essentially that of [20]. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n$ define

$F(\alpha) = \{x \in [n] : x\alpha = x\}$ as the set of fixed points of α , and let

- $e_n = |E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)|$,
- $\beta_n = |\{\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) : F(\alpha) = \emptyset\}|$,
- $u_n = |\{\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) : \{1\} \subseteq F(\alpha)\}|$,
- $v_n = |\{\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) : F(\alpha) = \{1\}\}| = |\{\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) : F(\alpha) = \{n\}\}|$,
- $a_n = |\{\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) : \{1, n\} \subseteq F(\alpha)\}|$,
- $\gamma_n = |\{\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) : F(\alpha) = \{1, n\}\}|$.

Then we have the following results:

Lemma 2.1. For $n \geq 1$, $\gamma_n = 1$, and $\gamma_0 = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. For $n \geq 2$, $a_n = 2^{n-2}$, $a_1 = 1$ and $a_0 = 0$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ be such that $\{1, n\} \subseteq F(\alpha)$. It is clear that for all $x \in X_n \setminus \{1, n\}$ either $x \in \text{Dom}\alpha$ or $x \notin \text{Dom}\alpha$. In the former, we must have $x\alpha = x$ and there are $n-2$ choices for x . Thus each x has two degrees of freedom. The result is clear when $n = 1$. □

Lemma 2.3. For $n \geq 1$, $v_n = 2^{n-1}$, and $v_0 = 0$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ be such that $\{1\} = F(\alpha) = \text{Im}\alpha$. Then for all $x \in \text{Dom}\alpha$, we must have $x\alpha = 1$, and again, each with 2 degrees of freedom. □

Next, we state and prove an analogue of ([20], Lemma 4) which will be useful in what follows.

Lemma 2.4. For $n \geq 2$, $u_n = v_n + \gamma_2 u_{n-1} + \dots + \gamma_n u_1$.

Proof. $u_n - v_n$ is the number of maps $\alpha \in \mathcal{OCP}_n$ with $1\alpha = 1$ and $i\alpha = i$ for some $i > 1$: if r is the smallest integer greater than 1 such that $r\alpha = r$; then clearly the number of such maps α is $\sum_{r=2}^n \gamma_r u_{n-r+1}$, as required. □

This leads to the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5. For $n \geq 1$, $u_n = 2^{n-2}(n+1)$, and $u_0 = 0$.

Proof. Substituting γ_n and v_n with 1 and 2^{n-1} , respectively into Lemma 2.4 we get

$$u_n = 2^{n-1} + u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \dots + u_1 \tag{1}$$

Replacing n by $n-1$ we get

$$u_{n-1} = 2^{n-2} + u_{n-2} + u_{n-3} + \dots + u_1 \tag{2}$$

Subtracting (2) from (1) we get

$$u_n = 2^{n-2} + 2u_{n-1},$$

which implies (by iteration)

$$u_n = 2^{n-2}(n+1),$$

as required. □

We also have

Lemma 2.6. For $n \geq 0$, $\beta_n = 1$.

Next, we state and prove an analogue of ([20], Lemma 5) which will be useful in what follows.

Lemma 2.7. For $n \geq 2$, $e_n = \beta_n + v_1u_{n-1} + v_2u_{n-2} + \dots + v_nu_1$.

Proof. The nilpotents of \mathcal{OCP}_n are precisely those that do not have fixed points (see [21]), hence $e_n - \beta_n$ is the number of maps $\alpha \in \mathcal{OCP}_n$ with at least one fixed point. If $u_{n,r}$ is the number of such maps α with r as the smallest fixed point, then $u_{n,r} = v_r u_{n-r+1}$. Hence $e_n - \beta_n = \sum_{r=1}^n v_r u_{n-r+1}$. The result now follows. □

Now we are ready to state and prove one of the two main results of this section.

Theorem 2.8. For $n \geq 0$, $e_n = 1 + 2^{n-3}n(n+3)$.

Proof. Using Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 & 2.7 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &= 1 + 2^0 \times 2^{n-2}(n+1) + 2^1 \times 2^{n-3}n + 2^2 \times 2^{n-4}(n-1) + \dots \\ &\quad + 2^{n-2} \times 2^0 \times 3 + 2^{n-1} \times 2^{-1} \times 2 \\ &= 1 + 2^{n-2}[(n+1) + n + (n-1) + \dots + 3 + 2 + 1 - 1] \\ &= 1 + 2^{n-2}[(n+1)(n+2)/2 - 1] \\ &= 1 + 2^{n-3}n(n+3), \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Now we let $e(x)$ be the (ordinary) generating functions of the sequence e_n above. The proof of the next result is routine using Theorem 2.8 above.

Theorem 2.9. $e(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n x^n = \frac{1-5x+10x^2-6x^3}{(1-x)(1-2x)^3} = \frac{(1-x)(1-4x+6x^2)}{(1-x)(1-2x)^3}$.

As in Umar [17], for natural numbers $n \geq k \geq p \geq 0$ we define

$$F_{pk}(n; p, k) = F(n; p, k) = \left| \left\{ \alpha \in S : h(\alpha) = |\text{Im } \alpha| = p, w^+(\alpha) = k \right\} \right|, \tag{3}$$

$$F_p(n; p) = F(n; p) = \left| \left\{ \alpha \in S : h(\alpha) = |\text{Im } \alpha| = p \right\} \right|, \tag{4}$$

$$F_k(n; k) = F(n; k) = \left| \left\{ \alpha \in S : w^+(\alpha) = k \right\} \right|.$$

Then we have

Proposition 2.10. Let $S = E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$. Then $F_{pk}(n; 1, k) = 2^{n-1}$ and

$$F(n; p, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{k-p+1} \binom{k-t+1}{p-2} 2^{n-(k-t+1)}, (n \geq k \geq p \geq 2).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ be such that $h(\alpha) = |\text{Im } \alpha| = p$ and $w^+(\alpha) = k$.

First, notice that if $p=1$ then $\{k\} = F(\alpha) = \text{Im } \alpha$, and so $k\alpha = k$. Now for all $x \in [n] \setminus \{k\}$ there are two degrees of freedom: $x \in \text{Dom } \alpha$ and $x\alpha = k$; or $x \notin \text{Dom } \alpha$. Hence $F_{pk}(n; 1, k) = 2^{n-1}$.

Next, let $t = \min(\text{Im } \alpha)$ then $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-p+1\}$. Next, note that we can choose the remaining $p-2$ elements of $F(\alpha)$ in $\binom{k-t+1}{p-2}$ ways, since $t, k \in \text{Im } \alpha = F(\alpha) \subseteq \text{Dom } \alpha$. Similarly, the remaining elements of $\text{Dom } \alpha$ can be chosen from $\{1, 2, \dots, t-1\} \cup \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$ in $2^{t-1} \cdot 2^{n-k} = 2^{n-(k-t+1)}$ ways, as each has two degrees of freedom. Now taking the sum over the range of t yields the required result. \square

Immediately, we deduce the following

Corollary 2.11. Let $S = E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$. Then $F_k(n; 0) = 1$ and

$$F(n; k) = (k+1)2^{n-2}, (n \geq k \geq 1).$$

Corollary 2.12. Let $S = E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$. Then $F_p(n; 0) = 1$, $F_p(n; 1) = n2^{n-1}$ and

$$F(n; p) = \sum_{k=p}^n \sum_{t=1}^{k-p+1} \binom{k-t+1}{p-2} 2^{n-(k-t+1)}, (n \geq p \geq 2).$$

Finally, notice that we may recover Theorem 2.8 from either of the two corollaries above. For some selected values of $F(n; k)$ and $F(n; p)$ in $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$ see **Table 1** and **Table 2** below:

Table 1. Some selected values of $F(n; k)$ in $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$.

$n \backslash k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\sum_{k=0}^n F(n; k) = E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) $
0	1							1
1	1	1						2
2	1	2	3					6
3	1	4	6	8				19
4	1	8	12	16	20			57
5	1	16	24	32	40	48		161
6	1	32	48	64	80	96	112	433

Table 2. Some selected values of $F(n; p)$ in $E(\mathcal{OCP}_n)$.

$n \backslash p$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\sum_{p=0}^n F(n; p) = E(\mathcal{OCP}_n) $
0	1							1
1	1	1						2
2	1	4	1					6
3	1	12	5	1				19
4	1	32	17	6	1			57
5	1	80	49	23	7	1		161
6	1	192	129	72	30	8	1	433

3. The Semigroup \mathcal{ODCP}_n

Let

- $d_n = |E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)|$,
- $z_n = \left| \left\{ \alpha \in E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n) : F(\alpha) = \{n\} \right\} \right|$.

Then observe that Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5 & 2.6 are all valid if we replace \mathcal{OCP}_n with \mathcal{ODCP}_n . Moreover, in contrast to Lemma 2.3 we have

Lemma 3.1. For $n \geq 1$, $z_n = 1$.

To prove the main result of this section, the following lemma (which can be proved by induction) will be needed:

Lemma 3.2. For $n \geq 1$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n i2^{n-i} = 2^{n+1} - (n+2)$.

An analogue of Lemma 2.7 will also be needed.

Lemma 3.3. For $n \geq 2$, $d_n = \beta_n + z_1 u_{n-1} + z_2 u_{n-2} + \dots + z_n u_1$.

Thus we can now state and prove one of the main results of this section.

Theorem 3.4. For $n \geq 0$, $d_n = 1 + n2^{n-1}$.

Proof. Using Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, 3.1 & 3.3 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= 1 + 2^{n-2}(n+1) + 2^{n-3}n + 2^{n-4}(n-1) + \dots + 2^0[n - (n-3)] + 1 \\ &= 2 + n[2^{n-2} + 2^{n-3} + \dots + 2^0] + 2^{n-2} \\ &\quad - [2^{n-4} - 2 \times 2^{n-5} - 3 \times 2^{n-6} - \dots - (n-3) \times 2^0] \\ &= 2 + n(2^{n-1} - 1) + 2^{n-2} - [2^{n-2} - (n-1)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2}) \\ &= 1 + n2^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Now we let $d(x)$ be the (ordinary) generating functions of the corresponding sequence above. Then we have the following result whose proof is routine using Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. $d(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n x^n = \frac{1-3x+3x^2}{(1-x)(1-2x)^2} = \frac{(1-x)^3 + x^3}{(1-x)(1-2x)^2}$.

As in the previous section we obtain expressions for the following combinatorial functions.

Proposition 3.6. Let $S = E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$. Then

$$F(n; p, k) = \binom{k-1}{p-1} 2^{n-k}, (n \geq k \geq p \geq 0).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$ be such that $h(\alpha) = |\text{Im } \alpha| = p$ and $w^+(\alpha) = k$. First, note that we can choose the remaining $p-1$ elements of $F(\alpha)$ in $\binom{k-1}{p-1}$

ways, since $k \in \text{Im } \alpha = F(\alpha) \subseteq \text{Dom } \alpha$. Similarly, the remaining elements of $\text{Dom } \alpha$ can be chosen from $[n] \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ in 2^{n-k} ways, as each has two degrees of freedom: $x\alpha = k$ or $x \notin \text{Dom } \alpha$. □

Immediately, we deduce the following

Corollary 3.7. Let $S = E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$. Then $F_k(n; 0) = 1$ and $F(n; k) = 2^{n-1}, (n \geq k \geq 1)$.

Corollary 3.8. Let $S = E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$. Then $F_p(n;0) = 1$ and $F(n;p) = \sum_{k=p}^n \binom{k-1}{p-1} 2^{n-k}, (n \geq p \geq 1)$.

Finally, notice that we may recover Theorem 3.4 from either of the two corollaries above. For some selected values of $F(n;k)$ and $F(n;p)$ in $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$ see **Table 3** and **Table 4** below:

Table 3. Some selected values of $F(n;k)$ in $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$.

$n \backslash k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\sum_{k=0}^n F(n;k) = E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n) $
0	1							1
1	1	1						2
2	1	2	2					5
3	1	4	4	4				13
4	1	8	8	8	8			33
5	1	16	16	16	16	16		81
6	1	32	32	32	32	32	32	193

Table 4. Some selected values of $F(n;p)$ in $E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n)$.

$n \backslash p$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\sum_{p=0}^n F(n;p) = E(\mathcal{ODCP}_n) $
0	1							1
1	1	1						2
2	1	3	1					5
3	1	7	4	1				13
4	1	15	11	5	1			33
5	1	31	26	16	6	1		81
6	1	63	57	42	22	7	1	193

Remark 3.9. The sequence d_n , is recorded (in [19]) as A005183.

Support

Financial support from TRC Grant No: RC/SCI/DOMS/13/01 is gratefully acknowledged.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Zhao, P. and Yang, M. (2012) Regularity and Green’s Relations on Semigroups of Transformations Preserving Order and Compression. *Bulletin of the Korean Ma-*

- thematical Society*, **49**, 1015-1025. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2012.49.5.1015>
- [2] Ali, B., Umar, A. and Zubairu, M.M. (2018) Regularity and Green's Relations on the Semigroup of Partial Contractions of a Finite Chain.
- [3] Adeshola, A.D. and Umar, A. (2018) Combinatorial Results for Certain Semigroups of Order-Preserving Full Contraction Mappings of a Finite Chain. *JCMCC*, **106**, 37-49.
- [4] Ali, B., Umar, A. and Zubairu, M.M. (2018) Regularity and Green's Relations on the Semigroup of Partial and Full Contractions of a Finite Chain.
- [5] Umar, A. and Zubairu, M.M. (2018) On Certain Semigroups of Partial Contractions of a Finite Chain.
- [6] Borwein, D., Rankin, S. and Renner, L. (1989) Enumeration of Injective Partial Transformations. *Discrete Mathematics*, **73**, 291-296.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(89\)90272-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(89)90272-0)
- [7] Clifford, A.H. and Preston, G.B. (1961) *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- [8] Ganyushkin, O. and Mazorchuk, V. (2009) *Classical Finite Transformation Semigroups: An Introduction*. Springer, London. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84800-281-4>
- [9] Howie, J.M. (1995) *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [10] Howie, J.M. (1971) Products of Idempotents in Certain Semigroups of Transformations. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, **17**, 223-236.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091500026936>
- [11] Laradji, A. and Umar, A. (2004) Combinatorial Results for Semigroups of Order-Preserving Partial Transformations. *Journal of Algebra*, **278**, 342-359.
- [12] Laradji, A. and Umar, A. (2004) Combinatorial Results for Semigroups of Order-Decreasing Partial Transformations. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, **7**, Article 04.3.8.
- [13] Laradji, A. and Umar, A. (2006) Combinatorial Results for Semigroups of Order-Preserving Full Transformations. *Semigroup Forum*, **72**, 51-62.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-005-0553-6>
- [14] Tainiter, T. (1968) A Characterization of Idempotents in Semigroups. *The Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, **5**, 370-373.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9800\(68\)80012-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9800(68)80012-2)
- [15] Umar, A. (1992) On the Semigroups of Order-Decreasing Finite Full Transformations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A*, **120**, 129-142.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500015031>
- [16] Umar, A. (1998) Enumeration of Certain Finite Semigroups of Transformations. *Discrete Mathematics*, **89**, 291-297.
- [17] Umar, A. (2014) Some Combinatorial Problems in the Theory of Partial Transformation Semigroups. *Algebra Discrete Mathematics*, **17**, 110-134.
- [18] Garba, G.U. (1990) Idempotents in Partial Transformation Semigroups. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A*, **116**, 359-366.
- [19] Sloane, N.J.A. (2011) The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences.
<https://oeis.org>
- [20] Laradji, A. (2019) On Order-Preserving Partial Transformations with Prescribed Number of Fixed Points. Technical Report No. 298, (June) Department of Mathematical Sciences, KFUPM, Dhahran.
- [21] Garba, G.U. (1994) Nilpotents in Semigroups of Partial Order-Preserving Transformations. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, **37**, 361-377.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S001309150001885X>