

Postoperative Sedation Options in ICU

Cunping Wang, Fei Li*

Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Yangtze University, Jingzhou, China Email: *1273073582@qq.com

How to cite this paper: Wang, C.P. and Li, F. (2024) Postoperative Sedation Options in ICU. *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines*, **12**, 93-104. https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2024.121009

Received: December 3, 2023 Accepted: January 14, 2024 Published: January 17, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

Abstract

This paper examines sedation options in ICU postoperative care. It highlights the necessity of sedation for patients' physical and mental comfort, safety, and reduction of delirium. The article advocates light sedation, primarily with non-benzodiazepines like propofol or dexmedetomidine, to improve outcomes. It introduces novel sedatives like ciprofol and remimazolam, suggesting they may be future alternatives in ICU sedation, although more research is needed.

Keywords

Light Sedation, Remimazolam, Ciprofol

1. The Necessity of Sedation

After surgery, patients may experience delayed recovery from anesthesia or require transfer to the intensive care unit (ICU) for continued monitoring and treatment based on their condition. Consequently, sedation treatment becomes necessary due to factors such as pain, restlessness, anxiety, intolerance for tracheal intubation, and human-machine confrontation with the ventilator [1]. Postoperative pain, a common occurrence, has been linked to adverse outcomes [2] [3] [4]. Furthermore, agitation following general anesthesia appears to be associated with the presence of postoperative indwelling catheters, endotracheal intubation, and patient characteristics indicative of preexisting mental health issues [5]. Critically ill patients often receive sedatives to alleviate anxiety, reduce the stress of mechanical ventilation, and prevent agitation-related injuries [6]. As a result, sedation treatment in the ICU has become a fundamental measure [7]. Moreover, sedation treatment not only affords physical and mental comfort (such as alleviating anxiety, promoting sleep, and mitigating negative memories to some extent) but also ensures safety and efficacy, particularly for mechanically *Corresponding author.

ventilated patients, as well as organ protection by reducing the influx of noxious stimuli and alleviating sympathetic tension [7]. Additionally, sedation can lower the incidence of delirium and cognitive dysfunction, ultimately leading to improved patient prognosis to a significant extent [7]. Simultaneously, sedation has been found to reduce the production of inflammatory factors, thus contributing to improved patient outcomes [8]. A retrospective study examining the impact of deep sedation on the occurrence of early postoperative pneumonia and delirium following oral cancer reconstruction revealed that restless patients and those who were unable to receive sedatives were more likely to experience delirium and pneumonia [9].

2. Target Goal of Sedation

It has been shown in studies that early deep sedation is independently associated with delayed extubation and higher mortality [10]. Conversely, light sedation strategies have been found to reduce intensive care unit length of stay and ventilation time without negative impacts on patient mental health or safety [11]. The 2013 PAD guidelines recommend targeting light sedation or using daily wake-up trials, and minimizing benzodiazepines to improve short-term outcomes such as duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU length of stay [6]. Unless contraindicated, sedative drugs for adult ICU patients should be titrated to maintain light sedation [1] [7]. However, it is important to note that there is no universally accepted definition of light sedation [6]. The level of light sedation implies that the patient can wake up and follow simple actions, such as opening eyes, making eye contact, extending the tongue, making fists, and wiggling toes [1] [7]. Reliable and effective sedation scores such as the RASS score (-2 - 0 points) and SAS score (3 - 4 points) can be used for evaluation to determine the level of sedation [7] [12]. For most ICU patients, light sedation can effectively avoid over-sedation, ensuring patient comfort, safety, and wakefulness to enable easy weaning and extubation, aided by pain and delirium assessment, and facilitating early activities [13]. Although guidelines recommend light sedation, the quality of the evidence supporting this recommendation is not high. Studies comparing nosedation with light sedation and daily interruption of sedation have indicated no significant difference in patient prognosis between the two approaches [14] [15]. It is worth noting that sedation can suppress melatonin concentration [16], potentially affecting patient sleep quality and cell self-protective functions. Nosedation can influence the location of pressure ulcers in critically ill patients [17], mainly due to equipment use. Reducing unnecessary equipment usage may correspondingly reduce the risk of pressure ulcers in critically ill patients. A retrospective analysis of failed nosedation strategies in critically ill mechanically ventilated patients found that successful nosedation was associated with better hospital outcomes, while mortality and long-term outcomes were not affected by the success or failure of nosedation [18]. In conclusion, further randomized controlled trials are necessary to determine the most appropriate sedation strategy: deep sedation, light sedation, or no sedation.

3. Sedative Drug Selection

Sedative drugs utilized in clinical practice are expected to possess certain characteristics, such as a rapid onset of action, a clear sedative effect, rapid metabolism, and an absence of apparent side effects on organ function [19] [20]. Presently, the most commonly employed sedative drugs include benzodiazepines (particularly midazolam), propofol, and dexmedetomidine [7]. According to PAD guidelines, non-benzodiazepines, such as propofol or dexmedetomidine, are recommended over benzodiazepines in sedation strategies to enhance clinical outcomes in mechanically ventilated patients [1]. This preference also extends to light sedation strategies. For instance, midazolam, one of the most commonly used benzodiazepines, is associated with a prolonged half-life and slow metabolism, which consequently delay patient awakening and extend mechanical ventilation time, resulting in prolonged extubation time, ICU stay, hospitalization time, and increased patient expenses [21]. Thus, reducing the utilization of benzodiazepines is crucial in preventing delirium and shortening its duration [7]. Nonetheless, the anxiolytic, amnestic, and anticonvulsant effects of benzodiazepines still hold significance in the sedation of ICU patients [7] [19] [22]. In a randomized controlled trial comparing midazolam, clonidine, and dexmedetomidine for preoperative anxiety in preschool children, midazolam was found to be more effective as an anxiolytic and less sedative [23]. Consequently, midazolam remains the primary choice sedative drug for certain critically ill patients necessitating prolonged sedation, despite its drawbacks.

In postoperative patient care, propofol is often preferred by clinicians due to its rapid onset of action, fast metabolism, and immediate recovery after drug withdrawal [19]. This choice aims to facilitate early awakening, extubation, and swift transfer out of the ICU. Studies have demonstrated propofol's superiority over midazolam in terms of safety and efficacy for endoscopic treatment of patients with liver cirrhosis and gastrointestinal bleeding [24]. However, a trial comparing the effects of midazolam and propofol sedation during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in patients over 80 years old found no significant difference between the two [25]. Moreover, a randomized non-inferiority trial on medical thoracoscopy recommended against the use of propofol [26]. ICU patients, who often present with complex clinical conditions and rapid changes in health status, may experience propofol-related respiratory depression and decreased blood pressure, hindering the attainment of target sedation levels and impacting hemodynamics [19] [27]. Prolonged use of propofol can lead to propofol infusion syndrome, further restricting its utility [19] [28] [29]. Consequently, clinicians may only consider propofol for patients requiring blood pressure reduction or those with stable underlying hemodynamics.

Dexmedetomidine, a centrally acting α -2 agonist known for its sedative and analgesic properties, has been widely recognized for its role in the ICU [1] [7]. It

can effectively treat pain, agitation, and delirium in ICU patients, and studies have shown that it can reduce the occurrence of delayed cognitive impairment 1 week after cardiac surgery [30]. Additionally, in mechanically ventilated patients, dexmedetomidine has been found to improve patient prognosis, shorten mechanical ventilation time and hospital stay, and reduce total ICU costs when compared with sedative drugs such as benzodiazepines [31]-[36]. Moreover, a prospective randomized clinical trial published in 2018 revealed that patients sedated with dexmedetomidine had a lower incidence of postoperative delirium and postoperative cognitive impairment than those sedated with propofol; these patients were also found to be more likely to get out of bed and be discharged from the hospital earlier; however, there was no significant difference in complications [37]. In a study conducted by Winings NA et al., it was found that dexmedetomidine is more suitable than propofol for long-term sedation treatment of critically ill trauma and surgical patients [38]. Furthermore, Rui Xu et al. found in a randomized controlled trial on the effects of dexmedetomidine and midazolam on cough and quality of recovery after partial and total laryngectomy that dexmedetomidine is an effective alternative drug in reducing cough and hemodynamic changes, and has a lower incidence of adverse events during the anesthesia recovery period after partial or total laryngectomy [39]. Similarly, an RCT study on the real-time evaluation of the independent analgesic efficacy of dexmedetomidine by XH Wang et al. found that dexmedetomidine has an independent analgesic effect, and systemic administration as an auxiliary drug is more effective than midazolam, providing a better analgesic effect without serious side effects [40]. In addition to its sedative and anti-delirium effects, dexmedetomidine has been discovered to reduce inflammatory response, stress, promote postoperative gastrointestinal function recovery, and reduce postoperative chills after cesarean section, among other benefits [41] [42] [43] [44]. However, its most common side effects are bradycardia and hypotension [1] [31]. For patients with underlying cardiac dysfunction or secondary cardiac insufficiency, the use of dexmedetomidine carries certain risks, and myocardial stunning can have serious consequences. Studies have demonstrated that dexmedetomidine sedation impairs cardiac systolic function [45]. Furthermore, a secondary cohort analysis of a randomized controlled trial (SPICE III) found that in patients aged 65 and younger who received a combination of dexmedetomidine and propofol sedation, prioritizing an increase in propofol dose was associated with a decrease in adjusted 90-day mortality rate, while an increase in dexmedetomidine may be associated with an increase in mortality rate [46]. In an RCT study on sedation of patients using dexmedetomidine for ICU mechanical ventilation, it was found that patients who received early dexmedetomidine sedation treatment had a similar mortality rate at 90 days compared to the conventional care group, and required additional sedatives to achieve the prescribed level of sedation; moreover, the dexmedetomidine group reported more adverse events than the conventional treatment group [47]. In mechanically ventilated adults in the ICU, a potentially important increase in body temperature has been associated with early dexmedetomidine sedation, which may lead to misjudgment of treatment [48]. However, recent high-quality literature has confirmed that compared with propofol, dexmedetomidine for sedation in mechanically ventilated patients cannot reduce the patient's all-cause mortality, and the sedation effect is not as effective as propofol; furthermore, there were more adverse events related to slowed heart rate [49] [50].

Some studies have indicated that no drug is inherently superior to others in meeting clinical needs [7]. Other research [51]-[59] comparing the use of a single sedative drug with the combination of two sedative drugs has found that the combined application can yield similar efficacy with fewer adverse reactions and faster recovery, making it a potentially favorable option for ICU sedation. Additionally, studies [60] [61] [62] [63] [64] have explored the use of non-intravenous sedative drugs prior to surgery to enhance intraoperative satisfaction and post-operative recovery, potentially allowing for the achievement of target sedation while minimizing adverse effects associated with some sedative medications. In the context of ICU patients, it has been found that the use of sevoflurane for over 48 hours post-surgery can lead to faster return to spontaneous breathing, with sedation quality comparable to propofol-based regimens [65]. These findings suggest that exploring different sedation approaches may offer promising possibilities for improved patient outcomes.

4. Novel Sedatives

Ciprofol is a novel 2, 6-disubstituted phenol derivative which has demonstrated improved pharmacokinetics and pharmacological properties compared to propofol [66]. Studies have shown that the level of sedation or anesthesia induced by ciprofol is comparable to that induced by propofol in a non-operating room setting, and the safety profile of ciprofol is similar to that of propofol, with no reported pain during injection [67]. Moreover, research indicates that the sedative effect of 0.4 mg/kg of ciprofol is equivalent to 2 mg/kg of propofol; both ciprofol and propofol have demonstrated good tolerance and sedative effects and have been suggested for the sedation of mechanically ventilated patients in Chinese intensive care units [68]. Furthermore, a study on the application of propofol and ciprofol for postoperative ICU sedation among Chinese ICU patients receiving mechanical ventilation for 6 - 24 hours indicated that ciprofol was well tolerated and its sedation effect was not inferior to propofol [69]. Recent studies have also demonstrated that ciprofol can reduce epinephrine-induced oxidative damage, inflammatory response, and cardiomyocyte apoptosis [70]. This finding raises the potential for ciprofol to replace propofol. However, it is important to note that ciprofol has been associated with adverse reactions in experiments, including hypotension, bradycardia, and injection pain [68] [71] [72] [73].

Remimazolam is a short-acting benzodiazepine sedative drug [74] that induces sedation by binding to specific neurotransmitter (GABA) receptors in the brain [20]. It is mainly employed for anesthesia and sedation during endoscopic procedures in China [19] [74], and has obtained approval for procedural sedation in the United States and Europe [75]. Compared with midazolam, remimazolam boasts advantages such as rapid onset of action, quick metabolism, and prompt recovery of patients upon discontinuation, thereby overcoming the drawbacks associated with the prolonged effects of midazolam [19]. Additionally, in comparison to non-benzodiazepine drugs like propofol and dexmedetomidine, remimazolam exerts a lesser inhibitory effect on respiration and circulation, thereby expanding its clinical utility [76]. During elective ERCP, patients administered with remimazolam experienced fewer instances of respiratory depression under deep sedation and also displayed hemodynamic advantages compared to those receiving propofol sedation [76]. Furthermore, remimazolam is well-suited for sedation of high-risk patients [19]. In a pilot study comparing remimazolam with propofol for long-term sedation in mechanically ventilated patients, remimazolam was demonstrated to be safe and effective [77]. However, its efficacy for postoperative sedation in the ICU has yet to be established [78]. Therefore, despite being an effective choice for short-term continuous sedation, particularly for ICU patients postoperatively, it is worth noting that some studies have demonstrated that remimazolam can impact circulation and breathing, which may serve as a limiting factor for its postoperative use [79] [80] [81].

5. Summary and Outlook

As medical treatment progresses and surgeries become more complex, patients experiencing critical conditions in the ICU following surgery require sedation that ensures safety and effectiveness, promotes faster recovery, and reduces the length of their ICU stay. In response to these demands, new sedative drugs such as remimazolam and ciprofol have emerged, exhibiting characteristics that are on par with traditional sedatives. Despite sharing some drawbacks with traditional sedatives, they are anticipated to serve as improved options for ICU sedation. However, there is a limited body of research on the use of these drugs for ICU sedation. Encouragingly, an increasing number of researchers are now undertaking relevant studies to address this gap in knowledge.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Barr, J., Fraser, G.L., Puntillo, K., *et al.* (2013) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Pain, Agitation, and Delirium in Adult Patients in the Intensive Care Unit. *Critical Care Medicine*, **41**, 263-306. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182783b72
- [2] Elboim-Gabyzon, M., Andrawus, N.S. and Shtarker, H. (2019) Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) on Acute Postoperative Pain Intensity and Mobility after Hip Fracture: A Double-Blinded, Randomized Trial. *Clinical In-*

terventions in Aging, 14, 1841-1850. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S203658

- [3] Declas, E., Verdun, S., Fauconnier, A. and Lucot, J.P. (2023) Risk Factors for Postoperative Pain after Cystocele Repair with Mesh. *International Urogynecology Journal*, 34, 771-775. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-022-05285-4</u>
- [4] Kharasch, E.D. (2011) Intraoperative Methadone: Rediscovery, Reappraisal, and Reinvigoration? *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, **112**, 13-16. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181fec9a3
- [5] Fields, A., Huang, J., Schroeder, D., et al. (2018) Agitation in Adults in the Post-Anaesthesia Care Unit after General Anaesthesia. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 121, 1052-1058. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2018.07.017</u>
- [6] Devlin, J.W., Skrobik, Y., Gelinas, C., et al. (2018) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention and Management of Pain, Agitation/Sedation, Delirium, Immobility, and Sleep Disruption in Adult Patients in the ICU. Critical Care Medicine, 46, e825-e873. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000003299</u>
- [7] Li, S., Wang, D. and Yang, B. (2016) The Progress of Light Sedation for Critically Ill Adult Patients in Intensive Care Unit. *Chinese Critical Care Medicine*, 28, 89-93.
- [8] Chen, T.H., Su, C.H., Hsiao, C.Y., Kao, S.Y. and Tsai, P.J. (2020) Preemptive Light Sedation in Intensive Care Unit May Reduce Pulmonary Complications in Geriatrics Receiving Pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*, 83, 661-668. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/JCMA.000000000000347</u>
- [9] Fukuzawa, S., Yamagata, K., Takaoka, S., et al. (2023) Postoperative Deep Sedation after Microvascular Reconstructive Surgery for Oral Cancer Increases the Risk of Early Postoperative Pneumonia. Dentistry Journal, 11, Article 137. https://doi.org/10.3390/dj11050137
- [10] Shehabi, Y., Chan, L., Kadiman, S., et al. (2013) Sedation Depth and Long-Term Mortality in Mechanically Ventilated Critically Ill Adults: A Prospective Longitudinal Multicentre Cohort Study. Intensive Care Medicine, 39, 910-918. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-013-2830-2</u>
- [11] Treggiari, M.M., Romand, J.A., Yanez, N.D., et al. (2009) Randomized Trial of Light versus Deep Sedation on Mental Health after Critical Illness. *Critical Care Medicine*, **37**, 2527-2534. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181a5689f</u>
- Shehabi, Y., Bellomo, R., Reade, M.C., *et al.* (2013) Early Goal-Directed Sedation versus Standard Sedation in Mechanically Ventilated Critically Ill Patients: A Pilot Study. *Critical Care Medicine*, **41**, 1983-1991. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828a437d
- [13] Barr, J. and Pandharipande, P.P. (2013) The Pain, Agitation, and Delirium Care Bundle: Synergistic Benefits of Implementing the 2013 Pain, Agitation, and Delirium Guidelines in an Integrated and Interdisciplinary Fashion. *Critical Care Medicine*, **41**, S99-S115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182a16ff0</u>
- [14] Olsen, H.T., Nedergaard, H.K., Strom, T., et al. (2020) Nonsedation or Light Sedation in Critically Ill, Mechanically Ventilated Patients. The New England Journal of Medicine, 382, 1103-1111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1906759</u>
- [15] Nedergaard, H.K., Jensen, H.I., Stylsvig, M., *et al.* (2020) Effect of Non-Sedation on Post-Traumatic Stress and Psychological Health in Survivors of Critical Illness—A Substudy of the NONSEDA Randomized Trial. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 64, 1136-1143. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.13648</u>
- [16] Oxlund, J., Knudsen, T., Strom, T., *et al.* (2021) Serum Melatonin Concentration in Critically Ill Patients Randomized to Sedation or Non-Sedation. *Annals of Intensive Care*, **11**, Article No. 40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-021-00829-1</u>

- [17] Nedergaard, H.K., Haberlandt, T., Toft, P., *et al.* (2018) Pressure Ulcers in Critically Ill Patients—Preventable by Non-Sedation? A Substudy of the NONSEDA-Trial. *Intensive and Critical Care Nursing*, 44, 31-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2017.09.005
- [18] Nedergaard, H.K., Korkmaz, S., Olsen, H.T., *et al.* (2022) Failure of Non-Sedation Strategy in Critically Ill, Mechanically Ventilated Patients—A Retrospective, Post-Hoc Analysis of the NONSEDA Trial. *Journal of Critical Care*, **68**, 66-71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2021.12.001</u>
- [19] Brohan, J. and Goudra, B.G. (2017) The Role of GABA Receptor Agonists in Anesthesia and Sedation. *CNS Drugs*, **31**, 845-856. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-017-0463-7</u>
- [20] Lee, A. and Shirley, M. (2021) Remimazolam: A Review in Procedural Sedation. Drugs, 81, 1193-1201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-021-01544-8</u>
- [21] Fraser, G.L., Devlin, J.W., Worby, C.P., *et al.* (2013) Benzodiazepine versus Nonbenzodiazepine-Based Sedation for Mechanically Ventilated, Critically Ill Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. *Critical Care Medicine*, **41**, S30-S38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182a16898</u>
- [22] Seo, Y., Lee, H.J., Ha, E.J. and Ha, T.S. (2022) 2021 KSCCM Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pain, Agitation, Delirium, Immobility, and Sleep Disturbance in the Intensive Care Unit. *Acute and Critical Care*, **37**, 1-25. <u>https://doi.org/10.4266/acc.2022.00094</u>
- [23] Bromfalk, A., Myrberg, T., Wallden, J., et al. (2021) Preoperative Anxiety in Preschool Children: A Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Midazolam, Clonidine, and Dexmedetomidine. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, **31**, 1225-1233. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.14279
- [24] Wahab, E.A., Hamed, E.F., Ahmad, H.S., *et al.* (2019) Conscious Sedation Using Propofol versus Midazolam in Cirrhotic Patients during Upper GI Endoscopy: A Comparative Study. *JGH Open*, 3, 25-31. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgh3.12098
- [25] Han, S.J., Lee, T.H., Park, S.H., et al. (2017) Efficacy of Midazolam- versus Propofol-Based Sedations by Non-Anesthesiologists during Therapeutic Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography in Patients Aged over 80 Years. *Digestive Endoscopy*, 29, 369-376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/den.12841</u>
- [26] Grendelmeier, P., Tamm, M., Jahn, K., Pflimlin, E. and Stolz, D. (2014) Propofol versus Midazolam in Medical Thoracoscopy: A Randomized, Noninferiority Trial. *Respiration*, 88, 126-136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000362797</u>
- [27] Weinbroum, A.A., Halpern, P., Rudick, V., *et al.* (1997) Midazolam versus Propofol for Long-Term Sedation in the ICU: A Randomized Prospective Comparison. *Intensive Care Medicine*, 23, 1258-1263. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s001340050495</u>
- [28] Hemphill, S., Mcmenamin, L., Bellamy, M.C. and Hopkins, P.M. (2019) Propofol Infusion Syndrome: A Structured Literature Review and Analysis of Published Case Reports. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, **122**, 448-459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2018.12.025
- [29] Singh, A. and Anjankar, A.P. (2022) Propofol-Related Infusion Syndrome: A Clinical Review. *Cureus*, 14, e30383. <u>https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30383</u>
- [30] Singh, A., Brenna, C., Broad, J., et al. (2022) The Effects of Dexmedetomidine on Perioperative Neurocognitive Outcomes after Cardiac Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Annals of Surgery, 275, 864-871. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.00000000005196
- [31] Lachaine, J. and Beauchemin, C. (2012) Economic Evaluation of Dexmedetomidine

Relative to Midazolam for Sedation in the Intensive Care Unit. *Canadian Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, **65**, 103-110. <u>https://doi.org/10.4212/cjhp.v65i2.1116</u>

- [32] Anger, K.E. (2013) Dexmedetomidine: A Review of Its Use for the Management of Pain, Agitation, and Delirium in the Intensive Care Unit. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 19, 4003-4013. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612811319220009</u>
- [33] Mo, Y. and Zimmermann, A.E. (2013) Role of Dexmedetomidine for the Prevention and Treatment of Delirium in Intensive Care Unit Patients. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, 47, 869-876. <u>https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1AR708</u>
- [34] Turunen, H., Jakob, S.M., Ruokonen, E., et al. (2015) Dexmedetomidine versus Standard Care Sedation with Propofol or Midazolam in Intensive Care: An Economic Evaluation. Critical Care, 19, Article No. 67. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0787-y
- [35] Pradelli, L., Povero, M., Burkle, H., et al. (2017) Propofol or Benzodiazepines for Short- and Long-Term Sedation in Intensive Care Units? An Economic Evaluation Based on Meta-Analytic Results. *ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research*, 9, 685-698. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/CEOR.S136720</u>
- [36] Preveden, M., Zdravkovic, R., Vickovic, S., *et al.* (2023) Dexmedetomidine vs. Propofol Sedation Reduces the Duration of Mechanical Ventilation after Cardiac Surgery—A Randomized Controlled Trial. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, 27, 7644-7652.
- [37] Mei, B., Meng, G., Xu, G., *et al.* (2018) Intraoperative Sedation with Dexmedetomidine Is Superior to Propofol for Elderly Patients Undergoing Hip Arthroplasty: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 34, 811-817. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.000000000000605</u>
- [38] Winings, N.A., Daley, B.J., Bollig, R.W., et al. (2021) Dexmedetomidine versus Propofol for Prolonged Sedation in Critically Ill Trauma and Surgical Patients. Surgeon, 19, 129-134. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2020.04.003</u>
- [39] Xu, R., Zhu, Y., Lu, Y., Li, W.X. and Jia, J. (2020) Dexmedetomidine versus Midazolam on Cough and Recovery Quality after Partial and Total Laryngectomy—A Randomized Controlled Trial. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 20, Article No. 249. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01168-7</u>
- [40] Wang, X., Zhang, S., Wang, C., *et al.* (2023) Real-Time Evaluation of the Independent Analgesic Efficacy of Dexmedetomidine. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 23, Article No. 68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-023-02022-2</u>
- [41] Qi, Y.P., Ma, W.J., Cao, Y.Y., *et al.* (2022) Effect of Dexmedetomidine on Intestinal Barrier in Patients Undergoing Gastrointestinal Surgery—A Single-Center Randomized Clinical Trial. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 277, 181-188. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2022.03.031</u>
- [42] Zhang, T., Mei, Q., Dai, S., et al. (2022) Use of Dexmedetomidine in Patients with Sepsis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized-Controlled Trials. Annals of Intensive Care, 12, Article No. 81. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-022-01052-2
- [43] Wu, Y., Cai, Z., Liu, L., *et al.* (2022) Impact of Intravenous Dexmedetomidine on Gastrointestinal Function Recovery after Laparoscopic Hysteromyomectomy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Scientific Reports*, **12**, Article No. 14640. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18729-0
- [44] Sween, L.K., Xu, S., Li, C., et al. (2021) Low-Dose Intravenous Dexmedetomidine Reduces Shivering following Cesarean Delivery: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia*, 45, 49-55.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2020.11.004

- [45] Omran, N., Skalova, V., Flak, D., et al. (2021) Midazolam and Dexmedetomidine Sedation Impair Systolic Heart Function. Bratislava Medical Journal, 122, 386-390. <u>https://doi.org/10.4149/10.4149/BLL_2021_064</u>
- [46] Shehabi, Y., Serpa, N.A., Bellomo, R., et al. (2023) Dexmedetomidine and Propofol Sedation in Critically Ill Patients and Dose-Associated 90-Day Mortality: A Secondary Cohort Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial (SPICE III). American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 207, 876-886. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202206-1208OC
- [47] Shehabi, Y., Howe, B.D., Bellomo, R., et al. (2019) Early Sedation with Dexmedetomidine in Critically Ill Patients. The New England Journal of Medicine, 380, 2506-2517. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1904710
- [48] Grayson, K.E., Bailey, M., Balachandran, M., et al. (2021) The Effect of Early Sedation with Dexmedetomidine on Body Temperature in Critically Ill Patients. Critical Care Medicine, 49, 1118-1128. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000004935
- [49] Liu, Z., Zeng, Y., Yang, B. and Liao, P.H. (2022) Efficacy and Safety of Dexmedetomidine in Sepsis Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 47, 298-305. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpt.13548
- [50] Reardon, D.P., Anger, K.E., Adams, C.D., et al. (2013) Role of Dexmedetomidine in Adults in the Intensive Care Unit: An Update. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 70, 767-777. <u>https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp120211</u>
- [51] Chen, H.Y., Deng, F., Tang, S.H., *et al.* (2023) Effect of Different Doses of Dexmedetomidine on the Median Effective Concentration of Propofol during Gastrointestinal Endoscopy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, **89**, 1799-1808. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.15647</u>
- [52] Zhan, Y., Liang, S., Yang, Z., *et al.* (2022) Efficacy and Safety of Subanesthetic Doses of Esketamine Combined with Propofol in Painless Gastrointestinal Endoscopy: A Prospective, Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial. *BMC Gastroenterology*, 22, Article No. 391. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-022-02467-8</u>
- [53] Wang, J., Hu, W., Zhao, X., *et al.* (2022) Sedative Effect and Safety of Different Doses of S-Ketamine in Combination with Propofol during Gastro-Duodenoscopy in School-Aged Children: A Prospective, Randomized Study. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 22, Article No. 346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-022-01885-1</u>
- [54] Lu, X., Li, J., Li, T., et al. (2016) Clinical Study of Midazolam Sequential with Dexmedetomidine for Agitated Patients Undergoing Weaning to Implement Light Sedation in Intensive Care Unit. Chinese Journal of Traumatology, 19, 94-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjtee.2015.09.007
- [55] Zhou, Y., Yang, J., Wang, B., et al. (2022) Sequential Use of Midazolam and Dexmedetomidine for Long-Term Sedation May Reduce Weaning Time in Selected Critically Ill, Mechanically Ventilated Patients: A Randomized Controlled Study. *Critical Care*, 26, Article No. 122. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-03967-5</u>
- [56] Zhou, Y., Jin, X., Kang, Y., et al. (2014) Midazolam and Propofol Used Alone or Sequentially for Long-Term Sedation in Critically Ill, Mechanically Ventilated Patients: A Prospective, Randomized Study. Critical Care, 18, Article No. R122. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc13922
- [57] Hajiani, E., Hashemi, J. and Sayyah, J. (2018) Comparison of the Effects and Side-Effects of Sedation with Propofol versus Midazolam plus Pethidine in Patients Undergoing Endoscopy in Imam Khomeini Hospital, Ahvaz. *Przegląd Gastroente-*

rologiczny, 13, 228-233. https://doi.org/10.5114/pg.2018.78288

- [58] Walder, B., Borgeat, A., Suter, P.M., et al. (2002) Propofol and Midazolam versus Propofol Alone for Sedation following Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, 30, 171-178. https://doi.org/10.1177/0310057X0203000208
- [59] Hsu, C.D., Huang, J.M., Chuang, Y.P., et al. (2015) Propofol Target-Controlled Infusion for Sedated Gastrointestinal Endoscopy: A Comparison of Propofol Alone versus Propofol-Fentanyl-midazolam. The Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences, 31, 580-584. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.2015.09.004</u>
- [60] Magazine, R., Antony, T., Chogtu, B., et al. (2021) Clinical Usefulness of Intermediate-Dose Dexmedetomidine (0.75 mug/kg) in Flexible Bronchoscopy—A Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blinded Study. Indian Journal of Pharmacology, 53, 440-447. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/ijp.IJP_446_20</u>
- [61] Norambuena, C., Yanez, J., Flores, V., et al. (2013) Oral Ketamine and Midazolam for Pediatric Burn Patients: A Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blind Study. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 48, 629-634. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2012.08.018</u>
- [62] Nie, J., Chen, C., Xie, J. and Ding, G.C. (2023) Oral Midazolam vs. Intranasal Dexmedetomidine plus Oral Midazolam for Sedation of Pediatric Outpatients: A Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 23, Article No. 341. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-023-02289-5</u>
- [63] Ghai, B., Grandhe, R.P., Kumar, A., et al. (2005) Comparative Evaluation of Midazolam and Ketamine with Midazolam Alone as Oral Premedication. Pediatric Anesthesia, 15, 554-559. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9592.2004.01523.x
- [64] Nadri, S., Mahmoudvand, H., Taee, N., et al. (2020) Promethazine and Oral Midazolam Preanesthetic Children Medication. Pediatric Emergency Care, 36, e369e372. https://doi.org/10.1097/PEC.00000000001389
- [65] Soukup, J., Michel, P., Christel, A., et al. (2023) Prolonged Sedation with Sevoflurane in Comparison to Intravenous Sedation in Critically Ill Patients—A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Critical Care*, 74, Article ID: 154251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2022.154251
- [66] Wu, B., Zhu, W., Wang, Q., *et al.* (2022) Efficacy and Safety of Ciprofol-Remifentanil versus Propofol-Remifentanil during Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy: A Prospective, Randomized, Double-Blind, Non-Inferiority Trial. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 13, Article ID: 1091579. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.1091579
- [67] Zhong, J., Zhang, J., Fan, Y., *et al.* (2023) Efficacy and Safety of Ciprofol for Procedural Sedation and Anesthesia in Non-Operating Room Settings. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, 85, Article ID: 111047. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2022.111047</u>
- [68] Liu, Y., Yu, X., Zhu, D., et al. (2022) Safety and Efficacy of Ciprofol vs. Propofol for Sedation in Intensive Care Unit Patients with Mechanical Ventilation: A Multi-Center, Open Label, Randomized, Phase 2 Trial. Chinese Medical Journal, 135, 1043-1051. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CM9.00000000001912</u>
- [69] Liu, Y., Peng, Z., Liu, S., *et al.* (2023) Efficacy and Safety of Ciprofol Sedation in ICU Patients Undergoing Mechanical Ventilation: A Multicenter, Single-Blind, Randomized, Noninferiority Trial. *Critical Care Medicine*, **51**, 1318-1327. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000005920
- [70] Yang, Y., Xia, Z., Xu, C., *et al.* (2022) Ciprofol Attenuates the Isoproterenol-Induced Oxidative Damage, Inflammatory Response and Cardiomyocyte Apoptosis. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 13, Article 1037151. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.1037151

- [71] Liang, P., Dai, M., Wang, X., *et al.* (2023) Efficacy and Safety of Ciprofol vs. Propofol for the Induction and Maintenance of General Anaesthesia: A Multicentre, Single-Blind, Randomised, Parallel-Group, Phase 3 Clinical Trial. *European Journal of Anaesthesiology*, **40**, 399-406. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.000000000001799
- [72] Li, J., Wang, X., Liu, J., et al. (2022) Comparison of Ciprofol (HSK3486) versus Propofol for the Induction of Deep Sedation during Gastroscopy and Colonoscopy Procedures: A Multi-Centre, Non-Inferiority, Randomized, Controlled Phase 3 Clinical Trial. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology, 131, 138-148. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.13761
- [73] Hu, J., Gu, X., Zhu, W., et al. (2023) Comparison of Anesthetic Effects of Different Doses of Alfentanil Combined with Ciprofol in Elderly Patients Undergoing ERCP: A Randomized Controlled Trial. BMC Anesthesiology, 23, Article No. 353. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-023-02325-4</u>
- [74] Liu, G. and Xiong, Y. (2022) Analysis of Stress Response and Analgesic Effect of Remazolam Combined with Etomidate in Painless Gastroenteroscopy. Contrast *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*, 2022, Article ID: 4863682. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4863682
- [75] Keam, S.J. (2020) Remimazolam: First Approval. *Drugs*, 80, 625-633. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-020-01299-8
- [76] Dong, S.A., Guo, Y., Liu, S.S., *et al.* (2023) A Randomized, Controlled Clinical Trial Comparing Remimazolam to Propofol When Combined with Alfentanil for Sedation during ERCP Procedures. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, **86**, Article ID: 111077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2023.111077
- [77] Tang, Y., Yang, X., Yu, Y., *et al.* (2022) Remimazolam Besylate versus Propofol for Long-Term Sedation during Invasive Mechanical Ventilation: A Pilot Study. *Critical Care*, 26, Article No. 279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-04168-w</u>
- [78] Chen, X., Zhang, J., Yuan, S. and Huang, H.Y. (2022) Remimazolam Besylate for the Sedation of Postoperative Patients Undergoing Invasive Mechanical Ventilation in the ICU: A Prospective Dose-Response Study. *Scientific Reports*, **12**, Article No. 19022. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20946-6</u>
- [79] Kimoto, Y., Hirano, T., Kuratani, N., *et al.* (2023) Remimazolam as an Adjunct to General Anesthesia in Children: Adverse Events and Outcomes in a Large Cohort of 418 Cases. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, **12**, Article 3930. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12123930
- [80] Rex, D.K., Bhandari, R., Desta, T., *et al.* (2018) A Phase III Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Remimazolam (CNS 7056) Compared with Placebo and Midazolam in Patients Undergoing Colonoscopy. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, 88, 427-437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2018.04.2351
- [81] Chae, D., Kim, H.C., Song, Y., et al. (2022) Pharmacodynamic Analysis of Intravenous Bolus Remimazolam for Loss of Consciousness in Patients Undergoing General Anaesthesia: A Randomised, Prospective, Double-Blind Study. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 129, 49-57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2022.02.040</u>