



Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Stability of an Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequalities with n -Variables in Complex Banach Space

Ly Van An

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Ninh Trung, Vietnam

Email: lyvanan145@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: An, L.V. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Stability of an Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequalities with n -Variables in Complex Banach Space. *Open Access Library Journal*, 9: e9183. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109183>

Received: August 4, 2022

Accepted: September 17, 2022

Published: September 20, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we study to solve the additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality with n -variables and their Hyers-Ulam stability. First are investigated in complex Banach spaces with a fixed point method and last are investigated in complex Banach spaces with a direct method. These are the main results of this paper.

Subject Areas

Mathematics

Keywords

Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequality, Fixed Point Method, Direct Method, Banach Space, Hyers-Ulam Stability,

Mathematics Subject Classification

39B52, 39B62, 47H10, 46S10

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be normed spaces on the same field \mathbb{K} , and $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$. We use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for all the norms on both \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} . In this paper, we investigate additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality when \mathbb{X} is a real or complex normed space and \mathbb{Y} a complex Banach space. We solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of following additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \quad (1) \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

In which β_1, β_2 are fixed nonzero complex numbers with $g(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ -functional inequality. Note that in the preliminaries we just recap some of the most essential properties for the above problem and for the specific problem, please see the document. The Hyers-Ulam stability was first investigated for functional equation of Ulam in [1] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The Hyers [2] gave first affirmative partial answer to the equation of Ulam in Banach spaces. After that, Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference with a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

The stability of quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [6] for mappings $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$, where \mathbb{X} is a normed space and \mathbb{Y} is a Banach space. Park [7] [8] defined additive γ -functional inequalities and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive γ -functional inequalities in Banach spaces and non-Archimedean Banach spaces. The stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors on the world even term [4] [5] [6] [8]-[20]. We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory. The authors studied the Hyers-Ulam stability for the following functional inequalities

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(z) \right\| \quad (2)$$

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(z) \right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \quad (3)$$

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \left\| \rho \left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right) \right\| \quad (4)$$

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho (f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) \right\| \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left(2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^2} + \frac{z}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y-z}{2^2} - \frac{z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right) \right\| \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x+y-z}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta \left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - 2f(z) \right) \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)) \right\| + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right) \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

in complex Banach spaces

In this paper, we solve and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability for (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities (1), ie the (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities with n -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} , we will prove that the mappings satisfy the (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities (1). Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [21] [22] [23] [24] for (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities with n -variables.

The goal of the paper is to develop functional inequalities with higher number of variables to solve problems of general nonlinear functional equations in order to develop the field of nonlinear analysis.

The paper is organized as follows: In section preliminaries we remind some basic notations in [21] [22] [25] such as complete generalized metric space and Solutions of the inequalities.

Section 3: In this section, I use the method of the fixed to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (β_1, β_2) -functional inequalities (1) when \mathbb{X} is a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

Section 4: In this section, I use the method of directly determining the solution for (1) when \mathbb{X} is a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Complete Generalized Metric Space and Solutions of the Inequalities

Theorem 1. Let (\mathbb{X}, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in \mathbb{X}$, either

$$d(J^n, J^{n+1}) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- 1) $d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty$, $\forall n \geq n_0$;
- 2) The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- 3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in \mathbb{X} \mid d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty\}$;
- 4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy) \quad \forall y \in Y$.

2.2. Solutions of the Inequalities

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

3. Establish the Solution of the Additive (β_1, β_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Fixed Point Method

Now, we first study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbb{X} is a real or complex normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

Lemma 2. A mapping $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \cdots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \cdots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$, then $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is additive.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ satisfies (9)

Replacing (x_1, \dots, x_n) by $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (9), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq |\beta_1| \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}}$$

and so $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Thus

$$f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) \quad (10)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (9) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \cdots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \cdots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & = \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \cdots + x_n)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |\beta_2|) \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \cdots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Next we letting $u = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n, v = x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_n$ in (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1-|\beta_2|) \left\| f(u) - f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \leq |\beta_1| \left\| f(u) + f(v) - 2f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $u, v \in X$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}(1-|\beta_2|) \left\| f(u+v) + f(u-v) - 2f(u) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \leq |\beta_1| \left\| f(u+v) - f(u) - f(v) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $u, v \in X$. It follows from (12) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}(1-|\beta_2|)^2 \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \leq |\beta_1|^2 \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since $\sqrt{2}|\beta_1| + |\beta_2| < 1$

and so

$$f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) = f(x_1) + f(x_2 + \dots + x_n).$$

Thus f is additive.

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{X}$. Let $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \quad + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, \dots, x) \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (37), we get

$$(1-|\beta_1|) \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{19}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Consider the set

$$\mathbb{S} := \{h: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathbb{S} :

$$d(g, h) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0), \forall x \in X \right\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (\mathbb{S}, d) is complete ([17])
Now we consider the linear mapping $J : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Let $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2\varepsilon \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \leq L\varepsilon \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L \cdot \varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in X$ It follows from (19) that

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-|\beta_1|} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \leq \frac{L}{2(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{L}{2(1-|\beta_1|)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ satisfying the following:

1) ψ is a fixed point of J , i.e.,

$$\psi(x) = 2\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (20)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping ψ is a unique fixed point J in the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{g \in \mathbb{S} : d(f, g) < \infty\}$$

This implies that ψ is a unique mapping satisfying (20) such that there exists a $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

2) $d(J^l f, \psi) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) = \psi(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

3) $d(f, \psi) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf)$. which implies

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows (16) and (37) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_1| \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n}{2^n}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_2| \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \|\beta_1 (\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2\psi(x_1))\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2\psi\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \|\beta_1 (\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2\psi(x_1))\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2\psi\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. By Lemma 2, the mapping $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive. Ei

$$\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) = \psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n). \quad \square$$

Theorem 4. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}\right) \quad (22)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{X}$. Let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \|\beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1))\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, \dots, x) \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (23), we get

$$(1-|\beta_1|) \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (25)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Suppose (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 1 Now we consider the linear mapping $J : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2} g(2x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (25)

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2x) \right\| = \frac{1}{2(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to proof of Theorem 3. \square

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 1. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta (\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{2\theta}{(2^r - 2)(1-|\beta_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (27)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Corollary 2. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta (\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{2\theta}{(2 - 2^r)(1-|\beta_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (29)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

4. Establish the Solution of the Additive (β_1, β_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Direct Method

Next, we study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbb{X} is a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

Theorem 5. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \frac{x_2}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^j}\right) < \infty \quad (30)$$

and let $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{2(1-|\beta_1|)} \phi(x, x, \dots, x) \quad (32)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (31), we get

$$(1-|\beta_1|) \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (33)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{1-|\beta_1|} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \quad (34)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j}{2(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (35) that the sequence $\left\{ 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since

\mathbb{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the

mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \quad (36)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (35), we get (32) It follows from (30) and (31) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_1| \left\| f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n}{2n}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1}{2n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\beta_2| \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2^n}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2\psi(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2\psi\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j=1 \rightarrow n$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &= \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - \psi(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2\psi(x_1) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2\psi\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - \psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j=1 \rightarrow n$. By Lemma 2, the mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is additive. Implied

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \\ &= \psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\psi' : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be another additive mapping satisfying (33). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi(x) - \psi'(x) \right\| &= \left\| 2^q \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q \psi'\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| 2^q \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^q \psi'\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^q}{1-|\beta_1|} \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}, \frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So we can conclude that

$\psi(x) = \psi'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of ψ . \square

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a

mapping such that $f(0) = 0$,

$$\psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j x_1, 2^j x_2, \dots, 2^j x_n) < \infty \quad (38)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \psi(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, \dots, x) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Proof. Replacing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) by $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-|\beta_1|) \left\| f(2x) - 2f(x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}(1-|\beta_1|)} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from

(43) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since

\mathbb{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the

mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \quad (44)$$

Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (43), we get (40).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 5. \square

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 3. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta (\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{2\theta}{(2^r - 2)(1 - |\beta_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (46)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Corollary 4. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 (f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - f(x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) - 2f(x_1)) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{2}\right) - f(x_1) - f(x_2 + \dots + x_n) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta (\|x_1\|^r + \|x_2\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbb{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \frac{2\theta}{(2 - 2^r)(1 - |\beta_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbb{X}}^r \quad (48)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Ulam, S.M. (1960) A Collection of Mathematical Problems. Vol. 8, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- [2] Hyers, D.H. (1941) On the Stability of the Functional Equation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **27**, 222-224. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>
- [3] Aoki, T. (1950) On the Stability of the Linear Transformation in Banach Space.

- Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **2**, 64-66.
<https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064>
- [4] Rassias, T.M. (1978) On the Stability of the Linear Mapping in Banach Space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **27**, 297-300.
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1>
- [5] Găvruta, P. (1994) A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Approximately Additive Mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **184**, 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>
- [6] Skof, F. (1983) Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, **53**, 113-129.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02924890>
- [7] Park, C. (2015) Additive ρ -Functional Inequalities and Equations. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **9**, 17-26. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-02>
- [8] Park, C. (2015) Additive ρ -Functional Inequalities in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **9**, 397-407.
<https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-33>
- [9] Fechner, W. (2010) On Some Functional Inequalities Related to the Logarithmic mean. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **128**, 31-45.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-010-9153-3>
- [10] Fechner, W. (2006) Stability of a Functional Inequalities Associated with the Jordan-Von Neumann Functional Equation. *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **71**, 149-161.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-005-2775-9>
- [11] Cadariu, L. and Radu, V. (2003) Fixed Points and the Stability of Jensen's Functional Equation. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **4**, Article No. 4.
- [12] Diaz, J. and Margolis, B. (1968) A Fixed Point Theorem of the Alternative for Contractions on a Generalized Complete Metric Space. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **74**, 305-309. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1968-11933-0>
- [13] Bahyrycz, A. and Piszczek, M. (2014) Hyers Stability of the Jensen Function Equation. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **142**, 353-365.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-013-0347-3>
- [14] Balcerowski, M. (2013) On the Functional Equations Related to a Problem of Z Boros and Z. Dróczy. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **138**, 329-340.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-012-0278-4>
- [15] Gilányi, A. (2002) On a Problem by K. Nikodem. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, **5**, 707-710. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-05-71>
- [16] Gilányi, A. (2002) Eine zur parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente ungleichung. *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **62**, 303-309. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-05-71>
- [17] Qarawani, M.N. (2012) Hyers-Ulam Stability of a Generalized Second-Order Non-linear Differential Equation. *Applied Mathematics*, **3**, 1857-1861.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/am.2012.312252>
<http://www.scirp.org/journal/am>
- [18] Park, C., Cho, Y. and Han, M. (2007) Functional Inequalities Associated with Jordan-von Newman-Type Additive Functional Equations. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2007**, Article No. 41820, 13 p. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/41820>
- [19] Rätz, J. (2003) On Inequalities Associated with the Jordan-Von Neumann Functional Equation. *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **66**, 191-200.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-003-2684-8>
- [20] Park, C. (2014) Additive β -Functional Inequalities. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*

-
- and Applications*, **7**, 296-310. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.007.05.02>
- [21] Van An, L. (2019) Hyers-Ulam Stability of Functional Inequalities with Three Variable in Banach Spaces and Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **13**, 519-530. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9954>
- [22] Lee, J.R., Park, C. and Shin, D.Y. (2014) Additive and Quadratic Functional in Equalities in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **8**, 1233-1247. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2014.44113>
- [23] Yun, S.S. and Shin, Dong, Y. (2017) Stability of an Additive (p_1, p_2) -Functional Inequality in Banach Spaces. *The Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **24**, 21-31. <https://doi.org/10.7468/jksmeb.2017.24.1.21>
- [24] Van An, L.Y. (2020) Hyers-Ulam stability of β -Functional Inequalities with Three Variable in Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces and Complex Banach. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **14**, 219-239. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2020.91169>
<http://www.m-hikari.com/>
- [25] Mihet, D. and Radu, V. (2008) On the Stability of the Additive Cauchy Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **343**, 567-572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.01.100>