Associations between Avocado Intake and Lower Rates of Incident Type 2 Diabetes in US Adults with Hispanic/Latino Ancestry
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Abstract

**Background/Purpose:** Hispanic/Latinos in the US are at increased risk for type 2 diabetes (T2D). Data suggest that avocado intake is associated with better glycemic control, but whether this translates to protection from T2D has not been studied. The goal of the current analyses was to examine whether consuming avocados at baseline is associated with lower incident T2D over a six-year period, compared to not consuming avocados at baseline.

**Subjects/Methods:** Using data from a large population of US adults with Hispanic ancestry, without known or unknown T2D at baseline (N = 6159), participants were classified as avocado consumers (N = 983) or non-consumers (N = 5176) based on the mean of two 24-hour dietary recalls. Cox proportional hazard models estimated the association of avocado consumption with incident T2D (N = 656 cases) over a six-year follow-up period, in the population as a whole, and separately in those with normoglycemia vs. prediabetes at baseline. A set of three sequential models were run: the first controlling only for sociodemographic factors ("minimally adjusted" models), the second for these and health behaviors ("fully adjusted" models), and a third for both sets of covariates and also body mass index (BMI; "fully adjusted + BMI" models).

**Results:** In the population as a whole, avocado intake at baseline was associated with reduced incident T2D in both the minimally adjusted (hazard ratio [HR] ± 95% confidence intervals [CIs]): 0.70 (0.52 - 0.94), P = 0.04) and the fully adjusted models (HR: 0.72 (0.54 - 0.97), P = 0.03). This association was observed in both those with prediabetes and with normoglycemia at baseline, but only reached significance in those with prediabetes (minimally adjusted model: HR: 0.68 (0.48 - 0.97), P = 0.03; fully adjusted model: HR: 0.67 (0.48 - 0.97), P = 0.03).
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0.69 (0.48 - 0.98), P = 0.04), not in those with normoglycemia (minimally adjusted model: HR: 0.86 (0.45 - 1.65), P = 0.65; fully adjusted model: HR: 0.80 (0.41 - 1.55), P = 0.50). In models which additionally controlled for BMI (“fully adjusted + BMI model”), the associations were slightly attenuated (overall population: HR: 0.79 (0.59 - 1.06), P = 0.60; normoglycemia: HR: 0.83 (0.42 - 1.64), P = 0.60; prediabetes: HR = 0.75 (0.54 - 1.05), P = 0.09). **Conclusions:** In our longitudinal analyses, adults with Hispanic/Latino ancestry who consumed avocado were less likely to develop T2D than those who did not consume avocado at baseline, especially if they had prediabetes at baseline.

**Keywords**
Avocado, Diet, Type 2 Diabetes, Dysglycemia, Monounsaturated Fats

1. Introduction

Over 22 million U.S. adults have a diagnosis of T2D [1], and the prevalence is ~66% higher in Americans with Hispanic ancestry (Hispanic/Latinos) when compared to non-Hispanic whites [2]. Diet remains one of the main modifiable risk factors for T2D. In addition to reducing overall energy intake and increasing whole grain consumption [3] [4], some (but not universal) evidence supports a role for limited amounts of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) in supporting glycemic control [5] [6] [7] [8] [9], although any beneficial effects may occur only in those with dysglycemia, and most strongly in those meeting criteria for T2D [10] [11] [12].

The relatively high MUFA content of avocado (~6.65 g per USDA serving) suggests this fruit may offer protection from T2D, and is thought to be one reason why data have observed a lower incidence of incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) in avocado consumers vs. non consumers [13]. However, despite two intervention studies demonstrating an effect of avocado intake on postprandial glucose control [14] [15], and data from one observational study showing avocado consumption is associated with a lower prevalence of the metabolic syndrome [16], and from our own study showing an association with better glycemic control in those with T2D (submitted for publication and available upon request), research has not examined whether the same protection has been observed for incident T2D.

To address this knowledge gap, the goal of the current analyses was to examine the association of consuming avocado intake with incident T2D, in a large, geographically diverse sample of Hispanic/Latinos.

2. Methods

The data included in the present study were downloaded from NHLBI Biological Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center (BioLINCC;
The corresponding author had full access to the data and assumed responsibility for the integrity of the analyses and findings as reported.

2.1. Participants and Procedure

HCHS/SOL is a community based prospective cohort study of US Hispanic/Latinos [17] [18]. HCHS/SOL recruited 16,415 self-identified Hispanic/Latino persons between 2008 and 2011, aged 18 - 74 years at baseline, across four US field centers (Chicago, IL; Miami, FL; Bronx, NY; San Diego, CA), who participated in an in-person clinic visit in (2008-2011), and again at an average of six years later in (2014-2017).

We included all participants who consented for their data to be included in NHLBI Biological Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center (BioLINCC) (N = 12,647; Figure 1), who were free from T2D at baseline (N = 9794; Figure 1), had complete dietary intake data and covariate data at baseline (N = 8690; Figure 1), and who completed the follow-up visit when information on incident T2D was obtained (N = 6159; Figure 1).

All human data were collected in conjunction with the Declaration of Helsinki. The current analyses were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Baylor College of Medicine under exempt status (protocol no: H-49021).

![Figure 1. Participant flow diagram.](https://biolincc.nhlbi.nih.gov/home/) Data on two 24-hour dietary recalls needed for inclusion.
2.2. Sampling Design

The study design for HCHS/SOL is described in detail elsewhere [17]. In brief, a stratified two-stage area probability sample of household addresses was selected in each of the four field centers. The first sampling stage randomly selected census block groups with stratification based on Hispanic/Latino concentration and proportion of high/low socio-economic status. The second sampling stage randomly selected households, with stratification, from US Postal Service registries that covered the randomly selected census block groups. Both stages oversampled certain strata to increase the likelihood that a selected address yielded a Hispanic/Latino household. After households were sampled, in-person or telephone contacts were made to screen eligible households and to roster its members. Lastly, the study oversampled the 45 - 74 age group (n = 9714, 59.2%) to facilitate examination of target outcomes. As a result, participants included in HCHS/SOL cohort were selected with unequal probabilities of selection, and these probabilities were considered during data analysis to appropriately represent the target population.

HCHS/SOL sampling weights are the product of a “base weight” (reciprocal of the probability of selection) and three adjustments: 1) non-response adjustments made relative to the sampling frame, 2) trimming to handle extreme values (to avoid a few weights with extreme values being overly influential in the analyses), and 3) calibration of weights to the 2010 US Census according to age, sex, and Hispanic heritage [17], and included in all analyses using inferential statistics.

2.3. Measures

The baseline in-person clinic visit included comprehensive assessment of biological (e.g., anthropometrics, blood draw, OGTT, ankle brachial pressure index, electrocardiogram), behavioral (e.g., dietary intake assessed with two 24-hour recalls, physical activity assessment by accelerometer and self-report, overnight sleep exam for apneic events, tobacco and alcohol assessed by self-report), and sociodemographic (e.g., socioeconomic status, migration history) factors [17] [18].

2.3.1. Glycemia Status

T2D status was determined based on American Diabetes Association criteria of: fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL, glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL 2-hours after a glucose challenge as part of an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), or hemoglobin A1c ([HbA1c] ≥ 6.5%), or if participants self-reported a previous diagnosis of T2D or medication usage for T2D [19]. Prediabetes was defined as fasting glucose range 100 - 125 mg/dL, or 2-h post-OGTT glucose in range 140 - 199 mg/dL, or 5.7% ≤ A1C < 6.5%. Normoglycemic was defined fasting glucose ≤ 100 mg/dL and 2-hour post-OGTT glucose ≤ 140 mg/dL and HbA1c ≤ 5.7%.

Incident diabetes was defined as participants who were free of diabetes at the baseline visit and were identified as having diabetes during the follow-up period. The date of an incident diabetes event was defined as time of the first self-report
of diabetes diagnosis during an annual follow up interview or the time of in-person follow-up examination for incident diabetes based on fasting glucose/insulin/HbA1c.

2.3.2. Dietary Intake
Participants completed two 24-hour dietary recalls, using a multi-pass technique, at an interval of 5 - 45 days apart. The first diet recall covered the 24-hour period prior initiating a fast for the baseline clinic visit during which time the blood draw was collected although 24-hour recalls are subject to self-report biases and error inherent in all self-reported nutrition data, validity coefficients suggest these are not higher in Hispanic/Latino data, including that from HCHS/SOL, than expected in other US populations [20] [21]. Nutrient and food group values were derived in the Nutrition Data System for Research (NDSR [22]). To minimize for the known biases in self-reported 24-hour recall data, nutrient intake was predicted as specified by the NCI method [23], using single component SAS macros developed at NCI (available at: http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/diet/usualintakes/macros.html). This method estimates the within and between person components and corrects for the high intra-individual variation intrinsic to 24-h recalls given that individuals do not eat the same foods every day [23].

Avocado intake in servings/day and total energy intake (kcal/day) were derived from an average of the two 24-hour recalls (as avocado is an episodically consumed food, participants with only one 24-hour dietary recall were excluded).

A dietary quality score (used as a covariate) was assessed via scores on the Alternative Healthy Eating Index-2010 (AHEI-2010), which sums decile scores for typical consumption across 11 dietary components i.e., 1) vegetables without potatoes, 2) whole fruits, 3) whole grains, 4) long-chain (n − 3) fats (EPA +DHA), 5) polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), and 6) nuts and legumes (which are all positively scored), and 7) sugar sweetened beverages and fruit juices, 8) red/processed meat, 9) trans fats, 10) sodium, and 11) alcohol (which are all negatively scored).

2.3.3. Demographics
Age, sex, education level, smoking status, Hispanic/Latino heritage/nativity, and preferred language were obtained through in-person interview with trained assessors.

2.3.4. Acculturation
Following previous epidemiological studies that include Hispanic/Latinos living in the US [24], an acculturation score was constructed from three proxy measures: nativity, years living in the US and preferred language. US Nativity and years in the US were combined and scored as US-born (3 points), foreign-born and lived in the US at least 20 years (2 points), foreign-born and lived in the US 10 - 19 years (1 point), or foreign-born and lived in the US less than 10 years (0 points). A separate score was given for language spoken at home: English (2
points), English and Spanish (1 point), or non-English language (0 points). The
two scores were summed to obtain an acculturation score from 0 (least accultu-
rated) to 5 (most acculturated) [25].

2.3.5. Physical Activity
Physical activity was measured in metabolic equivalent of task units (METs) of
moderate and vigorous physical activity, as self-reported on an adapted version
of the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Physical Activity Question-
naire [26], which asks participants to report the time spent in physical activity,
and the type of activity conducted during an average week, across three life do-
 mains (work-related, transportation, and leisure/recreational).

2.3.6. Anthropometric Measures
Height and weight were measured by trained study staff. BMI was calculated as
weight in kilograms (kg) divided by height in meters (m) squared (kg/m²).

2.4. Analyses
All analyses were conducted using the latest version of R software (version 4.0.5)
[27], and all values and parameter estimates are adjusted for the sampling strategy
using the “survey” package in R [28], with the exception of sample sizes (N and %) for participant characteristics, which are presented as unweighted.

2.4.1. Participant Characteristics
Demographic information stratified by avocado intake (non-consumer vs. con-
sumer) were calculated as a weighted mean (±standard error; SE) for continuous
variables, or unweighted total number (N) and weighted percentage (%) for ca-
tegorical or ordinal variables. Differences by avocado consumption, without con-
trolling for covariates, were conducted using weighed t-tests for continuous vari-
ables (transformed where necessary) and chi-squared tests of difference for ca-
tegorical variables. Although unweighted counts are provided for the number of participants with each measure, weighted degrees of freedom (df) from tests of
difference corrected for sampling strategy are presented throughout.

2.4.2. Association of Avocado Intake with Incident Diabetes
The association of avocado intake with incident T2D was examined via cox-
proportional hazards models, corrected for the complex sampling design with
sample weights, which accounted for the reduction in variance from stratified
sampling and the increase in variance from having only a small number of clus-
ters. The hazard ratio for T2D associated with being an avocado consumer vs.
non was calculated, using time at risk until the first diagnosis, or the last follow-
up (if no T2D occurred).

The association between avocado intake and time to T2D was examined in
three sets of models: in all models, avocado intake was specified as categorical
variable (non-consumer vs. consumer). Model 1 specified age, sex, education lev-
el, total energy intake (kilocalories/day), and heritage, and acculturation as cova-
Model 2 included model 1 covariates with the addition of alcohol, physical activity, smoking, and dietary quality (“fully adjusted model”). Due to the possibility that BMI mediates our associations of interest; the fully adjusted models did not control for BMI. However, recognizing the possibility that BMI could be a confounder, not a mediator, a final set of models were run which included the same covariates as the fully adjusted models with the addition of BMI (“fully adjusted + BMI” models). Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported for each model.

3. Results

3.1. Participant Characteristics

Sociodemographic factors, health behaviors and BMI, stratified by baseline avocado consumption (consumer vs. non) are presented in Table 1. Non-consumers did not differ from consumers in age, gender education level, acculturation, physical activity, alcohol intake alcohol consumption, smoking status, nor BMI. Avocado consumers tended to have a better overall dietary quality as measured by the AHEI2010 ($t = 6.5$, $df = 609$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1), a lower BMI ($t = 4.3$, $df = 609$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1) and have a different distribution of Hispanic heritage ($\chi^2 = 7.5$, $df = 5.1$, $P < 0.001$; Table 1) compared to non-consumers.

3.2. Association of Avocado Intake with Incident Diabetes

During a (weighted) mean of 6.09 (±0.02) years of follow up, there were 656 incident events of diabetes (10.65% of the sample), with 84 occurring in those with normoglycemia at baseline and 572 in those with prediabetes at baseline.

Across the overall population, when adjusting for sociodemographic factors and health behaviors (“fully adjusted” model), consuming avocado at baseline was associated with a lower incident of T2D, with a reduction in risk of 25% (HR: 0.75 (0.54 - 0.95), $P = 0.03$; Table 2). When stratified by baseline dysglycemia, there was a significant inverse association in those with prediabetes, who saw a reduction in risk of 31% (HR: 0.69 (0.48 - 0.98), $P = 0.04$; Table 2, Figure 2). While an effect was seen in those with normoglycemia (20% reduction in risk), the inverse association between avocado consumption and risk of T2D was not significant in this group (HR: 0.80 (0.41 - 1.55), $P = 0.50$; Table 2, Figure 2).

Although additionally controlling for BMI only resulted a small attenuation of the inverse avocado-T2D association, nonetheless the relationship was no longer significant in the population as a whole (HR: 0.79 (0.59 - 1.06); Table 2), the normoglycemics (HR: 0.83 (0.42 - 1.64, $P = 0.60$) nor those with baseline prediabetes (HR: 0.75 (0.54 - 1.05), $P = 0.09$).

4. Discussion

Using data from HCHS/SOL, a large, population-based cohort of geographically diverse Hispanic/Latinos, the current analyses revealed, for the first time, that
### Table 1. Weighted mean ± standard deviation (SD) or Unweighted Frequency (N) and Percentage (%) for Sociodemographic Factors, Health Behaviors, and Clinical Characteristics for the HCHS/SOL population, Stratified by Avocado Status (Consumers vs. Non-Consumers).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Avocado non-consumers</th>
<th>Avocado consumers N(^1) = 983</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sociodemographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>39.5 (0.32)</td>
<td>38.8 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>3197 (61.77%)</td>
<td>624 (63.48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>1979 (38.23%)</td>
<td>359 (36.52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic/ Latino Heritage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>511 (9.87%)</td>
<td>73 (7.43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central American, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>569 (10.99%)</td>
<td>95 (9.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuban, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>913 (17.64%)</td>
<td>140 (14.24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>1798 (34.74%)</td>
<td>480 (48.83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rican, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>831 (16.05%)</td>
<td>97 (19.87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South American, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>398 (7.69%)</td>
<td>70 (7.12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one heritage/Other, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>156 (3.01%)</td>
<td>28 (2.85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No high school diploma/GED, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>1714 (33.11%)</td>
<td>276 (28.08%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma/GED, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>1375 (26.56%)</td>
<td>255 (25.94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than high school/GED, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>2087 (40.32%)</td>
<td>452 (43.23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acculturation score</td>
<td>1.91 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Behaviors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity, MET-min/day</td>
<td>726 (28.0)</td>
<td>817 (58.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake, g/day</td>
<td>0.29 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet quality, aHEI total score*</td>
<td>46.5 (0.18)</td>
<td>49.00 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>4,208 (81.30%)</td>
<td>828 (84.23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, N(^1) (%)</td>
<td>968 (18.70%)</td>
<td>155 (15.77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m(^2)*</td>
<td>29.1 (0.12)</td>
<td>27.9 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05 for differences between avocado consumers vs. non-consumers. Abbreviations: aHEI: alternative Healthy Eating Index; HCHS/SOL: Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos; MET-min: Metabolic equivalent minutes. 1Unweighted frequency.
*P < 0.05 for incident type 2 diabetes when comparing avocado consumer at baseline vs. non-consumers, in cox-proportional hazard models which correct for the complex survey design and control for age, gender, energy intake (kilocalories/day), education level, acculturation, Hispanic/Latino heritage, alcohol intake, physical activity (metabolic equivalent minutes/day), diet quality (alternative Healthy Eating Index [aHEI] score) and smoking status as covariates (fully adjusted model).

Figure 2. Incident type 2 diabetes over a six-year period, stratified by baseline dysglycemia.

Table 2. Parameter estimates from Cox-proportional hazards models (corrected for the complex survey design with sample weights) examining the association of avocado intake status (consumer vs. non) in HCHS/SOL with incident type 2 diabetes, for the whole population and stratified by baseline Dysglycemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Overall population (N = 6159; 656 incident cases(^1))</th>
<th>Normoglycemic (N = 3084; 84 incident cases(^1))</th>
<th>Prediabetic (N = 3075; 572 incident cases(^1))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (P)</td>
<td>HR (P)</td>
<td>HR (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.70 (0.52 - 0.94)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.45 - 1.65)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.48 - 0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.72 (0.54 - 0.97)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.41 - 1.55)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.48 - 0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79 (0.59 - 1.06)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.42 - 1.64)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.54 - 1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Unweighted frequency. Note: Significant results (P < 0.05) in bold. Abbreviations: HCHS/SOL: Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos; HR: Hazard ratio; N = unweighted frequency. Model 1 includes age, gender, energy intake (kilocalories/day), education level, acculturation, and Hispanic/Latino heritage as covariates (minimally adjusted model). Model 2 includes the covariates from model 1, with the addition of alcohol intake, physical activity (metabolic equivalent minutes/day), diet quality (alternative Healthy Eating Index [aHEI] score) and smoking status (fully adjusted model). Model 3 includes the covariates from model 2, with the addition body mass index (BMI; fully adjusted model + BMI).
consuming avocado at baseline was associated with lower incident T2D over a 6-year follow up period, a relationship that was significant in individuals with prediabetes at baseline, and present but not significant in normoglycemics.

While our study is the first to examine whether avocado is associated with a lower risk of incident T2D, the findings are concordant with previous data from both intervention and observational studies showing an association between avocado intake (or consumption) and better glycemic control [14] [15] [16]. Identifying the reasons underlying this protective relationship are beyond the scope of the current investigation. Previous investigations have concluded that MUFA improves insulin sensitivity via a conserved IRS-1/PI3 kinase insulin signalling pathway [29]. Although the relatively high MUFA content of avocados drove our rationale for conducting these analyses, the nutrient profile of avocado contains multiple elements that could convey protection, such as (in a typical USDA serving): 345 mg of potassium (a nutrient necessary for glucose control) [30], 19.5 mg of magnesium (thought to improve glucose tolerance [31] and offer protection against the incidence of T2D), and 1.14 g of linoleic acid—a polyunsaturated fat (PUFA) which may improve insulin sensitivity [32]. Thus, it is not possible to tease out a single component responsible for the lower incidence of T2D, nor whether the relationship is due to the independent action of one or more micro-/macronutrient, or their synergistic effects.

Avocado was not significantly associated with lower incident T2D in those with normoglycemia, only in those with prediabetes, although the magnitude of effect in normoglycemics was similar to that in prediabetics (conveying 20% vs. 31% protection over a six-year period). Given the lower incidence of T2D in the former group (1.16% vs. 18.58%) these differential levels of significance could reflect a power issue. Nonetheless, previous meta-analyses have shown that the associations of MUFAs and olive oil (an oil rich in MUFAs) with better glucose control are stronger in those with dysglycemia than normoglycemics, and stronger still in those with T2D [10] [12] [33]. This highlights the importance of tailoring dietary advice to whether the goal is primary vs. secondary prevention, and the importance of considering individual’s different responses to dietary intake to long-term health (“personalized nutrition”).

Our study had numerous strengths, including the large number of participants, and the geographical diversity underlying their heritage. We also assessed avocado intake via the average of two 24-hour dietary recalls, which has the advantage of including all foods reported by our ancestrally diverse population with differing acculturation statuses. However, although our estimated avocado consumption was similar to previous estimates of US Hispanic/Latino avocado intake [34] [35], the use of 24-hour recall data on may still have misclassified some consumers as non-consumers introducing a source of error and over above the expected errors when using self-reported dietary data [36]. Finally, as with all observational studies, it is not possible to ensure that all confounders (including both measured and unmeasured factors) were removed or accounted for, which
precludes causal inferences and leaves open the possibility that aspects avocado consumers’ overall lifestyle are responsible for their lower incidence of T2D.

Nonetheless, Hispanic/Latinos are at a higher risk of T2D than non-Hispanic white counterparts [37], and preventing the onset of chronic diseases in this population has been noted as a priority research area by the National Institutes of Health [38]. Our large-scale, longitudinal study provides additional support that avocados could form part of a diet aimed at supporting good glucose control, and highlights the need for future studies which research which examine whether the most efficacious dietary strategies are those tailored to an individual’s sensitive overall glucose homeostasis and metabolic functioning. In this way, dietary approaches to prevent T2D may be made more efficacious.
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