



Certain Problem for Starlike Functions with Respect to Other Points

Ghassan H. Esa

Centre for Ionics, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Email: ghassanzu@um.edu.my

How to cite this paper: Esa, G.H. (2021) Certain Problem for Starlike Functions with Respect to Other Points. *Open Access Library Journal*, 8: e7383. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1107383>

Received: April 2, 2021

Accepted: April 27, 2021

Published: April 30, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this work, a new class of analytic and univalent functions $Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, $Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, $Q_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ with respect to other points that include symmetrical and conjugats in the unit disk are studied. The estimated coefficients are calculated respectively for each class of functions. The fractional calculus techniques $I_{0,z}^{\beta, \eta, \delta} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-r+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\eta+1)\Gamma(k+\beta+\delta+1)} z^{k-\delta}$ where

$\beta > 0, k > \eta - \delta - 1$ were used to study the distortion theorem. The fractional

integral operator $D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta$ ($\lambda > 0$) was used to

satisfy the analytic function $f(z)$ in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin on a class $Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, and hence concluded to the analytic functions $f(z)$ on the calsses $Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ and $Q_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$.

Subject Areas

Algebraic Geometry

Keywords

Univalent Functions, Distortion Theorem, Fractional Calculus Operators

1. Introduction

Let S denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a^k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

which is analytic and univalent in $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0) \quad (1.2)$$

Let S_{γ}^* be the subclass of S consisting of functions given by (1.1) satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

These functions are called starlike with respect to symmetric points [1].

The aim of this work is to study the class $Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ which consists of functions f of T , satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D^n f(z))' - 1}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)}}{\frac{\alpha z(D^n f(z))' + (1-\alpha)}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)}} \right| < \mu, \quad z \in U \quad (1.3)$$

for $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$.

and a class $Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ of functions f of T , satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D^n f(z))' - 1}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(\bar{z})}}{\frac{\alpha z(D^n f(z))' + (1-\alpha)}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(\bar{z})}} \right| < \mu, \quad z \in U \quad (1.4)$$

for $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$.

and a class $Q_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ of functions f of T , satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D^n f(z))' - 1}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)}}{\frac{\alpha z(D^n f(z))' + (1-\alpha)}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)}} \right| < \mu, \quad z \in U \quad (1.5)$$

for $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$.

Using fractional calculus to study distortions characteristics and estimated coefficients is obtained.

2. The Class $Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$

Theorem 2.1 Let the function f be defined by (1.2), then $f \in Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k+1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n, k) a_k \leq \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\alpha)) - 1 \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)}$, $\frac{1}{\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)} < \mu \leq 1$ and

$$\delta(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}.$$

the result (2.1) is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1}{\left[(-1)^{k+1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma))\right] \delta(n, k)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

Proof: Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true and $|z| = 1$. Then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z) + D^n f(-z) \right| \\ & - \mu \left| \alpha(D^n f(z))' + (1-\gamma)D^n f(z) - (1-\gamma)D^n f(-z) \right| \\ & = \left| -z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k - (1-(-1)^k) \right] \delta(n, k) a_k z^k \right| \\ & - \mu \left| \alpha z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\alpha k - (1-\gamma) \right] \delta(n, k) a_k z^k + 2(1-\gamma)z \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k+1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n, k) a_k + 1 \\ & - \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) + 1 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by maximum modulus principle [2], $f \in Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$

Now assume that $f \in Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ then

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)} - 1}{\frac{\alpha z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)} + (1-\alpha)} \right| < \mu, \quad z \in U$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z) + D^n f(-z) \right| \\ & < \mu \left| \alpha z(D^n f(z))' + (1-\gamma)D^n f(z) - (1-\gamma)D^n f(-z) \right| \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k+1} k - (1-(-1)^k) \right] \delta(n, k) \right| \\ & < \mu \left| \alpha z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k+1} \alpha k - (1-\gamma) \right] \delta(n, k) a_k z^k + 2(1-\gamma)z \right| \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k+1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k) \right] \delta(n, k) a_k < \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1$$

And the proof is complete.

3. The Class $Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$

Theorem 3.1 Let the function f be defined by (1.2), then $f \in Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ if

and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k(1+\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k \leq \mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1 \quad (3.1)$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} < 1$, $\frac{1}{\alpha+2(1-\gamma)} < \mu \leq 1$ and

$$\delta(n,k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}.$$

The result (3.1) is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{\left[(-1)^{k-1} k(1+\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

Proof: Assume that the inequality (3.1) holds true and $|z|=1$. Then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z) + D^n f(-z) \right| \\ & - \mu \left| \alpha(D^n f(z))' + (1-\gamma)D^n f(z) - (1-\gamma)D^n f(-z) \right| \\ & = \left| -z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k - (1-(-1)^k) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k z^k \right| \\ & - \mu \left| \alpha z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} \alpha k - (1-\gamma) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k z^k + 2(1-\gamma)z \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k \\ & - \mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))+1 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by maximum modulus principle [2], $f \in Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$.

Now assume that $f \in Q_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ then

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z) - D^n \overline{f(\bar{z})}} - 1}{\frac{\alpha z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z) - D^n \overline{f(\bar{z})}} + (1-\alpha)} \right| < \mu, \quad z \in U$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z) + D^n f(-z) \right| \\ & < \mu \left| \alpha z(D^n f(z))' + (1-\gamma)D^n f(z) - (1-\gamma)D^n f(-z) \right| \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k - (1-(-1)^k) \right] \delta(n,k) \right| \\ & < \mu \left| \alpha z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} \alpha k - (1-\gamma) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k z^k + 2(1-\gamma)z \right| \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1-(-1)^k)(1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k \\ < \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1$$

And the proof is complete.

4. The Class $Q_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$

Theorem 4.1 Let the function f be defined by (1.2), then $f \in Q_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k(1+\mu\alpha) - (1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k \\ \leq \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1 \quad (4.1)$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} < 1$, $\frac{1}{\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)} < \mu \leq 1$ and

$$\delta(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}.$$

The result (4.1) is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{\mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1}{\left[(-1)^{k-1} k(1+\mu\alpha) - (1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

Proof: Assume that the inequality (4.1) holds true and $|z| = 1$. Then obtain

$$\left| z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z) + D^n f(-z) \right| \\ - \mu \left| \alpha(D^n f(z))' + (1-\gamma)D^n f(z) - (1-\gamma)D^n f(-z) \right| \\ = \left| -z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} k - 1 \right] \delta(n,k) a_k z^k \right| \\ - \mu \left| \alpha z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k-1} \alpha k - (1-\gamma) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k z^k + 2(1-\gamma)z \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^{k+1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1+\mu(1-\gamma)) \right] \delta(n,k) a_k \\ - \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) + 1 < 0$$

Now assume that $f \in Q_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ then

$$\left| \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)} - 1 \right| < \mu, \quad z \in U \\ \left| \frac{\alpha z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z) - D^n f(-z)} + (1-\alpha) \right|$$

Then

$$\left| z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z) + D^n f(-z) \right| \\ < \mu \left| \alpha z(D^n f(z))' + (1-\gamma)D^n f(z) - (1-\gamma)D^n f(-z) \right|$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| -z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(-1)^{k-1} k - 1] \delta(n, k) \right| \\ & < \mu \left| \alpha z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(-1)^{k-1} \alpha k - (1-\gamma)] \delta(n, k) a_k z^k + 2(1-\gamma)z \right| \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(-1)^{k-1} k(1-\mu\alpha) - (1+\mu(1-\gamma))] \delta(n, k) a_k \\ & < \mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

And the proof is complete.

5. Application of the Fractional Calculus

Several operators of fractional calculus (*i.e.*, fractional derivative and fractional integral) have been rather extensively studied by many researchers (c.f. [3] [4] [5]). Making use of the following Lemma (given by Srivastava *et al.* [6] and used by Gh. Esa and Darus [7]) stated as

Lemma 5.1 Let $\beta > 0, k > \eta - \delta - 1$, then

$$I_{0,z}^{\beta,\eta,\delta} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-\eta+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\eta+1)\Gamma(k+\beta+\delta+1)} z^{k-\delta}.$$

to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2 Let $\beta > 0, \eta < 2, \beta + \delta > -2, \eta(\beta + \delta) \leq 3\beta$. If $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) in the class

$$Q_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| I_{0,z}^{\beta,\eta,\delta} f(z) \right| \\ & \geq \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta+\delta)|z|^{1-\eta}}{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\beta+\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{[\mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1](2-\eta+\delta)}{(-1)^{n+2}(n+1)(1-\mu\alpha)(2-\eta)(2+\beta+\delta)} |z| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| I_{0,z}^{\beta,\eta,\delta} f(z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta+\delta)|z|^{1-\eta}}{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\beta+\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{[\mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1](2-\eta+\delta)}{(-1)^{n+2}(n+1)(1-\mu\alpha)(2-\eta)(2+\beta+\delta)} |z| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for $z \in U_0$, where

$$U_0 = \begin{cases} U & \eta \leq 1 \\ U - \{0\} & \eta > 1 \end{cases}$$

the result is sharp and is given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\mu(\alpha + 2(1-\gamma)) - 1}{(-1)^{n+2}(n+1)(1-\mu\alpha)} z^2 \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. By using Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{0,z}^{\beta,\eta,\delta} f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta+\delta)}{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\beta+\delta)} z^{1-\eta} \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-\eta+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\eta+1)\Gamma(k+\beta+\delta+1)} a_k z^{k-\delta}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Setting

$$H(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\beta+\delta)}{\Gamma(2-\eta+\delta)} z^\delta I_{0,z}^{\beta,\eta,\delta} f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h(k) a_k z^k$$

where

$$h(z) = \frac{(2-\eta+\delta)_{k-1} (1)_k}{(2-\eta)_{k-1} (2+\beta+\delta)_{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{5.5}$$

It is easily verified that $h(k)$ is non-decreasing for $k \geq 2$, and thus we have

$$0 < h(z) \leq h(2) = \frac{2(2-\eta+\delta)}{(2-\eta)(2+\beta+\delta)} \tag{5.6}$$

Now, noting that $\delta(n, 2)$ is increasing function of n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2(-1)^{n+2} (1+\mu\alpha) \delta(n, 2) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta(n, k) a_k (1-\mu\alpha) \leq \mu(\alpha+2(1-\alpha)) - 1
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma)) - 1}{2(-1)^{n+2} (n+1)(1-\mu\alpha)} \tag{5.7}$$

Hence, using (5.6) and (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H(z)| &\geq |z - h(z)| |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\
 &\geq |z| - \frac{[\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma)) - 1](2-\eta+\delta)}{(-1)^{n+2} (1-\mu\alpha)(2-\eta)(2+\beta+\delta)} |z|^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

which proves (5.1), and other parts (5.2) we can find that

$$|H(z)| \leq |z| - \frac{[\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma)) - 1](2-\eta+\delta)}{(-1)^{n+2} (1-\mu\alpha)(2-\eta)(2+\beta+\delta)} |z|^2, \tag{5.9}$$

and the prove is complete.

Using the same technique for the functions $f(z)$ in the classes $\mathcal{Q}_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$.

Now, taking $\eta = -\beta = -\lambda$ and $\eta = -\beta = \lambda$ in the Theorem 5.1, and using the definition given by Owa [8] which is stated as:

Definition 5.3 (*Fractional Integral Operator*) [8]. The fractional integral of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta \quad (\lambda > 0) \tag{5.10}$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when

$$(z-\zeta) > 0.$$

We get two separated corollaries which are contained in;

Corollary 5.4 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $\mathcal{Q}_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, then we have

$$|D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{(-1)^{n+2}(1-\mu\alpha)(2+\lambda)(n+1)} |z| \right) \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$|D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{(-1)^{n+2}(1-\mu\alpha)(2+\lambda)(n+1)} |z| \right) \quad (5.12)$$

for $\lambda > 0$, $z \in E$. The result is sharp for the function

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{(-1)^{n+2}(1-\mu\alpha)(2+\lambda)(n+1)} |z| \right) \quad (5.13)$$

Corollary 5.5 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $\mathcal{Q}_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, then we have

$$|D_z^{\lambda} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{(-1)^{n+2}(1-\mu\alpha)(2+\lambda)(n+1)} |z| \right) \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$|D_z^{\lambda} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{(-1)^{n+2}(1-\mu\alpha)(2+\lambda)(n+1)} |z| \right) \quad (5.15)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $z \in U$. The result is sharp for the function

$$D_z^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2+\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{\mu(\alpha+2(1-\gamma))-1}{(-1)^{n+2}(1-\mu\alpha)(2+\lambda)(n+1)} |z| \right) \quad (5.16)$$

Again the same technique uses for the function in the classes $\mathcal{Q}_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$.

6. Conclusion

The classes $\mathcal{Q}_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ of analytic and univalent functions are investigated. The estimated coefficients are studied and obtained respectively and shown in the Equations (2.1), (3.1) and (4.1). The application of the fractional calculus is studied on the class $\mathcal{Q}_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ and obtained in Equations (5.1) and (5.2) and concluded for other classes $\mathcal{Q}_{nc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{nsc}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$. Fractional Integral Operator is studied and obtained on the class $\mathcal{Q}_{ns}(\alpha, \gamma, \mu)$ and concluded for other classes by using the same mathematical techniques.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Sakagushi, K. (1959) On Certain Univalent Mapping. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **11**, 72-75. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/01110072>
- [2] Ahlfors, L.V. (1979) *Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 2 Pennsylvania Plaza New York City, 241.
- [3] Srivastava, H.M. and Buschman, R.G. (1977) *Convolution Integral Equation with Special Function Kernels*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney and Toronto.
- [4] Srivastava, H.M. and Owa, S. (1984) An Application of the Fractional Derivative. *Math. Japon.*, **29**, 384-389.
- [5] Srivastava, H.M. and Owa, S. (Eds.) (1989) *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*. In: *Ellis Harwood Limited, Chichester*, Halsted Press, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto.
- [6] Srivastava, H.M., Saigo, M. and Owa, S. (1988) A Class of Distortion Theorem of Fractional Calculus. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **131**, 412-420. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(88\)90215-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(88)90215-6)
- [7] Esa, Gh.H. and Darus, M. (2007) Application of Fractional Calculus Operators to a Certain Class of Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficient. *International Mathematical Forum*, **2**, 2807-2814. <https://doi.org/10.12988/imf.2007.07253>
- [8] Owa, S. (1978) On the Distortion Theorems. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **18**, 53-59.