Effects of Chewing Frequency on Cerebral Blood Flow and Cognitive Function
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Abstract

The purpose of the present study was to examine the effect of the frequency of mastication on cerebral activation and task scores for computational tasks. Eleven healthy subjects participated in the present study (nine women, two men). Subjects carried out the Uchida-Kraepelin Test for 15 min before and after chewing tasteless gum for five minutes. The oxygenation of the left pre-frontal cortex was monitored by near-infrared spectroscopy during the computational tasks. Task scores did not differ significantly according to chewing frequency (p > 0.05). Conversely, the oxygenation value measured after mastication at a chewing frequency of 110 times/minute (Hz) was significantly higher than that observed before mastication (p < 0.05). Meanwhile, before and after levels of oxygenation did not differ significantly for chewing frequencies of 30 Hz and 70 Hz (p > 0.05 for both). The results of the present study suggest that tasteless mastication does not enhance calculation performance, while a high mastication frequency demonstrates an influence on oxygenation. However, our study indicates that chewing tasteless gum per se does not increase cognitive performance.
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1. Introduction

The elderly population is expanding rapidly in Japan. The number of people with dementia will reach seven million in 2025 because of the increase in the elderly population [1]. Being common in older people and major causes of morbidity and mortality, cognitive impairment and dementia resulting from age-related decline is of substantial public health concern [2]. Attention has been focused on brain atrophy as the cause of dementia and the fact that decreased cerebral blood flow may lead to brain tissue damage [3]. Mastication is an activity that increases brain activity and enhances cognitive function [4][5]. Mastication may activate the motor, somatosensory, and supplementary motor areas, as well as the cerebellum, among others. Stimulation is transmitted to the cerebral blood vessels via the trigeminal afferent nerve to release vasodilated substances [6]. Numerous studies were conducted regarding the effects of epilepsy on cognitive function. Researchers reported that waking up due to mastication [7][8][9] results in an increase in energy consumption [10][11]. Researchers also reported that performance reaction time [12] and working memory may also improve [13][14][15] because of mastication. Other reports involving neuro-scientific measurements indicate that mastication increases brain activity [5] and shortens cognitive processing time (e.g., the P300 wave of event-related potential after mastication [4]). In addition, studies that regard mastication as a movement that mobilizes neurons throughout the brain also exist [16][17][18]. Generally, exertion of force during exercise and an increase in exercise frequency exhibits a vast influence on brain activity [19][20][21][22]. Furthermore, researchers reported that exercise improves cognitive function and that this improvement depends on exercise intensity [23]. It is also known that brain activity increases with exercise frequency [19][21][22]. It is likely that brain activity is affected by mastication frequency, potentially affecting cognitive function. Researchers also reported that blood flow in the common carotid artery rises with an increase in mastication frequency [24]. However, to date, no report exists on the relationship between chewing frequency and cognitive function, particularly brain activity.

The purpose of the present study was to examine how brain activity during a computational task is influenced by chewing movements with an emphasis on increasing chewing frequency. Therefore, we studied changes in chewing frequency and measured changes in cerebral oxygenation by near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) in the prefrontal cortex during the computational task before and after chewing.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

The study subjects comprised of 11 healthy people (two males and nine females; mean age, 20.545 ± 4.591 years). None of the subjects presented with neurological or psychiatric disease. In addition, informed consent was obtained from all
subjects. Ethical approval was obtained from the Niigata University of Health and Welfare Ethics Committee (No. 17619-150909).

2.2. Uchida-Kraepelin Test

The Uchida-Kraepelin Test (U-K Test) involves continuous calculations and can be used to measure mental fatigue. The U-K Test is widely used in the fields of clinical psychology, psychiatry, and occupational mental health. In the present study, subjects were instructed to add random numbers printed on paper for 15 min. After taking a rest, they were instructed to repeat the task for another 15 min. Subjects were instructed to calculate as many sums as possible.

2.3. Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS)

In the present study, NIRS (NIRO-200, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan) was used to monitor changes in brain oxygenation in the left prefrontal cortex. The NIRS optode consists of a light source with a semiconductor laser and a photodetector. This device detects changes in the concentration of oxygenated hemoglobin (OxyHb). The NIRS used in this study could measure tissue absorbance of light corresponding to three wavelengths (780, 805, and 830 nm). The optode was attached to the skin 2 cm above the frontal midline skull and 1.5 cm above the eyelids. The distance between transmission and reception was 4 cm. The sampling rate of NIRS was set to 2 Hz. Oxygenation measured by NIRS moreover reflects changes in neural activation in the region of the activated cortical area. [25]. The amount of change (from resting status) in the OxyHb concentration ([OxyHb]), ∆[OxyHb], is the most sensitive parameter for brain activity. Therefore, in the present study, ∆[OxyHb] was used as an indicator of brain activity [26] [27] [28] [29].

2.4. Mastication

In this experiment, a tablet of 0.9 g of tasteless gum (Meiji Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan) was used per study participant. The ingredients of the gum are displayed in Table 1. Each subject was instructed to sit in a chair; after sitting for five minutes, he/she performed the U-K Test for 15 min. In total, the U-K Test was performed two times (before and after mastication). After the first U-K Test, subjects took a one-minute rest, followed by five minutes of mastication at three different chewing rates (see below). After a five-minute break, the participant would take the second U-K Test. Mastication frequency was measured using a metronome, and data on mastication frequency were provided in Hz (i.e., 30 Hz, 70 Hz, and 110 Hz). Chewing frequency trials were performed on different days. Each subject participated in three trials. The protocol is shown in Figure 1.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were checked first for normality using Shapiro-Wilk test, after which they were analyzed with ANOVA. The results of the U-K Test were calculated based
Figure 1. Experimental procedure. While chewing gum for five minutes, the chewing frequency was changed.

Table 1. Ingredient table for gum (0.9 g per grain).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gum base</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecithin</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartame acesulfame potassium</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flavoring</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

on the number of correct answers achieved per minute for the calculations performed before and after mastication; the average value of the number of correct answers was also calculated. To examine the effect of mastication before and after mastication, including the effect on the U-K Test score, a repeated measure two-way ANOVA was performed.

The value of ∆[OxyHb] reflects the relative change within a probe and could not be used in between-probe statistical analyses. In other words, the NIRS data were not absolute and could not be used for comparisons of data obtained before and after chewing. Therefore, a repeated-measures one-way ANOVA was used to study changes in ∆[OxyHb] during the computational task.

3. Results

3.1. U-K Test Scores

The results of the computational task before and after mastication are shown in Figure 2. As seen, no difference was found in the computational task scores between the three mastication frequencies (F (2, 10) = 0.530867; p = 0.590837). No significant difference was found in U-K Test scores between before and after chewing (F (2, 10) = 0.665166; p = 0.417968) and no interaction (F (2, 10) = 0.023588; p = 0.976726).

3.2. Brain Oxygenation Changes during the U-K Test

The results of the cerebral oxygenation measurements in the prefrontal cortex during the computational tasks are shown in Figure 3. No significant difference
was found in oxygenation in the prefrontal area during computational tasks carried out before and after mastication at 30 and 70 Hz (i.e., 30 Hz: $F(1, 10) = 1.207; p = 0.298$; 70 Hz: $F(1, 10) = 2.804; p = 0.125$). However, at 110 Hz, a significant increase occurred in oxygenation after mastication compared with before ($F(1, 10) = 17.326, p = 0.002$).

**Figure 2.** Computational task results based on chewing frequency (average number of answers).

**Figure 3.** Changes in cerebral blood flow during computational tasks resulting from differences in mastication frequency. The asterisk shows a significant difference ($p < 0.05$).
4. Discussion

The main result of the present study was that brain activity during performance of the U-K Test did not change after mastication when the chewing frequency was low (30 Hz) or moderate (70 Hz). However, when chewing at a high frequency (110 Hz), brain activity increased significantly. Meanwhile, chewing frequency did not influence the score of computational tasks after tasteless mastication compared with baseline.

In the present study, we used the U-K Test as the calculation task. Subjects were instructed to add random numbers printed on paper for 15 min and performed a total of two U-K Tests per experiment. Therefore, we speculated that mastication did not enhance cognitive function to the extent prompting changes in U-K Test scores. The discrepancy between elevated brain activity and cognitive performance should be investigated using other cognitive indicators such as the Stroop test.

In the present study, we observed that an increase in chewing frequency was associated with enhanced brain activity during computational tasks. During the 5 min of mastication, all subjects masticated by three different chewing rates (i.e., 30, 70, and 110 Hz). In the present study, no significant difference in brain activity was found for the 30 and 70 Hz trials, but brain activity significantly increased at 110 Hz compared with the lower mastication rates. This finding is consistent with the results of previous studies on exercise frequency and brain activity [19] [21] [22]. Kuboyama et al. [22] speculated that the rise in frequency also included an element of exertion. In fact, Kuboyama et al. [22] reported a close relationship between integrated electromyography (EMG) and brain activity in exerting muscles.

Mastication is a voluntary, rhythmic movement involving the jaw and masticatory muscles. Rhythmic movement commands come from central pattern generator (CPG) neuronal cell populations, which are mainly located in the brainstem. Jenkins et al. [30] reported that finger tapping at a certain speed and rhythmic chewing movements are generated by brainstem CPGs. Chewing at 70 Hz is regarded the least conscious effort [25], and researchers speculated that brain activity dose not increase at this mastication rate. However, if mastication is performed at a different speed and frequency, providing exercise commands using CPG alone is not possible; so, in cases in which mastication must be performed with a special consciousness, such as at a high frequency of 110 rpm, the presumption exists that the prefrontal cortex is active.

A limitation of the present study is the possibility that the influence of mastication frequency on gum hardness during mastication could have affected the results of this study. In future studies, electromyography (EMG) should be used to study masseter muscle activity as an indicator of the hardness of the gum used. In addition, in the present study, only the aforementioned three chewing frequency conditions were trialed. It would be relevant to consider the chewing movements at frequencies exceeding 110 times/min.
5. Conclusion

This is the first study to date focusing on the association between mastication and potential changes in cognitive function during the performance of computational tasks. The researchers concluded that chewing tasteless gum does not enhance cognitive function sufficiently to change computational task performance.
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