

# **Effect of Minor Cr Additions on Dispersed Phase** and Properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr Alloys

## Xingzhi Liao<sup>1,2</sup>, Yanxi Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Pengxuan Dong<sup>1,2</sup>, Kanghua Chen<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Science and Technology on High Strength Structural Materials Laboratory, Central South University, Changsha, China <sup>2</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center of Advance Nonferrous Structural Materials and Manufacturing, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>3</sup>Light Alloy Research Institute, Central South University, Changsha, China

Email: \*357793589@gg.com

How to cite this paper: Liao, X.Z., Li, Y.X., Dong, P.X. and Chen, K.H. (2020) Effect of Minor Cr Additions on Dispersed Phase and Properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr Alloys. Journal of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, 8, 27-36. https://doi.org/10.4236/msce.2020.82004

Received: November 18, 2019 Accepted: January 19, 2020 Published: January 22, 2020

Copyright © 2020 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ **Open Access** 

۲  $(\alpha)$ 

Abstract

The influences of Cr on dispersed phase and properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr alloys were investigated by mechanical testing, slow strain rate stress corrosion, intergranular corrosion, combined with optical microscope, scanning electronic microscope, transmission electronic microscopy (OM, SEM, TEM). Research shows that: with the increase of element Cr, the recrystallization and grain growth had been inhibited, the strength, toughness and corrosion resistance of the alloy increased first and then decreased; when added 0.1 wt% Cr element, the properties of the alloy are the best. The main reasons of that were as below: when added 0.1 wt% Cr element, (Al,Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr,Yb) appeared, that cohered with the matrix. When added 0.18 wt% Cr element, (Al,Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr,Yb) and Al<sub>18</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub> had been found, making adverse effects on alloys. This work studied the effect of Cr content on recrystallization and grain growth in order to get a new super high strength aluminum alloy.

# **Keywords**

Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr Alloys, Chromium, Dispersed Phase, Property

# **1. Introduction**

Al-Zn-Mg-Cu super high strength aluminum alloys have high specific strength, specific stiffness and good processability, which are widely used in aerospace and civil industry [1] [2] [3]. It is an important way to improve the strength of the alloy to control the size and quantity of the precipitated strengthening phase [4] [5]. With the development of modern Aeronautics and Astronautics, the requirements of new generation aluminum alloy for reducing weight and increasing life are further improved. It is required to maintain the alloy strength and improve its corrosion resistance and toughness. Therefore, its corrosion resistance becomes an important research direction at present [6] [7]. At present, many scholars use the method of adding trace elements to form multicomponent coherent dispersion phase in aluminum alloy to inhibit recrystallization and improve the toughness and corrosion resistance of ultra-high strength aluminum alloy. Adding Cr to Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr alloys can produce Al<sub>18</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub> dispersion phase, which has no obvious coherent relationship with the matrix. Although it can inhibit recrystallization, the effect is limited and the quenching sensitivity of the alloy is improved, so it should be restrained as much as possible [8]; The addition of Zr to Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr alloys alone will form Al<sub>3</sub>Zr dispersion phase which is coherent with the matrix, and the effect of inhibiting recrystallization is better than that of adding Cr alone [9] [10]. However, the  $L_{12}$  type Al<sub>3</sub>Zr dispersion phase is unstable, and it is easy to transform into DO<sub>23</sub> type Al<sub>3</sub>Zr dispersion phase under high temperature, which is not coherent with the matrix, thus reducing its effect of inhibiting recrystallization [11]. Adding Sc or adding Sc and Zr in combination can form  $L_{12}$  dispersion phase Al<sub>3</sub>Sc and Al<sub>3</sub>(Sc, Zr) which are coherent with the matrix, which can significantly inhibit recrystallization, but Sc is expensive, so large-scale application is almost impossible [12] [13] [14] [15]. The preliminary work of the research group shows that the addition of Zr, Cr and Yb can inhibit recrystallization and improve the strength, toughness and corrosion resistance of the alloy. However, the effect of Cr content on the microstructure and properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb alloys is rarely studied. Therefore, the effect of Cr on the structure and properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb was further studied by adjusting Cr content, which laid a foundation for the development of new super aluminum alloy.

### 2. Experimental

In the experiment, high-purity aluminum, industrial pure magnesium and industrial pure zinc (the purity of Al, Zn and Mg is 99.9%) are used as raw materials. Cu, Zr, Cr and Yb are all added in the form of intermediate alloy. See **Table 1** for the nominal composition of experimental aluminum alloys while **Table 2** is the actual composition of experimental aluminum alloys. Alloy ingots are melted at 700°C - 740°C. Adding 0.2 - 0.4 wt%  $C_2Cl_6$  into the melting metal alloy to achieve the purpose of degassing and slag removal. Homogenization was carried out in a 45 mm diameter cylindrical mold preheated to 270°C: ingot was homogenized and annealed at 460°C for 24 hours. Before hot extrusion, the preheating temperature is 410°C - 430°C, and the extrusion deformation is carried out on a

Table 1. Nominal composition of experimental aluminum alloys (mass fraction, %).

Alloys	Zn	Mg	Cu	Zr	Yb	Cr	Al
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb	8.54	2.41	1.30	0.16	0.30	0	Bal
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr	8.54	2.41	1.30	0.16	0.30	0.10	Bal
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr	8.54	2.41	1.30	0.16	0.30	0.18	Bal
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr	8.54	2.41	1.30	0.16	0.30	0.18	Bal

**Table 2.** Actual composition of experimental aluminum alloys by ICP conversion (mass fraction, %).

Alloys	Zn	Mg	Cu	Zr	Yb	Cr	Fe	Si	Al
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr	8.96	2.16	1.34	0.183	0.24	0.12	0.03	0.12	Bal

500 t press, and the extrusion ratio is 9. The extruded sample was kept in a  $480^{\circ}$ C resistance furnace for 1 hour, and the aging treatment was carried out at  $120^{\circ}$ C after water quenching for 24 hours.

After solution aging, the alloy sample was polished and etched with Graff Sargent reagent (3 g CrO<sub>3</sub> + 0.5 ml HF + 84 ml H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 15.5 ml HNO<sub>3</sub>). The recrystallization was observed at low magnification by optical microscope. The microstructures (second phase morphology, size, distribution, etc.) of the alloys were observed by TECNAI G2 20 and JEOL-2100F high resolution transmission electron microscopy. TEM samples were prepared by double jet electrolysis. After the sample thickness is reduced to 100 - 150  $\mu$ m by grinding the sample, the sample is cut into a  $\Phi$  3 mm disc, and the double jet electrolytic thinning is carried out on the Struers TenuPol-2 thinning instrument. The mixed solution of 30% nitric acid and 70% methanol solution (volume fraction) is used as electrolyte, the voltage is 20 V, the current is 80 - 100 mA, and the temperature is controlled at  $-30^{\circ}$ C.

Intergranular corrosion test was carried out according to GB7998-87 standard. 57 g/L NaCl and 10 ml  $H_2O_2$  (30%, chemically pure) were used. The intergranular corrosion solution was prepared at the ratio of 50 cm<sup>2</sup>/L (the ratio of corrosion surface area to corrosion medium volume). The test temperature was  $35^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ , and then placed in the air for 6 hours. Wash the corroded sample with distilled water and dry it. Grind the sample on the grinding machine for about 5 mm (select the cross section perpendicular to the extrusion deformation direction) to make the metallographic sample. The intergranular corrosion of polished samples was observed under metallographic microscope.

Stress corrosion test shall be conducted according to GB/T 15970.7-2000. The samples were taken in the direction of L-T on the Xi'an Rio Tinto slow strain rate corrosion tensile machine. Slow tensile test (SSRT) test working gauge is 25 mm and plate sample is 2 mm thick. After the sample is installed, a certain load is applied to reduce the gap as much as possible. The strain rate is  $6.67 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and the corrosion solution is 57 g/L NaCl + 10 ml/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

## 3. Results and Discussions

## 3.1. Metallographic Analysis

Figure 1 shows the microstructure of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb alloys with adding different Cr content. It can be seen from Figure 1(a) that when Cr is not added, the recrystallization area of the alloy is large and the recrystallization degree of the alloy is serious (the white area is the recrystallization area); when Cr content reaches 0.1 wt%, as shown in Figure 1(b), there are a large number of submicron



Figure 1. Optical microstructures of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb alloys with different Cr addition. (a) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb;
(b) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr;
(c) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr.

fine sub grains in the alloy, and the black sub grain boundary in the figure is obvious, and these sub grains are still in the form of variable fibrous structure distribution; when Cr content increases to 0.18. As shown in Figure 1(c), there is no obvious difference in the fibrous sub crystal structure of the alloy compared with the previous Cr = 1.0 wt%. The results show that the addition of trace Cr improves the recrystallization resistance of the alloy with intact deformation recovery substructure. When the Cr content reaches a certain value, the Cr content (0.18 wt%) will continue to increase, and the recrystallization resistance of the alloy will not be significantly improved.

#### 3.2. TEM Microstructure Analysis of Alloy

**Figure 2** shows the morphology, size and distribution of dispersion phase in Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb alloy with adding different Cr amount; **Figure 3** shows the selected area diffraction pattern of Al-Zn-Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr. It can be seen from the figure that in the case of no Cr addition, a fine dispersed Al<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase is formed in the alloy. Compared with the case of no Cr addition and 0.1 wt% Cr addition, the number of the second phase with fine dispersion distribution in the alloy is significantly increased. The second phase is (Al, Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) particle by energy spectrum analysis [16]-[23]. When the Cr content increases to 0.18 wt%, there are two kinds of dispersed phases, one is spherical (Al, Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase, the other is coarse rod-shaped Al<sub>18</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub> phase.

#### 3.3. Analysis of Mechanical Properties of Alloy

**Table 3** shows the mechanical properties and fracture toughness of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb alloy with different Cr content after peak aging. When the Cr content increases from 0 wt% to 0.1 wt%, the strength and fracture toughness of the alloy increase, and when the Cr content increases further, the strength and toughness decrease. When Cr content is 0.1 wt%, the strength of the alloy reaches the peak value, and the corresponding strength and toughness are 731.5 Mpa, 710.9 Mpa and 37.2 Mpa·m<sup>1/2</sup> respectively.

**Figure 4** shows the tensile fracture morphology of alloys with different Cr content. When Cr is not added, the tensile fracture of the alloy is mainly coarse dimple transgranular fracture; when 0.1 wt% Cr is added, the tensile fracture of



**Figure 2.** The effect of Cr to the dispersed phase of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys. (a) (b) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb;

(c) (d) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr;

(e) (f) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr.



Figure 3. The selected area diffraction of Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr.

the alloy is evenly distributed with fine dimples with obvious ductile fracture characteristics; when the Cr content is increased to 0.18 wt%, the alloy is mainly composed of uniformly distributed fine fracture dimples.

# 3.4. Analysis of Intergranular Corrosion Properties

**Figure 5** shows the corrosion depth of cross section (T-S) of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr-Yb alloy with different Cr content after intergranular corrosion along its L-T surface. It can be seen from the intergranular corrosion depth that the corrosion

**Table 3.** Tensile strength, yield strength and mechanical properties of experimental alloys of different Cr element.

Alloys	$\sigma_{\rm b}/{ m MPa}$	$\sigma_{\!0.2}/\mathrm{MPa}$	δ/%	$K_{IC}/MPa \cdot m^{1/2}$
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb	683.80	660.50	9.60	34.30
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr	731.50	710.90	8.50	37.20
Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr	706.80	685.00	8.70	35.60



Figure 4. The effect of Cr element to the tensile fracture morphology of the alloys.
(a) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb;
(b) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr;

(c) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr.



**Figure 5.** The corrosion cross section of the alloys was determined by different Cr content. (a) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb;

(b) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.1Cr;

(c) Al-8.54Zn-2.41Mg-1.3Cu-0.16Zr-0.3Yb-0.18Cr.

depth of the alloy with Cr content of 0 wt%, 0.1 wt%, 0.18 wt% is 76  $\mu m,$  11  $\mu m$  and 51  $\mu m$  respectively.

#### 3.5. Analysis of Stress Corrosion Resistance

**Figure 6** shows the slow drawing curve of alloy with different Cr content. It can be seen from the figure that with the increase of Cr content, the stress corrosion cracking time and yield strength of the alloy increase first and then decrease. The results show that the stress corrosion resistance of the alloy is the best when Cr content is 0.1 wt%.

With the increase of Cr (0 - 0.1 wt), the recrystallization resistance of the alloy is improved, and the deformation recovery substructure of the alloy with low Cr content is retained completely. With the increase of Cr content (0.1 - 0.18 wt), the recrystallization resistance of the alloy did not change significantly. The main reason is that when Cr is not added, the size of precipitated particles is 30 - 50 nm. These particles are  $Al_3(Zr, Yb)$  phase (Figure 2(a), Figure 2(b)) which is



Figure 6. Different Cr content alloys slow stretch curve.

co lattice with the matrix. After high temperature treatment, most of the Al<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase which is co lattice with the matrix changes to Al<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase which is not co lattice, leading to the increase of recrystallization tendency. The addition of trace Cr results in the formation of (Al, Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase with a small amount of Cr whose particle size is 10 - 20 nm, which increases the stability of Al<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase and improves the recrystallization resistance of the alloy. When Cr content is 0.18 wt%, except for L<sub>12</sub> type dispersion phase, there is also a coarse phase Al<sub>18</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub> with the size of 50 - 150 nm in the alloy matrix, which will not be dissolved after solution heat treatment. As a heterogeneous phase, this phase can inhibit the formation of recrystallization to some extent, but its coarse size and coherent relationship with the matrix are not obvious, which can inhibit the recrystallization nucleation and growth process. The effect ratio (Al, Zr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) is different.

When Cr content is 0.1 wt%, the fine dispersion can play a strong role in pinning relative to dislocation and sub grain boundary, hindering the transformation from sub grain boundary to large angle grain boundary, and greatly improving the stability of deformation recovery structure (**Figure 1(b**)). The energy difference between small angle grain boundary and in crystal is much smaller than that between large angle grain boundary and in crystal, which hinders the enrichment of precipitated phase particles in grain boundary and reduces the recrystallization tendency of alloy. Moreover, the dispersion distribution of the precipitates in the crystal makes the dislocations only bypass the coherent dispersion phase, thus inhibiting the coplanar slip of dislocations and improving the deformation uniformity of the alloy. This is helpful to restrain the grain boundary fracture tendency and improve the stress corrosion resistance of the alloy.

As Cr containing dispersion phase can significantly inhibit matrix recrystalli-

zation (Figures 1(a)-(c)), maintain deformation recovery structure and small angle grain boundary, it can significantly improve the fracture toughness of the alloy. Due to the low energy of small angle grain boundary, the possibility of aging precipitates enriching on it is very low. In addition, a large number of Cr containing dispersed phases are evenly distributed in the crystal, which makes grain growth difficult, promotes even deformation of the alloy, reduces the coplanar slip trend, avoids the grain boundary stress concentration caused by dislocation plugging at the grain boundary, thus reduces the tendency of grain boundary cracking caused by local stress concentration, and greatly improves the fracture toughness of the alloy.

# 4. Conclusions

1) With the increase of Cr content, the recrystallization and grain growth are inhibited, the strength, toughness and corrosion resistance of the alloy increase first and then decrease. When the Cr content is 0.1 wt%, the performance of the alloy is the best.

2) The main reason is that (Al, Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phase containing trace Cr is formed when Cr content is 0.1 wt%; when Cr content is increased to 0.18 wt%,  $Al_{18}Mg_3Cr_2$  and (Al, Cr)<sub>3</sub>(Zr, Yb) phases are formed.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2016YFB0300801) Major Research Equipment Development Projects of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51327902) Ph. D. student self-exploration (2014zzts024).

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- [1] Cao, C.X. (2008) One Generation of Material Technology, One Generation of Large Aircraft. *Acta Aeronautica et Astronautica Sinica*, **5**, 701-706.
- [2] Fang, H.C. and Chen, K.H. (2009) Current Research Status and Prospects of Ultra Strength Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Aluminum Alloy. *Materials Science and Engineering of Powder Metallurgy*, 14, 351-358.
- [3] Antipov, V.V. and Senatorova, O.G. (2012) High-Strength Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloys and Light Al-Li Alloys. *Metal Science and Heat Treatment*, 53, 428-433. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11041-012-9410-x</u>
- [4] Zeng, Y., Yin, Z.-M., Zheng, Z.-Q. and Liu, Z.I. (2002) Present Research and Developing of Ultra Strength Aluminum Alloys. *Journal of Central South University of Technology: Science and Technology*, **33**, 592-596.
- [5] Lim, S.T., Yun, S.J. and Nam, S.W. (2004) Improved Quench Sensitivity in Modified Aluminum Alloy 7175 for Thick Forging Applications. *Materials Science and Engi-*

neering A, 371, 82-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(03)00653-1

- [6] Mcnaughtan, D., Worsford, M. and Robinson, M.J. (2003) Corrosion Product Force Measurements in the Study of Exfoliation and Stress Corrosion Cracking in High Strength Aluminum Alloys. *Corrosion Science*, 45, 2377-2389. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-938X(03)00050-7
- [7] Li, J.-F., Jia, Z.-Q., Li, C.-X., *et al.* (2009) 7150 Study on Erosion Behavior of Aluminum Alloys and Corrosion Mechanism. *Corrosion Science and Protection Technology*, 21, 107-109.
- [8] Xie, Y.H., Yang, S.J. and Dai, S.L. (2002) Application of Element Zr in Aluminum Alloys. *Journal of Aeronautical Materials*, **22**, 52-61.
- [9] Liu, S.D., Zhang, X.M., You, J.H., Zhang, X.Y. and Zhang, C. (2007) Effect of Quench Rates on Fracture Behavior of AlZnMgCu(Zr) Alloy. *Transactions of Materials and Heat Treatment*, 28, 45-49.
- [10] Robson, J.D. and Prangnell, P.B. (2001) Dispersoid Precipitation and Process Modeling in Zirconium Containing Commercial Aluminium Alloys. *Acta Materialia*, 49, 599-613. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(00)00351-7</u>
- [11] Royset, J. and Ryum, N. (2005) Scandium in Aluminium Alloys. International Material Reviews, 50, 19-44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1179/174328005X14311</u>
- [12] Jones, M.J. and Humphreys, F.J. (2003) Interaction of Recrystallization and Precipitation: The Effect of Al<sub>3</sub>Sc on the Recrystallization Behaviour of Deformed Aluminium. *Acta Materialia*, **51**, 2149-2159. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(03)00002-8
- [13] He, Y.D., Zhang, X.M. and You, J.H. (2007) Effects of Minor Contents of Sc and Zr on Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu Alloy. *Rare Metal Materials and Engineering*, **36**, 665-670.
- [14] Van, D.M.E., Dunand, D.C. and Seidman, D.N. (2005) Effects of Ti Additions on the Nanostructure and Creep Properties of Precipitation-Strengthened Al-Sc Alloys. *Acta Materialia*, 53, 4225-4235. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2005.05.022</u>
- [15] Harada, Y. and Dunand, D.C. (2000) Creep Properties of Al<sub>3</sub>Sc and Al<sub>3</sub>(Sc,X) Intermetallics. *Acta Materialia*, 48, 3477-3487. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(00)00142-7
- [16] Yin, M.L. (2015) Effect of SC on the Structure and Properties of Al-6Zn-2Mg-2.5Cu Alloy. Jilin University, Changchun.
- [17] Tolley, A., Radmilovic, V. and Dahmen, U. (2005) Segregation in Al<sub>3</sub>(Sc,Zr) Precipitates in Al-Sc-Zr Alloys. *Scripta Materialia*, **52**, 621-625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2004.11.021
- [18] Dai, X.Y., Xia, C.Q. and Liu, C.B. (2006) The Effect of Sc for the Structure Properties of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-Zr Alloy. *Rare Metal Materials and Engineering*, **35**, 913-916.
- [19] Fang, H.C. (2010) The Formation and Function of Dispersed Phase in Al-(Zn-Mg-Cu)-Zr-Cr-Re Alloy. Centre South University, Changsha.
- [20] Fang, H.C., Shang, P.J., Huang, L.P., et al. (2012) Precipitates and Precipitation Behavior in Al-Zr-Yb-Cr Alloys. *Materials Letters*, 75, 192-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2012.02.013
- [21] Nes, E. and Wert, J.A. (1984) Modeling of Recrystallization in Alloys with a Bimodal Particle Size Distribution. *Scripta Metallurgica*, 18, 1433-1438. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0036-9748(84)90381-8</u>
- [22] Nes, E., Ryum, N. and Hunderi, O. (1985) On the Zener Drag. Acta Metallurgica, 33, 11-22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(85)90214-7</u>

[23] Ashby, M.F., Harper, J. and Lewis, J. (1969) The Inhibition of Grain-Boundary Motion by a Dispersion of Particles. *Transactions of the Metallurgical Society of AIME*, 245, 413.