



Generalized Stability of the Quadratic Type λ -Functional Equation with $3k$ -Variables in Non-Archimedean Banach Space and Non-Archimedean Random Normed Space

Ly Van An

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Tay Ninh, Vietnam

Email: lyvanan145@gmail.com, lyvananvietnam@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: An, L.V. (2023) Generalized Stability of the Quadratic Type λ -Functional Equation with $3k$ -Variables in Non-Archimedean Banach Space and Non-Archimedean Random Normed Space. *Open Access Library Journal*, **10**: e9821. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109821>

Received: January 30, 2023

Accepted: February 21, 2023

Published: February 24, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we study to solve the quadratic type λ -functional equation with $3k$ variables. First, we investigated in non-Archimedean Banach spaces with a fixed point method, next, we investigated in non-Archimedean Banach spaces with a direct method and finally we do research in non-Archimedean random spaces. I will show that the solutions of the quadratic type λ -functional equation are quadratic type mappings. These are the main results of this paper.

Subject Areas

Mathematics

Keywords

Quadratic λ -Functional Equation, Non-Archimedean Normed Space, Non-Archimedean Banach Space, Fixed Point Method, Direct Method, Hyers-Ulam Stability, Random Normed Spaces, Non-Archimedean Random Normed Space

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} be a normed spaces on the same field \mathbb{K} , and $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$. We use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for all the norm on both \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . In this paper, I study and expand the λ -function equation from non-Archimedean normed space to non-Archimedean random normed space.

In fact, when \mathbf{X} is non-Archimedean normed space and \mathbf{Y} is non-Archimedean Banach spaces.

Or \mathbf{X} is a vector over field \mathbb{K} and (\mathbf{Y}, Γ, T) be a non-Archimedean random Banach space over field \mathbb{K} . We solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability of forllowing quadratic λ -functional equation.

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \lambda^{-2m} f\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where: Let $|2k| \neq 1$, λ is a fixed non-Archimedean number with $\lambda^{-2m} \neq 4k - 1$ and k, m is a positive integer. The notions of non-Archimedean normed space and non-Archimedean Banach spaces and non-Archimedean random Banach space over field \mathbb{K} will remind in the next section. The study the stability of generalized stability of the quadratic type λ -functional equation with variables in non-Archimedean Banach space and non-Archimedean Random normed space originated from a question of S.M. Ulam [1], concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let $(\mathbf{G}, *)$ be a group and let (\mathbf{G}', \circ, d) be a metric group with metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Geven $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}'$ satisfies

$$d(f(x * y), f(x) \circ f(y)) < \delta, \forall x \in \mathbf{G}$$

then there is a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}'$ with

$$d(f(x), h(x)) < \varepsilon, \forall x \in \mathbf{G}$$

The Hyers [2] gave firts affirmative partial answer to the equation of Ulam in Banach spaces. After that, Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. Gajda following the same approach as in Rassias gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. It was shown by Gajda [5], as well as by Rassias and Semr [6] that one cannot prove a Rassias, type theorem when $p = 1$. The counterexamples of Gajda, as well as of Rassias and Semr have stimulated several matematicians to invent new definition of approximately additive or approximately linear mappings, was obtained by Gävruta [7].

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The functonal equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic functional mapping.

The stability the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [8] for mappings $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, where E_1 is a normed space and E_2 is a Banach space.

Recently the author studied the Hyers-Ulam stability for the following α -func-

tional equation.

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x + y) + \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x - y))$$

in Non-Archimedean Banach spaces and non-Archimedean Random normed space.

In this paper, we solve and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability for λ -functional Equation (1.1), *i.e.* the λ -functional equation with $3k$ -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , we will prove that the mappings satisfying the λ -functional Equation (1.1). Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [9] for λ -functional equation with $3k$ -variables.

In this paper, based on the work of world mathematicians [1]-[33], I introduce a new generalized quadratic function equation with $3k$ -variables to improve the classical form, which is a new breakthrough for the development of this field functional equation.

The paper is organized as follows: In section preliminarier we remind some basic notations in [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] such as non-Archimedean field, Non-Archimedean normed space and non-Archimedean Banach space, Random normed spaces, Non-Archimedean random normed space.

Section 3: Establishing the solution for (1.1) by the fixed point method in Non-Archimedean Banach space.

+ Condition for existence of solutions for Equation (1.1)

+ Constructing a solution for (1.1).

Section 4: Establishing the solution for (1.1) by the direct method in Non-Archimedean Banach space

Section 5: Construct a solution for (1.1) on non-Archimedean Random normed space.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Non-Archimedean Normed and Banach Spaces

A valuation is a function $|\cdot|$ from a field \mathbb{K} into $[0, \infty)$ such that 0 is the unique element having the 0 valuation,

$$|r \cdot s| = |r| \cdot |s|, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}$$

and the triangle inequality holds, *i.e.*;

$$|r + s| \leq |r| + |s|, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}$$

A field \mathbb{K} is called a valued filed if \mathbb{K} carries a valuation. The usual absolute values of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are examples of valuation. Let us consider a vavluation which satisfies a stronger condition than the triangle inaquality. If the tri triangle inequality is replaced by

$$|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}$$

then the function $|\cdot|$ is called a norm-Archimedean valuational, and filed. Clearly $|1| = |-1| = 1$ and $|n| \leq 1, \forall n \in N$. A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valu-

ation is the function $|\cdot|$ talking everything except for 0 into 1 and $|0|=0$ this paper, we assume that the base field is a non-Archimedean field, hence call it simply a field. Let X be a vector space over a field \mathbb{K} with a non-Archimedean $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said a non-Archimedean norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $x=0$;
- 2) $\|rx\|=|r|\|x\|$ ($r \in \mathbb{K}, x \in X$);
- 3) the strong triangle inequality

$$\|x+y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, x, y \in X$$

hold. Then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a norm-Archimedean norm space.

1) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a non-Archimedean normed space X . Then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy if for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there a positive integer N such that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $n, m \geq N$

2) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a norm-Archimedean normed space X . Then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy if for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there a positive integer N such that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $n, m \geq N$. Then we call $x \in X$ a limit of sequence x_n and denote $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

3) If every sequence Cauchy in X converges, then the norm-Archimedean normed space X is called a norm-Archimedean Banach space.

2.2. Random Normed Spaces

A random normed space is triple (\mathbf{X}, Γ, T) , where \mathbf{X} is a vector space, T is a continuous t-norm, and Γ is a mapping from \mathbf{X} into \mathbf{D}^+ such that, the following conditions hold:

- 1) (RN₁) $\Gamma_x(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- 2) (RN₂) $\Gamma_{\alpha x}(t) = \Gamma_x\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}, \alpha \neq 0$;
- 3) (RN₃) $\Gamma_{x+y}(t+s) \geq T(\Gamma_x(t), \Gamma_y(s))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{X}, t, s \geq 0$;

Note: If (\mathbf{X}, Γ, T) is a random normed space and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{x_n}(t) = \Gamma_x(t)$ almost everywhere.

2.3. Non-Archimedean Random Normed Space

A non-Archimedean random normed space is triple (\mathbf{X}, Γ, T) , where \mathbf{X} is a linear space over a non-Archimedean field \mathbb{K} , T is a continuous t-norm, and Γ is a mapping from \mathbf{X} into \mathbf{D}^+ such that, the following conditions hold:

- 1) (NA-RN₁) $\Gamma_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

$$2) \text{ (NA-RN}_2) \quad \Gamma_{\alpha x}(t) = \Gamma_x\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0, \alpha \neq 0;$$

$$3) \text{ (NA-RN}_3) \quad \Gamma_{x+y}(\max\{t, s\}) \geq T(\Gamma_x(t), \Gamma_y(s)) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbf{X}, t, s \geq 0;$$

It is easy to see that if (NA-RN₃) hold then so is (RN₃)

$$\Gamma_{x+y}(\max\{t, s\}) \geq T(\Gamma_x(t), \Gamma_y(s))$$

Let (\mathbf{X}, Γ, T) is a non-Archimedean random normed space. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathbf{X} . Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent if there exists $x \in \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{x_n - x}(t) = 1$$

for all $t > 0$. In that case, x is called the limit of sequence $\{x_n\}$

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J: X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n, J^{n+1}) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

$$1) \quad d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty, \quad \forall n \geq n_0;$$

2) The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;

3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty\}$;

$$4) \quad d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy) \quad \forall y \in Y$$

2.4. Solutions of the Equation

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be an *quadratic mapping*.

The functional equation

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called a *Jensen type* the quadratic functional equation

3. Establishing the of (1.1) in Non-Archimedean Banach Space

3.1. Condition for Existence of Solutions for Equation (1.1)

Note that for Quadratic λ -functional equation, \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} is be vector space.

Lemma 2. Suppose \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be vector space. If mapping $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ sa-

tisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \lambda^{-2m} f\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$ then $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is quadratic type

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ satisfies (2)

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (2), we get

$$(4k-1)f(0) = \lambda^{-2m} f(0) \quad (3)$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Next we replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (2), we have

$$f(x) = \lambda^{-2m} f(\lambda^m x) \quad (4)$$

and so $f(\lambda^m x) = \lambda^{2m} f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Thus from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \lambda^{-2m} f\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$

Next now we replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0)$ in (2), we have

$$f(2x) = 2^2 f(x) \quad (6)$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{X}$.

Next we replace x by $2x$, we get

$$f(2^2 x) = 2^4 f(x) \quad (7)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, So from (6) and (7) we have the general case for every m being a positive integer, we have

$$f(2^m x) = 2^{2m} f(x) \quad (8)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, So we get the desired result.

Notice now we replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, y, \dots, 0)$ in (5) we have

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

So, the function f is quadratic. \square

3.2. Constructing a Solution for (1.1)

Now, we first study the solutions of (1.1). Note that for Quadratic λ -functional equation, \mathbb{X} is a non-Archimedean normed space and \mathbb{Y} is a non-Archimedean Banach space then use fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Quadratic λ -functional equation in Non-Archimedean Banach space. Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (1.1) is quadratic. These results are give in the following.

Theorem 3. Suppose $\varphi: \mathbb{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $0 < L < 1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k}, \frac{x_2}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k}, \frac{y_1}{2k}, \frac{y_2}{2k}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2k}, \frac{z_1}{2k}, \frac{z_2}{2k}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2k}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{L}{|4k|} \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Let $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $H: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{|4k|(1-L)} \varphi(x, \dots, x, x, \dots, x, x, \dots, x) \quad (11)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x)$ in (10), we get

$$\|f(2kx) - 4kf(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x) \quad (12)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Now we consider the set

$$\mathbb{M} := \{h: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S as follows:

$$d(g, h) := \inf \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R} : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \beta \varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x), \forall x \in \mathbb{X} \},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. That has been proven by mathematicians (\mathbb{M}, d) is complete see [14]

Now we consider the linear mapping $T: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ such that

$$Tg(x) := 4kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Let $g, h \in \mathbb{M}$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tg(x) - Th(x)\| &= \left\| 4kg\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - 4khf\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq |4k| \varepsilon \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}\right) \\ &\leq |4k| \varepsilon \frac{L}{|4k|} \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, 0, 0, \dots, 0, x, x, \dots, x) \\ &\leq L\varepsilon \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, 0, 0, \dots, 0, x, x, \dots, x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Tg, Th) \leq L \cdot \varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Tg, Th) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in \mathbb{M}$. It follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x) - 4kf\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) \right\| &\leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2k}, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{L}{|4k|} \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, 0, 0, \dots, 0, x, x, \dots, x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So $d(f, Tf) \leq \frac{L}{|4k|}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. By Theorem 1.2, there exists a mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ satisfying the following:

1) H is a fixed point of T , i.e.,

$$H(x) = 4kH\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) \quad (13)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The mapping H is a unique fixed point T in the set

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{g \in \mathbb{M} : d(f, g) < \infty\}$$

This implies that H is a unique mapping satisfying (13) such that there exists a $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \beta \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, 0, 0, \dots, 0, x, x, \dots, x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

2) $d(T^l f, H) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) = H(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

3) $d(f, H) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Tf)$. Which implies

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{|4k|(1-L)} \varphi(x, x, \dots, x, 0, 0, \dots, 0, x, x, \dots, x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows (9) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j + y_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(z_j) - H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda^{-2m} H\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^n \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^n}\right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{z_j}{(2k)^n}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j}{(2k)^n}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f\left(\lambda^m \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j}{(2k)^n}\right)\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{(2k)^n}, \frac{x_2}{(2k)^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{(2k)^n}, \frac{y_1}{(2k)^n}, \frac{y_2}{(2k)^n}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{(2k)^n}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{z_1}{(2k)^n}, \frac{z_2}{(2k)^n}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{(2k)^n}\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j \rightarrow k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j + y_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(z_j) - H\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \\ & \quad - \lambda^{-2m} H\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$. By Lemma 3.1, the mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is quadratic type. \square

Theorem 4. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbb{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $0 < L < 1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \\ & \leq |4k| K \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2k}, \frac{x_2}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2k}, \frac{y_1}{2k}, \frac{y_2}{2k}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2k}, \frac{z_1}{2k}, \frac{z_2}{2k}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2k}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f\left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Then there exists a unique quadratic

type mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{|4k|(1-L)} \varphi(x, \dots, x, x, \dots, x, x, \dots, x) \quad (16)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.2 with note that mapping $T : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$, $Tg(x) := \frac{1}{4k} g(2kx)$.

Corollary 1. Let $r < 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{|2k|^r - |4k|} \|x\|^r \quad (18)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Corollary 2. Let $r > 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $H : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{|4k| - |2k|^r} \|x\|^r \quad (20)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

4. Establishing a Solution to the Quadratic λ -Functional Equation Using the Direct Methoduse in Non-Archimedean Banach Space

Next, we are going to study the solutions of (1.1) for Quadratic λ -functional eq-

uation use direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Quadratic λ -functional equation, the \mathbb{X} is a Non-Archimedean normed space and \mathbb{Y} is a Non-Archimedean Banach space, and the field \mathbb{K} satisfy $|2k| \neq 1, \lambda^{-2m} \neq 4k - 1$. Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (1.1) is quadratic. These results are give in the following

Theorem 5. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^j \varphi \left(\frac{x_1}{(2k)^j}, \frac{x_2}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{(2k)^j}, \frac{y_1}{(2k)^j}, \frac{y_2}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{(2k)^j}, \frac{z_1}{(2k)^j}, \frac{z_2}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{(2k)^j} \right) = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$\left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \quad (22)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $H: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ |4k|^{j-1} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x}{(2k)^j} \right) \right\} \quad (23)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x)$ in (22), we have

$$\|f(2kx) - 4kf(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x) \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Therefore

$$\left\| f(x) - 4kf \left(\frac{x}{2k} \right) \right\| \leq \varphi \left(\frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2k}, \dots, \frac{x}{2k} \right) \quad (25)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (4k)^l f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l} \right) - (4k)^m f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m} \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left\| (4k)^l f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l} \right) - (4k)^{l+1} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{l+1}} \right) \right\|, \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\| (4k)^{m-1} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{m-1}} \right) - (4k)^m f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m} \right) \right\| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \max \left\{ \left| 4k^l \left\| f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l} \right) - 4kf \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{l+1}} \right) \right\|, \dots, \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. |4k|^{m-1} \left\| f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{m-1}} \right) - 4kf \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m} \right) \right\| \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{j \in \{l, l+1, \dots\}} \left\{ \left| 4k \right|^j \varphi \left(\frac{x_1}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \frac{y_1}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \dots, \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \frac{y_k}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \frac{z_1}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{(2k)^{j+1}} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows (26)

that the sequence $\left\{ (4k)^n f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n} \right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ (4k)^n f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n} \right) \right\}$ converges so one can define

the mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4k)^n f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n} \right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (26), we get (23). It follows from (21) and (22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j + y_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(z_j) - H \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \lambda^{-2m} H \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^n \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^n} \right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{z_j}{(2k)^n} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j}{(2k)^n} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j}{(2k)^n} \right) \right) \right\| \\
&\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^n \varphi \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \frac{x}{(2k)^j}, \dots, \frac{x}{(2k)^j} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(x_j + y_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k H(z_j) - H \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right)$$

$$-\lambda^{-2m} H \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. By Lemma 3.1, the mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is quadratic. Now, let $T : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be another quadratic mapping satisfying (23). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\| &= \left\| (4k)^q H \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^q} \right) - (4k)^q T \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^q} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left\| (4k)^q H \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^q} \right) - (4k)^q f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^q} \right) \right\|, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left\| (4k)^q T \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^q} \right) - (4k)^q f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^q} \right) \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ |4k|^{q+j-1} \varphi \left(\frac{x_1}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \frac{y_1}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{y_k}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \frac{z_1}{(2k)^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2^{j+1}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that

$H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a unique quadratic mapping satisfying (23) \square

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4k|^j} \varphi \left((2k)^{j-1} x_1, \dots, (2k)^{j-1} x_k, (2k)^{j-1} y_1, \dots, \right. \right. \quad (28)$$

$$\left. \left. (2k)^{j-1} y_k, (2k)^{j-1} z_1, \dots, (2k)^{j-1} z_k \right) \right\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \quad (29) \\ &\leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{|4k|^{j-1}} \varphi \left((2k)^{j-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{j-1} x, (2k)^{j-1} x, \dots, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (2k)^{j-1} x, (2k)^{j-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{j-1} x \right) \right\} \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 4.1.

Corollary 3. Let $r < 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2k\theta}{|2k|^r} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Corollary 4. Let $r > 2$, and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $H : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2k\theta}{|4k|} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

5. Construct a Solution for (1.1) on Non-Archimedean Random Normed Space

In this section, \mathbf{K} be a non-Archimedean field, \mathbf{X} is a vector space over \mathbf{K} and let (\mathbf{X}, Γ, T) be a non-Archimedean random Banach space over \mathbf{K}

We investigate the stability of the quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j) \\ & = f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + \lambda^{-2m} f \left(\lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $f(0) = 0$.

Next, we define a random approximately quadratic function. Let

$\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{3k+1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a distribution function such that

$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ is symmetric, nondecreasing and

$$\varphi\left(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x, \frac{t}{|\lambda|}\right) \leq \varphi(\lambda x, \dots, \lambda x, 0, \dots, 0, \lambda x, \dots, \lambda x, t) \quad (34)$$

For $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $\lambda \neq 0$.

Next, we define:

A mapping $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be φ -approximately quadratic mapping if

$$\Gamma_{f(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j) + \lambda^{-2m} f(\lambda^m (\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j)) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j)} (t) \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, t) \quad (35)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$, $t > 0$.

* Note: We assume that $2k \neq 0$ in \mathbb{K}

Theorem 7 For $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a φ -approximately quadratic mapping if there exist an $\beta \in \mathbb{R} (\beta > 0)$ and an integer $h, h \geq 2$ with $\beta > |(2k)^h|$ and $|2k| \neq 0$ such that

$$\varphi\left((2k)^{-h} x_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} x_k, (2k)^{-h} y_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} y_k, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_k, t\right) \geq \varphi\left((2k)^{-h} x_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} x_k, (2k)^{-h} y_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} y_k, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_k, \beta t\right) \quad (36)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$, $t > 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{j=n}^\infty M\left(x, \frac{\beta^j t}{|(2k)^{hj}|}\right) = 1 \quad (37)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $t > 0$.

Then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $Q : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\Gamma_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^\infty M\left(x, \frac{\beta^{i+1} t}{|(2k)^{hi}|}\right) = 1 \quad (38)$$

In there

$$M(x, t) = Q\left(\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x, t), \varphi(2kx, \dots, 2kx, 0, \dots, 0, 2kx, \dots, 2kx, t), \dots, \varphi\left((2k)^{h-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1} x, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^{h-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1} x, t\right)\right) \quad (39)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\forall t > 0$.

Proof. First, we show by induction on j that for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $t > 0$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$\Gamma_{f((2k)^j x) - (4k)^j f(x)}(t) \geq M_j(x, t) := T\left(\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x, t), \varphi(2kx, \dots, 2kx, 0, \dots, 0, 2kx, \dots, 2kx, t), \dots, \varphi\left((2k)^{h-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1} x, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^{h-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1} x, t\right)\right) \quad (40)$$

we replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k, t)$ by $(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x, t)$ in (35), we obtain

$$\Gamma_{f((2k)x) - (4k)f(x)}(t) \geq \varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x, t) \quad (41)$$

$x \in \mathbf{X}$, $t > 0$. This proves (40) for $j = 1$. We now assume that (40) holds for some $j \geq 1$. Next we replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k, t)$ by $((2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x, t)$ in (35) we have

$$\Gamma_{f((2k)^{j+1}x)-(4k)^j f((2k)^j x)}(t) \geq \varphi((2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x, t) \quad (42)$$

Since $|4k| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{f((2k)^{j+1}x)-(4k)^{j+1} f(x)}(t) \\ & \geq T \left(\Gamma_{f((2k)^{j+1}x)-(4k)^j f((2k)^j x)}(t), \Gamma_{(4k)^j f((2k)^j x)-(4k)^{j+1} f(x)}(t) \right) \\ & = T \left(\Gamma_{f((2k)^{j+1}x)-(4k)^j f((2k)^j x)}(t), \Gamma_{f((2k)^j x)-(4k)^j f(x)} \left(\frac{t}{|4k|} \right) \right) \\ & = T \left(\Gamma_{f((2k)^{j+1}x)-(4k)^j f((2k)^j x)}(t), \Gamma_{f((2k)^j x)-(4k)^j f(x)}(t) \right) \\ & = T \left(\varphi((2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x, t), M_j(x, t) \right) \\ & = M_{j+1}(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So in (40) holds for all $j \geq 1$.

Other way

$$\Gamma_{f((2k)^h x)-(4k)^h f(x)}(t) \geq M(x, t), \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0. \quad (44)$$

Next we replacing x by $(2k)^{-(hn+h)} x$ in (44) and using inequality (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}}\right)-(4k)^h f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn+h}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq M \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn+h}}, t \right) \geq M(x, \beta^{n+1} t), \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Then

$$\Gamma_{(4k)^{nh} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}}\right)-(4k)^{h+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn+h}}\right)}(t) \geq M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{|4k|^{hn}} t \right), \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (46)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{(4k)^{hm} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hm}}\right)-(4k)^{h(n+p)} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{h(n+p)}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq T_{j=n}^{n+p} \left(\Gamma_{(4k)^{hj} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hj}}\right)-(4k)^{h(n+j)} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{h(n+j)}}\right)}(t) \right) \\ & \geq T_{j=n}^{n+p} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{j+1}}{|4k|^{hj}} t \right) \\ & \geq T_{j=n}^{n+p} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{j+1}}{|4k|^j} t \right), \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{j=n}^{n+p} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{j+1}}{|4k|^{hi}} t \right) = 1, \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$\left\{ (4k)^{hn} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}} \right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the non-Archimedean random Banach space (\mathbf{Y}, Γ, T) . Hence, we can define a mapping $Q: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{(4k)^{hn} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}} \right) - Q(x)}(t) = 1, \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0, \quad (48)$$

Next for each $n \geq 1$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $t > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{f(x) - (4k)^{hn} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}} \right)}(t) &= \Gamma_{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (4k)^{hi} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hi}} \right) - (4k)^{h(i+1)} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{h(i+1)}} \right)}(t) \\ &\geq T_{i=0}^{n+p} \left(\Gamma_{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (4k)^{hi} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hi}} \right) - (4k)^{h(i+1)} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{h(i+1)}} \right)}(t) \right) \\ &\geq T_{i=0}^{n-1} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{i+1} t}{|4k|^{hi}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) &\geq T \left(\Gamma_{f(x) - (4k)^{hn} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}} \right)}(t), \Gamma_{(4k)^{hn} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}} \right) - Q(x)}(t) \right) \\ &\geq T \left(T_{i=0}^{n-1} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{i+1} t}{|4k|^{hi}} \right), \Gamma_{(4k)^{hn} f \left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}} \right) - Q(x)}(t) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=0}^{n-1} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{i+1} t}{|4k|^{hi}} \right) \quad (51)$$

As T is continuous, from a well-known result in probabilistic metric space see [12].

Now we put

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x &= 2(2k)^{hn} \sum_{j=1}^k f \left((2k)^{-hn} z_j \right) + 2(2k)^{hn} \sum_{j=1}^k f \left((2k)^{-hn} (x_j + y_j) \right) \\ &\quad - (2k)^{hn} f \left((2k)^{hn} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-2m} (2k)^{hn} f \left((2k)^{-hn} \lambda^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{\Delta x} = \Gamma_{f(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j) + \lambda^{-2m} f(\lambda^m (\sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \sum_{j=1}^k y_j - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j)) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j + y_j)}(t) \quad (53)$$

for almost all $t > 0$, □

On the other hand, replacing x_j, y_j by $(2k)^{hm} x_j, (2k)^{hm} y_j$, respectively, in (35) and using (NA-RN2) and (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\Delta x} &\geq \varphi \left((2k)^{-hm} x_1, \dots, (2k)^{-hm} x_k, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^{-hm} z_1, \dots, (2k)^{-hm} z_k, \frac{t}{|2k|^{hm}} \right) \\ &\geq \varphi \left(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0, z_1, \dots, z_k, \frac{\beta^n t}{|2k|^{hn}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0, z_1, \dots, z_k, \frac{\beta^n t}{|2k|^{hn}} \right) = 1,$$

We infer that Q is a quadratic function.

Finally we have to prove that Q is a unique quadratic mapping.

Let $Q' : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is another quadratic mapping such that

$$\Gamma_{Q'(x)-f(x)}(t) \geq M(x, t) \quad (55)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $t > 0$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0$

$$\Gamma_{Q(x)-Q'(x)}(t) \geq T \left[\Gamma_{Q(x)-(4k)^{hn} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}}\right)}(t), \Gamma_{(4k)^{hn} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{hn}}\right)-Q'(x)}(t), t \right]. \quad (56)$$

Form (48), we infer that $Q' = Q$.

From the theorem 5.1 we get the following corollary:

Corollary 5. For $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a φ -approximately quadratic mapping if there exist an $\beta \in \mathbb{R}(\beta > 0)$ and an integer $h, h \geq 2$ with $\beta > |(2k)^h|$ and $|2k| \neq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi \left((2k)^{-h} x_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} x_k, (2k)^{-h} y_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} y_k, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_k, t \right) \\ &\geq \varphi \left((2k)^{-h} x_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} x_k, (2k)^{-h} y_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} y_k, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_1, \dots, (2k)^{-h} z_k, \beta t \right) \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k, t > 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic type mapping $Q : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\Gamma_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq T_{i=1}^{\infty} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^{i+1} t}{|(2k)^{hi}} \right) \quad (58)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\forall t > 0$. In there

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, t) &= Q \left(\varphi(x, \dots, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, x, t), \varphi(2kx, \dots, 2kx, 0, \dots, 0, 2kx, \dots, 2kx, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, \varphi((2k)^{h-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1} x, 0, \dots, 0, (2k)^{h-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{h-1} x, t) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\forall t > 0$.

Application Example: For $(\mathbf{X}, \Gamma, T_M)$ non-Archimedean random normed space in which

$$\Gamma_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|t\|}, \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0$$

and assuming that $(\mathbf{Y}, \Gamma, T_M)$ complete non-Archimedean random normed space.

Now we define

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k, t) = \frac{t}{1+t}.$$

It is easy to see that for $\beta = 1$ then (36) holds, since

$$M(x, t) = \frac{t}{1+t},$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{j=n}^{\infty} M \left(x, \frac{\beta^j}{|4k|^{hj}} t \right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_{j=n}^m M \left(x, \frac{t}{|4k|^{hj}} t \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{t}{t + |(4k)^h|^n} \right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x \in \mathbf{X}, t > 0.$

6. Conclusion

In this paper, I have built the condition for existence of a solution for a functional equation of general form and then I have used two fixed point methods and a direct method to show their solutions on non-Archimedean space and finally establish their solution on the non-Archimedean Random normed space.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Ulam, S.M. (1960) A Collection of the Mathematical Problems. Interscience Publ., New York.
- [2] Hyers, D.H. (1941) On the Stability of the Linear Functional Equation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **27**, 222-224. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>
- [3] Aoki, T. (1950) On the Stability of the Linear Transformation in Banach Spaces. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **2**, 64-66. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064>
- [4] Rassias, Th.M. (1978) On the Stability of the Linear Mapping in Banach Spaces. *Proceedings of the AMS*, **72**, 297-300. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1>
- [5] Gajda, Z. (1991) On Stability of Additive Mappings. *International Journal of Ma-*

- thematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **14**, 431-434.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/S016117129100056X>
- [6] Rassias, Th.M. and Semrl, P. (1993) On the Hyers-Ulam Stability of Linear Mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **173**, 325-338.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1070>
- [7] Găvruta, P. (1994) A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Approximately Additive Mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **184**, 431-443. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>
- [8] Skof, F. (1983) Propriet locali e approssimazione di operatori. *Rend. Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, **53**, 113-129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02924890>
- [9] Parka, C. and Kimb, S.O. (2017) Quadratic α -Functional Equations. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, **8**, 1-9.
- [10] Moslehian, M.S. and Sadeghi, Gh. (2008) A Mazur-Ulam Theorem in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **69**, 3405-3408.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2007.09.023>
- [11] Serstnev, A.N. (1963) On the Notion of a Random Normed Space. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, **149**, 280-283. (In Russian)
- [12] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A. (1983) Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Elsevier, North Holland.
- [13] Diaz, J. and Margolis, B. (1968) A Fixed Point Theorem of the Alternative for Contractions on a Generalized Complete Metric Space. *Bulletin of the AMS*, **74**, 305-309.
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1968-11933-0>
- [14] Mihet, D. and Radu, V. (2008) On the Stability of the Additive Cauchy Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **343**, 567-572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.01.100>
- [15] An, L.V. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Type Stability Additive α -Functional Inequalities with 3k-Variable in Complex Banach Spaces. *Open Access Library Journal*, **9**, e9373. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109373>
- [16] Cădarui, L. and Radu, V. (2003) Fixed Points and the Stability of Jensen's Functional Equation. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **4**, Article No. 4.
- [17] Gilányi, A. (2002) Eine zur parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente ungleichung. *Aequationes*, **5**, 707-710. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-05-71>
- [18] Alsina, C. (1987) On the Stability of a Functional Equation Arising in Probabilistic Normed Spaces. *5th International Conference on General Inequalities*, Oberwolfach, 4-10 May 1986, 263-271. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-7192-1_20
- [19] Chang, S.S., Rassias, J.M. and Saadati, R. (2010) The Stability of the Cubic Functional Equation in Intuitionistic Random Normed Spaces. *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, **31**, 21-26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10483-010-0103-6>
- [20] Mirmostafae, M., Mirzavaziri, M. and Moslehian, M.S. (2008) Fuzzy Stability of the Jensen Functional Equation. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **159**, 730-738.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2007.07.011>
- [21] Mirzavaziri, M. and Moslehian, M.S. (2006) A Fixed Point Approach to Stability of a Quadratic Equation. *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, **37**, 361-376.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00574-006-0016-z>
- [22] Mihet, D. (2009) The Probabilistic Stability for a Functional Equation in a Single Variable. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **123**, 249-256.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-008-8101-y>

- [23] Mihet, D. (2009) The Fixed Point Method for Fuzzy Stability of the Jensen Functional Equation. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **160**, 1663-1667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2008.06.014>
- [24] Mirmostafae, A.K. (2009) Stability of Quartic Mappings in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **49**, 289-297. <https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2009.49.2.289>
- [25] Mihet, D., Saadati, R. and Vaezpour, S.M. (2010) The Stability of the Quartic Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **110**, 797-803. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10440-009-9476-7>
- [26] Najati, A. and Moradlou, F. (2008) Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of the Apollonius Type Quadratic Mapping in Non-Archimedean Spaces. *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **24**, 367-380.
- [27] Mihet, D., Saadati, R. and Vaezpour, S.M. (2011) The Stability of an Additive Functional Equation in Menger Probabilistic f-Normed Spaces. *Mathematica Slovaca*, **61**, 817-826. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s12175-011-0049-7>
- [28] Baktash, E., Cho, Y., Jalili, M., Saadati, R. and Vaezpour, S.M. (2008) On the Stability of Cubic Mappings and Quadratic Mappings in Random Normed Spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2008**, Article ID: 902187. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/902187>
- [29] Eshaghi Gordji, M., Zolfaghari, S., Rassias, J.M. and Savadkouhi, M.B. (2009) Solution and Stability of a Mixed Type Cubic and Quartic Functional Equation in Quasi-Banach Spaces. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2009**, Article ID: 417473. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/417473>
- [30] Saadati, R., Vaezpour, S.M. and Cho, Y. (2009) A Note on the "On the Stability of Cubic Mappings and Quadratic Mappings in Random Normed Spaces". *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2009**, Article ID: 214530. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/214530>
- [31] Mohamadi, M., Cho, Y., Park, C., Vetro, P. and Saadati, R. (2010) Random Stability of an Additive-Quadratic-Quartic Functional Equation. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2010**, Article ID: 754210. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/754210>
- [32] Van An, L. (2023) Establish an Additive (s;t)-Function in Equalities by Fixed Point Method and Direct Method with n-Variables in Banach Space. *Journal of Mathematics*, **7**, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.53555/m.v9i1.5515>
- [33] An, L.V. (2023) Generalized Stability of Functional Inequalities with 3k-Variables Associated for Jordan-von Neumann-Type Additive Functional Equation. *Open Access Library Journal*, **10**, e9681. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109681>
<https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperid=122680>