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## Open Access


#### Abstract

This paper explores the existence of heteroclinic cycles and corresponding chaotic dynamics in a class of 3-dimensional two-zone piecewise affine systems. Moreover, the heteroclinic cycles connect two saddle foci and intersect the switching manifold at two points and the switching manifold is composed of two perpendicular planes.
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## 1. Introduction

Since the introduction of the Lorenz system as a highly simplified model for atmospheric convection in [1], extensive research has been conducted on chaos phenomena. The development of chaos generators has significant potential for various engineering applications. Hybrid systems have recently garnered considerable attention due to their critical role in circuit design, control theory, computer science, and biological molecular networks [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]. However, establishing the existence of singular cycles in general dynamical systems is challenging, as analytical calculations of invariant manifolds and solutions are not feasible [7] [8] [9] [10].

Thankfully, it is possible to analytically determine the invariant manifolds and solutions of linear systems. This allows for the mathematical construction of piecewise affine systems with singular cycles, which can be utilized in chaotic generator design [11] [12]. Nevertheless, investigating the presence of singular cycles in general piecewise linear systems is not straightforward, as it involves detecting return times and potential intersections of singular cycles with switching planes, which is a complex task [6] [13] [14]. For instance, in [15], the pri-
mary focus was on creating double-scroll chaotic generators by exploring the existence of heteroclinic cycles connecting two saddle-focus points and the associated chaotic dynamics in a specific class of 3-dimensional piecewise affine systems with a switching plane. Additionally, in [6], the authors examined the existence of homoclinic orbits to saddle-focus and the resulting chaotic dynamics in 3-dimensional continuous piecewise linear systems in normal forms, with three parameters and a switching plane. Similarly, references [16] [17] investigated the existence of homoclinic orbits to saddle-focus and the corresponding chaotic dynamics in a specific class of 3-dimensional piecewise affine systems with a switching plane. Reference [18] delved into the existence of heteroclinic cycles that intersect two or three regions in a particular class of 3-dimensional three-zone piecewise affine systems with two switching planes. In reference [19], the authors investigated multiple categories of planar piecewise Hamiltonian systems that feature three zones separated by two parallel straight lines. Reference [20] focuses on the study of external bifurcations of heterodimensional cycles in a 3-dimensional vector field. These cycles connect three saddle points and exhibit an orbit flip, forming a shape resembling the symbol " $\infty$ ". In references [21] [22] [23], the authors offered sufficient conditions for the coexistence of two singular cycles and the related chaotic dynamics in 3-dimensional two-zone piecewise linear systems with two parallel switching planes. Furthermore, they discovered that the coexistence of singular cycles could lead to a wider range of chaotic dynamics.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some preliminaries of the 3-dimensional piecewise affine systems. Section 3 states the main results of this paper. Section 4 presents the proof of Theorem 1. Section 5 presents the proof of Theorem 2.

## 2. Statement of the Problem

Consider the 3-dimensional piecewise affine systems

$$
\dot{x}= \begin{cases}A x+a, & x \in \Sigma_{+}  \tag{1}\\ B x+b, & x \in \Sigma_{-},\end{cases}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}=(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ are constant vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$ are constant matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. The eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A}$ are $\alpha_{1} \pm \beta_{1}, \lambda_{1}$ with $\beta_{1}, \lambda_{1}>0$, $\alpha_{1}<0$, and the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{B}$ are $\alpha_{2} \pm \beta_{2}, \lambda_{2}$ with $\beta_{2}, \lambda_{2}>0, \alpha_{2}<0$. Moreover, there exist invertible matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}=P J_{1} P^{-1}, \boldsymbol{B}=Q J_{2} Q^{-1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where matrices $P$ and $Q$ are given by

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right), Q=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\xi_{1} & \xi_{2} & \xi_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\zeta_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ being the generalized eigenvector of matrices $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$. In addition, we have

$$
J_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{1} & -\beta_{1} & 0  \tag{3}\\
\beta_{1} & \alpha_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{1}
\end{array}\right), J_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{2} & -\beta_{2} & 0 \\
\beta_{2} & \alpha_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{+}=\{(x, y, z) \mid y>0, z>0\}, \\
\Sigma_{-}=\{(x, y, z) \mid y<0\} \cup\{(x, y, z) \mid y>0, z<0\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Denote the switching manifold of the system (1) by $\Sigma$ with $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$, where

$$
\Sigma_{1}=\{(x, y, z) \mid y=0, z \geq 0\}, \Sigma_{2}=\{(x, y, z) \mid y>0, z=0\} .
$$

Notice that $\boldsymbol{p}=-\boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}$ is an equilibrium point of the subsystem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=A x+a, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{q}=-\boldsymbol{B}^{-1} \boldsymbol{b}$ is an equilibrium point of the subsystem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=\boldsymbol{B x}+\boldsymbol{b} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, assume that $\boldsymbol{p} \in \Sigma_{+}$and $\boldsymbol{q} \in \Sigma_{-}$with $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(x_{p} y_{p} z_{p}\right)^{\top}$, $\boldsymbol{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{q} & y_{q} z_{q}\end{array}\right)^{\top}$.
From the representations of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ in (3), the stable manifolds $W^{s}(\boldsymbol{p})$, $W^{s}(\boldsymbol{q})$ and unstable manifolds $W^{u}(\boldsymbol{p}), W^{u}(\boldsymbol{q})$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
W^{s}(\boldsymbol{p})=\left\{\boldsymbol{p}+k_{1} \zeta_{1}+k_{2} \zeta_{2} \mid k_{1}, k_{2} \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\},  \tag{6}\\
W^{u}(\boldsymbol{p})=\left\{\boldsymbol{p}+k_{3} \zeta_{3} \mid k_{3} \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\},  \tag{7}\\
W^{s}(\boldsymbol{q})=\left\{\boldsymbol{q}+l_{1} \xi_{1}+l_{2} \xi_{2} \mid l_{1}, l_{2} \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\},  \tag{8}\\
W^{u}(\boldsymbol{q})=\left\{\boldsymbol{q}+l_{3} \xi_{3} \mid l_{3} \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that $\zeta_{32} \neq 0, \xi_{33} \neq 0$, and

$$
W^{u}(\boldsymbol{q}) \cap \Sigma_{1}=\left\{\boldsymbol{q}-\frac{y_{q}}{\xi_{32}} \xi_{3}\right\}, W^{u}(\boldsymbol{p}) \cap \Sigma_{2}=\left\{\boldsymbol{p}-\frac{z_{p}}{\zeta_{33}} \zeta_{3}\right\} .
$$

Denote the solution of the system (4) with the initial condition $\varphi_{1}\left(0, x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ by $\varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$, and denote the solution of the system (5) with the initial condition $\varphi_{2}\left(0, \boldsymbol{y}_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{0}$ by $\varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{y}_{0}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}\left(t, x_{0}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{At}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\boldsymbol{p}\right)+\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{y}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Bt}}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{0}-\boldsymbol{q}\right)+\boldsymbol{q} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Results

In view of the method in [15] [17], this section provides some theorems on the existence of heteroclinic cycles and homoclinic orbits of systems (1). For convenience, divide the region $\Sigma_{-}$into three parts $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ as shown in Figure 1.
In this article, we will only consider the case where the equilibrium point $\boldsymbol{q}$ is located in the $S_{2}$ region. Similar methods can be used to discuss other situations.

Let

$$
\zeta_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{i 1} & \zeta_{i 2} & \zeta_{i 3}
\end{array}\right)^{\top}, \xi_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\xi_{i 1} & \xi_{i 2} & \xi_{i 3} \tag{11}
\end{array}\right)^{\top}, i=1,2,3 .
$$

Theorem 1. If and only if System (1) exists constant real numbers $k_{i 0}$ and $l_{i 0}, i=1,2$ such that the following conditions hold, then there exists a heteroclinic cycle connecting $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}$ that intersects $\Sigma_{1}$ transversally at $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ and intersects $\Sigma_{2}$ transversally at $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$, as shown in Figure 2.
1)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{p}_{02}=\boldsymbol{q}+l_{10} \xi_{1}+l_{20} \xi_{2}=\boldsymbol{p}-\frac{z_{p}}{\zeta_{33}} \zeta_{3},  \tag{12}\\
\boldsymbol{p}_{11}=\boldsymbol{q}-\frac{y_{q}}{\xi_{32}} \xi_{3}=\boldsymbol{p}+k_{10} \zeta_{1}+k_{20} \zeta_{2}, \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

2) 

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha_{1} y_{p}+\beta_{1} \delta_{2}>0, \rho_{1}>-z_{p},-\alpha_{2} z_{q}+\beta_{2} \sigma_{1}<0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Graph of the switching manifold, $\Sigma_{-}=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup S_{3}$.


Figure 2. Graph of the heteroclinic cycle.
3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t_{0}}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}<y_{p}, \frac{\beta \sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha t_{1}}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}<z_{p}, \frac{-\beta_{2} \sqrt{z_{q}^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{2} t_{2}}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}}}>z_{q}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{0}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\right)-\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\delta_{2}}{y_{p}}\right)+2 \pi\right], \\
& t_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\beta_{2}}\right)+\arcsin \left(\frac{z_{q}}{\sqrt{z_{q}^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}}}\right)+\pi\right], \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2} \neq 0$,

$$
t_{1}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-\arcsin \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}}\right)+\pi\right], & \rho_{2} \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)\right], & \rho_{2}<0, \alpha_{1} \rho_{1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{2} \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)+2 \pi\right], & \rho_{2}<0, \alpha_{1} \rho_{1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{2}>0 \\ \delta_{2} & =\zeta_{22} k_{10}-\zeta_{12} k_{20}, \rho_{1}=\zeta_{13} k_{10}+\zeta_{23} k_{20}  \tag{19}\\ \rho_{2} & =\zeta_{23} k_{10}-\zeta_{13} k_{20}, \sigma_{1}=\xi_{23} l_{10}-\xi_{13} l_{20}\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2. If system (1) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and the eigenvalues of the matrices $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$ satisfy

$$
\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}>0,
$$

then system (1) has infinite numbers of chaotic invariant sets.

## 4. The Proof of Theorem 1

If system (1) has a heteroclinic cycle connecting equilibrium points $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ that cross $\Sigma$ transversely at two points, then one point is $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}=\boldsymbol{q}-\frac{y_{p}}{\xi_{32}} \xi_{3}$ and the other one is $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}=\boldsymbol{p}-\frac{z_{p}}{\zeta_{33}} \zeta_{3}$.

Consider the definition of heteroclinic cycles, system (1) has a heteroclinic cycle connecting $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ which crosses $\Sigma_{1}$ transversally at $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ and crosses $\Sigma_{2}$ transversally $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

1) The positive orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ satisfies $\left\{\varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right) \mid t>0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{+}$.
2) The negative orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ satisfies $\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right) \mid t<0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{-}$.
3) The positive orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$ satisfies $\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{02}\right) \mid t>0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{-}$.
4) The negative orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$ satisfies $\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{02}\right) \mid t<0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{+}$.
5) Transversal condition:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+\boldsymbol{a}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+\boldsymbol{b}\right)=0
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_{02}+\boldsymbol{a}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{p}_{02}+\boldsymbol{b}\right)>0
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{p}_{02} \in W^{u}(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{11} \in W^{u}(\boldsymbol{q})$, then the negative orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$ is a straight line connecting $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$, the negative orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ is a straight line connecting $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}$. Hence,

$$
\left\{\varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{02}\right) \mid t<0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{+},\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right) \mid t<0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{-} .
$$

We will prove that the positive orbit of $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ satisfies $\left\{\varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right) \mid t>0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{+}$ which is equivalent to

$$
(010) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)>0,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 01 \tag{20}
\end{array}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)>0
$$

If $\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}=0$, then we have $(001) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)=z_{p}>0$. And if $\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2} \neq 0$, the inequalities in (20) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t} \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t\right)>-y_{p}, t>0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t} \sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t\right)>-z_{p}, t>0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sin \theta_{1}=\frac{-y_{p}}{\sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}}}<0, \cos \theta_{1}=\frac{\delta_{2}}{\sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}}}  \tag{23}\\
\sin \theta_{2}=\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}}, \cos \theta_{2}=\frac{\rho_{2}}{\sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}}  \tag{24}\\
\delta_{2}=\zeta_{22} k_{10}-\zeta_{12} k_{20}, \rho_{1}=\zeta_{13} k_{10}+\zeta_{23} k_{20}, \rho_{2}=\zeta_{23} k_{10}-\zeta_{13} k_{20} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the expressions of $F_{1}(t)$ and $F_{2}(t)$, notice that $\alpha_{1}<0, \beta_{1}>0$, so the functions $F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t)$ are the periodic oscillation attenuation functions and $F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. To prove (21) and (22), we only need to consider the first local minimal points of the corresponding functions $F_{1}(t)$ and $F_{2}(t)$ in $\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_{1}}\right]$.

From (21)-(22), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t} \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}}\left[\alpha \sin \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t\right)+\beta \cos \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t\right)\right]  \tag{26}\\
F_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t} \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}}\left[\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t\right)+2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t\right)\right]  \tag{27}\\
F_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t} \sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}\left[\alpha_{1} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t\right)+\beta_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t\right)\right]  \tag{28}\\
F_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t} \sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}\left[\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t\right)+2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t\right)\right]  \tag{29}\\
F_{1}^{\prime}(0)=-\alpha_{1} y_{p}+\beta_{1} \delta_{2}, F_{2}^{\prime}(0)=\alpha_{1} \rho_{1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{2} \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the sequel, we will prove that $(010) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)>0$ holds if and only if the second inequalities in (14) and the first inequality in (15) hold.

From (26), the local minimal points satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{\prime}(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow \cot \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t\right)=-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t_{0}$ satisfies Equation (31), then it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t_{0}\right)=\frac{-\beta_{1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}<0, \cos \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t_{0}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}<0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t_{0}\right)=\frac{\beta_{1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}>0, \cos \left(\theta_{1}+\beta_{1} t_{0}\right)=\frac{-\alpha_{1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}>0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can verify that and

$$
F_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=-\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t_{0}} \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}} \beta_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)<0
$$

for $t_{0}$ satisfying Equation (33), so the $t_{0}$ satisfying Equation (33) is not local minimal points. And

$$
F_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t_{0}} \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}} \beta_{1}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)>0
$$

for $t_{0}$ satisfying Equation (32), so $F_{1}(t)$ has the unique local minimal value in $\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_{1}}\right]$ at $t_{0}$ in (32) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{-\beta_{1} \sqrt{y_{p}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t_{0}}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, from Equations (32) and (23), we have

$$
\beta_{1} t_{0}+\theta_{1}=\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi+\pi, k \in Z, \theta_{1}=\pi+\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\delta_{2}}{y_{p}}\right)
$$

then

$$
\beta_{1} t_{0}=\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi-\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\delta_{2}}{y_{p}}\right), k \in Z .
$$

Since $F_{1}(0)=-y_{p}$ we must have $F_{1}^{\prime}(0)=-\alpha_{1} y_{p}+\beta_{1} \delta_{2} \geq 0$ to ensure $F_{1}(t)>-y_{p}$ for $t>0$. Moreover, consider the transversal condition $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+\boldsymbol{a}\right)=-\alpha_{1} y_{p}+\beta_{1} \delta_{2} \neq 0$, then we have $F_{1}^{\prime}(0)=-\alpha_{1} y_{p}+\beta_{1} \delta_{2}>0$. Thus

$$
-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}>-\frac{\delta_{2}}{y_{p}}>0,
$$

and we obtain that

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}>\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\delta_{2}}{y_{p}}\right)>\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\right)>0 .
$$

Recall that $t_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_{1}}\right)$, we have

$$
t_{0}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\right)-\operatorname{arccot}\left(-\frac{\delta_{2}}{y_{p}}\right)+2 \pi\right] .
$$

Therefore, $(010) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)>0$ holds for $t>0$ if and only if the second inequality in (14) and the first inequality in (15) hold.

Next, we will prove that $(001) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)>0$ holds $t>0$ if and only if the third inequality in (14) and the second inequality in (15) hold. Consider the the first local minimal point $t_{1}$ of the function $F_{2}(t)$ in $\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_{1}}\right]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{\prime}(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow \tan \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t\right)=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the discussions of $F_{1}(t)$, the local minimal point $t_{1}$ of $F_{2}(t)$ in $\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_{1}}\right]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t_{1}\right)=\frac{-\beta_{1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}<0, \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\beta_{1} t_{1}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}}<0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{-\beta_{1} \sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} t_{1}}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

To have $F_{2}(t)>-z_{p}$ for $t>0$, we must have $F_{2}(0)=\zeta_{13} k_{10}+\zeta_{23} k_{20}=\rho_{1} \geq-z_{p}$, which is the third inequality in (15). If $F_{2}(0)=\rho_{1}=-z_{p}<0$ similar to the discussions of $F_{1}(t)$, we must have $F_{2}^{\prime}(0)=\alpha_{1} \rho_{1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{2} \geq 0$.

From Equation (36), we have

$$
\beta_{1} t_{1}+\theta_{2}=\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi+\pi \text {, with } k \in Z \text {. }
$$

Consider formula (24), we have

$$
\theta_{2}=\arcsin \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}}\right)
$$

for $\rho_{2} \geq 0$, and

$$
\theta_{2}=\pi+\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)
$$

for $\rho_{2}<0$. Then the local minimum point $t_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\beta_{1} t_{1}=\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi+\pi-\arcsin \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}}\right), k \in Z
$$

for $\rho_{2} \geq 0$, and

$$
\beta_{1} t_{1}=\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi-\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right), k \in Z
$$

for $\rho_{2}<0$.
Since $t_{1} \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\beta_{1}}\right]$, we have

$$
t_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-\arcsin \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho_{1}^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}}}\right)+\pi\right]
$$

for $\rho_{2} \geq 0$. If $\rho_{2}<0$ and $\alpha_{1} \rho_{1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{2} \leq 0$, then we have

$$
\tan \theta_{1}=\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}} \leq-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} .
$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$
-\frac{\pi}{2}<\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right) \leq \arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

and

$$
t_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)\right] .
$$

If $\rho_{2}<0$ and $\alpha_{1} \rho_{1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{2}>0$, then we have

$$
\tan \theta_{1}=\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}>-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}
$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}>\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)>\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)>0
$$

and

$$
t_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left[\arctan \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)-\arctan \left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)+2 \pi\right]
$$

Therefore, $(001) \varphi_{1}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{11}\right)>0$ holds for $t>0$ if and only if the third inequality in (14) and the second inequality in (15) hold. Of course, using the aforementioned method, we can obtain the conditions for $\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{p}_{02}\right) \mid t<0\right\} \subset \Sigma_{+}$.

From conditions of theorem (1), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_{02}+\boldsymbol{a}\right)=-z_{p} \lambda_{1}<0, \\
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{p}_{02}+\boldsymbol{b}\right)=-\alpha_{2} z_{q}+\beta_{2} \sigma_{1}<0, \\
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+\boldsymbol{a}\right)=-y_{p} \alpha_{1}+\beta_{1} \delta_{2}>0, \\
\\
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+\boldsymbol{b}\right)=-\lambda_{3} y_{q}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

In conclusion, conditions 1)-5) hold if and only if conditions in Theorem 1 hold. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

## 5. The Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2 through a two-step process based on
the methodology presented in reference [15].

## Construct the Poincaré Map

At first, if system (1) fulfills the conditions stated in Theorem 1, it possesses a heteroclinic cycle $\Gamma$ which connects the fixed points $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}$. This heteroclinic cycle $\Gamma$ transversely intersects $\Sigma_{1}$ at the points $\boldsymbol{p}_{11}$ and transversely intersects $\Sigma_{1}$ at the points $\boldsymbol{p}_{02}$, as depicted in Figure 2.

For a small real constant number $\gamma_{0}>0$, let $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4} \in\left(0, \gamma_{0}\right)$, and $y_{2}<y_{1}, \quad y_{4}<y_{3}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{1}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{p}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, y_{2} \leq y \leq y_{1}, 0<z<\gamma_{1}\right\}, \\
\Pi_{2}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{q}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, y_{4} \leq y \leq y_{3}, 0<z<\gamma_{2}\right\}, \\
\Pi_{3}=\left\{\boldsymbol{p}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
\gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right)| | x\left|\leq \varepsilon_{1},|y|<\varepsilon_{1}\right\},\right. \\
\Pi_{4}=\left\{\boldsymbol{q}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
y \\
\gamma_{4}
\end{array}\right)| | x\left|\leq \varepsilon_{2},|y|<\varepsilon_{2}\right\},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}>0>\gamma_{3}, 0<\gamma_{2}<\gamma_{4}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}>0$, they are small enough such that $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{3} \subset \Sigma_{+}, \Pi_{2}, \Pi_{4} \subset \Sigma_{-}$. According to the aforementioned definition of the Poincaré sections $\Pi_{i}$, the heteroclinic cycle $\Gamma$ intersects each $\Pi_{i}$ at a single point for $i=1,2,3,4$. Suppose

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{5}=\Pi_{1} \cap \Gamma, X_{6}=\Pi_{2} \cap \Gamma  \tag{38}\\
& X_{7}=\Pi_{3} \cap \Gamma, X_{8}=\Pi_{4} \cap \Gamma . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

then there exist $t_{1}, t_{2}<0, t_{3}, t_{4}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}\left(t_{1}, X_{5}\right)=\boldsymbol{p}_{11}, \varphi_{1}\left(t_{3}, X_{7}\right)=\boldsymbol{p}_{02}  \tag{40}\\
& \varphi_{2}\left(t_{2}, X_{6}\right)=\boldsymbol{p}_{02}, \varphi_{2}\left(t_{4}, X_{8}\right)=\boldsymbol{p}_{11} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

To create the Poincaré map of the system (1), we first require the subsequent outcomes.

Let's consider the mapping $P_{1}$ from $\Pi_{1}$ to $\Pi_{3}$. For a point

$$
X=\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \in \Pi_{1}
$$

$P_{1}(X)$ is defined as the first intersection of the trajectory $\varphi_{1}(t, X)$ with $\Pi_{3}$. By (10), we get $P_{1}: \Pi_{1} \rightarrow \Pi_{3}$

$$
\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{42}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{c}
-y\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{z}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \sin \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{z}\right)\right) \\
y\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{z}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \cos \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{z}\right)\right) \\
\gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Denote as

$$
S_{k}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z \tag{43}
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \right\rvert\, y_{2} \leq y \leq y_{1}, \gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2(k+1) \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}} \leq z \leq \gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 k \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right\}
$$

then we have

$$
\Pi_{1}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k}
$$

Denote the upper boundary of $S_{k}$ as $h^{u}$, the lower boundary of $S_{k}$ as $h^{d}$, the left boundary of $S_{k}$ as $v_{l}$, and the right boundary of $S_{k}$ as $v_{r}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{u}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \right\rvert\, y_{2} \leq y \leq y_{1}, z=\gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 k \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right\}, \\
h^{d}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \right\rvert\, y_{2} \leq y \leq y_{1}, z=\gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2(k+1) \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right\}, \\
v^{l}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \right\rvert\, y=y_{2}, \gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2(k+1) \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}} \leq z \leq \gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 k \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right\}, \\
v^{r}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \right\rvert\, y=y_{1}, \gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2(k+1) \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}} \leq z \leq \gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 k \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Under the coordinate system $\left\{\boldsymbol{p} ; \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}\right\}$, utilizing polar coordinates for the $x$ and $y$ components on $\Pi_{3}$, the transformation of the four boundaries by the function $P_{1}$ can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}\left(h^{u}\right)=\{ \{r, \theta) \left\lvert\, \theta=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi\right., \\
&\left.r \in\left(y_{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 k \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}, y_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 k \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right)\right\}, \\
& P_{1}\left(h^{d}\right)=\left\{(r, \theta) \left\lvert\, \theta=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)+2(k+1) \pi\right.,\right. \\
&\left.r \in\left(y_{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2(k+1) \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}, y_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2(k+1) \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)= & \left\{(r, \theta) \left\lvert\, \theta \in\left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi,-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)+2(k+1) \pi\right)\right.,\right. \\
& \left.r \in\left(y_{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2(k+1) \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}, y_{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 k \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}\right)\right\}, \\
P_{1}\left(v^{r}\right)= & \left\{(r, \theta) \left\lvert\, \theta \in\left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)+2 k \pi,-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)+2(k+1) \pi\right)\right.,\right. \\
& \left.r \in\left(y_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2(k+1) \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}, y_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{1_{1}}} \frac{2 k \pi \alpha_{1}}{\frac{2}{\beta_{1}}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above results, we have $r_{\max }=y_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 k \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
For sufficiently large values of $k$, we have $P_{1}\left(S_{k}\right) \subset \Pi_{3}$. Based on the above calculations, we can roughly draw the graph of $P_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ as Figure 3. Using the same approach used to define $P_{1}$, we define the mapping $P_{2}$ from $\Pi_{2}$ to $\Pi_{4}$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{q}+Q\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{44}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \boldsymbol{q}+Q\left(\begin{array}{c}
-y\left(\frac{\gamma_{4}}{z}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}}} \sin \left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\lambda_{2}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{4}}{z}\right)\right) \\
y\left(\frac{\gamma_{4}}{z}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}}} \cos \left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\lambda_{2}} \ln \left(\frac{\gamma_{4}}{z}\right)\right) \\
\gamma_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Denote as

$$
\bar{S}_{n}=\left\{\left.\boldsymbol{q}+Q\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z \tag{45}
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \right\rvert\, y_{4} \leq y \leq y_{3}, \gamma_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2(n+1) \pi \lambda_{2}}{\beta_{2}}} \leq z \leq \gamma_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 n \pi \lambda_{2}}{\beta_{2}}}\right\}
$$

then we have

$$
\Pi_{2}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{S}_{n} .
$$

Similar to the representation of $P_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$, when $n$ is sufficiently large, we can roughly draw the graph of $P_{2}\left(\bar{S}_{n}\right)$ as Figure 4 . Now, we introduce the mapping $P_{3}$ from $\Pi_{3}$ to $\Sigma_{2}$. Note that the flight time of a point

$$
X=\boldsymbol{q}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & y & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \in \Pi_{3},
$$

to reach $\Sigma_{2}$ corresponds to the largest negative solution of the equation

$$
F_{1}(t, x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \tag{46}
\end{array}\right)\left[\mathrm{e}^{A t}(X-\boldsymbol{p})+\boldsymbol{p}\right]=0 .
$$

By the first equality of (39), $X_{7}$ can be expressed as

$$
X_{7}=p+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & \gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{\top} \in \Pi_{3}
$$



Figure 3. Geometric structure of $P_{1}$ and $S_{k}$.


Figure 4. Geometric structure of $P_{2}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n}$.

Given the second equivalence in (40) $\boldsymbol{p}_{02} \in \Sigma_{2}$, we can conclude

$$
F_{1}\left(t_{3}, 0,0\right)=0
$$

from (46), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{3}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \ln \left(-\frac{z_{p}}{\zeta_{33} \gamma_{3}}\right), \\
F_{1}\left(t_{3}, 0,0\right)=\lambda_{1} \zeta_{33} \bar{\lambda}^{\lambda_{1} t_{3}} \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, according to the implicit function theorem, there exists a neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{1}$ of $X_{7}$ such that

$$
t(x, y)=t_{3}+\tilde{k}_{1} x+\tilde{k}_{2} y+O(2)
$$

where $\tilde{k}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}$ are constant real numbers.
Then, neglecting the $O(2)$ terms, the expression for $P_{3}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{c}
x  \tag{47}\\
y \\
\gamma_{3}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \boldsymbol{p}_{02}+P H_{1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
H_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{-\zeta_{13}}{\zeta_{33}} & \frac{-\zeta_{23}}{\zeta_{33}} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{1} & -v_{1} & 0 \\
v_{1} & \mu_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## $v_{1}, \mu_{1}$ are constant real numbers.

Note that $P_{3}$ is an affine map. Using the same method to define $P_{3}$, we can also define the following map. The transformation $P_{4}$ from $\Pi_{4}$ to $\Sigma_{1}$. There
exists a neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{2}$ of $X_{8}$ such that the affine transformation $P_{4}$ is defined as

$$
\boldsymbol{q}+Q\left(\begin{array}{c}
x  \tag{48}\\
y \\
\gamma_{4}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+P H_{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
H_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-\zeta_{12}}{\zeta_{32}} & \frac{-\zeta_{22}}{\zeta_{32}} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{2} & -v_{2} & 0 \\
v_{2} & \mu_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

$v_{2}, \mu_{2}$ are constant real numbers.
The map $P_{5}$ from $\Pi_{2}$ to $\Sigma_{2}$. There exists a neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{3}$ of $X_{6}$ such that $P_{5}$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{q}+Q\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{49}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \boldsymbol{p}_{02}+P\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \tilde{a}_{1} & \tilde{b}_{1} \\
0 & \tilde{c}_{1} & \tilde{d}_{1} \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{e}_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{b}_{1}, \tilde{c}_{1}, \tilde{d}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{1}$ are real constant numbers.
The map $P_{6}$ from $\Pi_{1}$ to $\Sigma_{1}$. There exists a neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{4}$ of $X_{5}$ such that $P_{6}$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{50}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \boldsymbol{p}_{11}+P\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \tilde{a}_{2} & \tilde{b}_{2} \\
0 & \tilde{e}_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{c}_{2} & \tilde{d}_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{a}_{2}, \tilde{b}_{2}, \tilde{c}_{2}, \tilde{d}_{2}, \tilde{e}_{2}$ are real constant numbers.
In the end, we construct the Poincaré map $P$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P_{6}^{-1} \circ P_{4} \circ P_{2} \circ P_{5}^{-1} \circ P_{3} \circ P_{1} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}=P_{5}^{-1} \circ P_{3} \circ P_{1}: \Pi_{1} \rightarrow \Pi_{2},  \tag{52}\\
& M_{2}=P_{6}^{-1} \circ P_{4} \circ P_{2}: \Pi_{2} \rightarrow \Pi_{1}, \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

then we have $P=M_{2} \circ M_{1}$.
Note that $P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}$, and $P_{6}$ are all affine mappings. Based on the diagrams of $P_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ and $P_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, we can select appropriate values of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ such that $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ can be represented as shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 for sufficiently large values of $k$ and $n$.

Remark. Recalling Figure 3, under the mapping $P_{1}$, the right (resp. left) boundary of $S_{k}$ is continuously mapped to the outer (resp. inner) boundary of an annulus-like object. Since the maps $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$ are affine maps, the inner and outer boundaries of $P_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ correspond to the inner and outer boundaries of $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$, respectively.


Figure 5. Geometric structure of $M_{1}$ and $S_{k}$.


Figure 6. Geometric structure of $M_{2}$ and $\tilde{S}_{k}$.

Similarly, the inner and outer boundaries of $P_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ correspond to the respective inner and outer boundaries of $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$.

Statement 1. Consider $S_{k}$ for fixed $k$ large enough, under the conditions of Theorem 2, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that the inner boundary of $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ intersects the upper boundary of $\tilde{S}_{n}$ in two points, moreover, the inner boundary of $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ intersects the upper boundary of $S_{k}$ in two points.

Proof: For fixed $k$ large enough, any point in $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\boldsymbol{q}+Q\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \bar{y} & \bar{z} \tag{54}
\end{array}\right)^{\top} .
$$

For the points in $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ expressed above, there exists a constant $T_{1}$ such that the minimum value of $|\bar{z}|$ satisfies

$$
\left|\bar{Z}_{M_{1}}\right|_{\min } \geq T_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2(k+1) \pi \alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}
$$

Let $n$ be the integer part of the number

$$
-\frac{\beta_{2}}{2 \pi \lambda_{2}} \ln \left(\frac{T_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}\right)-\frac{\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}(k+1)}{\lambda_{2} \beta_{1}}
$$

According to the definition of $\tilde{S}_{n}$, the points in $\tilde{S}_{n}$ can be expressed using the same formula as (54). Therefore, the maximum value of $|\bar{z}|$ for points in $\tilde{S}_{n}$ can be determined

$$
\left|\overline{\mathrm{Z}}_{\tilde{S}_{n}}\right|_{\max }=\gamma_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 n \pi \lambda_{2}}{\beta_{2}}} \leq\left|\overline{\mathrm{Z}}_{M_{1}}\right|_{\min } .
$$

As a result, we can roughly draw in Figure 7 that the inner boundary of $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ intersects with the upper boundary of $\tilde{S}_{n}$ at two points.


Figure 7. Geometric structure of $S_{k}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n}$.

Consider points in $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$, any point in $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ can be represented as follows

$$
X=\boldsymbol{p}+P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & y & z \tag{55}
\end{array}\right)^{\top},
$$

for the smallest $|z|$, there exists a constant $T_{2}$,

$$
\left|z_{M_{2}}\right|_{\min } \geq T_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2(n+1) \pi \alpha_{2}}{\beta_{2}}},
$$

On the other hand, the points $S_{k}$ can be expressed in the same way as (55), and the largest absolute value of $z S_{k}$ satisfies the following:

$$
\left|z_{S_{k}}\right|_{\max }=\gamma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-2 k \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta_{1}}}
$$

Hence, if we can prove that

$$
\frac{\left|z_{M_{2}}\right|_{\min }}{\left|z_{S_{k}}\right|_{\max }}>1,
$$

and we can roughly draw in Figure 7 that the inner boundary of $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ intersects with the upper boundary of $S_{k}$ at two points.

After performing straightforward calculations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|z_{M_{2}}\right|_{\min }}{\left|z_{S_{k}}\right|_{\max }}=K e^{\frac{2 k \pi\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)}{\beta_{1} \lambda_{2}}} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K=\frac{T_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{T_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\lambda_{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left.\beta_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\beta_{1} \lambda_{2}}\right)} . . . . . ~\right.}
$$

Consider the condition in Theorem 2: $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}>0$, then from (56), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|z_{M_{2}}\right|_{\min }}{\left|z_{S_{k}}\right|_{\max }} \rightarrow+\infty, \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, for $k$ large enough, $\frac{\left|z_{M_{2}}\right|_{\text {min }}}{\left|z_{S_{k}}\right|_{\max }}>1$.
Statement 2. For sufficiently large values of $k$, under the conditions of Corollary $2, S_{k}$ contains an invariant Cantor set on which the Poincaré map $P$ is to-
pologically semiconjugate to a full shift on four symbols.
Proof: For sufficiently large values of $k$, according to Statement 1 , there exists a positive integer $n$ such that the intersection of $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$ and $\tilde{S}_{n}$ consists of two small disjoint vertical strips in $\tilde{S}_{n}$, denoted as $\tilde{S}_{n 1}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n 1}$. In other words, we have

$$
M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right) \cap \tilde{S}_{n}=\tilde{S}_{n 1} \cup \tilde{S}_{n 2}
$$

and we can draw it as Figure 8. Based on Statement 1, we can conclude that the intersection of $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$ and $S_{k}$ consists of two small disjoint vertical strips in $S_{k}$, which are denoted by $S_{k i 1}$ and $S_{k i 2}$. In other words:

$$
M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n i}\right) \cap S_{k}=S_{k i 1} \cup S_{k i 2}
$$

for $i=1,2$.
According to Remark 6, the top and bottom edges of $S_{k}$ represent the inner and outer edges of $M_{1}\left(S_{k}\right)$, respectively. Similarly, the top and bottom edges of $\tilde{S}_{n}$ represent the inner and outer edges of $M_{2}\left(\tilde{S}_{n}\right)$, respectively.

So, with respect to $M_{2}$, the primage of the left (resp, right) vertical boundary of $S_{k i 2}$ is included in the left (respectively, right) boundary of $\tilde{S}_{m i}$. Similarly, the primage of the left (respectively, right) vertical boundary of $S_{k i 1}$ is included in the right (respectively, left) boundary of $\tilde{S}_{n i}$, where $i=1,2$.

More importantly, in response to $M_{2}$, the primage of the two non-overlapping vertical strips $S_{k 22}$ and $S_{k 21}$ consists of two separate horizontal strips in $\tilde{S}_{2}$. Similarly, the primage of the two disjoint vertical strips $S_{k 11}$ and $S_{k 12}$ comprises two distinct horizontal strips in $\tilde{S}_{n 1}$.

Let $\tilde{S}_{n i j}$ represent the primage of $S_{k i j}$, for $i, j=1,2$, as depicted in Figure 9.
Using a similar approach, we can conclude that the primage of the four horizontal strips associated with $M_{1}$ are four horizontal strips that are encompassed by $S_{k}$. Moreover, each horizontal strip intersects the upper and lower boundaries of $S_{k i j}$ at two distinct points, respectively, for $i, j=1,2$.

Denote by $\bar{S}_{k i j}$ the primage of $\tilde{S}_{n i j}$ corresponding to $M_{1}, i=1,2, \quad j=1,2$, which is shown in Figure 9.


Figure 8. Geometric structure of $S_{k j}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n i}$.


Figure 9. Geometric structure of $\bar{S}_{k j}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n}$.

Furthermore, it is evident that the left (resp, right) vertical edges of the primage $\bar{S}_{k 22}$ and $\bar{S}_{k 21}$ correspond to the left (resp, right) vertical edges of $\tilde{S}_{n 22}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n 21}$, respectively. Similarly, the left (resp, right) vertical edges of the primage $\bar{S}_{k 12}$ and $\bar{S}_{k 11}$ correspond to the right (resp, left) vertical edges of $\tilde{S}_{n 12}$ and $\tilde{S}_{n 12}$, respectively.

In summary, we can conclude that:

$$
P\left(\bar{S}_{k i j}\right)=S_{k i j}
$$

for $i=1,2, \quad j=1,2$.
Additionally, it is clear that the left (resp, right, upper, lower) vertical boundaries of the primage $\bar{S}_{k 22}$ and $\bar{S}_{k 11}$ correspond to the left (respectively, right, upper, lower) vertical boundaries $S_{k 22}$ and $S_{k 11}$, respectively. Similarly, the left (resp, right, upper, lower) vertical boundaries of the primage $\bar{S}_{k 12}$ and $\bar{S}_{k 21}$ correspond to the right (respectively, left, lower, upper) vertical boundaries $S_{k 12}$ and $S_{k 21}$, respectively.

Then, by the Horse lemma, the proof is completed.
Remark. Based on the assertions made in Statements 1 and 2, the demonstration of Corollary 2 is finalized. In a manner akin to the Shil'nikov Theorems expounded in [12] [16] [17], it becomes apparent that system (1) possesses a minimum of an enumerable infinite number of chaotic invariant sets.

## 6. Conclusions

This paper proposes an analytical method on the existence of heteroclinic cycles in a class of 3-dimensional piecewise affine systems. Under the study of the corresponding chaotic dynamics, it provides a way to construct chaotic systems. Our method also can be applied to piecewise affine systems with more intricate switching planes, enabling the generation of multiple homoclinic or heteroclinic cycles. Additionally, it is feasible to produce multi-scroll chaotic attractors.

T his article conducts an analysis of the geometric structure of these systems, laying the groundwork for understanding how the types and positions of two equilibrium points, as well as changes in the geometric structure of invariant
manifolds, can affect the presence of singular cycles and chaos. The research presented in this article provides sufficient evidence to support the case where both equilibrium points are saddle-focus points and $\boldsymbol{q}$ is situated in the $S_{2}$ region. However, challenges still remain in studying other types of situations, necessitating further investigation by scientific researchers.

The existence of singular cycles and chaos in such systems can be influenced by various factors, including the types and positions of equilibrium points, as well as the geometric structure of invariant manifolds. To gain a comprehensive understanding and analysis of the behavior of these systems in more general scenarios, additional research is required.

Scientific researchers can continue to explore the dynamics of systems with different types of equilibrium points, investigating how changes in their positions can impact the presence of singular cycles and chaos. This may involve the development of novel mathematical techniques, conducting numerical simulations, or even experimental studies, depending on the specific characteristics of the system under investigation.

By addressing these unresolved challenges and conducting further research, scientists can deepen our comprehension of the dynamics exhibited by such systems, potentially uncovering new insights and phenomena. These advancements will contribute to the advancement of this field, fostering a more holistic understanding of complex dynamical behaviors.
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