

# **Oscillation Theorems for Two Classes of Fractional Neutral Differential Equations**

# Xulong Wang, Siying Long, Anping Liu

School of Mathematics and Physics, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China Email: wang\_xulong@qq.com

How to cite this paper: Wang, X.L., Long, S.Y. and Liu, A.P. (2022) Oscillation Theorems for Two Classes of Fractional Neutral Differential Equations. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 10, 3037-3052. https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2022.1010203

Received: September 24, 2022 Accepted: October 18, 2022 Published: October 21, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/  $(\mathbf{i})$ 

**Open Access** 

# Abstract

In this paper, we study the oscillatory theory for two classes of fractional neutral differential equations. By using fractional calculus and the Laplace transform, we obtain several new sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of this equation. Our results improve and extend some known results in the literature. Furthermore, some examples are provided to show the effectiveness and feasibility of the main results.

## **Keywords**

Oscillation, Fractional Derivative, Laplace, Fractional Differential Equation

# **1. Introduction**

The fractional differential equation (FDE) has gained considerable importance due to its various application in fluid mechanics, viscoelasticity, electrochemistry of corrosion, classical mechanics and particle physics, control theory, diffusive systems, and so on [1]-[7]. Therefore, the theory of fractional calculus has received extensive attention from scholars at home and abroad. In the past few decades, many researchers have done a lot of research on the properties of fractional differential, for example, the existence, uniqueness, stability, asymptoticity of solutions of fractional differential equations and numerical solutions of fractional differential equations, etc. [8]-[13].

However, to the best of our knowledge, there are few results on oscillation for the fractional differential equation. We refer to [14]-[19] and the references therein. In [20], Meng et al. studied the linear fractional order delay differential equation

$$^{C}D_{-}^{\alpha}x(t)-px(t-\tau)=0,$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $p, \tau > 0$ ,  ${}^{C}D_{-}^{\alpha}x(t) = -\Gamma^{-1}(1-\alpha)\int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{-\alpha}x'(s)ds$ . In [21], A. George Maria Selvam and R. Janagaraj establish oscillation theorems for damped fractional order differential equation of the form:

$$\Delta \Big[ \delta(k) \Delta^{\gamma} y(k) \Big] + \rho(k) \Delta^{\gamma} y(k) + \xi(k) Z \Big[ Y(k) \Big] = 0, k \ge k_0 > 0,$$

where  $Y(k) = \sum_{u=k_0}^{k-1+\gamma} (k-u-1)^{-\gamma} y(u)$  and  $\Delta^{\gamma}$  defined as the difference opera-

tor of the Riemann-Liouville derivative of order  $\gamma \in (0,1]$ .

In [22], Zhu *et al.* studied forced oscillatory properties of solutions to nonlinear fractional differential equations with damping term and time delay:

$$\left(D_{0+}^{1+\alpha}y\right)(t-\tau)+p(t-\tau)\left(D_{0+}^{\alpha}y\right)(t-\tau)+q(t)f(y(t))=g(t),$$

where  $y(t) = \xi(t)$  when  $t \in [-\tau, 0)$  and  $\xi(t)$  is a given continuous function, where  $\tau$  and  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  are constants,  $\lim_{t\to 0^-} \xi(t) = 0$ , *b* is a real number,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} y$  is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  of *y*.

In [23], Zhou *et al.* study the following fractional functional partial differential equation involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative:

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = C(t)\Delta u + \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(x)u(x,t-\sigma_i) + R(x,t),$$

supplemented with the initial condition

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha^{-1}}u(x,t)}{\partial t^{\alpha^{-1}}}\bigg|_{t\in[-\sigma,0]} = \varphi(x,t), x \in \Omega, \text{ where } \sigma = \max\left\{\sigma_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\right\},$$

and boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial N} = 0 \text{ on } (x,t) \in \partial \Omega \times [0,\infty),$$
$$u(x,t) = 0 \text{ on } (x,t) \in \partial \Omega \times [0,\infty),$$
$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial N} + vu = 0 \text{ on } (x,t) \in \partial \Omega \times [0,\infty).$$

Motivated by the analysis above, in this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of two classes of fractional differential equations as follows:

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(x(t)+rx(t-\sigma)\right)+f\left(x(t-\tau)\right)=0,$$
(1)

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(u(x,t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}u(x,t-\sigma_{i})\right)$$

$$=a(t)\Delta u(x,t)+\sum_{k=1}^{L}b_{k}(t)\Delta u(x,t-p_{k})-\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}(t)f(u(x,t-\tau_{i})),$$
(2)

where  $0 < \alpha = \frac{\text{oddinteger}}{\text{oddinteger}} < 1$ ,  ${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}x(t)$  is Riemann-Liouville fractional deriv-

ative of order  $\alpha$  .

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce some useful preliminaries. In Section 3, we present various sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions to Equations (1) and (2) by using fractional calculus, Laplace transforms and Green's function. Finally, we provide some examples to show the applications of our criteria.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper.

**Definition 1** ([24]). Let  $[a,b](-\infty < a < b < \infty)$  be a finite interval and let AC[a,b] be the space of functions *f* which are absolutely continuous on [a,b]. It is known (see [25], p.338) that AC[a,b] coincides with the space of primitives of Lebesgue summable function:

$$f(x) \in AC[a,b] \Rightarrow f(x) = c + \int_a^x \phi(t) dt, (\phi(t) \in L(a,b)).$$

**Definition 2** ([24]). The Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  on the half-axis  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is given by:

$$\left({}_{0}D_{t}^{-\alpha}f\right)(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{f(s)}{\left(t-s\right)^{1-\alpha}}\mathrm{d}s, t>0,$$

provided the left hand side is pointwise defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function.

**Definition 3** ([24]). The Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  on the half-axis  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is given by:

$$\left({}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}f\right)(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{f(s)}{\left(t-s\right)^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}s,t>0,$$

provided the left hand side is pointwise defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

We recall some facts about Laplace transforms. If X(s) is the Laplace transform of x(t),

$$X(s) = L[x(t)](s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} x(t) dt,$$

then the abscissa of convergence of X(s) is defined by:

$$b = \inf \left\{ \gamma \in R : X(\gamma) \text{ exists} \right\},\$$

Therefore, X(s) exists for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > b$ .

**Definition 4.** A function x(t) is eventually positive if there is a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $x_c(t) > 0$  for all t > 0, where  $x_c(t) = x(t+c)$ .

**Definition 5.** By a solution of (3) in  $[0,\infty)$  with the initial function  $\varphi \in AC[-\xi,0]$ , we mean a function  $x \in AC[-\xi,\infty]$  such that  $x(t) = \varphi(t)$ ,  $t \in [-\xi,0]$ ,  $({}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}x)(t)$  exists and x(t) satisfies (3) in  $v,w:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ . A nontrivial solution x(t) of equation (3) is said to oscillate if it has an arbitrarily large number of zeros. Otherwise, the solution is called non-oscillatory.

**Lemma 1** ([24]). Let  $(L_0 D_t^{\alpha} x)(s)$  be the Laplace transform of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  with the lower limit zero for a function x, and X(s) is the Laplace transform of x(t). Further, for  $x \in AC[0,b]$ and for any b > 0,

$$\left|x(t)\right| \le A \mathrm{e}^{m_0 t} \quad (t > b > 0)$$

holds for constant A > 0 and  $m_0 > 0$ . Then the relation

$$\left(L_0 D_t^{\alpha} x\right)(s) = s^{\alpha} X(s) - \left({}_0 D_t^{\alpha-1} x\right)(t)\Big|_{t=0}, 0 < \alpha < 1$$

is valid for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > m_0$ .

**Lemma 2** ([23]). For any  $c \in R$ , the Laplace transform  $X_c(s)$  of  $x_c(t)$  exists and has the same abscissa of convergence as X(s).

**Lemma 3** ([26]). Let  $v, w: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  be continuous function. If w is non-decreasing and there are constants a > 0 and  $0 < \beta < 1$  such that

$$v(t) \leq w(t) + a \int_0^t \frac{v(s)}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \mathrm{d}s,$$

then there exists a constant  $k = k(\beta)$  with

$$v(t) \leq w(t) + ka \int_0^t \frac{w(s)}{(t-s)^{\beta}} \mathrm{d}s,$$

for every  $t \in [0,\infty)$ .

### 3. Main Results

In this section, we present our main results.

**Lemma 4.**  ${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}x$  is the Riemann-liouville derivative of order  $\alpha$  with the lower limit zero for a function x(t), X(s) is the Laplace transform of x(t),  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the following relation holds.

$$L\Big[_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}x(t-\sigma)\Big](s) = s^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}X(s) + s^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt - \Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)\Big|_{t=0}$$

Proof.

$$L\Big[_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}x(t-\sigma)\Big](s) = L\Bigg[\frac{d\Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)}{dt}\Bigg](s)$$
  
$$= sL\Big[_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big](s) - \Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)\Big|_{t=0}$$
  
$$= sL\Bigg[\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}x(s-\sigma)ds\Bigg](s) - \Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)\Big|_{t=0}$$
  
$$= sL\Bigg[\frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}*x(t-\sigma)\Bigg](s) - \Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)\Big|_{t=0}$$
  
$$= sL\Bigg[\frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\Bigg]L\Big[x(t-\sigma)\Big] - \Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)\Big|_{t=0}.$$

By Lemma 2,  $L[x(t-\sigma)]$  exists such that

$$L[x(t-\sigma)] = e^{-s\sigma}X(s) + e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0} e^{-st}x(t)dt,$$

then

$$L\Big[_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}x(t-\sigma)\Big](s) = s^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}X(s) + s^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt - \Big(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma)\Big)\Big|_{t=0}$$

The proof is complete.

We consider the following fractional-order delay differential equation:

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(x(t)+rx(t-\sigma)\right)+qx(t-\tau)=0$$
(3)

where  $r \in R$ ,  $q, \sigma, \tau \in R^+$ . The standard initial condition associated with (3) is

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [-\xi, 0], \tag{4}$$

where  $\varphi(t) \in C([-\xi, 0], R)$ ,  $\xi = \max\{\sigma, \tau\}$ .

**Lemma 5.** If |r| < 1, the solution of Equation (3) has an exponent estimate

$$x(t) = o\left(e^{q_0 t}\right) \quad \left(t > b > 0\right)$$

for constants  $q_0 > 0$ .

Proof. Taking the Riemann-Liouville integral of Equation (3), we have

$$x(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha^{-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x_0 - rx(t - \sigma) - \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} F(t)$$
(5)

where  $F(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} x(s-\tau) ds$ ,

$$x_{0} = \left( {}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} + r \left( {}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha-1}x(t-\sigma) \right) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

As  $x(t) \in AC[0,b]$ , there exists a constant M > 0 such that  $|x(t)| \le M$ . For t > b, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(t)\| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|x(s-\tau)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{b} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{s-\tau \leq \eta \leq s} \|x(\eta)\| ds + \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{s-\tau \leq \eta \leq s} \|x(\eta)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{b} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(M + \|\varphi\|\right) ds + \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \left(\max_{b \leq \eta \leq s} \|x(\eta)\| + M + \|\varphi\|\right) ds \end{aligned}$$
(6)  
$$&\leq \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \left(M + \|\varphi\|\right) + \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{b \leq \eta \leq s} \|x(\eta)\| ds, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (5), yields

$$\begin{split} \left\| x(t) \right\| &\leq \left| x_{0} \right| \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \left| r \right| \left\| x(t-\sigma) \right\| + \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\| F(t) \right\| \\ &\leq \left| x_{0} \right| \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \left| r \right| \max_{t=\sigma \leq \eta \leq t} \left\| x(\eta) \right\| + \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \left( M + \left\| \varphi \right\| \right) \\ &+ \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{b \leq \eta \leq s} \left\| x(\eta) \right\| ds \\ &\leq \left| x_{0} \right| \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \left| r \right| \left( M + \left\| \varphi \right\| + \max_{b \leq \eta \leq t} \left\| x(\eta) \right\| \right) + \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \left( M + \left\| \varphi \right\| \right) \\ &+ \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{b \leq \eta \leq s} \left\| x(\eta) \right\| ds \\ &\leq \left| x_{0} \right| \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \left( \left| r \right| + \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right) \left( M + \left\| \varphi \right\| \right) + \left| r \right| \max_{b \leq \eta \leq t} \left\| x(\eta) \right\| \\ &+ \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{b \leq \eta \leq s} \left\| x(\eta) \right\| ds. \end{split}$$

$$(7)$$

In the interval [b,t], taking the maximum value on both sides of inequality (7), then

$$\begin{split} \max_{b \le \eta \le t} \|x(\eta)\| &\le |x_0| \frac{b^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \left( |r| + \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right) \left( M + \|\varphi\| \right) + |r| \max_{b \le \eta \le t} \|x(\eta)\| \\ &+ \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_b^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} \max_{b \le \eta \le s} \|x(\eta)\| \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

One can introduce nondecreasing function m(t) as

$$m(t) = \frac{1}{1-|r|} \left[ \left| x_0 \right| \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \left( \left| r \right| + \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right) \left( M + \left\| \varphi \right\| \right) \right],$$

then we have

$$\max_{b\leq\eta\leq t} \|x(\eta)\| \leq m(t) + \frac{1}{1-|r|} \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{b}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \max_{b\leq\eta\leq s} \|x(\eta)\| \mathrm{d}s.$$

By lemma 3, there exists a constant  $k = k(1-\alpha)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \max_{b \leq \eta \leq t} \|x(\eta)\| \leq m(t) + k \frac{1}{1 - |r|} \frac{q}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{b}^{t} (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} m(s) ds \\ &\leq m(t) \left( 1 + \frac{kq}{(1 - |r|)\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, from the above formula, we infer that x(t) has an exponent estimate. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\tau > \sigma$ ,  $r \in R^+$ . If the equation

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + r\lambda^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda\sigma} + q e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0$$
(8)

has no real roots, then every solution of (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Suppose that x(t) is a nonoscillatory solution of Equation (3). Without loss of generality, we assume that x(t) is an eventually positive solution of Equation (3) which means that there exists a constant T such that x(t) > 0 for t > T. Since Equation (3) is autonomous, we may assume that x(t) > 0 for  $t \in [-\xi, \infty)$ . Taking Laplace transform of both sides of (3), we obtain

$$s^{\alpha} X(s) - A + rs^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma} X(s) + rs^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma} \int_{-\sigma}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt - Br$$
  
+  $qe^{-s\tau} X(s) + qe^{-s\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt = 0,$   
where  $A = \left( {}_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha-1} x(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad B = \left( {}_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha-1} x(t-\sigma) \right) \Big|_{t=0}.$   
Hence  
 $X(s) \left( s^{\alpha} + rs^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma} + qe^{-s\tau} \right)$   
 $= A + Br - rs^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma} \int_{-\sigma}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt - qe^{-s\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt.$  (9)

Let

$$p(s) = s^{\alpha} + rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma} + qe^{-s\tau},$$
  
$$\Phi(s) = A + Br - rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt - qe^{-s\tau}\int_{-\tau}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt,$$

then, from (9), we get

$$X(s)p(s) = \Phi(s).$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

Since p(s) = 0 has no real roots and p(0) > 0, p(s) > 0. By positivity of x(t) in  $AC[-\xi, 0]$ , then exists constant m, M > 0 such that m < x(t) < M. Since r > 0,  $\tau > \sigma$  then

$$\frac{q \mathrm{e}^{-s\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{-s\tau} x(t) \mathrm{d}t}{-r s^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-s\sigma} \int_{-\sigma}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{-st} x(t) \mathrm{d}t} \geq \frac{q \mathrm{e}^{-s\tau}}{-r s^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-s\sigma}} \geq \frac{q \mathrm{e}^{-s(\tau-\sigma)}}{r (-s)^{\alpha}} \to \infty \quad (s \to -\infty).$$

Thus there exists a constant k < 0 such that for s < k,

$$\frac{q\mathrm{e}^{-s\tau}\int_{-\tau}^{0}\mathrm{e}^{-st}x(t)\mathrm{d}t}{-rs^{\alpha}\mathrm{e}^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}\mathrm{e}^{-st}x(t)\mathrm{d}t}\geq 2.$$

Then,

$$-rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt - qe^{-st}\int_{-\tau}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt$$
$$= -rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt\left(1 - \frac{qe^{-st}\int_{-\tau}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt}{-rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt}\right)$$
$$\leq rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}x(t)dt \leq rs^{\alpha}e^{-s\sigma}m\int_{-\sigma}^{0}e^{-st}dt$$
$$= rms^{\alpha}\frac{1}{s}\frac{e^{s\sigma}-1}{e^{s\sigma}} = rm\frac{1 - e^{-s\sigma}}{(-s)^{1-\alpha}} \to -\infty \quad (s \to -\infty).$$

Thus we conclude that  $\Phi(s) \to -\infty (s \to -\infty)$ , but p(s) and X(s) are positive. Hence, (10) leads to a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**Corollary 1.** Assume that r = 0,  $q, \tau \in R^+$ . If the equation

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + q \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} = 0$$

has no real roots, then every solution of (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* If we modify the function p(s) and  $\Phi(s)$ , defined in Theorem 1, as

$$p(s) = s^{\alpha} + q e^{-s\tau}$$
$$\Phi(s) = A - q e^{-s\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt.$$

Then, following the method of proof for Theorem 1, one can complete the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Corollary 2.** Assume that r < 0,  $q, \tau, \sigma \in R^+$ . If the equation

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + r\lambda^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda\sigma} + q e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0$$

has no real roots, then every solution of (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, according to (9) and (10), for s < 0, we have

$$qm\frac{1-e^{-s\tau}}{s} \le qe^{-s\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt \le qM \frac{1-e^{-s\tau}}{s},$$
$$rm\frac{1-e^{-s\sigma}}{\left(-s\right)^{1-\alpha}} \le rs^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma} \int_{-\sigma}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt \le rM \frac{1-e^{-s\sigma}}{\left(-s\right)^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$q e^{-st} \int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt \to \infty(s \to -\infty),$$
  
$$r s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma} \int_{-\sigma}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt \to \infty(s \to -\infty).$$

Thus we conclude that  $\Phi(s) \to -\infty(s \to -\infty)$ , but p(s) and X(s) are positive. Hence (10) leads to a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $\tau > \sigma$ ,  $r \in R^+$ , and if

$$(\tau - \sigma) \left(\frac{q}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > \frac{1}{e},\tag{11}$$

then every solution of Equation (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume that Equation (8) has a real roots  $\lambda_1$ , if  $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ , then  $p(\lambda_1) > 0$ , it is impossible. Thus we conclude that  $\lambda_1 < 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is the ratio of two odd integers, it follows from (8) that

$$\lambda_1^{\alpha} = -\frac{q \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_1 \mathrm{r}}}{1 + r \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_1 \sigma}} = -\frac{q}{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_1 \mathrm{r}} + r \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_1 (\mathrm{r} - \sigma)}} \leq -\frac{q}{1 + r},$$

then

$$(-\lambda_{1})^{\alpha} \geq \frac{q}{1+r},$$

$$(-\lambda_{1})^{1-\alpha} \geq \left(\frac{q}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}.$$
(12)

By (12) and the inequality  $e^x \ge ex$  for  $x \ge 0$ , we get

$$(-\lambda_1)^{\alpha} = \frac{q e^{-\lambda_1 \tau}}{1 + r e^{-\lambda_1 \sigma}} = \frac{q e^{\lambda_1 (\sigma - \tau)}}{e^{\lambda_1 \sigma} + r} \ge \frac{q e \lambda_1 (\sigma - \tau)}{1 + r} = \frac{q e (\tau - \sigma)}{1 + r} (-\lambda_1)$$
$$= \frac{q e (\tau - \sigma)}{1 + r} (-\lambda_1)^{\alpha} (-\lambda_1)^{1 - \alpha} \ge \frac{q e (\tau - \sigma)}{1 + r} (-\lambda_1)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{q}{1 + r}\right)^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}},$$

which implies that

$$1 \ge e(\tau - \sigma) \left(\frac{q}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

this is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that r = 0,  $q, \tau \in R^+$ , and if

$$\tau q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > \alpha, \tag{13}$$

then every solution of Equation (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* In regard to  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + q e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0$ , since  $p(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda \ge 0$ , thus we conclude that if  $p(\lambda) = 0$  has real roots, the roots are definitely less than zero.

Let

$$p'(\lambda) = \alpha \lambda^{\alpha - 1} - \tau q e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0, \qquad (14)$$

from (14), we get

$$\left(\frac{\tau q}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left(-\lambda\right) e^{-\lambda \frac{\tau}{1-\alpha}} - 1 = 0, \left(\lambda < 0\right).$$
(15)

Equation (15) has only one real root for  $\lambda < 0$ . Thus (14) has only one real root for  $\lambda < 0$ , and Assume that the root is l(l < 0). Meanwhile, as for  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $p(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty(\lambda \rightarrow -\infty)$ , thus  $p(\lambda)$  has only one extreme point, which is also the minimum point for  $\lambda < 0$ .

Let

$$g(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\tau q}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} (-\lambda) e^{-\lambda \frac{\tau}{1-\alpha}} -1, \qquad (16)$$

since 
$$g(0) = -1 < 0$$
 and  $g\left(-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\tau q}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha}}\right) = e^{\frac{\tau}{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\tau q}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha}} -1 > 0$ , we can obtain  
$$-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\tau q}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha}} < l < 0.$$
(17)

By  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + q e^{-\lambda \tau}$  and (14), we get

$$p(l) = l^{\alpha} + q \mathrm{e}^{-l\tau} = \frac{q\tau l}{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau l} + q \mathrm{e}^{-l\tau} = q \mathrm{e}^{-l\tau} \left(\frac{\tau l}{\alpha} + 1\right),$$

and by (17) and (13), we obtain

$$\frac{\tau l}{\alpha} + 1 > -\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\tau q}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \frac{\tau}{\alpha} + 1 > 0.$$

Thus we conclude p(l) > 0, and then  $p(\lambda) > 0$  ( $\lambda \in R$ ). By Corollary 1, every solution of (3) is oscillatory. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that -1 < r < 0,  $\tau, \sigma, q > 0$ , if

$$(\tau+\sigma)(-4qr)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > \frac{\alpha}{e},$$
 (18)

then every solution of Equation (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* To prove the result, it suffices to prove that (8) has no real roots under the conditions (18). One can note that any real root of (8) cannot be positive and since p(0) = q > 0,  $\lambda = 0$  is not a root. Thus any real root of (8) can only be negative if it is possible. Let us set  $\lambda = -\mu$  for convenience and show that

$$p(-\mu) = -\mu^{\alpha} - r\mu^{\alpha} e^{\mu\sigma} + q e^{\mu\tau} = 0, \, \mu > 0.$$
(19)

From (19), we have

$$-1 - r e^{\mu\sigma} + \frac{q e^{\mu\tau}}{\mu^{\alpha}} = 0$$
$$= -r e^{\mu\sigma} + \frac{q e^{\mu\tau}}{\mu^{\alpha}} \ge 2\sqrt{\frac{-r q e^{\mu(\tau+\sigma)}}{\mu^{\alpha}}}$$

1

$$1 \ge -4rq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mu(\tau+\sigma)}}{\mu^{\alpha}}.$$
(20)

Let

$$h(\mu) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mu(\tau+\sigma)}}{\mu^{\alpha}}, \, \mu > 0.$$

Then

$$h(\mu) = \frac{e^{\mu(\tau+\sigma)}}{\mu^{\alpha}} \ge \min_{\mu>0} h(\mu) = h\left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau+\sigma}\right) = e^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\tau+\sigma}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

So, we get

$$1 \ge -4rq e^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\tau + \sigma}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \Longrightarrow \frac{\alpha}{e} \ge (-4rq)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\tau + \sigma).$$

This contradicts (18) which implies that (8) has no real roots. By Corollary 2, then every solution of (3) is oscillatory. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Now, we consider fractional differential equations with multiple delays

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}x(t-\sigma_{i})\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}x(t-\tau_{i}) = 0$$

$$(21)$$
oddinteger

where  $r_i, q_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $0 < \alpha = \frac{\text{oddinteger}}{\text{oddinteger}} < 1$ .

**Lemma 6.** If nr < 1,  $r = \max\{r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then the solution of Equation (21) has an exponent estimate

$$x(t) = o(e^{At}) \quad (t > b > 0),$$

for constants A > 0, b > 0.

*Proof.* The proof of this conclusion is similar to that of Lemma 5, and thus we omit it.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.** Assume that  $\tau > \sigma$ ,  $\tau = \min \{\tau_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\sigma = \max \{\sigma_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , if

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i e^{-\lambda \sigma_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i e^{-\lambda \tau_i} = 0$$
(22)

has no real roots, then every solution of (21) is oscillatory.

Proof. Taking Laplace transform of both sides of (21), we obtain

$$s^{\alpha} X(s) - A + X(s) \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma_{i}} \int_{-\sigma_{i}}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt$$
  

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} r_{i} + X(s) \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-s\tau_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-s\tau_{i}} \int_{-\tau_{i}}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt = 0,$$
  
where  $A = \left( {}_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha-1} x(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}, B_{i} = \left( {}_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha-1} x(t-\sigma_{i}) \right) \Big|_{t=0}.$   
Hence,  
 $X(s) \left( s^{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-s\tau_{i}} \right)$   
 $= A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} r_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma_{i}} \int_{-\sigma_{i}}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt - \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-s\tau_{i}} \int_{-\tau_{i}}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt.$ 
(23)

Let

$$p(s) = s^{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-s\tau_{i}},$$
  
$$\Phi(s) = A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} r_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s^{\alpha} e^{-s\sigma_{i}} \int_{-\sigma_{i}}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt - \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-s\tau_{i}} \int_{-\tau_{i}}^{0} e^{-st} x(t) dt,$$

then, from (23), we get

$$X(s)p(s) = \Phi(s).$$
<sup>(24)</sup>

Then, the following proof process is similar to Theorem 1. one can complete the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Corollary 3.** Assume that  $\tau > \sigma$ ,  $\tau = \min \{\tau_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\sigma = \max \{\sigma_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , if

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\tau_{i}-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}\right)\left(\frac{m\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{1+n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > \frac{1}{e},$$
(25)

then every solution of (21) is oscillatory.

Proof. By using the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ge m \left(\prod_{i=1}^m a_i\right)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

for s < 0, we find

$$\lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} e^{-\lambda \sigma_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-\lambda \tau_{i}}$$

$$\geq \lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} e^{-\lambda \sigma_{i}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + m \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} e^{-\lambda \tau_{i}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-\lambda \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}}{n}} + m \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} e^{-\lambda \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau_{i}}{m}}$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} A e^{-\lambda B} + C e^{-\lambda D}.$$
(26)

Let

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} A e^{-\lambda B} + C e^{-\lambda D}$$
(27)

where 
$$A = n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$
,  $B = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}$ ,  $C = m \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ ,  $D = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau_{i}$  and  $D > B$ .

By (25) and the proof process of Theorem 2, we can obtain  $f(\lambda) > 0$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is, (22) has no real roots, by Theorem 4, every solution of (21) is oscillatory. The proof is complete.

We consider the following fractional order delay differential equation

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(x(t)+rx(t-\sigma)\right)+f\left(x(t-\tau)\right)=0$$
(28)

(H): 
$$f(u) \in C(R,R)$$
,  $f(u)/u \ge C = const > 0$ , for  $u \ne 0$ ,  $r \in R$ ,

 $q,\sigma,\tau\in R^+$ .

The standard initial condition associated with (28) is

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [-\xi, 0], \tag{29}$$

where  $\varphi(t) \in C([-\xi, 0], R), \quad \xi = \max\{\sigma, \tau\}.$ 

Theorem 6. Assume that (H) holds, and if

$$(\tau - \sigma) \left(\frac{C}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > \frac{1}{e},$$
 (30)

then every solution of Equations (28) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume that (28) has an eventually positive solution x(t), that is, there exists a sufficiently large positive constant T such that x(t) > 0,  $x(t-\sigma) > 0$ ,  $x(t-\tau) > 0$  for t > T, from (28), we obtain

$$0 = {}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(x(t) + rx(t-\sigma)\right) + f\left(x(t-\tau)\right) \ge D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(x(t) + rx(t-\sigma)\right) + Cx(t-\tau).$$

Then we can see that the eventually positive solution x(t) satisfies the inequality

$$D_t^{\alpha}\left(x(t) + rx(t-\sigma)\right) + Cx(t-\tau) \le 0, t > T.$$
(31)

According to (30) and Theorem 2, it follows that Equation

 $p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + r\lambda^{\alpha}e^{-\lambda\sigma} + Ce^{-\lambda\tau} = 0$  has no real roots. Therefore, similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, inequality (31) has no eventually positive solution, which implies that every solution of (28) oscillates.

We consider the delay fractional order partial differential equation

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(u(x,t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}u(x,t-\sigma_{i})\right)$$

$$=a(t)\Delta u(x,t)+\sum_{i=1}^{l}b_{i}(t)\Delta u(x,t-p_{i})-\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}(t)f_{i}(u(x,t-\tau_{i}))$$
(32)

where  $(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty) = G$ . Here  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with boundary  $\partial \Omega$  smooth enough. The hypotheses are always true as follows:

(H1): 
$$r_i, \sigma_i, p_i, \tau_i \in R^+$$
;  $a(t), b_i(t), q_i(t) \in C(R^+, R^+)$ ;  $q_i = \inf q_i(t) > 0$ .

(H2): 
$$f_i(u) \in C(R,R)$$
,  $f_i(u)/u \ge C_i = const > 0$ , for  $u \ne 0$ .

The initial condition  $u(x,t) = \phi(x,t), (x,t) \in \Omega \times [-\zeta,0],$ 

 $\zeta = \max \{\sigma_i, p_j, \tau_k, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, l; k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Consider the boundary conditions as follows:

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial N} = 0, \text{ on } (x,t) \in \partial \Omega \times R^+, \qquad (33)$$

where *N* is the unit exterior normal vector in  $\partial \Omega$ .

**Theorem 7.** Assume that  $\tau > \sigma$ ,  $\tau = \min \{\tau_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\sigma = \max \{\sigma_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , if

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\tau_{i}-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}\right)\left(\frac{m\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}C_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{1+n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}>\frac{1}{e},$$

then every solution of (32) with the boundary condition (33) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume that (32) with the boundary condition (33) has no oscillation solution, without loss of generality, we assume that u(x,t) is an eventually positive solution of (32) which implies that there exists T > 0 such that u(x,t) > 0,  $u(x,t-\sigma_i) > 0$ ,  $u(x,t-\tau_i) > 0$  in  $\Omega \times [T,\infty)$ . Since (H1) and (H2), from (32), we can obtain

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(u(x,t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}u(x,t-\sigma_{i})\right)$$

$$\leq a(t)\Delta u(x,t)+\sum_{i=1}^{l}b_{i}(t)\Delta u(x,t-p_{i})-\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}C_{i}u(x,t-\tau_{i}).$$
(34)

Integrating (34) with respect to x over  $\Omega$  yields

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{\Omega}u(x,t)dx+\sum_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}\int_{\Omega}u(x,t-\sigma_{i})dx\right)$$

$$\leq a(t)\int_{\Omega}\Delta u(x,t)dx+\sum_{i=1}^{l}b_{i}(t)\int_{\Omega}\Delta u(x,t-p_{i})dx-\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}C_{i}\int_{\Omega}u(x,t-\tau_{i})dx.$$
(35)

By Green's formula and the boundary condition (33), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t) dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial N} dS = 0$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t-p_i) dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u(x,t-p_i)}{\partial N} dS = 0.$$
(36)

Let  $v(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) dx$ , from (35) and (36), we get

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(v\left(t\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}v\left(t-\sigma_{i}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}C_{i}v\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)\leq0.$$
(37)

That is, there exists eventually positive solution for inequality (37). According to the conditions of Theorem 7 and Corollary 3, it follows that Equation  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha} + \lambda^{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} e^{-\lambda \sigma_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{i} C_{i} e^{-\lambda \tau_{i}} = 0$ has no real roots. Therefore, similarly to the proof of Theorem 5, inequality (37) has no eventually positive solution

which implies that every solution of (32) oscillates.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Example

Example 1. Consider the following fractional differential equation

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{1/3}(x(t)+0.5x(t-0.5))+(x(t-1))^{3}+2x(t-1)=0, t>0.$$
(38)

Notice  $\alpha = 1/3$ , r = 0.5,  $\sigma = 0.5$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $f(u) = u^3 + 2u$ , then it is easy to find C = 2 and  $(\tau - \sigma) \left(\frac{C}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \frac{32}{27} > \frac{1}{e}$ , then (38) is oscillatory by Theorem 6.

**Example 2.** Consider the following fractional differential equation

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{1/5}\left(u\left(x,t\right)+\frac{1}{4}u\left(x,t-\frac{1}{3}\right)+u\left(x,t-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$= e^{t} \Delta u(x,t) + \Delta u\left(x,t-\frac{1}{2}\right) + t^{2} \Delta u\left(x,t-\frac{4}{5}\right) -\left[\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)u\left(x,t-\frac{3}{2}\right) + \sin\left(u(x,t-1)\right) + 2u(x,t-1)\right]$$
(39)

with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u(0,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u(5,t)}{\partial x} = 0, (x,t) \in (0,5) \times (0,\infty).$$
(40)

Notice 
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$$
,  $r_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a(t) = e^t$ ,  $b_1(t) = 1$ ,  
 $b_2(t) = t^2$ ,  $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p_2 = \frac{4}{5}$ ,  $q_1(t) = t + \frac{1}{t}$ ,  $q_2(t) = 1$ ,  $f_1(u) = u$ ,  
 $f_2(u) = \sin(u) + 2u$ ,  $\tau_1 = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\tau_2 = 1$ , then it is easy to find  $q_1 = 2$ ,  $q_2 = 1$ ,  
 $C_1 = 1$ ,  $C_2 = 1$ .

Therefore, 
$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\tau_{i}-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{i}\right)\left(\frac{m\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}q_{i}C_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{1+n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}r_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}\right)^{\overline{\alpha}}=\frac{10\sqrt{2}}{3}>\frac{1}{e}$$
 then (39) is os-

cillatory by Theorem 7.

## Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 41630643.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- Abu Arqub, O. (2018) Numerical Solutions for the Robin Time-Fractional Partial Differential Equations of Heat and Fluid Flows Based on the Reproducing Kernel Algorithm. *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow*, 28, 828-856. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/HFF-07-2016-0278</u>
- [2] Loghman, E., Bakhtiari-Nejad, F., Kamali, A., Abbaszadeh, M. and Amabili, M. (2021) Nonlinear Vibration of Fractional Viscoelastic Micro-Beams. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 137, Article ID: 103811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2021.103811
- [3] Oldham, K.B. (2010) Fractional Differential Equations in Electrochemistry. Advances in Engineering Software, 41, 9-12.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2008.12.012">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2008.12.012</a>
- [4] Zaslavsky, G.M. (2007) Fractional Kinetics in Pseudochaotic Systems and Its Applications. In: Sabatier, J., Agrawal, O.P. and Machado, J.A.T., Eds., *Advances in Fractional Calculus*, Springer, Dordrecht, 127-138. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6042-7\_9</u>

- [5] Krempl, P.W. (2007) Semi-Integrals and Semi-Derivatives in Particle Physics. In: Sabatier, J., Agrawal, O.P. and Machado, J.A.T., Eds., Advances in Fractional Calculus, Springer, Dordrecht, 139-154. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6042-7\_10</u>
- [6] Buedo-Fernández, S. and Nieto, J.J. (2020) Basic Control Theory for Linear Fractional Differential Equations with Constant Coefficients. *Frontiers in Physics*, 8, Article No. 377. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2020.00377</u>
- [7] Owolabi, K.M., Atangana, A. and Akgul, A. (2020) Modelling and Analysis of Fractal-Fractional Partial Differential Equations: Application to Reaction-Diffusion Model. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 59, 2477-2490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.03.022
- [8] Marasi, H.R. and Aydi, H. (2021) Existence and Uniqueness Results for Two-Term Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations via a Fixed Point Technique. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2021, Article ID: 6670176. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6670176</u>
- [9] Sevinik-Adıgüzel, R., Aksoy, Ü., Karapınar, E., et al. (2021) Uniqueness of Solution for Higher-Order Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Multi-Point and Integral Boundary Conditions. Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, 115, Article No. 155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-021-01095-3
- [10] Li, R., Jiang, W., Sheng, J. and Wang, S. (2020) On the Nonlinear Neutral Conformable Fractional Integral-Differential Equation. *Applied Mathematics*, 11, 1041-1051. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/am.2020.1110069</u>
- [11] Choi, S.K., Kang, B. and Koo, N. (2014) Stability for Caputo Fractional Differential Systems. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2014, Article ID: 631419. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/631419
- [12] Graef, J.R., Grace, S.R. and Tunç, E. (2017) Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Caputo-Type Hadamard Derivatives. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 20, 71-87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2017-0004</u>
- [13] Singh, H., Pandey, R.K. and Baleanu, D. (2017) Stable Numerical Approach for Fractional Delay Differential Equations. *Few-Body Systems*, 58, Article No. 156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-017-1319-x</u>
- [14] Feng, Q. and Liu, A.P. (2020) Oscillatory Behavior of a Class Impulsive Fractional Partial Differential Equation. *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **50**, 452-457. <u>http://www.iaeng.org/IJAM/issues\_v50/issue\_2/IJAM\_50\_2\_26.pdf</u>
- [15] Al-Dheleai, F. and Elabbasy, E. (2021) New Oscillation Criteria for Second Order Half-Linear Neutral Type Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 9, 2376-2398. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2021.99151</u>
- [16] Ma, Q.X., Liu, K.Y. and Liu, A.P. (2019) Forced Oscillation of Fractional Partial Differential Equations with damping Term. *Journal of Mathematics*, **39**, 111-120. http://sxzz.whu.edu.cn//html/2019/1/20190111.htm
- [17] Yang, J.C., Liu, A.P. and Liu, T. (2015) Forced Oscillation of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Damping Term. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2015, Article No. 1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-014-0331-4</u>
- [18] Liu, A.P., Liu, T. and Zou, M. (2011) Oscillation of Nonlinear Impulsive Parabolic Differential Equations of Neutral Type. *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, **41**, 833-850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2011-41-3-833</u>
- [19] Ma, Q.X. and Liu, A.P. (2014) Oscillation Criteria of Neutral Type Impulsive Hyperbolic Equations. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **34**, 1845-1853. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(14)60128-4</u>

- [20] Meng, Q., Jin, Z. and Liu, G. (2021) Sufficient and Necessary Conditions for Oscillation of Linear Fractional-Order Delay Differential Equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2021**, Article No. 89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03223-0</u>
- [21] Selvam, A.G.M. and Janagaraj, R. (2019) Oscillation Theorems for Damped Fractional Order Difference Equations. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2095, Article ID: 030007. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5097518
- [22] Zhu, S.Y., Li, H.J. and Liu, A.P. (2018) Forced Oscillation of Nonlinear Fractional Delay Differential Equations with Damping Term. *Proceedings of* 2018 2nd International Conference on Applied Mathematics, Modeling and Simulation, Vol. 305, 53-61.
- [23] Zhou, Y., Ahmad, B., Chen, F., et al. (2019) Oscillation for Fractional Partial Differential Equations. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 42, 449-465. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-017-0495-7</u>
- [24] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M. and Trujillo, J.J. (2006) Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [25] Kolmogorov, A.N. and Fomin, S.V. (1968) Fundamentals of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis. Nauka, Moscow.
- [26] Henry, D. (1989) Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin.