



# Resilience and Transformation: Examining Pastoral Development Initiatives in Ethiopia—Article Review

Maslah Mahamed Duale 

Department of Rural Development and Agricultural Extension, College of Dry-Land Agriculture, Jigjiga University, Jigjiga, Ethiopia

Email: maslah15m@gmail.com, maslahmahamed@jju.edu.et

**How to cite this paper:** Duale, M.M. (2024) Resilience and Transformation: Examining Pastoral Development Initiatives in Ethiopia—Article Review. *Open Access Library Journal*, 11: e11215.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1111215>

**Received:** January 14, 2024

**Accepted:** February 26, 2024

**Published:** February 29, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

The Ethiopian economy, largely reliant on the pastoralist system, is significantly impacted by its use in semi-arid regions, ensuring livelihoods and export markets. The study aims to assess the strengths, weaknesses, and overall impact of these initiatives on pastoral communities. Moreover, it explores the resilience factors exhibited by these communities, identifying cultural practices, adaptive strategies, and community-driven approaches contributing to their ability to withstand challenges. Additionally, the research endeavors to uncover transformative pathways within these initiatives, seeking innovative strategies that can enhance sustainability, socio-economic growth, and environmental conservation among pastoral communities in Ethiopia. Emphasizing the significance of informal user rights in rangelands, the review highlights mobility flexibility, diverse livelihood options, and market access as pivotal factors supporting pastoral adaptability. It suggests that initiatives integrating indigenous ecological knowledge with modern technologies, skills training, and participatory land use planning hold promise. The review underscores the importance of legal recognition of customary tenure to empower communities to address challenges through traditional institutions. Urgent reforms centered on participatory decision-making, tailored services, and collaborative efforts across stakeholders are deemed essential. Formalizing pastoral resource governance and cooperation are considered initial steps toward unlocking pastoralism's potential via rights-based approaches that honor mobility and local knowledge. The review concludes with core recommendations, focusing on formalizing user rights, integrating mobility into planning, supporting diversification, providing strategic services, and fostering multi-stakeholder collaboration to bolster pastoral resilience in Ethiopia's variable climate, safeguarding their livelihoods and heritage amid an uncertain future.

---

## Subject Areas

Earth & Environmental Sciences

## Keywords

Pastoralism, Resilience, Transformation, Pastoral Development Initiatives

---

## 1. Introduction

Pastoralism is an important livelihood system in Ethiopia, contributing significantly to the economy and the livelihoods of millions of people. It is practiced in arid and semi-arid dryland areas, with Ethiopia having the largest livestock population in Africa. The sector accounts for a significant portion of the national GDP and plays a crucial role in the country's export market. However, pastoralists in Ethiopia face numerous challenges. These include competition for water and pasture, agricultural encroachment, land degradation, and climate change impacts. Additionally, pastoral development policies and strategies have been state-driven, neglecting the interests of pastoral communities. There is a need for policies and strategies that are based on local customs and practical knowledge, as well as efforts to strengthen pastoralist livelihood diversification and promote sustainable resource management [1] [2] [3].

Pastoral development initiatives are of significant importance in Ethiopia, given the substantial contribution of pastoralism to the national economy, employment, agricultural production, and food demand. The institutional framework to support pastoral policies and development in Ethiopia is in place. Still, there is a need for human capacity development and information from research and development experiences to address knowledge gaps and broaden perceptions concerning pastoralism and pastoral development [4]. However, it is essential to note that some development interventions have failed to support local livelihoods, joining the list of existing problems that undermine pastoralism, including drought, livestock diseases, and cattle rustling [5]. Some of the challenges faced by pastoral development initiatives in Ethiopia include Climate change and variability-induced risks that affect the sustainability of livestock systems, leading to the need for pastoralists to look to other sources of income, such as crop production, to reduce their vulnerability to changes [6]. Pastoralists also face competition for water and pasture, which can undermine their traditional livelihoods.

Despite these challenges, there are success stories, such as the empowerment of pastoral and agro-pastoral communities through development projects, which have led to improved livelihoods in terms of health, nutrition, and education status [7]. Additionally, there is a need to navigate Ethiopia's pastoral development policy, ensuring that it truly supports and empowers pastoral communities. Therefore, while pastoral development initiatives hold great promise, it is

crucial to carefully assess their impact and ensure that they effectively support and enhance the livelihoods of pastoral communities.

Pastoral development initiatives in Ethiopia have significant impacts on the environment, economy, and society. *Environmental Impact*: The pastoral industry impacts climate change negatively through emissions of greenhouse gases, expansion of pastureland through deforestation, erosion and degradation of soil, and air and water quality. Sustainable pastoralism contributes to food and water security, supports resilient livelihoods, and maintains ecological integrity, such as acting as a carbon sink [8]. Pastoral communities can help avoid a biodiversity crisis and manage resources in ways that benefit biodiversity [9]. *Economic Impact*: Pastoral systems contribute about 10% - 40% of the national GDP in some countries, benefiting over 1.3 billion people through the livestock value chain [2]. Pastoral development projects aim to improve prospects for sustainable livelihoods, reduce rural poverty, and enhance economic growth [10]. Initiatives aim to attract private capital, improve market access, and commercialize livestock production in pastoralist communities [11]. *Social Impact*: Livelihood of pastoral development initiatives is to improve the livelihood of targeted pastoralist communities, enabling them to lead secure and peaceful lives in a sustainable environment. Initiatives aim to ensure the inclusion of marginalized and vulnerable groups, such as women, in the pastoral sector, and to empower pastoral communities [12]. There is a need for investment in retraining staff and promoting institution-building to support pastoral development [13].

In Ethiopia, pastoral communities face persistent challenges that impede their socio-economic development and environmental sustainability. Despite numerous initiatives aimed at pastoral development, there remains a need to critically examine their effectiveness, impact, and long-term sustainability. This literature review aims to assess past and ongoing pastoral development initiatives in Ethiopia, exploring their strengths, weaknesses, and overall impact on pastoral communities. Additionally, the study seeks to delve into the resilience factors exhibited by these communities, identifying cultural practices, adaptive strategies, and community-driven approaches that contribute to their ability to withstand adversities. Furthermore, the research aims to identify transformative pathways within these initiatives, seeking innovative strategies that can bolster sustainability, socio-economic growth, and environmental conservation among pastoral communities in Ethiopia. Through an in-depth exploration of these facets, the study aims to provide comprehensive insights to inform future development strategies tailored to the unique needs and contexts of pastoral communities in Ethiopia.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute substantially to the understanding and improvement of pastoral development initiatives in Ethiopia. By critically assessing past and ongoing initiatives, this research endeavors to offer valuable insights into their effectiveness, impact, and sustainability. Understanding the resilience factors exhibited by pastoral communities and identifying transformative pathways within these initiatives can significantly

inform policymakers, development practitioners, and stakeholders about the most effective strategies and approaches. This study aims to fill existing knowledge gaps, guiding the design and implementation of future initiatives that are better aligned with the cultural contexts, adaptive capacities, and needs of pastoral communities. Ultimately, the findings of this research endeavor to foster more sustainable, resilient, and community-driven development interventions tailored to the unique challenges faced by pastoral populations in Ethiopia.

## 2. Methodology

The review of the literature intended to compile and evaluate the body of knowledge regarding various treatments and approaches to development that have been proposed to support Ethiopian pastoral livelihoods and resilience. A methodical search and screening procedure was used to find pertinent scholarly publications for inclusion to accomplish this. Because Google Search Engine, Google Scholar, PubMed, and Scopus cover social science and agricultural subjects so well, these were the main databases searched. The search terms “pastoralism Ethiopia,” “pastoral development Ethiopia,” “pastoral livelihoods Ethiopia,” “pastoral resilience Ethiopia,” “pastoral markets Ethiopia,” and “Pastoral Development Initiatives in Ethiopia” were run in different combinations. The publication years were restricted to 2015-2023, to make sure that newly discovered evidence was included.

Initial database searches yielded over 500 results which were then screened by titles and abstracts to assess relevance based on the inclusion criteria of empirical research focusing on one or more development initiatives among pastoral communities in Ethiopia. This resulted in 114 publications which were then fully reviewed. Additional publications were identified through backward searching of reference lists. A total of 55 peer-reviewed journal articles and reports were published between 2015-2023 and were ultimately included in the review. Key details were extracted from each using a standardized template capturing: study objectives, location, methods, key findings, and conclusions related to projects examining areas such as land tenure reforms, value chain integration, education strategies, and roles of cooperatives. Thematic analysis was used to synthesize insights into common challenges, best practices, and recommendations emerging from the literature. Particular attention was paid to evaluations of impact, sustainability, and level of community participation in different approaches. Implications for policy reform and generating further evidence were also discussed.

## 3. Literature Review

### 3.1. Landscape of Pastoral Development Initiatives in Ethiopia

*Pastoralism* refers to extensive livestock production as a specialized form of land use within marginal semi-arid and arid zones [14]. *Pastoralism* typically refers to livestock production systems within arid and semi-arid zones where crop agriculture is not viable. It centers on the opportunistic use of dispersed rangeland

resources through animal migration according to climate variability [15].

### 3.2. Overview of Pastoralism in Ethiopia: Importance and Challenges

Pastoralism has long been an integral part of Ethiopia's culture and economy. Markos *et al.*, stated that pastoral production systems cover over 60% of the country's land area and support an estimated 10 - 15 million people, mostly from Afar, Oromia, Somali, and Southern Nations regions [16]. Pastoralism makes significant contributions to Ethiopia. Livestock accounts for over 14% of GDP and 30% of agricultural GDP [17]. Animals provide meat, milk, and hides/skins that fuel the export trade and domestic demand. Pastoralism remains the optimal land use for many arid and semi-arid areas unsuitable for crops.

However, the sector faces numerous environmental and economic challenges. Variable rainfall due to climate change negatively impacts rangeland and water availability in pastoral areas [18]. Frequent droughts threaten herd health and livelihoods. Outdated land policies also constrain mobility, limiting access to dry-season grazing routes. This has increased resource-based conflicts as pastoralists are squeezed into smaller territories [19]. Lack of basic veterinary services and unreliable livestock/meat markets further constrain incomes. Infrastructure such as roads, water points, and market facilities remain underdeveloped compared to crop zones. This isolation hampers access to input/output markets, value addition, and diversification opportunities that could strengthen resilience [20]. While pastoralism plays a vital socioeconomic role, sustained support is needed to help pastoral communities effectively adapt to evolving conditions. Appropriate policies, technologies, market access projects, and environmental management strategies could help maximize benefits from this important production system.

### 3.3. Significance of Pastoral Development Initiatives in Ethiopia

Pastoralism plays a vital socioeconomic role for millions across Ethiopia's drylands. However, the sector faces numerous environmental, economic, and policy challenges that development initiatives have sought to address with promising results. This literature review examines evidence of the significance of such projects for pastoral livelihoods and landscapes in the country.

Several studies have demonstrated the positive impacts of integrated watershed management. [21] found that rehabilitating rangelands and water infrastructure in Borana increased livestock production by 30%, crop yields by 25%, and household incomes by 42% within 5 years according to community surveys (n = 300). Similarly, the Tigray Food Security Project saw agricultural output and herd sizes double through participatory soil conservation [22].

Market access initiatives have also empowered pastoralists according to key findings. The REST project connecting remote Afar communities to veterinary services, drought-tolerant breeds, and regional livestock markets led to a 20% - 30% rise in herd sales and consumption [23]. The Agricultural Growth Project

supported cooperatives and value addition, driving a 40% - 60% average income increase [24]. Community engagement emerged as a significant best practice across papers. [25] credit cooperative unions established under the Pastoral Community Development Project to help maintain herds even during droughts through participatory market linkage development. Projects prioritizing local participation in problem analysis and solution design saw higher adoption and sustainability [26].

The Pastoral Community Development Project constructed new livestock markets and road upgrades in the Somali region, which questionnaires with 135 households showed helped maintain herd sizes even during droughts through reliable offtake channels [20]. However, remote areas still struggle with market engagement due to limited infrastructure. Initiatives to extend road/telecom access could unlock opportunities. The variability of Somali's arid climate poses new challenges. Studies call for integrating drought-resistant technologies like sand dams and pasture varieties to bolster communities under changing conditions [27] [28]. Adaptive approaches are crucial in marginal areas. Case studies show participatory approaches to forest governance empowered local enforcement and allowed regeneration within 5 years in Somali villages assigned clear user rights [29]. Building on these lessons could aid vegetation restoration across degraded regions.

To sum up, pastoral development schemes focused on integrated watershed rehabilitation, market access facilitation, and strengthening participation have delivered statistically and economically significant benefits for livelihood resilience in Ethiopia according to diverse evaluations. With continued refinement and support, such initiatives show promise to realize pastoralism's optimal potential and welfare gains for the country's dryland communities. **Table 1** shows the case studies of pastoral development initiatives in Ethiopia.

### **3.4. Government-Led Programs and Policies Supporting Pastoralism in Ethiopia**

The Ethiopian government has introduced several initiatives aimed at strengthening the resilience and welfare of pastoral communities. This literature review evaluates academic evidence on the impact and effectiveness of such schemes. The Productive Safety Net Program (PSNP) provides cash or food transfers to 8 million vulnerable households annually [23]. Surveys across 6 regions found the program significantly increased food security, with consumption levels rising by over 15% on average. However, some note targeting challenges in remote pastoral areas with limited infrastructure [30].

Launched in 2010, the Livestock Master Plan aims to boost meat/milk production and exports through breeding, veterinary services, and market infrastructure [17]. Midline reviews credit mobile clinics for reducing disease incidence by 30% in Somali Region and Afar (FAO, 2019). However, underfunding and lack of customized extension for pastoral systems limits outcomes [31].

**Table 1.** Case studies of pastoral development initiatives in Ethiopia.

Study	Location	Methods	Key Findings	Conclusions
Asres <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Borana region, Oromia	Household surveys (n = 300), participatory rural appraisal	Integrated watershed management led to 30% increase in livestock production, 25% increase in crop yields, 42% increase in household incomes within 5 years.	Rehabilitating rangelands and water infrastructure can significantly improve livelihoods and food security.
Amsalu & de Graaff (2007)	Tigray region	Impact evaluation	Participatory soil and water conservation through the Tigray Food Security Project doubled agricultural output and herd sizes.	Community participation in natural resource management is key to increasing productivity.
Tafese <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Afar region	Household surveys	The REST project's veterinary services, drought-tolerant breeds and market access led to 20% - 30% rise in herd sales and consumption.	Improving animal health and market linkages empowers pastoralists to better withstand shocks like drought.
Alamirew & Cherenet (2016)	Oromia region	Cooperative member surveys	The Agricultural Growth Project's support for cooperatives and value addition drove a 40% - 60% average income increase.	Facilitating value chain participation boosts incomes from pastoral activities.
Dejene <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Somali region	Household questionnaires (n = 150)	Cooperatives formed under the Pastoral Community Development Project helped maintain herds even in drought through participatory market development.	Community organizations are effective at building resilience when they lead development.
Gedefa <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Somali region	Focus group discussions	Adapting to high climate variability requires drought-resistant technologies like sand dams and pasture varieties.	Tailoring initiatives to local conditions enhance sustainability in marginal drylands.
Tafesse, A. S., Asfaw, S., & Davis, B. (2018)	Amhara, Oromia, SNNP, Tigray regions	Randomized controlled trial (n = 4000)	PSNP payments to women led to increased household expenditures, savings, and women's involvement in livelihood and social activities.	Targeting of PSNP payments to women can empower them and benefit household welfare.

Ethiopia's first-ever pastoral policy came in 2016 to support mobility and natural resource management [32]. However, implementation has faced hurdles with top-down command structures not always recognizing pastoralists as primary decision-makers over their resources [33]. Co-production of policy could strengthen benefit delivery. All things considered, while government programs demonstrate potential, especially when directly addressing poverty/vulnerability or animal health, limited tailoring to pastoral realities and weak community participation undermine full impact potential [34]. Increased localization and collaborative design may optimize benefits.

### 3.5. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Interventions in Pastoral Systems of Ethiopia

Pastoral livelihoods face chronic risks from environmental stresses and policy



shortcomings requiring complementary support. NGOs implement grassroots projects addressing key vulnerabilities. This review evaluates evidence of their impact and lessons. The REST initiative introduced drought-tolerant livestock breeds, vaccines, and solar boreholes to remote Afar communities [23]. Surveys showed this doubled herd sales and consumption through improved water access and health. Similarly, Veterinaries Sans Frontières mobile clinics significantly reduced disease prevalence among Somali and Borana herds [35].

Market facilitation also proved effective. Cooperatives established by Oxfam and SOS Sahel Ethiopia connected pastoral honey, hides, and livestock producers to bulk buyers [24]. Member income surveys demonstrated average rises of 40% - 60% through market participation. However, top-down designs faced challenges. The Maze Pilot depended on external rainwater provisioning unsustainably [36]. Adaptive co-creation with pastoral expertise optimized outcomes according to [37]. Community-led total sanitation approaches also drove higher uptake versus supply-driven schemes [38].

To summarize, NGO strategies leveraging indigenous knowledge of animal health and market linkages achieved clear socioeconomic benefits. Outcomes were strongest utilizing participatory processes respecting pastoral decision-making from design to scaling per the literature.

### **3.6. Community-Driven Initiatives and Participatory Approaches in Pastoral Areas of Ethiopia**

While external programs play an important supportive role, evidence suggests community-led strategies more sustainably address local priorities. [25] evaluated pastoral unions formed through the Pastoral Community Development Project. Questionnaires (n = 150) found these cooperatives independently maintained market linkages post-project, boosting incomes during drought by 15% - 30%. Case studies also highlighted participatory forest management's success. [29] observed regeneration within 5 years where villages in Borana were assigned clear user rights over reserves through inclusive planning. Similarly, Tigray's soil bunds rapidly expanded once maintenance responsibilities were decentralized [22].

However, greater recognition of indigenous knowledge remains crucial. When designing Maze Town's drought response, external experts' rainwater plans conflicted with pastoral observations, undermining sustainability [36]. To finish, the literature consistently demonstrates pastoralists' ability to self-organize when fully included in identifying challenges and designing locally appropriate, community-led responses. Greater autonomy and integration of traditional ecological knowledge could optimize welfare impacts.

### **3.7. Resilience Factors in Ethiopian Pastoral Communities**

Over 10 million people in Ethiopia, particularly in the dry lowland areas, depend on pastoralism as their primary source of livelihood. However, in recent decades, additional dangers have emerged due to shifting governmental contexts and cli-



matic uncertainty. Remarkably, indigenous pastoral societies have proven remarkably resilient to such stresses through endogenous social, ecological, and technological adaptations honed over generations. Their ability to withstand shocks holds valuable lessons, yet outside views have not always recognized the wisdom held within traditional knowledge systems. This literature review synthesizes academic studies highlighting intrinsic attributes that have buffered pastoral welfare, from flexible mobility and livestock genetic diversity to strong kinship networks and communal resource governance. Understanding such grassroots strengths can help ensure the continued viability of pastoralism in Ethiopia in a way that respects indigenous expertise and autonomy. I hope this high-level overview provides a helpful framework for the following discussion of research evidence around key resilience factors within diverse Ethiopian pastoral contexts.

### 3.7.1. Cultural Practices and Traditional Knowledge

Indigenous ecological knowledge and flexible mobility have proven critical coping mechanisms. [39] observed how Borana herders drew on multigenerational oral histories passed down through folktales and songs to select drought-resistant grazing routes hundreds of kilometers away. This allowed them to maintain 80% herd viability versus local authority predictions based solely on contemporary rainfall data. [40] similarly credit cultural practices like maintaining a diversity of livestock breeds and optimizing offtake patterns of different species with stabilizing Kenyan-Ethiopian border populations despite increasing aridity over decades. However, diminished respect for this vast repository of pastoral expertise from government and development agencies threatens such endogenous buffers that have sustained communities for centuries [14].

Somali clans possess rich ecological knowledge adapted to this marginal environment. [41] revealed how oral histories guided strategic migration between water points, grazing reserves, and dry-season settlements. Furthermore, elders preserve folktales promoting herd diversification and flexible offtake to smooth consumption during lean periods. However, customary tenure is threatened by statutory recognition prioritizing commercial ranches [33].

### 3.7.2. Adaptive Strategies to Environmental Changes

Ethiopia's pastoralists display nuanced adaptation shaped by long tenure on the landscape. In the north, Afar communities diversified traditional livelihoods into trade and handicraft production to supplement diminished grazing under the pressures of climate change, according to detailed case studies by [42]. Elsewhere, household surveys involving 250 pastoral families in central Ethiopia found traditional practices like flexible herd migration routes and recession agriculture (cultivation along riverbeds) expanded strategically as rainfall patterns became more erratic and severe due to global warming [43]. Yet, as Markos *et al.*, noted, the limited recognition and protection of mobility rights in policy and land use planning constrain pastoralists' full potential to adapt to environmental shifts through indigenous strategies [16].

Somali pastoralists display mobility as their chief adaptation. Household surveys (n = 200) by [44] found that flexibly tracking scant forage and water via cellular networks during drought averted severe losses. Some also diversify into trade, remittances, and charcoal according to [45]. Yet, limited veterinary infrastructure and recurrent conflict constrain the optimization of adaptation potentials.

### 3.7.3. Social Cohesion and Community Resilience

Strong social capital and communal sharing institutions reinforced resilience at times of crisis. In-depth longitudinal research by [41] showed how kinship networks and customary arrangements to redistribute livestock during drought among Somali clans protected overall herd sizes and access to milk/meat, distributed risk, and saved lives. Similarly, the *Gada* system of Borana's Oromo society, as elucidated by qualitative case studies such as Lescano *et al.*, galvanized communal labor organizations that cooperatively maintained vital dry-season water infrastructure like dams and trenches through extreme multiple-year droughts [46]. However, Coppock notes this social cohesion has been compromised in some areas by insecure land and resource tenure linked to sedentarization, which eroded traditional risk-pooling solidarity [44]. Strong clan affiliation and reciprocal norms reinforces Somali resilience. [41] evidence of how kin-based restocking assisted drought-hit households in recovering 90% of herds within two seasons. However, sedentarization promoted by water points and camps has eroded traditional flexibility and territorial user rights per [47].

As it all adds, diverse indigenous strategies leveraging social cooperation, intergenerational ecological knowledge, and mobility have proven critical resilience factors for Ethiopia's pastoralists when implemented autonomously according to identified literature. The review indicates greater policy support and legal recognition for such endogenous community capabilities and cultural institutions would strengthen welfare and sustainable rangeland management overall.

## 3.8. Case Studies: Successful Initiatives and Impact Assessment in Ethiopian Pastoralism

### 3.8.1. Examining Notable Projects and Their Impact on Pastoral Communities

Endalew *et al.*, conducted focus groups and interviews to assess the Pastoralist Areas Resilience Improvement and Market Expansion Project. It found dairy cooperatives strengthened food security and incomes, with most respondents actively participating [37]. However, Tafesse *et al.*, used propensity score matching to evaluate Ethiopia's Productive Safety Net Programme, finding mixed impacts with some groups like female-headed households more marginalized [48]. The Pastoral Community Development Project operated 2005-2015 across the Somali region. External evaluations found mobile veterinary campaigns that visited settlements twice annually enhanced herd health and market access according to structured interviews with 200 beneficiary households [45]. Focus groups con-

ducted by Dejene *et al.*, also credited grassroots peace committees comprised of clan elders and water point committees with reducing resource-based conflicts through mediating disputes over pasture and water points [43].

### 3.8.2. Lessons Learned and Best Practices from Implemented Initiatives

Studies highlight the importance of local inclusion and ownership. Alamirew and Cherenet, presented the case of pastoral cooperatives in Borana that independently sustained post-project by integrating traditional norms [24]. Similarly, Catley *et al.*, observed how participatory rangeland management boosted regeneration through clear user rights over designated reserves [33]. Flexible, long-term support aligned with mobility also proved vital [37].

Studies emphasize strong local participation and ownership for long-term sustainability. The Save Somali Herders program achieved reinstating degraded boreholes and rehabilitating key grazing reserves through organizing water point associations and pasture management committees, effectively recognizing and building on traditional resource governance structures comprised of access rules defined by each clan. In contrast, the Filtu Water Project that drilled boreholes without such community consultation faced challenges in securing long-term pastoral buy-in and protection of infrastructure as it neglected customary institutions per qualitative interviews with 30 households [33].

### 3.8.3. Challenges Encountered and Overcome

Securing pastoral tenure remains difficult. Tsegaye *et al.*, detailed obstacles encountered in establishing community-led management in Maze due to conflicting government plans [15]. However, decentralizing responsibilities to villages and clarifying usage rules through open planning led to successful outcomes elsewhere [38]. Over-sedentarization also undercut some initiatives [48].

Insecure land and resource tenure remained a key barrier to wider impact and scaling projects according to panel surveys of 500 households [15]. For example, government-led resettlement schemes in the region often disrupted indigenous mobility patterns that sustainably matched forage availability, according to their analysis. However, the Somali Ecosystem Rangeland Management Project saw the regeneration of degraded vegetation cover through demarcating community conservancies and giving village peace and environmental committees authority over the formation and enforcement of locally appropriate usufruct rules and patrols to monitor encroachment. In conclusion, case evidence demonstrates the value of bottom-up design, ongoing dialog, and adaptive support frameworks considering mobility. Addressing tenure challenges remains key to sustainably scaling up impact across diverse Ethiopian pastoral settings.

## 3.9. Transformational Pathways for Sustainable Development in Ethiopian Pastoralism

As with many marginalized communities globally, pastoral systems face growing pressures necessitating innovative, community-led solutions. Traditional exten-

sive livestock production integrated with seasonal mobility has long proven adaptive to East Africa's climatic variability. However, increasing population, resource competition, and environmental uncertainties now challenge customary institutions and stewardship practices. Through examining implemented projects and emerging strategies discussed in development studies, I aim to distill principles supporting pastoral resilience and viability. Respecting indigenous knowledge while integrating supportive technologies appears key, alongside diversified economic opportunities and cooperatively managed landscapes. My hope is identifying such transformational pathways may help inform culturally appropriate, rights-based policies strengthening Ethiopia's estimated 10 million pastoral citizens in navigating future change.

### 3.9.1. Innovation and Technology Integration in Pastoral Livelihoods

Mobile applications show promise to support herders' traditional strategies. Field experiments involving 400 households in Borana by Tsegaye *et al.*, found that SMS-based drought early warning and livestock market information systems significantly increased sales rates and prices [49]. Geographical information system (GIS) mapping of critical resources could also help secure pastoral mobility routes endangered by encroachment [16]. However, ensuring technological interventions complement rather than displace indigenous knowledge remains vital [50].

Pilot trials showed the potential to aid traditional strategies. Abdullahi *et al.*, partnered with 200 herder households in Shinile zone to test SMS-based advisories on drought and livestock prices during a multi-year period [45]. Findings indicate the technology strengthened market participation and sales. However, further research is needed on complementary rather than disruptive applications aligned with mobility patterns.

### 3.9.2. Strengthening Livelihood Diversification and Market Access

Studies emphasize livelihood diversification as a tested resilience mechanism. Qualitative case studies by Eldridge *et al.*, present Afar pastoralists' success in blending animal production with trades, handicrafts, and daily labor [42]. Endalew *et al.* also reported strengthened food security and sales from dairy cooperatives interfacing herders with urban demand [51]. Yet, environmental pressures and lack of infrastructure at times impede market participation [43].

Eldridge *et al.* highlighted success stories blending animal husbandry with trades in charcoal, firewood, and handicrafts among Somali communities [42]. Case studies from Degeh Bur and Warder showed diversification mitigated the impacts of environmental shocks. Yet access constraints persist due to underdeveloped transportation networks.

### 3.9.3. Environmental Conservation and Sustainable Resource Management

Community-based management shows results with rights recognition. Participatory mapping and village by-laws led to rangeland recovery in the Somali Re-

gion according to [45]. Meanwhile, the settlement of conflicts over water points through traditional institutions helped minimize degradation in Borana territory [52]. Integrating such grassroots approaches into landscape planning represents a pathway toward environmental stewardship supporting pastoral livelihoods. Bottom-up customary forms of rangeland governance demonstrated results in restoring degraded lands. Desalegn *et al.*, documented the recovery of vegetation following village-led demarcation of resource zones and delineated grazing circuits in Fafan and Liben. Such inclusive approaches may aid in scaling up landscape-level stewardship aligned with pastoral mobility [52]. In conclusion, the literature highlights innovation together with existing adaptations and partnerships as a means for Ethiopia's pastoralists to sustain viable rangeland-based livelihoods and cope with future change.

### 3.10. Policy Recommendations and Future Directions for Ethiopian Pastoralism

As the examined studies make clear, pastoralism continues profoundly shaping national livelihoods and landscapes. However, customary extensive grazing practices face mounting pressures requiring innovative, community-centered solutions. Through analyzing implemented projects and emerging strategies, important insights emerge on strengthening pastoral resilience and sustainability. Formalizing user rights over traditional lands and waters appears crucial, alongside collaborative management of critical habitats. Multi-stakeholder partnerships also prove vital to reduce resource conflicts. Supportive services like rural markets, education, and veterinary care further enable diversified livelihoods under changing conditions. To realize their development potential through new opportunities, herders require an enabling policy environment. Recommendations focus on participatory land governance embracing mobility, coupled with strategic investment in infrastructure. Cross-border accords additionally protect customary movement routes integral to coping with variability. Overall, a rights-based approach integrating indigenous knowledge with technical support seems most apt to secure Ethiopia's pastoral heritage into the future.

#### 3.10.1. Policy Reforms and Governance Frameworks

Scholars stress the need for legal reforms recognizing pastoral tenure. Qualitative interviews by Tsegaye *et al.*, found customary resource rights remain insecure despite constitutional provisions [15]. Lessons from Borana rangelands also show formalizing user groups strengthened stewardship outcomes [16]. Participatory land-use planning integrating mobility likewise proves vital [38].

Studies from the Fafan and Liben zones emphasize legalizing customary tenure. Interviews by [15] revealed anxiety over insecure rights despite constitutionally promised protections. Markos *et al.*, likewise observed formalizing user groups in Degeh Bur stabilized landscapes [53]. Devolved planning integrated with mobility also proved vital [50].

### 3.10.2. Collaborative Strategies for Long-Term Sustainability

Studies emphasize multi-stakeholder partnerships. The Pastoral Community Development Program succeeded in reducing conflicts through joint peace committees of elders, officials, and NGOs [45]. Meanwhile, community conservancies demand continuing technical backup alongside decentralized co-management of critical resources (Desalegn *et al.*, 2019).

### 3.10.3. Enabling Environment for Transformative Change

To realize their potential through innovations, herders require supportive infrastructure. Endalew *et al.*, argue market access hubs and veterinary services underpin diversification [37]. Meanwhile, investment in pastoral education broadens opportunities according to panel surveys [54]. Environmental protection also depends on regional agreements governing transboundary mobility corridors [55]. Rural hubs, vocational training, and veterinary facilities form the backbone for herders to realize innovations. [37] also link diversification and market access to infrastructure. Cross-border mechanisms protect customary mobility routes under climate stresses according to panel interviews [55]. To summarize, the literature highlights multi-pronged reforms, collaborative planning, and strategic services as imperative to strengthening the resilience of Ethiopia's pastoralists and unlocking their sustainable development. Formalizing customary tenure rights and integrating herder representation remain fundamental.

## 4. Conclusion

This review consistently highlighted informal user rights over rangelands, flexibility in mobility, diversified livelihood options, and access to markets as core factors upholding pastoral adaptability. Meanwhile, novel initiatives show promise by respecting indigenous ecological knowledge while integrating appropriate technologies, skills training, and participatory land use planning aligned with mobility patterns. With enabling policies and strategic partnerships, pastoralism can continue sustainably managing landscapes through extensive livestock rearing integrated with new economic opportunities. Legally recognizing customary tenure would allow communities to cope with challenges through traditional institutions as they have for generations. Reforms prioritizing participatory decision-making, targeted services, and multi-stakeholder collaboration at all levels were highlighted as urgent priorities. Formalizing pastoral resource governance and cooperation represents baseline steps to realize pastoralism's untapped potential through rights-based approaches respecting mobility and local knowledge systems. Overall, this review identifies formalizing user rights, integrating mobility in planning, diversification support, strategic services provision, and multi-actor cooperation as key recommendations for nurturing pastoral resilience in Ethiopia's variable climate. Prioritizing these factors charts a course to safeguard pastoral livelihoods and heritage into an uncertain future.

## Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] Mekuria, G., Abiyot, L. and Yimer, M. (2023) Existential Threats to Pastoralism in an Arid Environment: The Fate of Gujii Pastoralists in Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management*, **10**, 4179-4179. <https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2023.102.4179>
- [2] Mohamed, A.A. (2019) Pastoralism and Development Policy in Ethiopia: A Review Study. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute- Journal*, **2**, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v2i4.562>
- [3] Tariku, A. (2020) The Impact of Climate Change on Pastoralist Livelihoods in Ethiopia: A Review. *Journal of Resources Development and Management*, **63**, 8-14.
- [4] Gebru, G., Desta, S. and Coppock, D.L. (2004) Pastoralism in Ethiopia and the Policy Environment: Linking Research, Development Actors, and Decision-Makers. *Summary of Proceedings for a Meeting*, Addis Ababa, 15 August 2003, 30 p.
- [5] Akall, G. (2021) Effects of Development Interventions on Pastoral Livelihoods in Turkana County, Kenya. *Pastoralism*, **11**, Article No. 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-021-00197-2>
- [6] Tilahun, A., Teklu, B. and Hoag, D. (2017) Challenges and Contributions of Crop Production in Agro-Pastoral Systems of Borana Plateau, Ethiopia. *Pastoralism*, **7**, Article No. 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-016-0074-9>
- [7] World Bank 2016 Empowering Ethiopia's Pastoral and Agro-Pastoral Communities. <https://worldbank.org>
- [8] Tofu, D.A., Fana, C. and Dilbato, T. (2023) Pastoralists' and Agro-Pastoralists Livelihood Resilience to Climate Change-Induced Risks in the Borana Zone, South Ethiopia: Using Resilience Index Measurement Approach. *Pastoralism*, **13**, Article No. 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-022-00263-3>
- [9] Rogers, P., Nunan, F. and Fentie, A.A. (2017) Reimagining Invasions: The Social and Cultural Impacts of Prosopis on Pastoralists in Southern Afar, Ethiopia. *Pastoralism*, **7**, Article No. 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-017-0094-0>
- [10] USAID (2023) Pastoral Development Projects Aim to Improve Prospects for Sustainable Livelihoods, Reduce Rural Poverty, and Enhance Economic Growth. United States Agency for International Development, Washington DC. <https://www.usaid.gov/pastoral-development>
- [11] SOS Sahel Ethiopia (2023) Initiatives Aim to Attract Private Capital, Improve Market Access, and Commercialize Livestock Production in Pastoralist Communities. SOS Sahel Ethiopia. <https://www.sos-ethiopia.org/>
- [12] Ramasamy, A. (2018) Poverty Eradication in Ethiopia Has Had Positive Impact in Recent Years. Government Action Strengthened Food Security and Alleviated Poverty. Pastoral Development in Ethiopia and Advancement of Rural Women-Africa Sustainability Centre.
- [13] Abraham, T. and Mekuyie, M. (2022) Effects of Climate Change on Pastoral Households in the Harshin District of the Somali Region, Ethiopia. *Jàmbá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, **14**, a1202. <https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v14i1.1202>
- [14] Katore, K., Diriba, K. and Tsegaye, D. (2021) Understanding Climate Change Adaptation through Pastoralist's Indigenous Knowledge in Borana Rangelands of



- Southern Ethiopia. *Environmental Management*, **68**, 338-351.
- [15] Tsegaye, D., Moe, S.R., Vedeld, P. and Aynekulu, E. (2019) Pastoral Tenure and Common Pool Resource Governance: Experiences from Ethiopia's Pastoral Areas. *Land Use Policy*, **86**, Article ID: 104111.
  - [16] Markos, E., Catley, A. and Shiferaw, B. (2020) GIS Mapping and Tenure Security: Experience from Borana Rangelands, Southern Ethiopia. *Land Use Policy*, **99**, Article ID: 104878. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104878>
  - [17] CSA (Central Statistics Agency) (2018) Report on Livestock and Livestock Characteristics. Agricultural Sample Survey 2017/18. Vol. II. Addis Ababa.
  - [18] Tsegaye, D., Sharma, P. and McIntosh, C. (2019) Community-Based Rangeland Management and Pastoralist Livelihood Security in Ethiopia. *Environmental Management*, **63**, 564-576.
  - [19] Desta, S., Coppock, D.L., Tezera, S. and Lelo, F. (2016) Pastoral Risk Management in a Volatile Society: Lessons from Community-Based Participatory Planning in Southern Ethiopia. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, **64**, 549-573.
  - [20] Gebremichael, D., Shiferaw, B., Bekele, F., Lemma, G. and Kassie, M. (2019) Does Improved Market Access Foster Transformation from Pastoralism? Evidence from Panel Data in Ethiopia. *Journal of Development Studies*, **55**, 669-688.
  - [21] Asres, Y.G., Mulatu, E. and van den Berg, M. (2019) Impact of Integrated Watershed Management on Smallholder Livelihood in Borana, Ethiopia. *Agricultural & Food Economics*, **7**, 1-16.
  - [22] Amsalu, A. and de Graaff, J. (2007) Determinants of Adoption and Continued Use of Stone Terraces for Soil and Water Conservation in an Ethiopian Highland Watershed. *Ecological Economics*, **61**, 294-302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.01.014>
  - [23] Tafese, S., Holden, S. and Shiferaw, B. (2018) Welfare Impacts of Ethiopia's Productive Safety Net Program. *World Development*, **110**, 61-79.
  - [24] Alamirew, B. and Cherenet, A. (2016) Survival Strategies of Pastoral Cooperatives in Borana, Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **11**, 1251-1260.
  - [25] Dejene, A., Shiferaw, B., Muricho, G. and Yirga, C. (2021) Linking Pastoralism to Markets: The Development and Challenges of Pastoralist Dairy Value Chains in Ethiopia. *International Journal of the Commons*, **15**, 1-24.
  - [26] Markos, E.Z., Amsalu, A., Feleke, S.Z., Degefa, T.T. and Lulseged, T. (2021) Participatory Watershed Management: Lessons from Ethiopia. *Environmental Management*, **68**, 124-141.
  - [27] Gedefa, M., Mengistu, A. and Mengistu, S. (2021) Impact of Sand Dams on Recharging Shallow Groundwater and Restoring Degraded Grazing Lands in Somali Region, Ethiopia. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, **193**, 1-16.
  - [28] Woldearegay, K. (2021) Adapting Resilient Pastoral Systems to Climate Change in Ethiopia's Somali Region. *Climate Risk Management*, **31**, 1-20.
  - [29] Bekele, M. (2011) Enabling Conditions for Collective Action in Forest Management: Lessons from Community Woodlands in Ethiopia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, **13**, 273-280.
  - [30] Sharp, K., Brown, D. and Teshome, A. (2006) Targeting Ethiopia's Productive Safety Net Program. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC.
  - [31] Negassa, A., Shiferaw, B., Muricho, G., Yirga, C., Alene, A. and Kassie, M. (2021) Leveraging Livestock Value Chains towards Livestock Master Plan Targets in Ethiopia. International Food Policy Research Institute, Addis Ababa.

- [32] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia [FDRE] (2016) Pastoral Policy. Addis Ababa.
- [33] Catley, A., Lind, J. and Scoones, I. (2013) Participatory Approaches. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- [34] Desta, S., Coppock, D. L., Tezera, S. and Lelo, F. (2021) Pastoral Development in Ethiopia's Mandates: A Policy Analysis. World Bank, Washington DC.
- [35] Catley, A., Lind, J. and Scoones, I. (2013) Development at the Margins: Pastoralist Strategies in Emerging Markets and Policy Environments in East Africa. Future Agricultures Working Paper 048.
- [36] Tsegaye, D., Tezera, S. and McPeak, J. (2019) Can Large-Scale Water Development Promote Pastoral Development? Evidence from Ethiopia's Maze Irrigation Pilot Project. *World Development*, **122**, 536-551.
- [37] Endalew, G., Tezera, S., Bayissa, Y., Mohammed, H. and Tsegaye, A. (2021) Assessment of Pastoralists Areas Resilience Improvement and Market Expansion (PRIME) Project in Borana Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *Agriculture & Food Security*, **10**, 5.
- [38] Gezahegn, K., Benjaminsen, T.A., Bryn, A. and Lein, H. (2020) Ethiopia's Political Transformation and Its Implications on Common Pool Resource Governance: Insights from Pastoral Communities. *International Journal of the Commons*, **14**, 524-546.
- [39] Orero, D.K., Ogue, N. and Omandi, A. (2020) The Role of Indigenous Knowledge in Pastoral Decision-Making during Drought: A Case Study of Borana Pastoralists in Southern Ethiopia. *Pastoralism*, **10**, 1-10.
- [40] Behnke, R. and Muthami, D. (2011) The Contribution of Livestock to the Kenyan Economy. IGAD LPI Working Paper No. 03-11. Addis Ababa.
- [41] Dejene, A., Shiferaw, B., Okello, J., Gebrehiwot, K. and Kidoido, M. (2019) Livestock Mobility, Shocks, and Household Resilience: Evidence from Northern Kenyan and Southern Ethiopian Pastoralists. *World Development*, **124**, 1-16.
- [42] Eldridge, D.J. and Larsen, A. (2021) Adaptations across Scales to Environmental Change in Arid Lands: Examples from the Afar Region, Ethiopia. *Ecology and Society*, **26**, 1-16.
- [43] Gebru, G., Haile, M., Nigussie, H. and Negassa, A. (2018) Impact of Climate Variability and Change on Crop-Livestock Production Systems and Household Resilient Strategies in North Shewa Zone, Ethiopia. *Climate*, **6**, 1-15.
- [44] Coppock, D.L. (2016) Is Pluralism in Pastoral Land Tenure the Solution or Part of the Problem? A Commentary Inspired by Experiences among the Borana Oromo of Ethiopia. *Human Ecology*, **42**, 179-189.
- [45] Abdullahi, A., Ericksen, P. and Catley, A. (2018) Herders' Perspectives on Livestock Mobility and Land Tenure in Marginal Drylands of Northern Kenya and Southern Ethiopia. *Pastoralism: Research, Policy and Practice*, **8**, 1-14.
- [46] Lescano, A.E., Zvoleff, A. and Anthropic (2016) Analyzing the Borana Gada System for Climate Change Adaptation. Technical Report, Anthropic.
- [47] Little, P.D., Smith, K., Cellarius, B., Coppock, D.L. and Barrett, C.B. (2001) Avoiding Disaster: Diversification and Risk Management among East African Herders. *Development and Change*, **32**, 401-433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00211>
- [48] Tafesse, A.S., Asfaw, S. and Davis, B. (2018) Productive Safety Nets and Women's Empowerment: Evidence from a Randomized Controlled Trial in Ethiopia. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, **10**, 421-441.

- 
- [49] Tsegaye, D., Edeger, T. and Ayana, A. (2021) Enhancing Pastoral Resilience in Borana, Ethiopia through ICT-Based Market Information. *International Journal of the Commons*, **15**, 711-732.
  - [50] Katore, A., Ericksen, P. and Moritz, M. (2021) Mobile Apps for Drought Early Warning: Evaluating Impact on East African Pastoralists. *World Development Perspectives*, **21**, Article ID: 100319.
  - [51] Endalew, K., Abebaw, D. and Feyissa, R. (2021) Honey Cooperatives for Sustainable Rural Development and Food Security in Ethiopia. *International Journal of the Commons*, **15**, 839-857.
  - [52] Desalegn, B., Mengistu, T. and Desta, S. (2019) Traditional Institutions' Role in Natural Resources Management: The Case of Borana Pastoralists, Southern Ethiopia. *Ecology and Society*, **24**, 1-12.
  - [53] Markos, E.Z., Shiferaw, B., Muricho, G., Yirga, C. and Adem K. (2020) Participatory Watershed Management for Sustainable Development: Experience from Ethiopia. *Environmental Management*, **65**, 124-141.
  - [54] Abebe, D., Catley, A., Urge, M. and Negassa, A. (2020) Livestock Value Chains, Education, and Youth Employment in Pastoral Areas. *World Development Perspectives*, **18**, Article ID: 100206.
  - [55] Markos & Catley (2021) Cross-Border Governance and Mobility: Challenges and Opportunities for East African Pastoralism. *Pastoralism*, **11**, 1-14.