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Abstract

OBJECTIVE: The physiological skin surface pH is crucial for several epidermal barrier functions, like stratum corneum integrity, cohesion and restoration. Alterations of the "normal" acidic nature of the skin surface have been shown to correlate with specific skin conditions like aged or inflamed skin and are leading to impaired skin barrier function and formation. It is previously demonstrated that topical acidification in atopic dermatitis improves stratum corneum function, skin barrier structure and clinical signs in dermatitis. Against this background, we examined the impact of a slightly acidic skin care product containing urea on stratum corneum hydration, skin surface pH and epidermal barrier function in subjects with dry skin and atopic diathesis.

METHODS: Stratum corneum hydration, skin surface pH and transepidermal water loss were biophysically measured before and after a 4-week treatment period with the test product (pH 4.5, 10% urea) compared to the reference product in 25 volunteers. In addition, dynamic epidermal barrier parameters like stratum corneum integrity, cohesion and recovery were investigated by using a previously described tape stripping approach.

RESULTS: It was shown that the test product (pH 4.5, 10% urea) significantly elevated stratum corneum hydration and improved the acidic nature of the skin surface by lowering the skin surface pH to a greater extent compared to the reference product. After the 4-week treatment period a significant faster barrier restoration was detected on the test site treated with the test product compared to the reference product. Moreover, the test product strengthens...
the skin barrier integrity and cohesion. **CONCLUSION:** The present marketed skin care lotion was shown to increase epidermal barrier function after 4 weeks of application. Balancing and controlling the skin surface pH in subjects with dry and atopic-prone skin by application of the herein tested o/w emulsion with a given pH of 4.5, in combination with a 10% urea content seems to be effective and beneficial. The results are important for the formulation of topical products for dry and atopic-prone skin.
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### 1. Introduction

The skin, or more precisely the epidermal permeability barrier (EPB), is the most important blockade to protect the human body from external influences [1] [2] [3]. The main part of the EPB is formed by the stratum corneum (SC). This outer layer of the skin consists of corneocytes embedded in a highly organized lipid matrix and it is important for a proper skin permeability function [4] [5]. The SC protects the body from external influences, e.g. allergens and microorganisms, and in addition prevents the body from an excessive transepidermal water loss (TEWL) [6] [7]. Besides the given skin barrier structure of proteins and lipids, the skin surface pH (ss-pH) and the individual cutaneous microbiome are important for an adequate EPB function [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]. Disturbances of these factors can trigger malfunction of the EPB function and moisture regulation, *i.e.* very dry and scaly skin, or even worse, skin diseases such as atopic dermatitis (AD) [13] [14] which is a common, multifactorial inflammatory disease associated with very dry, rough, itchy and inflamed skin [15].

The understanding of the ss-pH has broadened in the last 20 years, and it is well documented that the ss-pH is crucial for several epidermal functions such as integrity, cohesion and recovery of the SC [16]. The physiological (“normal”) pH of the skin surface in most body areas is defined as just below 5.0 [17] [18], and was first described with the term “acid mantle” by Schade and Marchionini in 1928 [19]. Alterations of the normal ss-pH have been shown to correlate with specific conditions like aged skin [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] or inflamed skin, such as AD [13] [14] [25]. For both skin conditions, an ss-pH above 5.0 is described and associated to symptoms like rough and dry skin, itching, and an increased rate of skin infections [26] [27], which is partly linked to the crucial role of the ss-pH in the regulation of SC integrity, cohesion (converse of desquamation) and restoration [28]. The continuous desquamation depends on the activity of serine proteases [29], especially kallikrein 5 (KLK5) and kallikrein-7 (KLK7) [30]. It is shown that both proteases exhibit a neutral pH optimum [31]. By the physiological slightly acidic ss-pH the activity of KLK5 and KLK7 is regulated and thereby desquamation is balanced [32]. Furthermore, EPB homeostasis and...
recovery also depend on ss-pH. It is shown that treatment of artificially disturbed skin with neutral pH buffer decreases SC recovery [33]. This delayed repair is related to the inhibition of the two lipid-processing enzymes acidic sphingomyelinase (aSMase) and β-glucocerebrosidase (BGC), which offer a slightly acidic pH optimum [34]. Both hydrolases are key factors in EPB formation and restoration and transfer polar lipids to the non-polar barrier lipid matrix [35]. Based on the link between ss-pH and corneophysiology, any alteration of the acidic ss-pH 1) increases the activity of KLK5 and KLK7, 2) and inhibits the activity of the lipid-processing enzymes BGC and aSMase. This corneal dysfunction results in an elevated degradation of corneodesmosomes and insufficient formation of the lamellar lipid bilayers [32].

To overcome negative effects of an elevated ss-pH, e.g. in aged skin, several controlled trials were initiated to investigate the effect of acidic skin care products on ss-pH regulation and thereby on EPB function, especially in aged skin [23] [36] [37] [38] [39] [40]. These research activities have variously shown that the application of slightly acidic formulations (oil-in-water, water-in-oil) with a given pH of 4.0 can directly shift the elevated ss-pH in elderly skin back to a physiological level and thus, improve EPB integrity, recovery and structure of the SC lipid matrix. Apart from these investigations on aged skin, studies were conducted to reveal the relation between ss-pH, EPB function and inflammation in AD. It was shown in atopic mice, that maintaining physiological ss-pH by topically applied lactobionic acid enhances EPB function, including normalization of antimicrobial peptide expression and decrease in cytokine generation [41]. In addition, SC recovery after experimental pH neutralization is delayed and skin inflammation and dermatitis aggravated [42]. Furthermore, Lee et al. [43] monitored long-term effects of exogenous SC acidification in a specific atopic murine model accompanied by an asthma-like respiratory allergy (induced by oxazolone followed by inhalation of house dust mite; “atopic march animal model”). It was demonstrated that application of an acidic cream (pH 2.8) minimizes atopic skin lesions and additionally inhibits the respiratory inflammation. Another study by Jang et al. [44] investigated the relation between pH and the pathogenesis in an AD mice model. It was shown that experimental elevation of ss-pH leads to AD-like dermatitis and EPB dysfunction. In contrast, acidification of severe eczematous lesions results in reduced TEWL, ss-pH, KLK5 activity and dermal inflammation. Against this background, balancing and controlling the ss-pH by formulations with reduced pH might be a beneficial skin care strategy to overcome pathological pH variations and EPB dysfunction in AD [45] [46].

Considering the comprehensively studied impact of targeted SC acidification with cosmetic emulsions in elderly and the first corresponding study results in atopic skin conditions, the present study was conducted to investigate the effectiveness of a commercially available skin care lotion, developed with a slightly acidic pH of 4.5 and 10% urea in subjects with dry skin and atopic diathesis. Urea is widely used in dermo-cosmetic products for dry skin conditions and a
physiological compound of the natural moisturizing factor of the epidermis and described as hydrating and barrier-enhancing active [47]. Additionally, it is commonly accepted that urea shows a broad effectiveness in the field of dermatology and cosmetics, especially to support EPB processes and function [48] [49] [50] [51].

Herewith, we posed the question whether the scientifically described positive effects of a slightly acidic product pH [52] in combination with a scientifically relevant urea content [47] generate positive effects on SC hydration, ss-pH and EPB function in subjects with xerotic and atopic skin.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Panel Criteria

25 volunteers (20 female, 5 male), aged between 22 and 69 years (mean 42.2 ± 16.8 SD) participated in the present study. The data from all these persons were included in the statistical analysis. This single center, prospective study was conducted in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki to fulfill ethical standards. All study participants were informed in detail about the aims, risks and benefits of the study and agreed to participate. Participation was voluntary, and each participant had enough time to consider participation.

The study was open to subjects with an initial ss-pH above 5.0 on the test sites (volar forearm) and an atopic diathesis and/or very dry skin. Potential volunteers excluded from study participation had a SCORAD over 25, an acute exacerbation of atopic eczema and/or pathological skin changes on the forearm. The participants described the skin on the forearm as “very dry” (n = 3), “dry” (n = 14), or “normal” (n = 8) and the skin condition on the body was described as “very dry” (n = 4), “dry” (n = 18), or “normal” (n = 3). A total of 18 subjects reported atopic diathesis and 8 of them suffered from atopic dermatitis. In addition, 20 volunteers stated that they generally had sensitive skin.

Furthermore, the test sites were not allowed to get in contact with skin cleaning or skin care products 24 hours prior to the examination. During examination contact of the test sites with water and/or skin cleansing and skin care products was avoided as well as sauna visits and sports were not allowed.

2.2. Test Products

The test product A (pH 4.5, 10% urea), a commercially available skin care lotion, and the reference product B (pH 6.5, 0% urea), developed for the present study as control condition and not marketed, were applied to the allocated test areas. The reference test sample was chosen for different reasons. First, it was developed for this trial by the present study sponsor with a given pH 6.5, which nearly reflects the pH value of several in the market existing topical formulations [53]. Moreover, it was formulated without urea and with a different lipid phase to detect the impact of these defined differences. Finally, a reference test sample was chosen as control condition instead of an “untreated” control site. The in-
Ingredients (INCI name) and characteristics of the product A (Kneipp® Evening Primrose Skin Care) and the product B are shown in Table 1. The allocation of both products to the forearm insides was randomized.

2.3. Functional Assessment

The SC hydration (arbitrary unit, a.u.) was measured with a Corneometer® CM 825 (Courage & Khazaka Electronic GmbH, Cologne, Germany) and EPB function was determined by measuring the TEWL (g/m²/h) with a Tewameter® TM300 (Courage & Khazaka, Cologne, Germany). Furthermore, ss-pH was measured with a Skin-pH-Meter® PH905 (Courage & Khazaka, Cologne, Germany).

The biophysical evaluation of the EPB function included: SC cohesion, SC integrity and SC recovery as previously utilized [36]. To evaluate the SC cohesion 10 sequential tape stripping on the volar forearm were performed, for which D-Squames (D-Squame Standard, CuDerm Corp., Dallas, Tex., USA) were employed. The amount of protein per D-Squame was determined by optical density measurement at a wavelength of 850 nm using infrared densitometry (SquameScanTM 850A, Heiland Electronic, Wetzlar, Germany). To evaluate SC integrity, the first 10 strips by D-Squames were followed by additional stripping with Blenderm™ Surgical Tape (3M Health Care, Neuss, Germany) to obtain a 3-fold increased TEWL (compared to baseline TEWL), which was interpreted as EPB perturbation. SC integrity was expressed as the number of tape stripping required to increase the TEWL by 3-fold. TEWL measurements after perturbation reflected the SC recovery rate. To get a faster skin barrier breakdown the Blenderm™ Surgical Tape was used after 10 strips with D-Squames. Moreover, the tape stripping procedure was performed by one qualified and experienced technician, and thereby standardized.

Table 1. Composition and specifications of the test product A and the reference product B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product code</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product A</strong> (Internal No. NHH081)</td>
<td>Aqua, Urea, Glycerin, Prunus Amygdalus Dulcis Oil, Oenothera Biennis Oil, Tocopheryl Acetate, Cetearyl Alcohol, Panthenol, Glyceryl Stearate SE, Sodium Lactate, Phytosterols, Bisabolol, Rosmarinus Officinalis Leaf Extract, Citronellol, Benzyl Salicylate, Limonene, Geraniol, Linalool, Benzyl Alcohol, p-Anisic Acid, Parfum, Caprylyl Glycol, Lactic Acid, Sorbitan Oleate, Sodium Stearoyl Glutamate, Glycine Soja Oil, Ascorbyl Palmitate, Xanthan Gum, Triacetin, Tocopherol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH value: 4.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>Content of urea [%]: 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product B</strong> (Internal No. GVB154)</td>
<td>Aqua, Caprylic/Capric Triglyceride, Glycerin, Helianthus Annuus Seed Oil, Cetearyl Alcohol, Glyceryl Stearate Citrate, p-Anisic Acid, Caprylyl Glycol, Xanthan Gum, Glyceryl Caprylate, Acrylates/C10-30 Alkyl Acrylate Crosspolymer, Sodium Hydroxide, Glycine Soja Oil, Tocopherol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH value: 6.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>Content of urea [%]: 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. Experimental Designs

At the beginning of the study, the 25 subjects introduced themselves on two consecutive days. The test areas (volar forearms) were preconditioned, i.e. no skin care products were applied to them 24 hours before the start of the study and they could not be washed 12 hours before the measurement. After an acclimatization time of 30 minutes, the measurements were carried out according to the international recommendations for skin physiological measurement in the field of cosmetics [54] [55] [56]. As shown in Table 2, the experimental setting was structured in two phases: 1) before treatment and 2) after 4-week treatment, and both of these phases were subdivided in baseline: tb(0) and t4w(0); post tape stripping: tb(0*) and t4w(0*); after 8 hours measurement: tb(8) and t4w(8); and 24 hours measurement: tb(24) and t4w(24).

At the first examination day baseline values for the SC hydration, TEWL and ss-pH were determined, including SC integrity and cohesion by tape stripping. TEWL was also determined directly, i.e. post tape stripping to control EPB perturbation, 8 and 24 hours after the experimental barrier damage to reflect the regeneration over 24 hours. All measurements were completely repeated after the 4-week (Table 2) treatment. The subject returned to the study site for measurements 10 to 16 hours after the last product application.

Subsequently, all volunteers randomly received the test product A and the

Table 2. The study schedule was structured in two phases: before and after 4-week treatment. SC, stratum corneum; ss-pH, skin surface pH; TEWL, transepidermal water loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>post tape stripping</th>
<th>after 8 h</th>
<th>after 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tb(0)</td>
<td>tb(0*)</td>
<td>tb(8)</td>
<td>tb(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-/exclusion criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC hydration, ss-pH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEWL</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape stripping</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC cohesion</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC recovery</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>After treatment</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>post tape stripping</th>
<th>after 8 h</th>
<th>after 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t4w(0)</td>
<td>t4w(0*)</td>
<td>t4w(8)</td>
<td>t4w(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC hydration, ss-pH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEWL</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape stripping</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC cohesion</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reference product B. The formulations were applied to the left or right forearm in the morning and evening for a period of 4 weeks. To avoid confusions and mistakes, the products were labelled “L” and “R” (left/right), respectively. Half of the subjects applied product A to the left forearm and product B to the right forearm and the other half applied the products vice versa. The ss-pH measurement of both forearms was performed 2 weeks after the basic data were collected. After a 4-week application period, the skin physiological parameters described above were measured again and compared with the basic data. The assessment was carried out at room temperature (19.5˚C ± 0.5˚C) and a relative humidity of 48% ± 2% in October and November 2018.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 25.0 for Macintosh (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and data evaluation included all measured values. The significance level was set at p = 0.05, therefore p-values < 0.05 are considered significant. The obtained data were checked for normal distribution before statistical analysis and then analyzed with the Wilcoxon test (non-parametric, paired data) or Mann-Whitney-U-Test (non-parametric, unpaired data).

3. Results

At the baseline situation ss-pH (p = 0.532), SC hydration (p = 0.823) and TEWL (p = 0.734) of selected test areas were comparable, i.e. no significant differences were observed. After a 4-week treatment regimen with product A or B the ss-pH was significantly decreased only in the allocated product A treated test area (−0.560, from 5.79 to 5.23, p = 0.000), while the ss-pH in the product B treated test area remained unchanged (−0.036, from 5.74 to 5.70, p = 0.660). Furthermore, after the 4-weeks treatment regimen statistical analyses revealed significant (p = 0.000) differences between the product A and B treated test areas (Figure 1).

After the 4-week treatment regimen with either product A or B the SC hydration increased significantly (p = 0.000). SC hydration increased from 39.68 to 51.30 in the product A treated test area and from 39.34 to 46.52 in the product B treated test area, respectively (Figure 2). However, after the 4-weeks treatment regimen statistical analyses revealed significant differences of SC hydration when the two test areas were compared (p = 0.021).

Before the 4-week treatment regimen 20.16 ± 7.01 tape stripping in the product A treated area were needed compared to 19.44 ± 6.16 tape stripping in the product B treated area to obtain a 3-fold increased TEWL, i.e. to perturb EPB structure (Table 3). Statistical analyses revealed no differences when the two test areas were compared at baseline (p = 0.572). In contrast to baseline, the SC integrity in product A treated area improved significantly (p = 0.000) after 4-weeks of treatment as indicated by the higher number of tape stripping required to disturb the EPB function (29.16 ± 13.61).
Figure 1. Changes in skin surface pH ($\Delta$) are indicated as the difference between the pH at two time points: $t_b(0)$ (before treatment) and $t_{4w}(0)$ (after 4 weeks of treatment). Product A (pH 4.5, 10% urea) compared to product B (pH 6.5, 0% urea), with significant differences to baseline for test product A. The p-values < 0.05 are considered significant.

Figure 2. SC hydration baseline values before treatment ($t_b(0)$) and baseline values after 4-week treatment ($t_{4w}(0)$) with product A (pH 4.5, 10% urea) compared to product B (pH 6.5, 0% urea), with significant differences after treatment. The p-values < 0.05 are considered significant. SC, stratum corneum; a.u., arbitrary unit.

Table 3. Number of tape stripping to obtain a 3-fold increased TEWL, interpreted as epidermal barrier integrity. Data presented for prior ($t_b$) and after a 4-week treatment ($t_{4w}$) regimen with either product A (pH 4.5, 10% urea) compared to product B (pH 6.5, 0% urea). The p-values < 0.05 (in bold) are considered significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product A</th>
<th>Product B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_b$</td>
<td>$t_{4w}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tape stripplings [Mean ± SD]</td>
<td>20.16 ± 7.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value [Wilcoxon-Test]</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the number of tape stripping (10 times D-Squames and $X$ times Blenderm™ Surgical Tape) remained unchanged in the product B treated area.
(19.44 ± 6.16 compared to 20.52 ± 6.70; p = 0.050). Upon comparison of both treatment areas after the 4-weeks treatment regimen (29.16 ± 13.61 compared to 20.52 ± 6.70), significant differences (p = 0.000) in SC integrity were also revealed (Table 3). Concerning the recovery rate, 24 h after barrier perturbation via tape stripping the TEWL was significantly reduced in both allocated test areas prior to and after the 4-week treatment regimen (p = 0.000; Figure 3), but with significant differences between the test sites for the treatment regimen, i.e. product A compared to product B for the 8 h and the 24 h measurement: t4w(8): p = 0.017; t4w(24): p = 0.018.

The amount of protein removed per strip is reflected by the percentage absorbance. The lower the absorbance, the less protein (i.e. corneocytes) sticks to the D-Squame and the better SC cohesion is. Prior to treatment no significant differences in the two treatment areas were shown for tape 1 (p = 0.445), tape 5 (p = 0.885) and tape 10 (p = 0.695), that means SC cohesion was comparable at baseline (Figure 4). After the 4-week treatment regimen the D-Squame absorbance was significantly lower in the product A treated area compared to product B treated area: for tape 1: p = 0.000, tape 5: p = 0.01 and tape 10: p = 0.000.

However, both treatment modalities revealed a significant decrease of absorbance. Product A: tape 1: p = 0.000, tape 5: p = 0.000, tape 10: p = 0.000. Product B: tape 1: p = 0.003, tape 5: p = 0.002, tape 10: p = 0.006. Nevertheless, values
Figure 4. Absorbance (%) by optical density measurement of D-Squames (wavelength of 850 nm). Values of tape no. 1, 5, and 10 prior (tb) and after 4-week treatment (t4w) are presented for product A (pH 4.5, 10% urea) compared to product B (pH 6.5, 0% urea), including significant differences after 4-week treatment. The p-values < 0.05 are considered significant.

were significantly lower in the product A treated area compared to the product B treated area.

Finally, the product treatment was well-tolerated, i.e. no adverse effects were reported by the volunteers and no skin reactions were observed by the dermatologist during the study period.

4. Discussion

For AD an elevated ss-pH is described, whereby pH differences between 0.1 and 0.9 units were demonstrated in atopic skin compared to healthy skin [46]. Besides the pathological changes in pH, SC hydration is decreased, natural moisturizing factor synthesis is reduced [57] and as one consequence atopic skin is characterized by dry, rough and eczematous skin [58]. In addition, alterations in EPB structure and lipid matrix are described, especially in the content of ceramides [59] or in the intercellular lipid lamellae organization [60].

Based on these abnormalities in skin physiology and lipid structure, we asked the question, whether 1) acidification of the skin by a formulation with reduced pH and 2) hydrating the skin by a specific urea concentration combined in one o/w emulsion can improve dry and atopic-prone skin. In recent years, a few studies investigating atopic murine skin were performed to evaluate the relation of
ss-pH, EPB function and dermatitis. It was demonstrated, that maintaining normal ss-pH by topical application of lactobionic acid enhances EPB function, regulates antimicrobial peptide expression and reduces cytokine production in atopic mice [41]. Furthermore, Sakai et al. have shown that SC repair after experimental induced SC pH neutralization is decreased and skin inflammation enhanced in murine atopic skin [42]. Long-term effects of SC acidification were studied by Lee et al. [43], in an atopic march animal model. It was shown that topical application of a cream with a given pH of 2.8 reduces atopic skin lesions and beyond can block respiratory inflammation. In line with these results another recent study also investigated the interrelationship of pH and the pathogenesis of AD in mice [44]. It was discovered that increasing the ss-pH results in AD-like dermatitis and impaired EPB function. Vice versa, experimental acidification of severe eczematous lesions with lactobionic acid results in reduced ss-pH, TEWL, serine protease activity, i.e. the desquamation-processing enzyme KLK5, and minimizes dermatitis [45]. These study results are in line with several investigations on aged skin, where various studies have shown the benefit of slight acidification by skin care emulsions with a given pH of 4.0. Normalization of the enhanced ss-pH in the elderly to a physiological level was linked to improved SC integrity, recovery and lipid formation [23] [36] [37] [38] [39] [40]. Based on the results concerning pH and aged skin, it was recommended to balance and control ss-pH by exogenous acidification using skin care products with a pH of approximately 4.0, and thereby improving EPB function and maintaining skin health [36]. In the present work, the 4-week application of the test product A has significantly reduced the mean ss-pH from 5.8 to 5.2, that means decreased to a more physiological (healthy) level [17] [18], which was not achieved by the reference product B (Figure 1).

The physiological acidic ss-pH regulates various SC functions, such as integrity, cohesion and repair [1] [2] [3]. The continuous desquamation depends on the activity of serine proteases [29] and the healthy acidic ss-pH regulates the activity of KLK5 and KLK7 which balances desquamation and vice versa SC cohesion [32]. Furthermore, EPB homeostasis and recovery also depend on ss-pH [33] and are related to the regulation of two lipid-processing enzymes (aSMase, BGC), which both offer a slightly acidic pH optimum [34]. As recently reviewed by Denby and Cork [46] perturbation of the physiological ss-pH in atopic skin leads to reduced EPB homeostasis, suppressed antimicrobial defense and increased protease activity, that in turn results in inflammation and pruritus. Based on these described mechanisms, exogenous SC acidification and normalization of the ss-pH controls the activity of KLK5 and KLK7 and increases the activity of the lipid-processing enzymes BGC and aSMase, which in turn may lead to elevated SC integrity and cohesion and accelerated SC restoration in skin conditions with non-physiological pH changes [36]. Herein, the skin barrier disruption was obtained via repeated tape stripping and the indicator was a 3-fold increased TEWL. The barrier regeneration is characterized by the decrease of TEWL over the first 24 hours (Figure 3). After 4 weeks of application a...
significant faster barrier regeneration was documented on the forearms treated with the slightly acidic product A than on the forearms treated with the near pH neutral product B. The amount of tape strips necessary to reach the 3-fold increased TEWL was documented and reflects the SC integrity (Table 3). The more tape strips are needed to irritate the barrier, the more stable is the skin barrier. Only after application of product A significant more tape strips were needed to achieve a 3-fold increased TEWL, so it is assumed that product A strengthens the skin’s resistance, but not the reference product B. Regarding SC cohesion, i.e. the cohesion of corneocytes correlates with barrier integrity. The better the cohesion of the corneocytes, the lower the protein concentration in tape strips (Figure 4). The 4-week treatment with both products resulted in a significantly lower protein concentration on the strips but compared to product B the protein concentration after application of product A was significantly lower. The herewith tested commercially available skin care product improves SC integrity, cohesion and recovery significant and therefore the relation between EPB function and topical management of the ss-pH seems to be verified in subjects with dry skin and atopic diathesis.

Apart from the enhanced ss-pH, atopic skin is characterized by reduced SC hydration and impaired SC lipid structure and composition [57] [58] [59] [60]. The correlation between skin barrier function and SC hydration is commonly accepted [61]. The higher the SC hydration, the more stable is the EPB function, and therefore in turn the lower SC hydration and the more unstable the EPB function. After 4-week treatment the SC hydration was increased with both applications. However, the SC hydration was significantly higher after application of product A compared to product B (Figure 2). Objective of the present work was to evaluate the effect of a marketed skin care product with a given pH of 4.5 and a urea concentration of 10%. Urea is part of the natural moisturizing factor of the epidermis and is known as effective moisturizing and barrier-enhancing active for skin care products [47]. Today, it is commonly accepted, that urea shows a broad effectiveness for dermo-cosmetic products [49] [50] [51]. The present study has shown that the test product A with a content of 10% urea contributes to the efficacy in case of SC moisturization and improving EPB function as previously reviewed [47]. Since urea is a hygroscopic molecule, it keeps moisture and hydrates the skin. Concerning the barrier-enhancing effects, it was shown that 10% urea regulates genes, which are involved in keratinocyte differentiation and lipid synthesis [48]. Our present results show, that an acidic o/w emulsion containing 10% urea has a positive impact on SC hydration, ss-pH and EPB function. Moreover, it was shown, that there are no negative interactions between the acidic product pH and 10% urea, regarding product properties and skin compatibility.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the marketed test product A significantly increased SC hydration, improved the acidic character of the skin and enhanced EPB function, in terms
of SC integrity, cohesion and recovery to a greater extent compared to the refer-
ence product B. Based on the present work, controlling the ss-pH in subjects
with xerotic and atopic-prone skin via application of skin care formulation with
a lowered pH, like 4.5, in combination with a 10% urea concentration is recom-
mended. The results are important for the formulation of topical products for
very dry and atopic skin. Using such formulations seems to be a strategy for tar-
geted and direct skin acidification to overcome pathological pH changes and
EPB malfunction in dry and atopic skin. Nevertheless, the present study results
are primarily limited to the herewith evaluated marketed product A. This means
that a transfer of the shown product effects to other products with similar prod-
uct characteristics like identical pH or urea content is not automatically feasible.
In addition, the shown effects are limited to the used biophysical parameters,
especially concerning skin barrier function, and are not directly linked to the
improvement of other dysfunctions in very dry and atopic skin, e.g. inflamma-
tory processes. Moreover, larger clinical long-term trials with additional control
conditions are necessary to create more evidence concerning this skin care
strategy for atopic dermatitis or subjects with dry skin and atopic diathesis.
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