

Personal Hygiene Concept, Knowledge, and Awareness Regarding COVID-19 among Bangladeshi People

N. M. Mahmudul Alam Bhuiya¹, Sazzad Ahmed¹, Md. Nazmul Hasan^{2*}, Md. Tanveer Ahsan², Oli Ahmed³

¹Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Life and Earth Sciences, Jagannath University, Dhaka, Bangladesh ²Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh ³Department of Psychology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh Email: *hasan.nazmul@cu.ac.bd

How to cite this paper: Bhuiya, N.M.M.A., Ahmed, S., Hasan, M.N., Ahsan, M.T. and Ahmed, O. (2021) Personal Hygiene Concept, Knowledge, and Awareness Regarding COVID-19 among Bangladeshi People. *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines*, **9**, 73-91.

https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2021.98007

Received: June 24, 2021 **Accepted:** August 13, 2021 **Published:** August 16, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

Aim: Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has emerged as an unknown fallacy that forced into a global pandemic. Only a few vaccines are available for a limited number of people, and most of the population are not vaccinated yet. So, social distancing, proper personal hygiene, and sanitization concepts are the main factors to prevent the spread of this disease. For a densely populated country like Bangladesh, the concepts of social distancing are unpopular, and people are reluctant to follow community health guidelines. This study aimed to understand the personal hygiene concept, knowledge, and awareness of Bangladeshi people against COVID-19. Subject and Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted from March to June 2020 through an online Google survey. Those who answered all the questions were finally included for result calculation (n = 182). The chi-square test was applied to evaluate the correlations among the groups based on education, income level, and occupation. Results: The results showed that education level and occupational status play a vital role in awareness about the general symptoms of COVID-19. Students have a lower rate of washing hands for 20 sec than people of other occupations (p = 0.037). The rate of using masks while going outside is not common in the low-income group (p = 0.010). A significant variation was also observed in wearing a mask when income level, education, and occupation were considered to compare among the groups. There was a noteworthy distinction in handwashing frequency and duration of handwashing in different occupation groups of the participants (p = 0.044and 0.008, respectively). On the other hand, graduates were found comparatively more concerned about maintaining home quarantine and isolation firmly than others. They know the differences and significance of these two interventions properly. **Conclusion:** More comprehensive education programs could be helpful to enhance the concept of personal hygiene, knowledge, and awareness level of the people for a country like Bangladesh.

Keywords

Personal Hygiene Concept, Coronavirus, COVID-19, Coronavirus Awareness, Bangladesh

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has risen as an unpredictable and esoteric infectious disease caused by a newly emergent virus, which was outbreak in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 [1] [2]. The novel infection already called 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), is assigned as the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 or SARS-CoV-2 shortly [3]. This novel infection is additionally getting to be a mounting risk to the whole world. Genetic sequencing of the virus suggests that SARS-CoV-2 is a betacoronavirus closely linked to the SARS virus [4]. The causative pathogen was identified as a new coronavirus (2019-nCoV), followed by gene sequence analysis and the development of detection methods. Even though the infection is comparable to SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, it is distinctive. Early cases indicate that it may not be as severe as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [4]. However, the rapid increase in incidence and increasing evidence of interpersonal transmission suggest that the virus is more contagious than SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. Most individuals with COVID-19 create a mild or uncomplicated sickness, including fever, weakness, cough, diarrhea, loss of taste and smell [5] [6]. Roughly 14% develop serious illnesses requiring hospitalization, and they may need medicated oxygen support. Besides, 5% may, too, require admission to an intensive care unit [4].

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) virus is spreading rapidly, and scientists are endeavoring to discover drugs for its efficacious treatment. Chloroquine phosphate, an old drug for the treatment of malaria, is shown to have apparent efficacy and acceptable safety against COVID-19 associated pneumonia in multicenter clinical trials conducted in China [7]. First-line therapy for fevers incorporates antipyretic treatment such as Paracetamol, while expectorants such as guaifenesin may be utilized for a non-productive cough [8]. One of the most important commitments we can make to abating down the transmission of COVID-19 and keeping ourselves and our communities secure to wash our hands. Hand cleanliness is presently respected as one of the critical components of disease control exercises [9] [10]. Appropriate handwashing and individual cleanliness practices are fundamental for all, particularly in healthcare settings, where it secures patients and healthcare specialists. Also, it provides specific recommendations to promote improved hand-hygiene practices and reduce the transmission of pathogenic microorganisms to patients and personnel in healthcare settings [11]. However, when the facility for hand wash is not available, alcohol-based hand sanitizers can play a vital role as an alternative in disinfecting the hands instantly [12] [13]. According to the world health organization (WHO), these hand rubs should contain either 80% (v/v) pharmacopoeial grade or food standard ethanol or 75% (v/v) pharmacopoeial standard isopropyl alcohol to work effectively against this virus. Othercritical pieces of protective equipment are the facemask. The principal function of the mask is to prevent the spread of respiratory particles from the source, such as splashes, saliva, or mucus. Medical or surgical facial masks are characterized as loose-fitting, expendable devices that make a physical boundary between the mouth and nose of the wearer and potential contaminants within the immediate environment [14]. Masks are suggested to be worn by sick people to avoid onward transmission, known by source control [15] [16] [17]. It is also possible that COVID-19 infected patients could transmit the virus before symptoms develop. Moreover, complete vaccination coverage is still challenging due to the availability of vaccines as well as the mutating nature of the virus. Considering these facts, applying nonpharmaceutical intervention and proper self-hygiene practice might be the best possible way to limit the spread of this disease.

Several cross-sectional studies had been performed in Canada, Australia, Ethiopia, India and many other places that have come out with a varying degree of perceptions among the participants [18] [19] [20] [21]. It is evident that, awareness and adherence to basic health measures (hand hygiene, social distancing, avoiding traveling, etc.) are more common among healthcare professionals [22]. Other studies have identified the education level of the subjects as a significant factor for knowledge scores [23]. But, there are limited observations on personal hygiene, knowledge, and awareness concepts among the Bangladeshi population regarding COVID-19. So, this study aimed at finding the scenario in Bangladesh's context. The final result may help to gather information gaps regarding this issue, which may further contribute to understand the present condition of the people of Bangladesh and develop national hygiene policies.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Area

An online survey was conducted using Google form to understand the consciousness level among Bangladeshi people on the current outbreak of COVID-19. Only the participants whose residing location is within the geographical area of Bangladesh were enrolled for this study. The survey questionnaire consisted of 21 questions. The study questions were created based on pertinent literature and the international guidelines [5] [24] [25] [26]. Strict adherence to the Helsinki Declaration as changed in 2014 and the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) guidelines were maintained while conducting this study [27] [28]. The online questionnaire form contained the title and narrated aim of the survey at the start. Participants were communicated to participate in this survey via Facebook messenger, email, WhatsApp, etc. Any individuals from Bangladesh aged 18 years or older who can understand the language of English or Bengali, have internet access, and engage in the study were invited. A total of 182 agreed and participated in this study. The demographic distribution of participants is presented in Table 1.

2.2. Time of Study and Question Types

The first COVID-19 patient was identified on March 08, 2020, and the first COVID-19 infection-associated death was reported on March 18, 2020, in Bangladesh. This online survey started on March 27, 2020, and ended on June 5, 2020, which was the very beginning of the pandemic situation in the country. Moreover, the government of Bangladesh declared a countrywide lockdown at this time. People started to change their aseptic or hygiene behavior. The questionnaire was formulated to assess their hygiene concept and perception regarding the COVID-19 situation. All the questions were close-ended except one question that was unstructured open-ended. In close-ended questionnaires, 15 questionnaires were multiple-choice, and two questionnaires were in closed-ended Likert scale format. The questionnaire was constructed to evaluate the people's knowledge regarding this virus and their perception of hygiene necessary to prevent this contagious disease. An attempt was made to assess the knowledge of the Bangladeshi people regarding the common symptoms of COVID-19, technical terminologies used in local and international authorities like "Quarantine" and "Isolation", and management of infants or pregnant women. Six questions were also put in the questionnaire to get an idea about the perception of the people regarding COVID-19 management. The questions were also prepared to measure the implementation of the hygiene guidelines suggested by WHO and local

Table 1.	Participants'	demographic	distribution.
		<i>()</i>	

Variables	Groups	Frequency (%)
Gender	Female	69 (38%)
	Male	113 (62%)
Monthly Income	<bdt 10,000<="" td=""><td>116 (64%)</td></bdt>	116 (64%)
	≥BDT 10,000	66 (36%)
Education	Non-graduated	80 (44%)
	Graduated	102 (56%)
Age	18 - 25 yrs.	140 (77%)
	26 - 30 yrs.	33 (18%)
	31 - 40 yrs.	9 (5%)
Occupation	Students	116 (64%)
	Others	66 (36%)

authorities in their daily lives. The questions were in Bangla (the native language of the country), and also, an English translation was provided for the proper understanding of the scientific terminologies.

2.3. Ethical Approval

The present survey was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standard. Additionally, before the study was conducted, it received ethical approval from the Jagannath University Research Cell, Bangladesh (reference No. JnURes-002/2020). The objectives, potential benefits, risks, the confidentiality of given responses, etc., were communicated with participants prior to starting the online survey.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

M.S. Excel was used as the primary data analysis tool. The response was taken from each responder of a particular question to the questionnaire form, and final results were presented with absolute numbers and percentages. The chi-square test was done by using IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 23).

3. Result

A total of 182 participants completed all the questionnaires, among which around two-thirds were Male (62%). Most of the participants were young, aged between 18 - 25 years (77%) and were students in occupation (64%). In case of monthly income, the low income group (<BDT 10,000 monthly income) was predominant and there was almost similar distribution in education level. Among these 182 participants, 85% of responders understand all the common symptoms of COVID-19 properly compared to 15% who did not know about it. Also, participants' overall response to the knowledge and awareness on COVID-19 showed demonstrated that although the situation is new, they are conscious of the impact of this pandemic. The overall response is illustrated in Table 2. Alongside, the distribution of responses about understanding all the common symptoms of COVID-19 by gender, monthly income, education, and occupation are demonstrated in Table 3. There were around 80% of people who believed that they know all the common symptoms of COVID-19. However, Table 3 suggested significant differences ($\chi^2 = 7.674$, p = 0.006) in this understanding between participants regarding education level (below graduation vs. graduated and above). There were no significant differences in gender ($\chi^2 = 1.020$, p = 0.313), monthly income (χ^2 = 0.847, p = 0.357), and occupation (χ^2 = 3.151, p = 0.076) in this understanding.

The distribution of participants' responses to the personal hygiene level in terms of occupation is enlisted in **Table 4**. In this observation, around 90% of participants use masks when they go outside. Regarding the frequency of hand wash in a day, most of them wash hands 6 - 10 times a day (students 40.5% and others 59.1%). **Table 4** showed significant differences in handwashing behavior

Table 2. Participants' overall response to the COVID-19 knowledge and awareness.

Variables	Response	Frequency	Percentage
Do you believe that you understand all the common symptoms of COVID-19 properly?	Yes	154	84.6
	No	28	15.4
When do you use mask?	While going outside	167	91.8
	When meet with someone	8	4.4
	I don't use mask	7	3.8
	Total	182	100
Why do you use mask?	To avoid contamination from any infected person	34	18.7
	To stop spreading infection from me	11	6
	To avoid dust and air pollution	19	10.4
	All of the above	118	64.8
How many times do you wash your hand in a day?	Less than 5 times	33	18.1
	6 - 10 times	86	47.3
	11 - 15 times	25	13.7
	More than 15 times	38	20.9
How much time do you take while washing your hand?	10 sec	32	17.6
	20 sec	129	70.9
	30 sec	21	11.5
For hand sanitization, which one should you prefer below?	Normal soap	60	33
	Hand sanitizer	83	45.6
	Anti-bacterial soap	31	17
	Others	8	4.4
How should you feel after washing hand?	Satisfied	89	48.9
	Very satisfied	83	45.6
	Not satisfied	10	5.5
Hand wash and mask are only solution to protect against COVID-19?	Agree	79	43.4
	Neither agree or disagree	70	38.5
	Disagree	33	18.1
What do you think, infants born to mothers with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection, should be feed according to standard infant feeding guidelines?	Yes	69	37.9
	May be	80	44
	No	33	18.1
Do you or your family member use any disinfectant while cleaning your room or house?	Yes	116	63.7
	No	66	36.3

Continued	
-----------	--

Do you know about Home Quarantine?	Yes	157	86.3
	No	25	13.7
Do you know the difference between the Home Quarantine and Isolation?	Yes	139	76.4
	No	43	23.6
Which of the following should not be done during quarantine?	Going outside	26	14.3
	Not sure	40	22
	Meeting with friends and family member closely	16	8.8
	All of the above	100	54.9
Do you think that you can properly maintain social distancing from other person properly (6 feet from each other)?	Yes	106	58.2
	No	76	41.8
To protect yourself from COVID-19, how many days you should prefer to stay at home quarantine?	14 days	65	35.7
	21 days	13	7.1
	Until removal of COVID-19	104	57.1
Govt. providing information regarding to personal hygiene is enough to prevent COVID-19?	Agree	80	44
	Agree	39	21.4
	Neither agree or disagree	41	22.5
	Disagree	12	6.6
	Strongly disagree	10	5.5

 Table 3. Socio-economic demographic distribution of participants on knowledge of common symptoms of COVID-19.

	Do you believe that you understand all the common symptom	nd all the common symptoms of COVID-19 properly?	
	Yes	No	χ^{2} (p-value)
Gender			
Female	56 (81.2%)	13 (18.8%)	1.020 (0.313)
Male	98 (86.7%)	15 (13.3%)	
Monthly Income			
<10,000	96 (82.8%)	20 (17.2%)	0.847 (0.357)
≥10,000	58 (87.9%)	8 (12.1%)	
Education			
Below graduation	61 (76.3%)	19 (23.8%)	7.674 (0.006)**
Graduated and above	93 (91.2%)	9 (8.8%)	
Occupation			
Students	94 (81.0%)	22 (19.0%)	3.151 (0.076)
Others	60 (90.9%)	6 (9.1%)	
p < 0.01; statistically significant.			

DOI: 10.4236/jbm.2021.98007

 Table 4. Occupation differences in personal hygiene parameters during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Question	Occupatio	Occupation (%)	
	Students	Others	$-\chi$ (p value)
When do you use a mask?			
While going outside	93.1	89.4	2 (25 (0.2(0))
When meeting with someone	2.6	7.6	2.625 (0.269)
I don't use a mask	4.3	3.0	
How many times do you wash your hand in	a day?		
Less than 5 times	22.4	10.6	
6 - 10 times	40.5	59.1	8.084 (0.044)*
11 - 15 times	12.9	15.2	
More than 15 times	24.1	15.2	
How much time do you take while washing	your hand?		
10 sec	24.1	6.1	
20 sec	64.7	81.8	9.597 (0.008)**
30 sec	11.2	12.1	
For hand sanitization, which one should yo	u prefer below?		
Normal soap	30.2	37.9	
Hand sanitizer	44.8	47.0	5.567 (0.135)
Anti-bacterial soap	18.1	15.2	
Others	6.9	0.0	
How should you feel after washing your has	nd?		
Satisfied	52.6	42.4	1 742 (0 410)
Very satisfied	42.2	51.5	1.742 (0.419)
Not satisfied	5.2	6.1	
Do you or your family member use any disi	nfectant while cl	eaning your	
room or house?			4 945 (0 026)*
Yes	57.8	74.2	4.943 (0.020)
No	42.2	25.8	

**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05; statistically significant.

between students and participants from other occupations ($\chi^2 = 8.084$, p = 0.044). Most of the participants spend a minimum of 20 seconds washing their hands (students 64.7% and others 81.8%). However, students significantly spent less time on handwashing ($\chi^2 = 9.597$, p = 0.008). Regarding preference for hand sanitization, around 45% preferred hand sanitizer (students 44.8% and others 47.0%), and roughly one-third chose regular soap (students 30.2% and others 37.9%). After washing hands, almost 94% felt satisfied. Regarding using disinfectants by family members, a significant difference ($\chi^2 = 4.945$, p = 0.026) is observed between students (57.8%) and other occupations (74.2%).

Table 5 shows the participants' responses to the personal hygiene level regarding monthly income (participants had monthly income <10,000 BDT vs.

0	Inco	Income (%)		
Question	<10,000 BDT	≥10,000 BDT	$-\chi^{2}$ (p value)	
When do you use a mask?				
While going outside	92.2	90.9		
When meeting with someone	1.7	9.1	9.184 (0.010)*	
I don't use a mask	6	0	(0.010)	
How many times do you wash your	hand in a day?			
Less than 5 times	22.4	10.6		
6 - 10 times	41.4	57.6	5.026 (0.120)	
11 - 15 times	14.7	12.1	5.836 (0.120)	
More than 15 times	21.6	19.7		
How much time do you take while w	vashing your hand?			
10 sec	22.4	9.1		
20 sec	64.7	81.8	6.533 (0.038)*	
30 sec	12.9	9.1	(0.038)	
For hand sanitization, which one sh	ould you prefer below?			
Normal soap	30.2	37.9		
Hand sanitizer	45.7	45.5	5 210 (0 150)	
Anti-bacterial soap	17.2	16.7	5.318 (0.150)	
Others	6.9	0		
How should you feel after washing y	our hand?			
Satisfied	54.3	39.4		
Very satisfied	41.4	53	3.982 (0.137)	
Not satisfied	4.3	7.6		
Do you or your family member use	any disinfectant while c	leaning your room	m or house?	
Yes	59.5	71.2	2 504 (0 114)	
No	40.5	28.8	2.504 (0.114)	

Table 5. Differences in personal hygiene parameters in income groups.

*p < 0.05; statistically significant.

 \geq 10,000 BDT). There were significant differences in using mask ($\chi^2 = 9.184$, p = 0.010) and time spent on washing hands ($\chi^2 = 6.533$, p = 0.038). Although the proportion of using masks was almost the same between these groups, 6% of participants in the lower-income group didn't use masks. Similarly, 81.8% of participants in the higher-income group washed their hands for 20 seconds, but this ratio was only 64.7% for participants from the lower-income group.

Table 6 depicts the proportion of personal hygiene levels between participants from two groups regarding their education level (below graduation vs. graduated and above). A significantly large percentage of participants having graduated or completed an above degree (78.4%) spent more time on handwashing in compliance with WHO and government instructions that were 17% higher than non-graduated participants ($\chi^2 = 6.577$, p = 0.037).

	Educ	ation (%)		
Question	Below graduation	Graduated and above	χ^2 (p value)	
When do you use a mask?				
While going outside	91.3	92.2		
When meeting with someone	2.5	5.9	3.316 (0.191)	
I don't use a mask	6.3	2		
How many times do you wash your	hand in a day?			
Less than 5 times	23.8	13.7		
6 - 10 times	47.5	47.1	4 2 4 7 (0 2 2 4)	
11 - 15 times	10	16.7	4.247 (0.236)	
More than 15 times	18.8	22.5		
How much time do you take while	washing your hand	?		
10 sec	22.5	13.7		
20 sec	61.3	78.4	6.577 (0.037)*	
30 sec	16.3	7.8		
For hand sanitization, which one s	hould you prefer be	low?		
Normal soap	26.3	38.2		
Hand sanitizer	50	42.2	2 024 (0 402)	
Anti-bacterial soap	18.8	15.7	2.924 (0.403)	
Others	5	3.9		
How should you feel after washing	your hand?			
Satisfied	52.5	46.1		
Very satisfied	41.3	49	1.12 (0.571)	
Not satisfied	6.3	4.9		
Do you or your family member use	any disinfectant w	hile cleaning your ro	oom or house?	
Yes	57.5	68.6	0.400 (0.105)	
No	42.5	31.4	2.402 (0.121)	

Table 6. Differences in personal hygiene parameters in education groups.

*p < 0.05; statistically significant.

In **Table 7**, the variations in the perception and knowledge of participants regarding COVID-19 in different groups are observed. A significant difference was observed in the income group on the understanding of why participants use masks ($\chi^2 = 9.203$; p = 0.027). There was a substantial difference in the occupation group of participants regarding the difference between the Home Quarantine and Isolation ($\chi^2 = 5.727$; p = 0.017). Respondents from other occupations were more aware than the students in this regard. The level of education also played a vital role among the participants in this particular topic ($\chi^2 = 15.227$; p < 0.001). Participants whose education level was graduation or more tend to know the difference of nearly 40% more than those of below graduation. Also, a vast difference was observed in participants' knowledge of the activities that they Table 7. Variations in perception and knowledge of participants regarding COVID-19.

		Occupation			Income Leve	el		Education	
Question	Students	Others	χ^2 (p value)	<10,000	≥10,000	χ^2 (p value)	Below graduation	Graduated and above	χ^2 (p value)
Perception									
Which media help you	to aware you o	of the most?							
Online news/Facebook/other social media	83 (71.6%)	52 (78.8%)		89 (76.7%)	46 (69.7%)		60 (75.0%)	75 (73.5%)	
Friends and Family	11 (9.5%)	5 (7.6%)	4.897 (0.180)	8 (6.9%)	8 (12.1%)	4.928 (0.177)	7 (8.8%)	9 (8.8%)	5.139 (0.162)
Newspaper	6 (5.2%)	6 (9.1%)		5 (4.3%)	7 (10.6%)		2 (2.5%)	10 (9.8%)	
Govt. sources	16 (13.8%)	3 (4.5%)		14 (12.1%)	5 (7.6%)		11 (13.8%)	8 (7.8%)	
Why do you use a mask	κ?								
To avoid contamination from any infected person	24 (20.7%)	10 (15.2%)		23 (19.8%)	11 (16.7%)		17 (21.3%)	17 (16.7%)	
To stop spreading infection from me	8 (6.9%)	3 (4.5%)	6.427 (0.093)	11 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)	9.203 (0.027)*	6 (7.5%)	5 (4.9%)	7.751 (0.051)
To avoid dust and air pollution	16 (13.8%)	3 (4.5%)		14 (12.1%)	5 (7.6%)		13 (16.3%)	6 (5.9%)	
All of the above	68 (58.6%)	50 (75.8%)		68 (58.6%)	50 (75.8%)		44 (55.0%)	74 (72.5%)	
Hand wash and mask a	re the only sol	utions to prote	ect against C	COVID-19?					
Agree	51 (44.0%)	28 (42.4%)		55 (47.4%)	24 (36.4%)		41 (51.3%)	38 (37.3%)	
Neither agree or disagree	44 (37.9%)	26 (39.4%)	0.047 (0.977)	40 (34.5%)	30 (45.5%)	2.500 (0.286)	27 (33.8%)	43 (42.2%)	3.619 (0.164)
Disagree	21 (18.1%)	12 (18.2%)		21 (18.1%)	12 (18.2%)		12 (15.0%)	21 (20.6%)	
Do you think that you a	are maintainin	ıg social distan	cing from o	ther person p	roperly (6 fee	et from each o	ther)?		
Yes	72 (62.1%)	34 (51.5%)	1.927	71 (61.2%)	35 (53.0%)	1.156	47 (58.8%)	59 (57.8%)	0.015 (0.000)
No	44 (37.9%)	32 (48.5%)	(0.165)	45 (38.8%)	31 (47.0%)	(0.282)	33 (41.3%)	43 (42.2%)	0.015 (0.902)
To protect yourself from	n COVID-19,	how many day	rs should yo	u prefer to sta	ay at home q	uarantine?			
14 days	38 (32.8%)	27 (40.9%)		37 (31.9%)	28 (42.4%)		28 (35.0%)	37 (36.3%)	
21 days	7 (6.0%)	6 (9.1%)	2.257	8 (6.9%)	5 (7.6%)	2.257	3 (3.8%)	10 (9.8%)	2.742 (0.254)
Until removal of COVID-19	71 (61.2%)	33 (50.0%)	(0.323)	71 (61.2%)	33 (50.0%)	(0.323)	49 (61.3%)	55 (53.9%)	(
Govt. providing inform	ation regardir	ng personal hyg	giene is enou	ugh to preven	t COVID-19				
Agree	77 (64.7%)	42 (35.3%)		80 (67.2%)	39 (32.8%)		57 (47.9%)	62 (52.1%)	
Disagree	14 (63.6%)	8 (36.4%)	0.184	10 (45.5%)	12 (54.5%)	3.810	8 (36.4%)	14 (63.6%)	2.170 (0.338)
Neither agree or disagree	25 (61.0%)	16 (39.0%)	(0.912)	26 (63.4%)	15 (36.6%)	(0.149)	15 (36.6%)	26 (63.4%)	······································

Knowledge

What do you think infants born to mothers with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection should be feed according to standard infant feeding guidelines?

51 (44.0%)	18 (27.3%)		48 (41.4%)	21 (31.8%)		29 (36.3%)	40 (39.2%)	
46 (39.7%)	34 (51.5%)	4.980 (0.083)	49 (42.2%)	31 (47.0%)	1.770 (0.413)	35 (43.8%)	45 (44.1%)	0.380 (0.827)
19 (16.4%)	14 (21.2%)	(01000)	19 (16.4%)	14 (21.2%)	(01110)	16 (20.0%)	17 (16.7%)	
ome Quarantir	ne?							
98 (84.5%)	59 (89.4%)	0.856	99 (85.3%)	58 (87.9%)	0.228	67 (83.8%)	90 (88.2%)	0.7(1.(0.202)
18 (15.5%)	7 (10.6%)	(0.355)	17 (14.7%)	8 (12.1%)	(0.633)	13 (16.3%)	12 (11.8%)	0.761 (0.383)
ence between	Home Quarar	tine and Isol	ation?					
82 (70.7%)	57 (86.4%)	5.727	84 (72.4%)	55 (83.3%)	2.780	50 (62.5%)	89 (87.3%)	15.227
34 (29.3%)	9 (13.6%)	(0.017)*	32 (27.6%)	11 (16.7%)	(0.095)	30 (37.5%)	13 (12.7%)	(<0.001)**
should not be	done during	quarantine?						
12 (10.3%)	14 (21.2%)		13 (11.2%)	13 (19.7%)		12 (15.0%)	14 (13.7%)	
33 (28.4%)	7 (10.6%)		30 (25.9%)	10 (15.2%)		19 (23.8%)	21 (20.6%)	
4 (3.4%)	12 (18.2%)	20.419 (<0.001)**	5 (4.3%)	11 (16.7%)	12.410 (0.006)**	4 (5.0%)	12 (11.8%)	2.633 (0.452)
67 (57.8%)	33 (50.0%)		68 (58.6%)	32 (48.5%)		45 (56.3%)	55 (53.9%)	
	51 (44.0%) 46 (39.7%) 19 (16.4%) ome Quarantir 98 (84.5%) 18 (15.5%) ence between 82 (70.7%) 34 (29.3%) 34 (29.3%) should not be 12 (10.3%) 33 (28.4%) 4 (3.4%) 67 (57.8%)	51 (44.0%) 18 (27.3%) 46 (39.7%) 34 (51.5%) 19 (16.4%) 14 (21.2%) ome Quarantine? 98 (84.5%) 59 (89.4%) 18 (15.5%) 7 (10.6%) 18 (15.5%) 7 (10.6%) ence between Home Quarant 82 (70.7%) 57 (86.4%) 34 (29.3%) 9 (13.6%) should not be done during of 12 (10.3%) 14 (21.2%) 33 (28.4%) 7 (10.6%) 4 (3.4%) 12 (18.2%) 67 (57.8%) 33 (50.0%)	$51 (44.0\%)$ $18 (27.3\%)$ $4.980 (0.083)$ $46 (39.7\%)$ $34 (51.5\%)$ $4.980 (0.083)$ $19 (16.4\%)$ $14 (21.2\%)$ 0.0856 ome Quarantine? $98 (84.5\%)$ $59 (89.4\%)$ 0.856 $18 (15.5\%)$ $7 (10.6\%)$ (0.355) ence between Home Quarantine and Isol $82 (70.7\%)$ $57 (86.4\%)$ 5.727 $34 (29.3\%)$ $9 (13.6\%)$ $(0.017)^*$ should not be done during quarantine? $12 (10.3\%)$ $14 (21.2\%)$ $33 (28.4\%)$ $7 (10.6\%)$ 20.419 $4 (3.4\%)$ $12 (18.2\%)$ $(<0.001)^{**}$ $67 (57.8\%)$ $33 (50.0\%)$ $33 (50.0\%)$	$ \begin{array}{ccccc} 51 (44.0\%) & 18 (27.3\%) & 48 (41.4\%) \\ 46 (39.7\%) & 34 (51.5\%) & 4.980 \\ (0.083) & 49 (42.2\%) \\ 19 (16.4\%) & 14 (21.2\%) & 19 (16.4\%) \\ \end{array} \\ \hline me Quarantime? \\ \hline 98 (84.5\%) & 59 (89.4\%) & 0.856 & 99 (85.3\%) \\ 18 (15.5\%) & 7 (10.6\%) & (0.355) & 17 (14.7\%) \\ \hline ence between Home Quarantime and Isolation? \\ \hline 82 (70.7\%) & 57 (86.4\%) & 5.727 & 84 (72.4\%) \\ 34 (29.3\%) & 9 (13.6\%) & (0.017)^* & 32 (27.6\%) \\ \hline should not be done during quarantime? \\ \hline 12 (10.3\%) & 14 (21.2\%) & 13 (11.2\%) \\ 33 (28.4\%) & 7 (10.6\%) & 20.419 \\ 4 (3.4\%) & 12 (18.2\%) & (<0.001)^{**} & 5 (4.3\%) \\ \hline 67 (57.8\%) & 33 (50.0\%) & 68 (58.6\%) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c } 51 (44.0\%) & 18 (27.3\%) & 4.980 & 4.980 & 49 (42.2\%) & 31 (47.0\%) \\ 46 (39.7\%) & 34 (51.5\%) & 4.980 & 49 (42.2\%) & 31 (47.0\%) \\ 19 (16.4\%) & 14 (21.2\%) & 19 (16.4\%) & 14 (21.2\%) \\ \hline \mbox{me Quarantime:} \\ 98 (84.5\%) & 59 (89.4\%) & 0.856 & 99 (85.3\%) & 58 (87.9\%) \\ 18 (15.5\%) & 7 (10.6\%) & (0.355) & 17 (14.7\%) & 8 (12.1\%) \\ \hline \mbox{me Quarantime:} & and Isolarity \\ 82 (70.7\%) & 57 (86.4\%) & 5.727 & 84 (72.4\%) & 55 (83.3\%) \\ 34 (29.3\%) & 9 (13.6\%) & (0.017)^{*} & 32 (27.6\%) & 11 (16.7\%) \\ \hline \mbox{should not be dorne during quarantime:} \\ 12 (10.3\%) & 14 (21.2\%) & 13 (11.2\%) & 13 (19.7\%) \\ 33 (28.4\%) & 7 (10.6\%) & 20.419 & 30 (25.9\%) & 10 (15.2\%) \\ \hline \mbox{a} (-50.001)^{**} & 5 (4.3\%) & 11 (16.7\%) \\ \hline \mbox{a} (57 (57.8\%) & 33 (50.0\%) & 68 (58.6\%) & 32 (48.5\%) \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Continued

**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05; statistically significant.

think should not be done during the quarantine. Participants other than students were twice more concerned about not going outside during quarantine ($\chi^2 = 20.419$; p < 0.001). Nearly similar results were observed in income groups where participants of higher-income groups were almost twice more concerned about not going outside during quarantine than those of lower-income groups ($\chi^2 = 12.410$; p = 0.006).

4. Discussion

The principal objective of this study was to understand the awareness level, personal hygiene, and sanitization regarding the current outbreak of COVID-19 and what type of knowledge we are conveying about COVID-19 at the first months of the pandemic. In a densely populated country like Bangladesh, this study may determine how knowledgeable the general people are regarding COVID-19, which can act as a prime factor to fight against this disease. The final result may help gather information regarding this issue, which may further contribute to understanding the present condition of the people of Bangladesh and develop our sanitization policies. After screening the online survey, we found a battery of data representing the current perception of personal sanitization regarding COVID-19. The online study was carried out to determine the personal sanitization, knowledge and awareness of the people against COVID-19. Family and govt. sources helped to spread the awareness of COVID-19, but govt. sources may find more scope for information dissemination. Recent studies estimated that this novel coronavirus might stay in the air and on surfaces, which is highly similar to that of SARS. Generally, in Bangladesh, a few people were used to wear face masks to avoid air pollution before. Still, after the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak, the use of masks to prevent contamination from an infected person has been moderately increased. But the percentage was comparatively low, which could be a potential threat to trigger the rapid transmission of COVID-19. Our study reveals that knowledge and perception of the importance and reason behind wearing a mask depend significantly on the occupational variations, educational level, and monthly earning. There was a significant difference in handwashing frequency and duration of handwashing in different occupation groups of the participants (p = 0.044 and 0.008, respectively). Both students and participants of other occupations have a higher proportion of handwashing of 6 - 10 times a day, which is an excellent indication of personal hygiene. Coronavirus can be spread through different particles and objects besides live carriers [29]. It has been highly recommended that cleaning the most frequently used portions of a house and regular household chores are very important in preventing COVID-19. After the Chi-square test, the result depicts that students or their family members were significantly less active in using disinfectants while cleaning rooms or everyday house chores than participants of other occupational categories (p < 0.05).

Intending to prevent the spread of this infection, using different hand sanitizers and soaps has started to become familiar. Still, the general people have been ambiguous regarding the effectiveness of these materials to get protection against the virus. They had no clear idea of why they choose those. Both hand sanitizers and soaps are sufficient to kill viruses in distinct ways. In general, soap or detergents contain surfactants, emulsifying agents, and other excipients [30] [31]. Several studies confirmed that surfactants and emulsifying agents are capable of deactivating viruses by entrapping viruses or rupturing the virus envelop or following a simple elution mechanism [32] [33] [34]. Hand sanitizers containing a high concentration of alcohol are also capable of exerting their virucidal activity against enveloped viruses as well as the majority of clinically essential viruses [35] [36]. When handwashing with cleanser and water is inaccessible, applying an adequate sanitizer is suggested to ensure hand cleanliness [37]. This study found that a more significant portion of the participants prefers hand sanitizers over soap water for their hand hygiene. Nevertheless, there are scopes of encouraging people to wash their hands properly with normal soap water more frequently, which is cheap and readily available in their homes and workplaces. Also, personal hygiene knowledge is additionally crucial for those who are living below the poverty level or have low health literacy, perceptions of own risk and the ability to prevent infection may be limited [38]. Urban peoples also fall behind in their basic knowledge of personal sanitization. Unfortunately, People still are oblivious about maintaining social distance.

Moreover, young people have an increased tendency to ignore the care to eliminate the chance of infection. According to the Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research (IEDCR) in Bangladesh, around 24% of young people are affected by COVID-19 in Bangladesh due to unawareness and lack of social distancing [15]. This study may help communicate that we need more effort to fight the lack of awareness against COVID-19.

As the pandemic situation is new to the people and available authentic information was also limited, people were reluctant to participate in this study. Moreover, this survey data solely represents the scenario of the participants who have access to the internet. But internet facilities are not still available for the mass number of people living in Bangladesh. It wasn't possible to get the responses of those people who were out of internet facilities. As a result, the observed effect may not be generalizable to the entire population of Bangladesh.

5. Conclusion

This survey result depicts that, a significant percentage of people are lack of personal sanitization and hygiene concept, knowledge, and awareness against COVID-19. This study was conducted at the very beginning of the pandemic situation when people were unaware of the broad spectrum symptoms as well the severity of the disease. However, the situation might be changed now, as we have new variants of the virus, which are much more virulent and many people got sick, and died from our surroundings. A proper guideline and self-awareness may control the spreading of COVID-19. Also, the necessity to make people understand more about personal sanitization and knowledge is crucial while developing and implementing national policies. After all, still, personal sanitization and awareness are vital remedies to combat COVID-19.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Wang, D., Hu, B., Hu, C., Zhu, F., Liu, X., Zhang, J., Wang, B., Xiang, H., Cheng, Z., Xiong, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, Y., Wang, X. and Peng, Z. (2020) Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*, 323, 1061-1069. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585</u>
- Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng, Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., Xie, X., Yin, W., Li, H., Liu, M., Xiao, Y., Gao, H., Guo, L., Xie, J., Wang, G., Jiang, R., Gao, Z., Jin, Q., Wang, J. and Cao, B. (2020) Clinical Features of Patients Infected with 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet*, **395**, 497-506. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- Zheng, J. (2020) SARS-CoV-2: An Emerging Coronavirus That Causes a Global Threat. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 16, 1678-1685. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45053
- [4] Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2020) The Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) in China. *Chinese Journal of Epidemiology*, **41**, 145-151.
- [5] Chan, J.F.-W., Yuan, S., Kok, K.-H., To, K.K.-W., Chu, H., Yang, J., Xing, F., Liu, J.,

Yip, C.C.-Y., Poon, R.W.-S., Tsoi, H.-W., Lo, S.K.-F., Chan, K.-H., Poon, V.K.-M., Chan, W.-M., Ip, J.D., Cai, J.-P., Cheng, V.C.-C., Chen, H., Hui, C.K.-M. and Yuen, K.-Y. (2020) A Familial Cluster of Pneumonia Associated with the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Indicating Person-to-Person Transmission: A Study of a Family Cluster. *The Lancet*, **395**, 514-523. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9

- [6] Shen, K., Yang, Y., Wang, T., Zhao, D., Jiang, Y., Jin, R., Zheng, Y., Xu, B., Xie, Z., Lin, L., Shang, Y., Lu, X., Shu, S., Bai, Y., Deng, J., Lu, M., Ye, L., Wang, X., Wang, Y. and Gao, L. (2020) Diagnosis, Treatment, and Prevention of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Infection in Children: Experts' Consensus Statement. *World Journal of Pediatrics*, 16, 223-231. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12519-020-00343-7</u>
- [7] Gao, J., Tian, Z. and Yang, X. (2020) Breakthrough: Chloroquine Phosphate Has Shown Apparent Efficacy in Treatment of COVID-19 Associated Pneumonia in Clinical Studies. *BioScience Trends*, 14, 72-73. https://doi.org/10.5582/bst.2020.01047
- [8] Wang, L., Wang, Y., Ye, D. and Liu, Q. (2020) Review of the 2019 Novel Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) Based on Current Evidence. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 55, Article ID: 105948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105948
- [9] Desai, A.N. and Patel, P. (2020) Stopping the Spread of COVID-19. JAMA, 323, 1516. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4269</u>
- [10] Mathur, P. (2011) Hand Hygiene: Back to the Basics of Infection Control. Indian Journal of Medical Research, 134, 611-620. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-5916.90985</u>
- [11] Boyce, J.M. and Pittet, D. (2002) Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings: Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *American Journal of Infection Control*, **30**, S1-S46. https://doi.org/10.1067/mic.2002.130391
- [12] Eggers, M., Eickmann, M. and Zorn, J. (2015) Rapid and Effective Virucidal Activity of Povidone-Iodine Products against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and Modified Vaccinia Virus Ankara (MVA). *Infectious Diseases and Therapy*, **4**, 491-501. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-015-0091-9</u>
- [13] Peters, M.D. (2020) ANMF Evidence Brief Covid-19: Hand Sanitiser Ingredients.
- [14] Repici, A., Maselli, R., Colombo, M., Gabbiadini, R., Spadaccini, M., Anderloni, A., Carrara, S., Fugazza, A., Di Leo, M., Galtieri, P.A., Pellegatta, G., Ferrara, E.C., Azzolini, E. and Lagioia, M. (2020) Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak: What the Department of Endoscopy Should Know. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, **92**, 192-197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.03.019</u>
- [15] Leung, N.H.L., Chu, D.K.W., Shiu, E.Y.C., Chan, K.-H., McDevitt, J.J., Hau, B.J.P., Yen, H.-L., Li, Y., Ip, D.K.M., Peiris, J.S.M., Seto, W.-H., Leung, G.M., Milton, D.K. and Cowling, B.J. (2020) Respiratory Virus Shedding in Exhaled Breath and Efficacy of Face Masks. *Nature Medicine*, 26, 676-680. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0843-2
- [16] MacIntyre, C.R. and Chughtai, A.A. (2015) Facemasks for the Prevention of Infection in Healthcare and Community Settings. *BMJ*, **350**, h694. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h694</u>
- Xiao, J., Shiu, E.Y.C., Gao, H., Wong, J.Y., Fong, M.W., Ryu, S. and Cowling, B.J. (2020) Nonpharmaceutical Measures for Pandemic Influenza in Nonhealthcare Settings—Personal Protective and Environmental Measures. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 26, 967-975. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2605.190994</u>

- [18] Yang, X.Y., Gong, R.N., Sassine, S., Morsa, M., Tchogna, A.S., Drouin, O., Chadi, N. and Jantchou, P. (2020) Risk Perception of COVID-19 Infection and Adherence to Preventive Measures among Adolescents and Young Adults. *Children*, 7, 311. https://doi.org/10.3390/children7120311
- [19] Seale, H., Heywood, A.E., Leask, J., Sheel, M., Thomas, S., Durrheim, D.N., Bolsewicz, K. and Kaur, R. (2020) COVID-19 Is Rapidly Changing: Examining Public Perceptions and Behaviors in Response to This Evolving Pandemic. *PLoS ONE*, 15, e0235112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235112</u>
- [20] Girma, S., Agenagnew, L., Beressa, G., Tesfaye, Y. and Alenko, A. (2020) Risk Perception and Precautionary Health Behavior toward COVID-19 among Health Professionals Working in Selected Public University Hospitals in Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE*, 15, e0241101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241101</u>
- [21] Gohel, K.H., Patel, P.B., Shah, P.M., Patel, J.R., Pandit, N. and Raut, A. (2021) Knowledge and Perceptions about COVID-19 among the Medical and Allied Health Science Students in India: An Online Cross-Sectional Survey. *Clinical Epidemiology* and Global Health, 9, 104-109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2020.07.008</u>
- [22] Tripathi, R., Alqahtani, S.S., Albarraq, A.A., Meraya, A.M., Tripathi, P., Banji, D., Alshahrani, S., Ahsan, W. and Alnakhli, F.M. (2020) Awareness and Preparedness of COVID-19 Outbreak among Healthcare Workers and Other Residents of South-West Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 482. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00482</u>
- [23] Gambhir, R.S., Dhaliwal, J.S., Aggarwal, A., Anand, S., Anand, V. and Bhangu, A.K. (2020) Covid-19: A Survey on Knowledge, Awareness and Hygiene Practices among Dental Health Professionals in an Indian Scenario. *Roczniki Państwowego Zakładu Higieny*, **71**, 223-229. <u>https://doi.org/10.32394/rpzh.2020.0115</u>
- [24] CDC (2021) Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)—Symptoms. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html
- [25] Liu, J., Liao, X., Qian, S., Yuan, J., Wang, F., Liu, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, F.-S., Liu, L. and Zhang, Z. (2020) Community Transmission of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2, Shenzhen, China, 2020. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 26, 1320. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200239</u>
- [26] W.H. Organization (2020) Clinical Management of Severe Acute Respiratory Infection (SARI) When COVID-19 Disease Is Suspected: Interim Guidance, 13 March 2020. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- [27] General Assembly of the World Medical Association (2014) World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. *Journal of the American College of Dentists*, 81, 14-18.
- [28] Bryson, G.L., Turgeon, A.F. and Choi, P.T. (2012) The Science of Opinion: Survey Methods in Research. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, **59**, 736-742. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-012-9727-3
- [29] Yang, Y., Peng, F., Wang, R., Guan, K., Jiang, T., Xu, G., Sun, J. and Chang, C. (2020) The Deadly Coronaviruses: The 2003 SARS Pandemic and the 2020 Novel Coronavirus Epidemic in China. *Journal of Autoimmunity*, **109**, Article ID: 102434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102434
- [30] Chaudhary, N.K., Bhattarai, A., Guragain, B. and Bhattarai, A. (2020) Conductivity, Surface Tension, and Comparative Antibacterial Efficacy Study of Different Brands of Soaps of Nepal. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2020, e6989312. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6989312
- [31] Hill, M. and Moaddel, T. (2016) 2. Soap Structure and Phase Behavior. In: Spitz, L.,

Ed., *Soap Manufacturing Technology*, Second Edition, AOCS Press, Champaign, 35-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-63067-065-8.50002-5</u>

- [32] Asculai, S.S., Weis, M.T., Rancourt, M.W. and Kupferberg, A.B. (1978) Inactivation of Herpes Simplex Viruses by Nonionic Surfactants. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 13, 686-690. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.13.4.686
- [33] Piret, J., Roy, S., Gagnon, M., Landry, S., Désormeaux, A., Omar, R.F. and Bergeron, M.G. (2002) Comparative Study of Mechanisms of Herpes Simplex Virus Inactivation by Sodium Lauryl Sulfate and n-Lauroylsarcosine. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, **46**, 2933-2942. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.9.2933-2942.2002</u>
- [34] Stock, C.C. and Francis Jr., T. (1940) The Inactivation of the Virus of Epidemic Influenza by Soaps. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 71, 661. <u>https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.71.5.661</u>
- [35] Kampf, G. (2018) Efficacy of Ethanol against Viruses in Hand Disinfection. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 98, 331-338. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.08.025</u>
- [36] Kampf, G. and Kramer, A. (2004) Epidemiologic Background of Hand Hygiene and Evaluation of the Most Important Agents for Scrubs and Rubs. *Clinical Microbiol*ogy Reviews, 17, 863-893. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.4.863-893.2004
- [37] Golin, A.P., Choi, D. and Ghahary, A. (2020) Hand Sanitizers: A Review of Ingredients, Mechanisms of Action, Modes of Delivery, and Efficacy against Coronaviruses. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 48, 1062-1067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.182
- [38] Wolf, M.S., Serper, M., Opsasnick, L., O'Conor, R.M., Curtis, L., Benavente, J.Y., Wismer, G., Batio, S., Eifler, M., Zheng, P., Russell, A., Arvanitis, M., Ladner, D., Kwasny, M., Persell, S.D., Rowe, T., Linder, J.A. and Bailey, S.C. (2020) Awareness, Attitudes, and Actions Related to COVID-19 among Adults with Chronic Conditions at the Onset of the U.S. Outbreak. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, **173**, 100-109. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1239

Appendix

Personal hygiene concept, knowledge and awareness regarding COVID-19 among Bangladeshi people Please provide the information:

a) Name:	
b) Gender: Male/Female/Others (put a tick mark) Email: _	
c) Age: i) 18 - 25 Yrs. ii) 26 - 30 Yrs. iii) 31 - 40 Yrs. iv) 41 - 50 Yrs. v)	More than 50 Yrs.
d) Occupation:	
e) Approximate amount of income (monthly): a) <bdt 10,000="" 10,<="" b)="" bdt="" td=""><td>.000 - 20,000</td></bdt>	.000 - 20,000
c) BDT 21,000 - 40,000 d) BDT 41,000 - 60,000 e) BDT 41,000 - 60,00	0 f) More than BDT 60,000
f) Level of education: a) $\langle S.S.C \rangle$ b) $\rangle S.S.C \rangle > H.S.C \rangle$ d) \geq Graduate	ed
'lease put tick mark on your answers to the following questions:	
1) Do you believe that you understand all the common symptoms of Covid-19	9 properly?
a) Yes b) No	
2) When do you use mask?	
a) While going outside	
b) When meet with someone	
c) I don t use mask	
3) why do you use mask?	
a) To avoid contamination from any infected person	
b) To stop spreading infection from me	
c) To avoid dust and air pollution	
d) All of the above	
4) How many times do you wash your hand in a day?	
a) Less than 5 times (-10 times)	
b) 6 - 10 times	
c) 11 - 15 times	
a) More than 15 times	
s) How much time do you take while washing your hand:	
a) 10 sec	
b) 20 sec	
() So sec	
a) Normal scop	
a) Normai soap	
c) Anti hacterial scan	
d) Others	
7) How should you feel after washing hand?	
a) Satisfied	
a) Very satisfied	
c) Not satisfied	
8) Hand wash and mask are only solution to protect against COVID 10?	
a) A gree	
h) Neither agree or disagree	

```
DOI: 10.4236/jbm.2021.98007
```

c) Disagree

9) What do you think, infants born to mothers with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection, should be feed according to standard infant feeding guidelines?

a) Yes

- b) May be
- c) No

10) Do you or your family member use any disinfectant while cleaning your room or house?

- a) Yes
- b) No
- 11) Do you know about Home Quarantine?
- a) Yes
- b) No

12) Do you know the difference between the Home Quarantine and Isolation?

a) Yes

b) No

13) Which of the following should not be done during quarantine?

- a) Go outside
- b) Not sure
- c) Meet with friends and family member closely
- d) All of the above
- 14) Do you think that you are maintaining social distancing from other person properly (6 feet from each other)?

a) Yes

b) No

15) To protect yourself from COVID-19, how many days you should prefer to stay at home quarantine?

- a) 14 days
- b) 21 days
- c) Until removal of COVID-19
- 16) Govt. providing information regarding to personal hygiene is enough to prevent COVID-19?
- a) Agree
- b) Disagree
- c) Neither agree or disagree
- 17) Which media help you to aware you the most?
- a) Online news/Facebook/other social media
- b) Friends and Family
- c) Newspaper
- d) Govt. sources