

Partitioning of Any Infinite Set with the Aid of Non-Surjective Injective Maps and the Study of a Remarkable Semigroup

Charif Harrafa 

Ecole Hassania des Travaux Publics, Casablanca, Morocco

Email: charifeva@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Harrafa, C. (2020) Partitioning of Any Infinite Set with the Aid of Non-Surjective Injective Maps and the Study of a Remarkable Semigroup. *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 10, 74-88.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojdm.2020.103008>

Received: April 21, 2020

Accepted: July 6, 2020

Published: July 9, 2020

Copyright © 2020 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this article, we will present a particularly remarkable partitioning method of any infinite set with the aid of *non-surjective injective* maps. The non-surjective injective maps from an infinite set to itself constitute a semigroup for the *law of composition* bundled with certain properties allowing us to prove the existence of remarkable elements. Not to mention a compatible equivalence relation that allows transferring the *said law* to the quotient set, which can be provided with a lattice structure. Finally, we will present the concept of *Co-injectivity* and some of its properties.

Keywords

Partitioning, Non-Surjective, Injective, Infinite Set, Fixed Points, Lattice Structure

1. Introduction

The concept of *map* in mathematics has a primordial role in understanding the links that exist between the different mathematical fields and structures. A map is binary relation over two sets that associates to every element of the first set *exactly one* element of the second set, sometimes with a specific property. For instance, a “map” is a “linear transformation” in linear algebra, a “continuous function” in topology, operators in analysis and representations in group theory, etc. In this article we will show how non-surjective injective maps allow to partitioning an infinite set in several ways.

2. Part I

Proposition 1

Let E , and F be non-empty sets. If f, g are two *non-surjective injective* maps

from E to F and from F to E respectively, then:

- $(A, f(B), I_{f \circ g})$ forms a partition of F .
- $(B, g(A), I_{g \circ f})$ forms a partition of E .

where,

$$A = \{y \in F \mid \forall x \in E, f(x) \neq y\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{x \in E \mid \forall y \in F, g(y) \neq x\}$$

and I_f, I_g representing the image sets under f, g respectively.

Proof

Let E and F be two non-empty sets, and let f and g be two non-surjective injective maps from E to F , and from F to E respectively. Since f and g are non-surjective, then the following sets:

$A = \{y \in F \mid \forall x \in E, f(x) \neq y\}$ and $B = \{x \in E \mid \forall y \in F, g(y) \neq x\}$ are non-empty.

Also, obviously $E = B \cup I_g$ such as $B \cap I_g = \emptyset$ as follows from the definition of I_g .

For any such map f from E to F :

$$I_f = f(E) = f(B \cup I_g) = f(B) \cup f(I_g) = f(B) \cup I_{f \circ g}$$

So $F = A \cup f(B) \cup I_{f \circ g}$

Since f is an injective map then:

$$f(B \cap I_g) = f(B) \cap I_{f \circ g} = f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$

Therefore, $(A, f(B), I_{f \circ g})$ forms a partition of F .

In analogy to the map g from F to E , $(B, g(A), I_{g \circ f})$ forms a partition of E .

Note 1

This process could be applied repeatedly, and for each iteration, finer partitions of the sets E and F respectively will be obtained, e.g. after 2nd iteration we have:

- $E = B \cup g(A) \cup (g \circ f)(B) \cup I_{g \circ f \circ g}$
- $F = A \cup f(B) \cup (f \circ g)(A) \cup I_{f \circ g \circ f}$

In case that f and g are (two) (2) *different* non-surjective injective maps from an infinite set E to itself, we can compose either by f or by g , or by both indefinitely. Thus several *partition classes* of E can be obtained, for example after a second (2nd) iteration

- $E = A_f \cup f(A_f) \cup f^2(A_f) \cup I_{f^3}$
- $E = A_g \cup g(A_g) \cup g^2(A_g) \cup I_{g^3}$
- $E = A_f \cup f(A_g) \cup (f \circ g)(A_f) \cup I_{f \circ g \circ f}$
- $E = A_g \cup g(A_f) \cup (g \circ f)(A_g) \cup I_{g \circ f \circ g}$
- $E = A_f \cup f(A_g) \cup (f \circ g)(A_g) \cup I_{f \circ g^2}$
- $E = A_g \cup g(A_f) \cup (g \circ f)(A_f) \cup I_{g \circ f^2}$

where,

$$A_f = \{y \in F \mid \forall x \in E, f(x) \neq y\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_g = \{x \in E \mid \forall y \in F, g(y) \neq x\}$$

Example 1

If $E = F = \mathbb{N}$ i.e. the set of natural numbers, f and g are two maps from \mathbb{N} to itself (i.e. f and g are non-surjective injective) and defined by:

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n) = 2n$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, g(n) = 2n + 1$

Knowing that $A_f = 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ and $A_g = 2\mathbb{N}$ then:

- $f(A_g) = 4\mathbb{N}$
- $g(A_f) = 4\mathbb{N} + 3$
- $(f \circ g)(A_f) = 8\mathbb{N} + 6$
- $(g \circ f)(A_g) = 8\mathbb{N} + 1$
- $(f \circ g \circ f)(\mathbb{N}) = 8\mathbb{N} + 2$
- $(g \circ f \circ g)(\mathbb{N}) = 8\mathbb{N} + 5$

Therefore, we can partition the set \mathbb{N} to the second (2nd) order by f and g such as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} &= (2\mathbb{N} + 1) \cup (4\mathbb{N}) \cup (8\mathbb{N} + 6) \cup (8\mathbb{N} + 2) \\ \mathbb{N} &= (2\mathbb{N}) \cup (4\mathbb{N} + 3) \cup (8\mathbb{N} + 1) \cup (8\mathbb{N} + 5) \end{aligned}$$

2.1. Remarkable Partition

Proposition 2

Let E be an infinite set, \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers, and f be a non-surjective injective map from E to itself, such that:

$$A_f = \{y \in E \mid \forall x \in E, f(x) \neq y\}$$

Then,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, E = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+1}}$$

where, $f^i(A_f) = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_{i \text{ times}}(A_f)$

Proof

By induction:

For $n = 0$, we have, by definition $E = A_f \cup I_f$, and for $n = 1$ the proposition 1 states that, if $E = F$ and $f = g$ then, $E = A_f \cup f(A_f) \cup I_{f^2}$. Suppose that the said property is true for n . Then, by composing by f , we get:

$$I_f = f(E) = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^{i+1}(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+2}} = \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n+1} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+2}}$$

Then,

$$E = A_f \cup \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n+1} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+2}} = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n+1} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+2}}$$

Therefore,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, E = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+1}}$$

Note 2

For all non-surjective injective maps f from an infinite set E to itself,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, A_{f^{n+1}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^i(A_f)$$

Definition 1

Let f be a map from no-empty set E to itself,

- $x \in E$ is a fixed point of f if, $f(x) = x$.
- $A \subset E$ is a fixed point set of f if, $f(A) = A$.

Proposition 3

For all non-surjective injective maps f from an infinite set E to itself, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^n(A_f)$ contains no fixed points of f .

Proof

By induction:

For $n=0$, let $x \in A_f$, and by definition $\forall y \in E$, $f(y) \neq x$, particularly for $y=x$ so $f(x) \neq x$ then A_f contains no fixed points of f . Suppose that the aforementioned property is true for n , let $x \in f^{n+1}(A_f) = f(f^n(A_f))$, then $\exists y \in f^n(A_f)$ such that $x = f(y)$, we have $f(y) \neq y$ (by inductive hypothesis), since f is injective then $f(f(y)) \neq f(y)$ so $f(x) \neq x$, then $\forall x \in f^{n+1}(A_f)$, $f(x) \neq x$. Therefore, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^n(A_f)$ contains no fixed points of f .

Note 3

Let E be an infinite set, and f be a non-surjective injective map from E to itself, we define the following:

$$Fix_f = \{x \in E \mid f(x) = x\} \text{ and } St_f(E) = \{A \subset E \mid f(A) = A\}$$

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, Fix_f \cap f^n(A_f) = \emptyset$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, Fix_f \subseteq Fix_{f^n}$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, Fix_{f^n} \subseteq Fix_{f^{2n}}$
- $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^p(Fix_{f^n}) = Fix_{f^n}$
- For all f non-surjective injective maps from an infinite set E to itself,

$$Fix_f \in St_f(E)$$

Proposition 4

For all f non-surjective injective maps from E to itself,

$$\forall A \in St_f(E), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f^n(A_f) \cap A = \emptyset$$

Proof

Let $A \in St_f(E)$, by induction, For $n=0$, we have, by definition $A_f \cap I_f = \emptyset$ then $A_f \cap A = A_f \cap f(A) = \emptyset$. Suppose that the said property is true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x \in f^{n+1}(A_f) \cap A$, then, $\exists y \in f^n(A_f), x = f(y)$ and $\exists y_0 \in A, x = f(y_0)$. Since f is injective so $y = y_0 \in f^n(A_f) \cap A$, which contradicts the inductive hypothesis. Then,

$$f^{n+1}(A_f) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, $\forall A \in St_f(E), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f^n(A_f) \cap A = \emptyset$

Lemma 1 (Generalization)

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall A \in St_{f^p}(E), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f^n(A_f) \cap A = \emptyset$$

Proof

By complete (strong) induction,

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $A \in St_{f^p}(E) = \{A \subset E \mid f^p(A) = A\}$: For $n = 0$, we have, by definition $A_f \cap I_f = \emptyset$, since $\exists p \in \mathbb{N}^*, I_{f^p} \subseteq I_f$, then:

$$A_f \cap A = A_f \cap f^p(A) = \emptyset$$

Suppose that the said property is true for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $x \in f^{n+1}(A_f) \cap A$, then, $\exists y \in A_f, x = f^{n+1}(y)$ and $\exists y_0 \in A, x = f^p(y_0)$. Since f is injective then,

- $f^{n-p+1}(y) = y_0$, if $n+1 > p$, which contradicts the inductive hypothesis, because $i = n - p + 1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $y = f^{p-n-1}(y_0)$, if $p > n+1$, which contradicts $A_f \cap I_{f^{p-n-1}} = \emptyset$
- $y = y_0$, if $n+1 = p$, which contradicts $A_f \cap A = \emptyset$

Then,

$$f^{n+1}(A_f) \cap A = \emptyset,$$

Therefore,

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall A \in St_{f^p}(E), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f^n(A_f) \cap A = \emptyset$$

QED

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all f non-surjective injective maps from an infinite set E to itself, we define the following:

- $S_{f^n}(E) = \{A \subset E \mid \exists p \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}, f^p(A) = A\}$
- $S_{f^\infty}(E) = \{A \subset E \mid \exists p \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^p(A) = A\}$
- $S_{f^n} = \{x \in A \mid A \in S_{f^n}(E)\}$
- $S_{f^\infty} = \{x \in A \mid A \in S_{f^\infty}(E)\}$

Theorem 1

Let E be an infinite set, and f be a non-surjective injective map from E to itself, then:

$$E = \left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(A_f) \right] \cup S_{f^\infty}$$

Proof

Note that for $n = 0$, $S_{f^0}(E) = St_f(E)$, The sequence of subsets of E , $(S_{f^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing by inclusion, so it is convergent, the limit is: $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{f^n} = S_{f^\infty}$

We have already established that,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, E = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup I_{f^{n+1}}$$

Otherly, according to the Lemma 1, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, E = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^i(A_f) \right] \cap S_{f^n} = \emptyset$

Let's define the following, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \widehat{I}_{f^{n+1}} = I_{f^{n+1}} \setminus S_{f^n}$ the sequence of subsets

of $(\widehat{I_{f^{n+1}}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing by inclusion then, it is convergent [1], the limit is:

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{I_{f^{n+1}}} = \emptyset$$

Because, let $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{I_{f^{n+1}}}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{f^{n+1}} \setminus S_{f^n} &\Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in I_{f^{n+1}} \setminus S_{f^n} \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in I_{f^{n+1}} \text{ and } x \in \overline{S_{f^n}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in I_{f^{n+1}} \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \overline{S_{f^n}} \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{f^{n+1}} \text{ and } x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{S_{f^n}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{f^{n+1}} \text{ and } x \in \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{f^n}} \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{f^{n+1}} \text{ and } x \in \overline{S_{f^\infty}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \left[\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{f^{n+1}} \right] \setminus S_{f^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the sequence of subsets of E , $(I_{f^{n+1}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing by inclusion, then it is convergent and the limit is $H = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{f^{n+1}}$, and since f is injective, so $f(H) = H$ then f is bijective from H to itself, and $H \in St_f(E) \subset S_{f^\infty}(E)$.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, E = \left[\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=n} f^i(A_f) \right] \cup \widehat{I_{f^{n+1}}} \cup S_{f^n}$$

Therefore,

$$E = \left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(A_f) \right] \cup S_{f^\infty}$$

N.B. If $S_{f^\infty} = \emptyset$, then we get:

$$E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(A_f)$$

QED

Example 2

\mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers, and $P(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of subsets of \mathbb{R} . Let f be a map from \mathbb{R} to itself, defined by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x+1, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ x, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, f is injective non-surjective from \mathbb{R} to itself, because f is injective and $A_f = [0, 1[$. where,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^n(x) = \begin{cases} x+n, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ x, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

We have, $f(A_f) = [f(0), f(1)[= [1, 2[\subset \mathbb{R}_+$ since f is increasing Therefore,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^n(A_f) = [n, n+1[$$

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, S_{f^n} = S_{f^\infty} = \mathbb{R}_-$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, S_{f^n}(E) = S_{f^\infty}(E) = P(\mathbb{R}_-^*)$

According to the theorem 1, we can write:

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}_-^* \cup \left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [n, n+1[\right]$$

Example 3

Remark

If h is an affine map from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} , so that $h(x) = ax + b / a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \neq 0$, then:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, h^n(x) = a^n x + b(1 + a + \dots + a^{n-1})$$

If $a \neq 1$, then:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, h^n(x) = a^n x + b \left(\frac{1 - a^n}{1 - a} \right)$$

Let f be a map defined from $E = [0, 4]$ to itself by:

$$\forall x \in [0, 4], f(x) = \begin{cases} -x + 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ x + 1, & \text{if } x \in]1, 2] \\ \frac{1}{2}x + 2, & \text{if } x \in [2, 4] \end{cases}$$

f is non-surjective injective map from E to itself, so that:

- $A_f =]1, 2]$
- $Fix_f = \{4\}$
- The set $A = [0, 1] \subset E$, fulfills the condition $f(A) = A$
- We have $A_f =]1, 2], f(A_f) = f(]1, 2]) =]2, 3] \subset [2, 4], f(]2, 3]) = \left]3, \frac{7}{2}\right]$,
...
- $S_{f^\infty} = \{4\} \cup [0, 1]$

The restriction of f to $[2, 4]$ is an affine function such as $a = \frac{1}{2}$, and $b = 2$, then:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}x + 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \right)$$

We have: $f^n(2) = 4 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, and $f^n(3) = 4 - \frac{1}{2^n}$,

According to Theorem 1:

$$[0, 4] = \left\{]1, 2] \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[4 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}, 4 - \frac{1}{2^n} \right] \right\} \cup \{4\} \cup [0, 1]$$

Example 4

Let f be a map defined from $E = [0, 3]$ to itself by:

$$\forall x \in [0, 3], f(x) = \begin{cases} x + 2, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{1}{2}x + 1, & \text{if } x \in]1, 2[\\ x - 2, & \text{if } x \in [2, 3] \end{cases}$$

f is non-surjective injective from E to itself, so that:

- $A_f = \left]1, \frac{3}{2}\right]$
- $Fix_f = \emptyset$
- The set $A = [0, 1] \subset E$, fulfills the condition $f(A) \neq A$, and $f^2(A) = A$
- The set $B = [2, 3] \subset E$, fulfills the condition $f(B) \neq B$, and $f^2(B) = B$
- $S_{f^\infty} = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$

We have,

- $\forall x \in]1, 2[, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}x + 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f^n(x) = 2 - \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $f^n\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = 2 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$

Therefore, according to Theorem 1:

$$[0, 3] = \left\{ \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[2 - \frac{1}{2^n}, 2 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right] \right\} \cup [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$$

Corollary 1

Let f, g be non-surjective injective maps from an infinite set E to itself such as $S_{f^\infty} = \overline{S_{g^\infty}}$, then:

$$E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$$

where, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, B_n = f^n(A_f) \cup g^n(A_g)$

Therefore, $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a partition of E .

Definition 2

- Let E be an infinite set, we write: $Injns(E)$ as being the set of non-surjective injective maps from E to itself.
- $Injns(E, F)$ as the set of non-surjective injective maps from a set E to a set F , that being said, E and F are supposed to be non-empty and $|E| \leq |F|$ ($|E|$ is the cardinal of E).

Properties

1) $(Injns(E), \circ)$ is a *semigroup*, because the composite of 2 (two) injective maps f and g is injective and $I_{f \circ g} \subset I_f$, so $\forall f, g \in Injns(E), f \circ g \in Injns(E)$.

2) $\forall f, g \in Injns(E), A_{f \circ g} = A_f \cup f(A_g), (A_f, f(A_g), I_{f \circ g})$ is, indeed, a partition of E and $E = A_{f \circ g} \cup I_{f \circ g}$, because $f \circ g \in Injns(E)$.

3) There is *no idempotent* element for the law of composition in $Injns(E)$ and if such an element exists, then $f^2 = f$ and since f is injective, then $f = I_d$ which is contradictory, because, $f \in Injns(E)$.

4) Let $f \in Injns(E)$, and assuming that f is a map from E to itself such as:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in A_f \\ f(x), & \text{if } x \in I_f \end{cases}$$

5) We have, $\forall f \in Injns(E), \tilde{f} \in Injns(E)$ knowing that $I_{\tilde{f}} = A_f \cup I_{f^2}$ and $A_{\tilde{f}} = f(A_f)$

6) Let $f \in Injns(E)$, we have, $\tilde{f}(A_{\tilde{f}}) = \tilde{f}(f(A_f)) = f^2(A_f)$, and

$$I_{\tilde{f}^2} = \tilde{f}(I_{\tilde{f}}) = \tilde{f}(A_f \cup I_{f^2}) = \tilde{f}(A_f) \cup \tilde{f}(I_{f^2}) = A_f \cup I_{f^3}$$

Then,

$$E = A_f \cup \tilde{f}(A_f) \cup I_{\tilde{f}^2} = A_f \cup f(A_f) \cup f^2(A_f) \cup I_{f^3}$$

Therefore, \tilde{f} allow us to reduce order of iteration.

7) $\forall f \in Injns(E)$,

- $A_{\tilde{f} \circ f} = A_{\tilde{f}} \cup \tilde{f}(A_f) = A_f \cup f(A_f) = A_{f^2}$, then $I_{\tilde{f} \circ f} = I_{f^2}$
 - $A_{f \circ \tilde{f}} = A_f \cup f(A_{\tilde{f}}) = A_f \cup f^2(A_f)$, then, $I_{f \circ \tilde{f}} = f(A_f) \cup I_{f^3}$
 - $A_{\tilde{f}} = \tilde{f}(A_{\tilde{f}}) = f^2(A_f)$, then $I_{\tilde{f}} = A_f \cup f(A_f) \cup I_{f^3}$
- 8) Let $f \in Injns(E, F)$ and $g \in Injns(F, E)$, so:

$$f \circ g \in Injns(F) \quad \text{and} \quad g \circ f \in Injns(E)$$

2.2. Equivalence Relations

Example 5

Let $f, g \in Injns(E)$, we define the binary relation R on $Injns(E)$, by:

$$fRg \Leftrightarrow A_f = A_g \Leftrightarrow I_f = I_g$$

R is, indeed, an equivalence relation, because:

- R is reflexive, $fRf, \forall f \in Injns(E)$
- R is symmetric, $fRg \Leftrightarrow A_f = A_g \Leftrightarrow gRf, \forall f, g \in Injns(E)$
- R is transitive, $\forall f, g$ and $h \in Injns(E)$, fRg and $gRh \Leftrightarrow A_f = A_g$ and $A_g = A_h \Leftrightarrow A_f = A_h \Leftrightarrow fRh$

Example 6

Let $f, g \in Injns(E)$, we define the binary relation R on $Injns(E)$, by:

$$\forall f, g \in Injns(E): fRg \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, I_{f^n} = I_{g^n}$$

R is, indeed, an **equivalence relation**, because:

- R is reflexive, $fRf, \forall f \in Injns(E)$
- R is symmetric, $fRg \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, I_{f^n} = I_{g^n} \Leftrightarrow gRf, \forall f, g \in Injns(E)$
- R is transitive, f, g and $h \in Injns(E)$, fRg and

$$gRh \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, I_{f^n} = I_{g^n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, I_{g^n} = I_{h^n} \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, I_{f^n} = I_{h^n} \Leftrightarrow fRh$$

Example 7

$$\forall f, g \in Injns(E), fRg \Leftrightarrow f(A_f) = g(A_g)$$

Example 8

$$\forall f, g \in Injns(E), fRg \Leftrightarrow S_{f^\infty} = S_{g^\infty} \Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(A_f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g^n(A_g)$$

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(A_f)$: as being the f -semicoverage of a set E .

3. Part II

Let E be an infinite set, $f \in Injns(E)$, and $\bar{f} = \{g \in Injns(E) \mid I_g \cap I_f \neq \emptyset\}$

We get the following:

- $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, f^n \in \bar{f}$
- $\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E): I_f \subset I_g \Rightarrow \bar{f} \subset \bar{g}$

Let $h \in \bar{f}$ i.e. $I_f \cap I_h \neq \emptyset$ let $x \in I_h \cap I_f$, so $x \in I_h$ and $x \in I_f \subset I_g$, so $x \in I_h$ and $x \in I_g$, so $x \in I_h \cap I_g$ so $I_h \cap I_g \neq \emptyset$, then $h \in \bar{g}$ therefore $\bar{f} \subset \bar{g}$

Theorem 2

Let E be an infinite set, then there exists a non-surjective injective map ψ from E to itself, so that for any such non-surjective injective map ϕ from E to itself, we have:

$$I_\psi \cap I_\phi \neq \emptyset$$

Proof

By contradiction, let's suppose that for all ψ non-surjective injective maps from E to itself, there exists a map $\phi_\psi \in \text{Injns}(E)$ such as $I_\psi \cap I_{\phi_\psi} = \emptyset$ so that $I_{\phi_\psi} \subseteq A_\psi$. Additionally E is an infinite set, so E is equipotential [2] to $E \setminus \{a\}, \forall a \in E$. Considering this bijection f as a map from E to itself, then $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, and $A_f = \{a\}$, according to all of the above, there exists a map $f_\phi \in \text{Injns}(E)$ such as $I_{f_\phi} \subseteq A_f = \{a\}$, which contradicts the fact that f_ϕ injective.

QED

Note 4

- $\exists \psi \in \text{Injns}(E)$, so that: $\forall \phi \in \text{Injns}(E), \psi^{-1}(I_\phi) \neq \emptyset$
- If ψ applies to the former theorem then: $\bar{\psi} = \text{Injns}(E)$.

Proposition 5

$\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E), \exists g \in \text{Injns}(E) \setminus \{f\}$, so that $A_f \cap A_g \neq \emptyset$

Proof

By contradiction, assuming that exists a map $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, so that $\forall g \in \text{Injns}(E) \setminus \{f\}, A_f \cap A_g = \emptyset$, then $\forall g \in \text{Injns}(E) \setminus \{f\}, I_f \cup I_g = E$.

Let $g = f^2$, so $I_f \cup I_g = I_f \subsetneq E$ because $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$ which is contradictory.

Proposition 6

$\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E), \exists g \in \text{Injns}(E) \setminus \{f\}$, such as $A_f \cap I_g \neq \emptyset$

Proof

By contradiction, assuming that exists a map $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, so that, $\forall g \in \text{Injns}(E) \setminus \{f\}, A_f \cap I_g = \emptyset$ then $\forall g \in \text{Injns}(E) \setminus \{f\}, I_f \cup A_g = E$. On the other hand, if $g = \tilde{f}, I_f \cup A_g = E$, additionally $A_g = f(A_f) \subset I_f$ so $I_f \cup A_g = I_f = E$ which is contradictory.

Note 5

We can define another composition law T for $\text{Injns}(E)$ so that,

$$\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E), (fTg)(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } x \in f^{-1}(I_g) \\ f(x), & \text{if } x \in f^{-1}(A_g) \end{cases}$$

- $fTf = f, \forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$ then every element is *idempotent* under the law T .

- $\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, if $I_f \cap I_g = \emptyset$, then $fTg = f$.
- $\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, if $I_f \subseteq I_g$, then $fTg = g$.
- $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $fT\tilde{f} = f$ and $\tilde{f}Tf = \tilde{f}$.
- Generally, $\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $fTg \neq gTf$.

4. Part III

4.1. Study of the Quotient Set

Let E be an infinite set, and $f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$. We define the binary relation R on $\text{Injns}(E)$, by:

$$fRg \Leftrightarrow A_f \text{ and } A_g \text{ have the same cardinal}$$

The binary relation R is *reflexive, symmetric and transitive*, so R is an equivalence relation on $\text{Injns}(E)$.

We define $Cl(f) = \{g \in \text{Injns}(E) \mid |A_g| = |A_f|\}$ the equivalence class of a map f .

Note 6

- Let $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, as $A_{\tilde{f}} = f(A_f)$ so A_f and $A_{\tilde{f}}$ have the same cardinal, because f is injective then $\tilde{f} \in Cl(f)$, therefore,

$$\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E), \tilde{f} \in Cl(f)$$

- Let $f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, assuming that the cardinals of A_f and A_g are finite, and thus, $|A_{f \circ g}| = |A_f \cup f(A_g)| = |A_f| + |A_g| - |A_f \cap f(A_g)|$, and since $A_f \cap f(A_g) = \emptyset$, then: $|A_{f \circ g}| = |A_f \cup f(A_g)| = |A_f| + |A_g|$. Since the composition of two (2) maps f and g on $\text{Injns}(E)$ yields a disjoint union, *i.e.* $A_{f \circ g} = A_f \cup f(A_g)$, with $A_f \cap f(A_g) = \emptyset$, then we can extend the sum of the cardinals even for infinite sets, such as:

$$|A_{f \circ g}| = |A_f \cup f(A_g)| = |A_f| + |A_g| = \sup\{|A_f|, |A_g|\}$$

- For all maps f, g, h and $t \in \text{Injns}(E)$ so that fRg and hRt *i.e.* $|A_f| = |A_g|$ and $|A_h| = |A_t|$. Since:

$$|A_{f \circ h}| = \sup\{|A_f|, |A_g|\} = \sup\{|A_g|, |A_t|\} = |A_{g \circ t}|, \text{ therefore,}$$

$$f \circ gRh \circ t$$

- The map's composition law is compatible under the equivalence relation R , then we can provide the quotient set $(\text{Injns}(E)/R, *)$ with a structure of a *semigroup*.
- $\forall Cl(f)$ and $Cl(g) \in \text{Injns}(E)/R$: $Cl(f) * Cl(g) = Cl(f \circ g)$

4.2. Partial Order

Let $Cl(f), Cl(g) \in \text{Injns}(E)/R$, define a binary relation on $\text{Injns}(E)/R$ by:

$$Cl(f) \leq Cl(g) \Leftrightarrow |A_f| \leq |A_g|$$

- $\forall Cl(f) \in \text{Injns}(E)/R, Cl(f) \leq Cl(f)$

So \leq is reflexive.

- $\forall Cl(f), Cl(g) \in Injns(E) \setminus R, Cl(f) \leq Cl(g) \text{ and } Cl(g) \leq Cl(f) \Leftrightarrow |A_f| \leq |A_g| \text{ and } |A_g| \leq |A_f| \Leftrightarrow |A_f| = |A_g| \text{ so } Cl(f) = Cl(g)$

So \leq is asymmetric.

- $\forall Cl(f), Cl(g) \text{ and } Cl(h) \in Injns(E)/R: Cl(f) \leq Cl(g) \text{ and } Cl(g) \leq Cl(h) \Leftrightarrow |A_f| \leq |A_g| \text{ and } |A_g| \leq |A_h| \Rightarrow |A_f| \leq |A_h| \Rightarrow Cl(f) \leq Cl(h)$

So \leq is transitive.

Therefore, the relation \leq is a partial order on $Injns(E)/R$.

Note 7

- Since $\forall Cl(f), Cl(g) \in Injns(E)/R, Cl(f) \leq Cl(g) \text{ or } Cl(g) \leq Cl(f)$ then \leq is a *total partial* order on $Injns(E)/R$.
- The partial order on the semigroup $(Injns(E)/R, *)$ is, indeed, compatible [3] with the equivalence class's composition law of composition $*$, then:

$$\forall Cl(f), Cl(g) \text{ and } Cl(h) \in Injns(E)/R,$$

If $Cl(f) \leq Cl(g)$ then

$$Cl(f) * Cl(h) = Cl(f \circ h) \leq Cl(g \circ h) = Cl(g) * Cl(h) \text{ and}$$

$$Cl(h) * Cl(f) = Cl(h \circ f) \leq Cl(h \circ g) = Cl(h) * Cl(g)$$

- $Injns(E)/R$ is *well-ordered*, because any non-empty subset has a *smallest* element.
- $Injns(E)/R$ is a *lattice*, because it is ordered and every pair of elements has both *upper* bound and *lower* bound [4].
- $Injns(E)/R$ provided with the order relation has a *minimal* element $Cl(f)$, so that $|A_f| = 1$, and also *maximal* element $Cl(g)$, so that A_g has the same cardinality as E .
- If E is an *infinite countable* set, the map φ defined by:

$$\varphi: Injns(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\aleph_0\} = M, \forall f \in Injns(E), \varphi(f) = |A_f|,$$

where, \aleph_0 represents the cardinal of \mathbb{N} .

Considering the convention: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, n + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$, φ is a *morphism* of semigroups of $(Injns(E), \circ)$ on $(M, +)$.

$$\forall f, g \in Injns(E), \varphi(f \circ g) = |A_{f \circ g}| = |A_f| + |A_g| = \varphi(f) + \varphi(g)$$

Complement

Let $f, g \in Injns(E)$ so that $|A_f| < |A_g|$, with the assumption that A_f and A_g are considered finite, I_f and I_g are equipotential because, the map ψ defined from I_f to I_g by:

$\forall x \in I_f, \psi(x) = (g \circ f^{-1})(x)$ is bijective, where f^{-1} is defined from I_f to E and for all $x \in I_f$, we associated its inputs by f , we have:

- $\forall x, y \in I_f, \psi(x) = \psi(y) \Rightarrow (g \circ f^{-1})(x) = (g \circ f^{-1})(y) \Rightarrow g(f^{-1}(x)) = g(f^{-1}(y)) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ (because both g and f^{-1} are injectives). Therefore ψ is injective.
- On the other hand $\psi(I_f) = (g \circ f^{-1})(I_f) = g(f^{-1}(I_f)) = g(E) = I_g$ so ψ is surjective.

Let $\varphi \in \text{Injns}(A_f, A_g)$ so that the map θ is defined by:

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x), & \text{if } x \in A_f \\ \psi(x), & \text{if } x \in I_f \end{cases}$$

Belong to $\text{Injns}(E)$ and $A_\theta = A_\varphi$.

Note 8

- If $g = f^2$ so $\forall x \in I_f, \psi(x) = f(x)$ so if $\varphi = f/A_f$ then we have, $\theta = f$, and if $\varphi = I_d/A_f$ so $\theta = \tilde{f}$
- We considered, previously, that, A_f and A_g are finite. That said, we can build the φ map even in case that A_f and A_g are infinite and that $|A_f| = |A_g|$.

5. Part IV

E Permutations Group Action

Let $\sigma(E)$ be the permutations group of E , $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$ and $\sigma \in \sigma(E)$, $f \circ \sigma \in \text{Injns}(E)$, because, since f and σ are injective then $f \circ \sigma$ is injective and $\forall \sigma \in \sigma(E)$, $(f \circ \sigma)(E) = f(\sigma(E)) = f(E) = I_f \subsetneq E$ then $f \circ \sigma$ is non-surjective.

where $\forall \sigma \in \sigma(E), I_{f \circ \sigma} = I_f$ then $A_{f \circ \sigma} = A_f$.

We have,

- $\forall \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \sigma(E)$ and $f \in \text{Injns}(E)$: $(f \circ \sigma_1) \circ \sigma_2 = f \circ (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)$
- $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $f \circ I_d = f$

$$\text{Let } \theta : \sigma(E) \times \text{Injns}(E) \rightarrow \text{Injns}(E)$$

so that $\forall \sigma \in \sigma(E)$, $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $\theta(\sigma, f) = f \circ \sigma$

Therefore, the E permutations group operates on the rightmost on $\text{Injns}(E)$.

Note 9

The relation R defined on $\text{Injns}(E)$ by: $fRg \Leftrightarrow \exists \sigma \in \sigma(E)$ such as $g = f \circ \sigma$ is an equivalence relation, that is called Intransitivity relation [5].

Proposition 7

Let be, $g \in \text{Injns}(E)$: $\exists \sigma \in \sigma(E)$ so that $g = f \circ \sigma \Leftrightarrow I_f = I_g$.

Proof

\Rightarrow) If there exists $\sigma \in \sigma(E)$ so that $g = f \circ \sigma \Rightarrow I_g = I_{f \circ \sigma} = I_f$.

\Leftarrow) If $I_f = I_g$, then the map $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$, so that $x \mapsto \sigma(x) = f^{-1}(g(x))$ is bijective, because:

- $\sigma(E) = f^{-1}(g(E)) = E$ so σ is surjective.
- $\forall x, y \in E : \sigma(x) = \sigma(y) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(g(x)) = f^{-1}(g(y)) \Rightarrow g(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ so σ is injective.
- $\forall x \in E$, $f \circ \sigma(x) = f(\sigma(x)) = g(x)$

Note 10

- The equivalence class (Intransitivity relation) of the element f is called the orbit of f , $Cl(f) = \{f \circ \sigma \mid \sigma \in \sigma(E)\} = \{g \in \text{Injns}(E) \mid I_g = I_f\}$.
- The stabilizer of f is: $\Delta f = \{\sigma \in \sigma(E) \mid f \circ \sigma = f\} = \{I_d\}$, i.e. the morphism associated with the said action is injective.

6. Part V

Let $f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$.

Definition 3

f and g are said to be Co-injectives, if,

$$I_f \cap I_g \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \forall x, y \in E, f(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow x = y$$

Let $\hat{f} = \{g \in \text{Injns}(E) \mid g \text{ is Co-injective with } f\}$

We have $\hat{f} \neq \emptyset$ because $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $I_f \cap I_f \neq \emptyset$ and $\forall x, y \in E$, $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ so f is Co-injective with itself.

Therefore $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $f \in \hat{f}$, then, $\forall f \in \text{Injns}(E)$: $\hat{f} \neq \emptyset$

Proposition 8

Let $h \in \text{Injns}(E)$, $\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$:

f, g are Co-injectives $\Rightarrow h \circ f$ and $h \circ g$ are Co-injectives.

Proof:

Let $h \in \text{Injns}(E)$, and $f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$ such as f and g are Co-injective. $I_f \cap I_g \neq \emptyset$, additionally $h(I_f \cap I_g) = I_{h \circ f} \cap I_{h \circ g} \neq \emptyset$ (h is injective).

Let $x, y \in E$, $h \circ f(x) = h \circ g(y) \Rightarrow f(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ (because h is injective and f, g are Co-injectives).

Therefore $h \circ f$ and $h \circ g$ are Co-injectives.

Note 11

For all $f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, so that f, g are Co-injectives, so:

- f^2 and $f \circ g$ are Co-injectives
- $\forall z \in I_f \cap I_g$, $\exists! x \in E$, so that, $z = f(x) = g(x)$
- $f^{-1}(I_g) = g^{-1}(I_f)$
- If, $I_f = I_g$, then $f = g$
- Let $h \in \text{Injns}(E)$, if $f(I_h) \cap g(I_h) \neq \emptyset$, then $f \circ h$ and $g \circ h$ are Co-injectives.

Proposition 9

$\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, if $I_f \subsetneq I_g$, then f, g are not Co-injective.

Proof

$\forall f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, suppose that $I_f \subsetneq I_g$, then we have $I_g = I_f \cup (I_g \setminus I_f)$. If f, g are Co-injectives, then $f^{-1}(I_g) = g^{-1}(I_f) = E$, which is contradictory because:

$$E = g^{-1}(I_f) \cup g^{-1}(I_g \setminus I_f), \text{ and } g^{-1}(I_g \setminus I_f) \neq \emptyset, \text{ so } g^{-1}(I_f) \subsetneq E.$$

Proposition 10

Let $f, g \in \text{Injns}(E)$, so that f and g are Co-injectives, $\forall h \in \text{Injns}(E)$, if g and h are Co-injectives and $I_f \cap I_h = I_f \cap I_g$ then f and h are Co-injectives.

Proof

$I_f \cap I_h \neq \emptyset$, let $x, y \in E$, so that $f(x) = h(y)$. We have $f(x) = h(y) \in I_f \cap I_h = I_f \cap I_g$, then $f(x) = g(x) = h(y)$ (because f, g are Co-injectives), and since g and h are Co-injectives then $x = y$. So $\forall x, y \in E$, $f(x) = h(y) \Rightarrow x = y$. Therefore f, h are Co-injectives.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my dear friends, especially Chafik Bouazzaoui for us discussing the Cantor-Bernstein theorem and Mai Mohammed mainly who for his help with translating this document.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Berhuy, G. (2002) Algèbre: le grand combat. Clavage et Mounet, Montrouge.
- [2] Dehornoy, P. (2017) La théorie des ensembles. Clavage et Mounet, Montrouge.
- [3] Gourdon, X. (1994) Les maths en tête Algèbre. Ellipses, Paris.
- [4] Jacobson, N. (2009) Basic Algebra I. Dover Publication Inc., New York.
- [5] Guégand, T.D.J. (1999) Algèbre en classe préparatoires MP*. Ellipses, Paris.