

# The Quality Properties of Integral Type Problems for Wave Equations and Applications

Veli B. Shakhmurov<sup>1,2</sup>, Rishad Shahmurov<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, Antalya Bilim University, Dosemealti, Antalya, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Center of Analytical-Information Resource, Azerbaijan State Economic University, Baku, Azerbaijan

<sup>3</sup>University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA

Email: veli.sahmurov@antalya.edu.tr, veli.sahmurov@gmail.com, shahmurov@hotmail.com

**How to cite this paper:** Shakhmurov, V.B. and Shahmurov, R. (2022) The Quality Properties of Integral Type Problems for Wave Equations and Applications. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 10, 1217-1239.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2022.104086>

**Received:** February 19, 2022

**Accepted:** April 18, 2022

**Published:** April 21, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

In this paper, the integral problem for linear and nonlinear wave equations is studied. The equation involves abstract operator  $A$  in Hilbert space  $H$ . Here, assuming enough smoothness on the initial data and the operators, the existence, uniqueness, regularity properties of solutions are established. By choosing the space  $H$  and  $A$ , the regularity properties of solutions of a wide class of wave equations in the field of physics are obtained.

## Keywords

Abstract Differential Equations, Boussinesq Equations, Wave Equations, Regularity Property of Solutions, Fourier Multipliers

## 1. Introduction, Definitions and Background

The aim here, is to study the existence, uniqueness, regularity properties of solutions of the integral problem (IP) for abstract wave equation (WE)

$$u_{tt} - a\Delta u + Au = f(u), (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_T^n = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x) + \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) u(x, \sigma) d\sigma, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x) + \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) u_t(x, \sigma) d\sigma,$$

where  $A$  is a linear and  $f(u)$  is a nonlinear operator in a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\eta(\sigma)$ ,  $\beta(\sigma)$  are measurable functions on  $(0, T)$ ,  $a$  is a complex number,  $T \in (0, \infty]$ . Here,  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace operator with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  are the given  $H$ -valued initial functions.

Wave type equations occur in a wide variety of physical systems, such as in the propagation of longitudinal deformation waves in an elastic rod, hydro-dynamical process in plasma, in materials science which describes spinodal decomposition and in the absence of mechanical stresses (see [1] [2] [3] [4]). The nonlocal theory of elasticity was introduced (see [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] and the references cited therein). The global existence of the Cauchy problem for Boussinesq type equations has been studied by many authors (see [10] [11] [12]). Note that, the existence and uniqueness of solutions and regularity properties of a wide class of wave equations were considered e.g. in [13]-[22]. The abstract evolution equations were studied e.g. in [23]-[32]. Unlike in these studies, in this paper the abstract wave equation (1.1) is considered. The  $L^p$  well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) depends crucially on the presence of the linear operator  $A$  and nonlinear operator  $f(u)$ . Then the question that naturally arises is which of the possible forms of the operator functions and kernel functions are relevant for the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2). We find the class of operator  $A$  such that provides the existence, uniqueness, regularity properties and blow up of solutions (1.1)-(1.2) in terms of fractional powers of operator  $A$ . By choosing the space  $H$ , operator  $A$  in (1.1)-(1.2), we obtain a wide class of wave equations which occur in application. Let we put  $H = L^2(0,1)$  and consider the operator  $A = A_1$  defined by

$$D(A_1) = W^{2,2}(0,1, L_k), A_1 u = b_1 u^{(2)} + b_0 u, \tag{1.3}$$

$$L_k u = [\alpha_k u^{(m_k)}(0) + \beta_k u^{(m_k)}(1)] = 0, k = 1, 2,$$

where  $b_1(\cdot), b_0(\cdot)$  are VMO functions (see definitions below),  $m_k \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $\alpha_k, \beta_k$  are complex numbers.

Consider the following mixed problem for WE with discontinuous coefficients

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - a \Delta_x u + b_1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + b_0 u = f(u), t \in (0, T), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.4}$$

$$u(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y) + \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) u(x, y, \sigma) d\sigma,$$

$$u_t(x, y, 0) = \psi(x, y) + \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) u_t(x, y, \sigma) d\sigma,$$

$$\alpha_k u^{(m_k)}(x, 0, t) + \beta_k u^{(m_k)}(x, 1, t) = 0, k = 1, 2,$$

where  $a$  is a complex number. From our results we obtain the existence, uniqueness, regularity properties and blow up of solutions of (1.4) in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,1))$  with terms of fractional powers of the operator  $A_1$ , where  $\mathbf{p} = (2, p, p)$  and  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,1))$  denotes the space of all  $\mathbf{p}$ -summable complex-valued measurable functions  $f$  defined on  $\Omega$  with the mixed norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left( \int_0^1 |f(x, y, t)|^{p_1} dy \right)^{\frac{2}{p_1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Let  $E$  be a Banach space.  $L^p(\Omega; E)$  denotes the space of strongly measurable  $E$ -valued functions that are defined on the measurable subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega; E)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|_E^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega; E)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} \|f(x)\|_E.$$

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two Banach spaces.  $(E_1, E_2)_{\theta, p}$  for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  denotes the real interpolation spaces defined by  $K$ -method ([33], Section 1.3.2). Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two Banach spaces.  $B(E_1, E_2)$  will denote the space of all bounded linear operators from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$ . For  $E_1 = E_2 = E$  it will be denoted by  $B(E)$ .

Here,

$$S_\phi = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0, |\arg \lambda| \leq \phi, 0 \leq \phi < \pi \}.$$

A closed linear operator  $A$  is said to be sectorial in a Banach space  $E$  with bound  $M > 0$  if  $D(A)$  and  $R(A)$  are dense on  $E$ ,  $N(A) = \{0\}$  and

$$\|(A + \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{B(E)} \leq M |\lambda|^{-1}$$

for any  $\lambda \in S_\phi$ ,  $0 \leq \phi < \pi$ , where  $I$  is the identity operator in  $E$ ,  $D(A)$  and  $R(A)$  denote domain and range of the operator  $A$ , respectively. It is known that (see e.g. [33], Section 1.15.1) there exist the fractional powers  $A^\theta$  of a sectorial operator  $A$ . Let  $E(A^\theta)$  denote the space  $D(A^\theta)$  with the graphical norm

$$\|u\|_{E(A^\theta)} = \left( \|u\|^p + \|A^\theta u\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \leq p < \infty, 0 < \theta < \infty.$$

A sectorial operator  $A(\xi)$  is said to be uniformly sectorial in  $E$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $D(A(\xi))$  is independent of  $\xi$  and the following uniform estimate

$$\|(A + \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{B(E)} \leq M |\lambda|^{-1}$$

holds for any  $\lambda \in S_\phi$ .

A function  $\Psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is called a Fourier multiplier from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  if the map  $P: u \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{-1} \Psi(\xi) \mathbb{F} u$  is well defined for  $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  and extends to a bounded linear operator.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $U$  be an open set in a Banach space  $X$ , let  $Y$  be a Banach space. A function  $f: U \rightarrow Y$  is called (Frechet) differentiable at  $x \in U$  if there is a bounded linear operator  $Df(x): X \rightarrow Y$ , called the derivative of  $f$  at  $a$ , such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x) - Df(x)h\|_Y}{\|h\|_X} = 0$$

If  $f$  is differentiable at each  $x \in U$ , then  $f$  is called differentiable. This function may also have a derivative, the second order derivative of  $f$  which, by the

definition of derivative, will be a map

$$D^2 f : U \rightarrow L(X, L(X, Y)).$$

Let  $E$  be a Banach space.  $S = S(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  denotes  $E$ -valued Schwartz class, *i.e.* the space of all  $E$ -valued rapidly decreasing smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with its usual topology generated by seminorms.  $S(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$  denoted by  $S$ . Let  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  denote the space of all continuous linear functions from  $S$  into  $E$ , equipped with the bounded convergence topology. Recall  $S(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  is norm dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  when  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer.  $W^{m,p}(\Omega; E)$  denotes an  $E$ -valued Sobolev space of all functions  $u \in L^p(\Omega; E)$  that have the generalized derivatives  $\frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x_k^m} \in L^p(\Omega; E)$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega; E)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; E)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x_k^m} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega; E)} < \infty.$$

Let  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$  denotes the fractional Sobolev space of order  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , that is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} W^{s,p}(E) &= W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E) \\ &= \left\{ u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n; E), \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(E)} = \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left( I + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{u} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $W^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$ . Let  $E_0$  and  $E$  be two Banach spaces and  $E_0$  is continuously and densely embedded into  $E$ . Here,  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E)$  denote the Sobolev-Lions type space *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E) &= \left\{ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E_0), \right. \\ &\left. \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E_0)} + \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we define the following Sobolev-Lions type space:

$$\begin{aligned} W^{2,s,p}(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E_0, E) &= \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E_0), \partial_t^2 u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E), \right. \\ &\left. \mathbb{F}_x^{-1} \left( I + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{u} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E), \|u\|_{W^{2,s,p}(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E_0, E)} \right. \\ &= \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E_0)} + \|\partial_t^2 u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E)} + \left\| \mathbb{F}_x^{-1} \left( I + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{u} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; E)} < \infty \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L_q^*(E)$  denote the space of all  $E$ -valued function space such that

$$\|u\|_{L_q^*(E)} = \left( \int_0^\infty \|u(t)\|_E^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty, \|u\|_{L_\infty^*(E)} = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \|u(t)\|_E.$$

Let  $s > 0$ . Fourier-analytic representation of  $E$ -valued Besov space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as:

$$B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E) = \left\{ u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n; E), \right.$$

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} = \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n t^{\varkappa-s} (1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{\varkappa}{2}} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \mathbb{F}u \right\|_{L_q^*(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; E))},$$

$$p \in (1, \infty), q \in [1, \infty], \varkappa > s \}.$$

It should be noted that, the norm of Besov space does not depend on  $\varkappa$  (see e.g. [33], Section 2.3 for  $E = \mathbb{C}$ ).

Let  $A$  be a sectorial operator in  $H$ . Here,

$$X_p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; H), X_p(A^\gamma) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; H(A^\gamma)), 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty,$$

$$Y^{s,p} = Y^{s,p}(H) = W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H), Y_q^{s,p}(H) = Y^{s,p}(H) \cap X_q,$$

$$\|u\|_{Y_q^{s,p}} = \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H)} + \|u\|_{X_q} < \infty,$$

$$W^{s,p}(A^\gamma) = W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H(A^\gamma)), 0 < \gamma \leq 1,$$

$$Y^{s,p} = Y^{s,p}(A, H) = W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H(A), H),$$

$$Y^{2,s,p} = Y^{2,s,p}(A, H) = W^{2,s,p}(\mathbb{R}_T^n; H(A), H),$$

$$Y_q^{s,p}(A; H) = Y^{s,p}(H) \cap X_q(A),$$

$$\|u\|_{Y_q^{s,p}(A; H)} = \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}(H)} + \|u\|_{X_q(A)} < \infty,$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,p} = (Y^{s,p}(A, H), X_p)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p}, \mathbb{E}_{1,p} = (Y^{s,p}(A, H), X_p)_{\frac{1+p}{2p}, p},$$

where  $(Y^{s,p}, X_p)_{\theta, p}$  denotes the real interpolation space between  $Y^{s,p}$  and  $X_p$  for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  (see e.g. [33], Section 1.3).

**Remark 1.1.** By Fubini's theorem we get

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}_T^n; H) = L^p(0, T; X_p) \text{ for } X_p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; H).$$

Then by definition of spaces  $Y^{2,s,p}$ ,  $Y^{s,p} = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H(A), H)$  and  $X_p$  we have

$$Y^{2,s,p} = \left\{ u : u \in W^{2,p}(0, T; Y^{s,p}, X_p), \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(0, T; Y^{s,p}, X_p)} \right.$$

$$\left. = \|u\|_{L^p(0, T; Y^{s,p})} + \|u^{(2)}\|_{L^p(0, T; X_p)} \right\}.$$

By J. Lions-J. Peetre result (see e.g. [33], Section 1.8.2) for  $u \in W^{2,p}(0, T; Y^{s,p}, X_p)$

the trace operator  $u \rightarrow \frac{d^i u}{dt^i}(t_0) = \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i}(\cdot, t_0)$  is bounded from  $Y^{2,s,p}$  into

$$C\left(0, T; (Y^{s,p}, X_p)_{\theta_j, p}\right), \theta_j = \frac{1+jp}{2p}, j = 0, 1.$$

Moreover, if  $u(x, \cdot) \in (Y^{s,p}, X_p)_{\theta_j, p}$ , then under some assumptions that will be stated in Section 3,  $f(u) \in H$  for all  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}_T^n$  and the map  $u \rightarrow f(u)$  is bounded from  $(Y^{s,p}, X_p)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p}$  into  $E$ . Hence, the nonlinear Equation (1.1) is satisfied in the Banach space  $H$ . Here,  $H(A)$  denotes a domain of  $A$  equipped with graphical norm.

Sometimes we use one and the same symbol  $C$  without distinction in order to denote positive constants which may differ from each other even in a single context. When we want to specify the dependence of such a constant on a parameter, say  $\alpha$ , we write  $C_\alpha$ . Moreover, for  $u, v > 0$  the relations  $u \lesssim v$ ,  $u \approx v$  means that there exist positive constants  $C, C_1, C_2$  independent on  $u$  and  $v$  such that, respectively

$$u \leq Cv, C_1v \leq u \leq C_2v.$$

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, some definitions and background are given. In Section 2, we obtain the existence of unique solution and a priori estimates for solution of the linearized problem (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 3, we show the existence and uniqueness of local strong solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 4, the existence and uniqueness of global strong solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is derived. Section 5 is devoted to blow up property of the solution of (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 6, we show some applications of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Sometimes we use one and the same symbol  $C$  without distinction in order to denote positive constants which may differ from each other even in a single context. When we want to specify the dependence of such a constant on a parameter, say  $h$ , we write  $C_h$ .

## 2. Estimates for Linearized Equation

In this section, we make the necessary estimates for solutions of the integral problem for linear WE

$$u_{tt} - a\Delta u + Au = g(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (0, T), T \in (0, \infty], \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x) + \int_0^T \eta(\sigma)u(x, \sigma)d\sigma, \tag{2.2}$$

$$u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x) + \int_0^T \beta(\sigma)u_t(x, \sigma)d\sigma,$$

where  $A$  is a linear operator in a Banach space  $E$ ,  $a$  is a complex number and  $\eta(s), \beta(s)$  are measurable functions on  $(0, T)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** By properties of real interpolation of Banach spaces and interpolation of the intersection of the spaces (see e.g. [33], Section 1.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{0p} &= (Y^{s,p}(A, H) \cap X_p, X_p)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p} \\ &= (Y^{s,p}(H), X_p)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p} \cap (X_p(A), X_p)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= W^{s\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}\right),p} \left( \mathbb{R}^n; H \right) \cap L^p \left( \mathbb{R}^n; (H(A), H)_{\frac{1}{2p},p} \right) \\
 &= W^{s\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}\right),p} \left( \mathbb{R}^n; (H(A), H)_{\frac{1}{2p},p}, H \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{1p} = \left( Y^{s,p}(A, H) \cap X_p, X_p \right)_{\frac{1+p}{2p},p} = W^{\frac{s(p-1)}{2p},p} \left( \mathbb{R}^n; (H(A), H)_{\frac{1+p}{2p},p}, H \right).$$

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a sectorial operator in a Banach space  $E$ . In view of interpolation of sectorial operators (see e.g. [33], Section 1.8.2) we have the following relation

$$E(A^{1-\theta-\varepsilon}) \subset (E(A), E)_{\theta,p} \subset E(A^{1-\theta+\varepsilon})$$

for  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \theta$ .

Note that from J. Lions-J. Peetre result (see e.g. [33], Section 1.8.2) we obtain the following result.

**Lemma A<sub>1</sub>.** The trace operator  $u \rightarrow \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i}(x, t)$  is bounded from  $Y^{2,s,p}(A, H)$  into

$$C \left( \mathbb{R}^n; (Y^{s,p}(A, H), X_p)_{\theta_j,p} \right), \theta_j = \frac{1+jp}{2p}, j = 0, 1.$$

We assume that  $A$  is a sectorial operator in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $A$  be a generator of a strongly continuous cosine operator function in a Banach space  $E$  defined by formula

$$C(t) = C_A(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{itA^{\frac{1}{2}}} + e^{-itA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

(see e.g. [25], Section 11 or [23], Section 3). Then, from the definition of sine operator-function  $S(t)$  we have

$$S(t) = S_A(t) = \int_0^t C(\sigma) d\sigma, \text{ i.e. } S(t) = \frac{1}{2i} A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( e^{itA^{\frac{1}{2}}} - e^{-itA^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right).$$

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $A$  be a densely defined operator in  $H$ . By virtue of ([23], Theorem 3.15.3) if  $A$  be the generator of a cosine function  $C(t)$ , i.e.

$$R(\lambda^2, A) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} C(t) dt \text{ for } \lambda > \omega.$$

Let

$$A_\pm(\xi) = e^{iA(\xi)} \pm e^{-iA(\xi)}, C(t) = C(\xi, t) = \frac{A_+(\xi)}{2}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$S(t) = S(\xi, t) = S(\xi, t, A) = \frac{1}{2i} A^{-1}(\xi) A_-(\xi).$$

**Condition 2.1.** Assume: 1)

$$\left| 1 + \int_0^T \eta(\sigma)\beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right| > \int_0^T (|\eta(\sigma)| + |\beta(\sigma)|) d\sigma; \tag{2.0}$$

2)  $A$  is a  $\phi$ -sectorial operator in the Hilbert space  $H$  and  $A$  is a generator of a cosine function; 3)  $a \in S_{\phi_1}$  for  $0 \leq \phi_1 < \pi$ ,  $\phi_1 < \pi - \phi$ ; 4)  $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p}$  and  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{1,p}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $T > 0$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p}$  and  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{1,p}$ . The function  $u \in C^2(Y_1^{s,p}(A))$  satisfies of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is called the continuous solution or the strong solution of (1.1)-(1.2). If  $T < \infty$ , then  $u(x, t)$  is called the local strong solution of (1.1)-(1.2). If  $T = \infty$ , then  $u(x, t)$  is called the global strong solution of (1.1)-(1.2).

First we need the following lemmas:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let the Condition 2.1 holds. Then, problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a solution.

**Proof.** By using of the Fourier transform, we get from (2.1)-(2.2):

$$\hat{u}_t(\xi, t) + A_\xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t) = \hat{g}(\xi, t), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\hat{u}(\xi, 0) = \hat{\varphi}(\xi) + \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) \hat{u}(\xi, \sigma) d\sigma, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\hat{u}_t(\xi, 0) = \hat{\psi}(\xi) + \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) \hat{u}_t(\xi, \sigma) d\sigma,$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi, t)$  is a Fourier transform of  $u(x, t)$  in  $x$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(\xi)$ ,  $\hat{\psi}(\xi)$  are Fourier transform of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively and

$$A_\xi = [a|\xi|^2 + A]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consider first, the Cauchy problem

$$\hat{u}_t(\xi, t) + A_\xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t) = \hat{g}(\xi, t), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\hat{u}(\xi, 0) = u_0(\xi), \hat{u}_t(\xi, 0) = u_1(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, T],$$

where  $u_0(\xi), u_1(\xi) \in D(A)$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By virtue of ([25], Section 11.2, 11.4) we obtain that  $A_\xi$  is a generator of a strongly continuous cosine operator function and the Cauchy problem (2.6) has a unique solution for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, the solution of (2.6) can be expressed as

$$\hat{u}(\xi, t) = C(t)u_0(\xi) + S(t)u_1(\xi) + \int_0^T S(t-\tau, \xi, A) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) d\tau, t \in (0, T), \tag{2.7}$$

where  $C(t)$  is a cosine and  $S(t)$  is a sine operator-functions generated by  $A_\xi$ , i.e.

$$C(t) = C(t, \xi, A) = \frac{1}{2} (e^{tA_\xi} + e^{-tA_\xi}),$$

$$S(t) = S(t, \xi, A) = \frac{1}{2} A_\xi^{-1} (e^{tA_\xi} - e^{-tA_\xi}).$$

Using the formula (2.7) and the first integral condition (2.5) we get



$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0(\xi) &= \hat{\varphi}(\xi) + \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) \left[ u_0(\xi) + \frac{1}{2i} A^{-1}(\xi) u_1(\xi) \right] d\sigma \\
 &+ \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) [C(\sigma) u_0(\xi) + S(\sigma) u_1(\xi)] d\sigma \\
 &+ \int_0^T \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) S(\sigma - \tau, \xi, A) \hat{g}(\sigma, \xi) d\tau d\sigma, \tau \in (0, T),
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we obtain the first equation with respect to  $u_0(\xi), u_1(\xi)$ :

$$b_{10}(\xi) u_0(\xi) + b_{11}(\xi) u_1(\xi) = g_{10}(\xi), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{10}(\xi) &= \left[ 1 - \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) [1 + C(\sigma)] d\sigma \right], \\
 b_{11}(\xi) &= -\frac{1}{2i} A_\xi^{-1} \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) C(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) S(\sigma) d\sigma, \\
 g_{10}(\xi) &= \hat{\varphi}(\xi) + \int_0^T \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) S(\sigma - \tau, \xi, A) \hat{g}(\sigma, \xi) d\tau d\sigma
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of formula (2.7) and using the second integral condition (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1(\xi) &= \hat{\psi}(\xi) + \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) \left[ \frac{1}{2i} u_0(\xi) + u_1(\xi) \right] d\sigma \\
 &+ \int_0^T \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) C(\sigma - \tau, \xi, A) \hat{g}(\xi, \sigma) d\tau d\sigma,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we get the second equation with respect to  $u_0(\xi), u_1(\xi)$ :

$$b_{20}(\xi) u_0(\xi) + b_{21}(\xi) u_1(\xi) = g_{20}(\xi), \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{20}(\xi) &= -\frac{1}{2i} \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma, b_{21}(\xi) = 1 - \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma, \\
 g_{20}(\xi) &= \hat{\psi}(\xi) + \int_0^T \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) C(\sigma - \tau, \xi, A) \hat{g}(\xi, \sigma) d\tau d\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the system of Equations (2.8)-(2.9) in  $u_0(\xi)$  and  $u_1(\xi)$ . By assumption (2.0) and due to uniform boundedness of  $A_\xi^{-1}$ , the main determinant of this system

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\xi) &= \begin{vmatrix} b_{10}(\xi) & b_{11}(\xi) \\ b_{20}(\xi) & b_{21}(\xi) \end{vmatrix} = \left[ 1 - \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) [1 + C(\sigma)] d\sigma \right] \left[ 1 - \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \\
 &- \left[ -\frac{1}{2i} \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \left[ -\frac{1}{2i} A_\xi^{-1} \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) C(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) S(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \\
 &= 1 - \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma - \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) [1 + C(\sigma)] d\sigma + \left( \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right) \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) [1 + C(\sigma)] d\sigma \\
 &+ -\frac{1}{4} A_\xi^{-1} \left( \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right) \left( \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) C(\sigma) d\sigma \right) - \frac{1}{2i} \left( \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right) \left[ \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) S(\sigma) d\sigma \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 - \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma + \left[ \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) [1 + C(\sigma)] d\sigma \right] \left[ \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma - 1 \right] \\
 &- \left( \int_0^T \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right) \left[ \frac{1}{4} A_\xi^{-1} \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) C(\sigma) d\sigma + \frac{1}{2i} \int_0^T \eta(\sigma) S(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \\
 &\neq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By solving the system (2.8)-(2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0(\xi) &= D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi), u_1(\xi) = D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi), \\
 D_1(\xi) &= b_{21}(\xi) g_{10}(\xi) - b_{11}(\xi) g_{20}(\xi), \\
 D_2(\xi) &= b_{10}(\xi) g_{20}(\xi) - b_{20}(\xi) g_{10}(\xi).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

By substituting the values  $u_0(\xi)$  and  $u_1(\xi)$  in (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{u}(\xi, t) &= C(\xi, t) D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) + S(\xi, t) D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \\
 &+ \int_0^t S(\xi, t - \tau) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) d\tau,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

i.e. problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a unique solution

$$u(x, t) = C_1(t)\varphi + S_1(t)\psi + Qg, \tag{2.12}$$

where  $C_1(t)$ ,  $S_1(t)$ ,  $Q$  are linear operator functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1(t)\varphi &= \mathbb{F}^{-1} [C(\xi, t) D_1(\xi)], S_1(t)\psi = \mathbb{F}^{-1} [S(\xi, t) D_2(\xi)], \\
 Qg &= \mathbb{F}^{-1} \tilde{Q}(\xi, t), \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) = \int_0^t \mathbb{F}^{-1} [S(\xi, t - \tau) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau)] d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume the Condition 2.1 holds and

$$s > \frac{2pn}{2p-1} \left( \frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \tag{2.13}$$

for  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and for a  $q \in [1, 2]$ . Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{2p}$ . Then for

$\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p} \cap X_1(A^\alpha)$ ,  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{1,p} \cap X_1\left(A^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ ,  $g(\cdot, t) \in Y_1^{s,p}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $g(x, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; Y_1^{s,p})$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a unique solution  $u(x, t) \in C^2([0, T]; X_\infty)$ . Moreover, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A^\alpha u\|_{X_\infty} + \|A^\alpha u_t\|_{X_\infty} &\leq C_0 \left[ \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{X_1} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \|A^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \psi\|_{X_1} \right. \\
 &\left. + \int_0^t \left( \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{Y_1^{s,p}} + \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{X_1} \right) d\tau \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ , where the constant  $C_0 > 0$  depends only on  $A$ , the space  $H$  and initial data.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1, the problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a solution

$u(x, t) \in C^2([0, T]; Y^{s,p}(A; H))$  for  $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{1,p}$  and  $g(\cdot, t) \in Y_1^{s,p}$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\Pi_N = \{ \xi : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi| \leq N \}, \Pi'_N = \{ \xi : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi| \geq N \}.$$

From (2.12) we deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\alpha u\|_{X_\infty} &\lesssim \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi_N)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi_N)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi'_N)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi'_N)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi_N)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi'_N)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

By virtue of Remakes 2.1, 2.2 and the properties of sectorial operators we get the following uniform estimate

$$\left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi_N)} \leq C \|g\|_{X_1}.$$

Hence, due to uniform boundedness of operator functions  $C(\xi, t)$ ,  $S(\xi, t)$ , by (2.3), in view of (2.8)-(2.10) and by Minkowski's inequality for integrals we get the uniform estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi_N)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi_N)} \\ &\lesssim \left[ \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{X_1} + \|A^\alpha \psi\|_{X_1} + \|g\|_{X_1} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Let

$$l = s \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2p} \right) - \delta \text{ for a } \delta > 0.$$

Moreover, in a similar way, we deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Pi'_N)} + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_1(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha D_2(\xi) D^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{l}{2}} C(\xi, t) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{l}{2}} A^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{l}{2}} S(\xi, t) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{l}{2}} A^\alpha \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{l}{2}} S(\xi, t) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{l}{2}} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

here, the space  $L^\infty(\Omega; H)$  is denoted by  $L^\infty$ . Let

$$\Phi_0(\xi) = \left[ A^{1-\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon_0} + (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}\right)-\varepsilon_0} \right]^{-1}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1 - \frac{1}{2p}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\Phi_1(\xi) = \left[ A^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon} + (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}\right)-\varepsilon_1} \right]^{-1}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{01}(\xi) &= 2\xi_k s \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon_0 \right) \left[ (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}\right)-\varepsilon_0-1} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ A^{1-\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon_0} + (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}\right)-\varepsilon_0} \right]^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{11}(\xi) &= 2\xi_k s \left( s \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \right) - \varepsilon_1 \right) \left[ (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}\right)-\varepsilon_1-1} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ A^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon} + (1+|\xi|^2)^{s\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2p}\right)-\varepsilon_1} \right]^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the resolvent properties of sectorial operators, we have

$$\|A^\alpha \Phi_i(\xi)\|_{B(E)} \lesssim |\xi|^{-\varepsilon}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\|A^\alpha C(\xi, t) \Phi_0(\xi)\|_{B(E)} \leq C \left\| A^\alpha A^{-\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon_0\right)}(\xi) \right\|_{B(E)} \leq C_0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\alpha S(\xi, t) \Phi_1(\xi)\|_{B(E)} &\leq \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{B(E)} \left\| A^\alpha A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_1(\xi) \right\|_{B(E)} \\ &\leq C \left\| A^\alpha A^{-\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon_0\right)}(\xi) \right\|_{B(E)} \leq C_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then by calculating  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \Phi_0(\xi)$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \Phi_1(\xi)$ , we obtain

$$A^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \Phi_0(\xi) \in B(H), \quad A^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \Phi_1(\xi) \in B(H).$$

Let us show that  $G_i(\cdot, t) \in B_{q,1}^{n\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p}\right)}(\mathbb{R}^n; H)$  for some  $q \in (1, 2)$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , where

$$G_i(\xi, t) = (1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} AC(\xi, t) \Phi_i(\xi), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

By embedding properties of Sobolev and Besov spaces it is sufficient to derive that  $G_i \in W_q^{n\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p}\right)+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Indeed by contraction, by Condition 2.2 and by (2.18) we get  $G_i \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\sigma > n\left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p}\right)$ . For deriving the embedding relations  $G_i \in W_q^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it sufficient to show

$$\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} G_i(\cdot, t) \in L^\sigma\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Indeed, in view of (2.18),  $\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \Phi_i(\xi)$  are uniformly bounded for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

By virtue of (2.3), (2.19), by Condition 2.2 for  $l < s\left(1-\frac{1}{2p}\right)$  and  $(l-\sigma)q > n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}q} \left|G_i(\xi, t)\right|^q d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{l-\sigma}{2}q} \|C(\xi, t)\|^q \|A^\alpha \Phi_i(\xi)\|_{B(E)}^q d\xi \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{\sigma-l+\varepsilon}{2}q} |\xi|^{-\varepsilon q} d\xi \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{-\left(\frac{l-\sigma}{2}\right)q} d\xi < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Fourier multiplier theorems (see e.g. [32], Theorem 4.3) we get that the functions  $G_i(\xi, t)$  are Fourier multipliers from  $L^p\left(\mathbb{R}^n; H\right)$  to  $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n; H\right)$ . In a similar way we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} S(\xi, t) \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha \hat{\psi}(\xi), \\ & \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} S(\xi, t) \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

are  $L^p\left(\mathbb{R}^n; H\right) \rightarrow L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n; H\right)$  Fourier multipliers. Then by Minkowski's inequality for integrals, from (2.3), (2.16)-(2.18) and by Remark 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\|F^{-1} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha \hat{\phi}(\xi)\right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\|F^{-1} S(\xi, t) A^\alpha \hat{\psi}(\xi)\right\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \left\|F^{-1} C(\xi, t) \eta^{-2} \hat{\phi}\right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\|F^{-1} S(\xi, t) \eta^{-1} \hat{\psi}\right\|_{L^\infty} \tag{2.20} \\ & \lesssim \left[ \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \|g\|_{W^{s,p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by virtue of Remarks 2.1 - 2.3 and by reasoning as the above, we have the following estimate

$$\left\|F^{-1} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t)\right\|_{X_\infty} \leq C_0^t \left( \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{W^{s,p}} + \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{X_1} \right) d\tau \tag{2.21}$$

uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ . Thus, from (2.12), (2.20) and (2.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|A^\alpha u\right\|_{X_\infty} & \leq C \left[ \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{X_1} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \|A^\alpha \psi\|_{X_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t \left( \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{W^{s,p}} + \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{X_1} \right) d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

By differentiating (2.12) in a similar way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\alpha u_t\|_{X_\infty} \leq C & \left[ \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{X_1} + \|A^\alpha \psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \|A^\alpha \psi\|_{X_1} \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^t \left( \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{X_1} \right) d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Then from (2.22) and (2.23) in view of Remarks 2.1, 2.2 we obtain the estimate (2.14).

Let now show that problem (2.1) has a unique solution  $u \in C^{(1)}([0, T]; Y^{s,p})$ . Let's admit it is the opposite. So let's assume that the problem (2.1) has two solutions  $u_1, u_2 \in C^{(1)}([0, T]; Y^{s,p})$ . Then by linearity of (2.1), we get that  $v = u_1 - u_2$  is also a solution of the corresponding homogenous equation

$$u_{tt} - a\Delta u + Au = 0, v(x, 0) = 0, v_t(x, 0) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (0, T).$$

Moreover, by (2.7) we have the following estimate

$$\|A^\alpha v\|_{X_\infty} \leq 0.$$

Since  $N(A) = \{0\}$ , the above estimate implies that  $v = 0$ , i.e.  $u_1 = u_2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume the Condition 2.1 and (2.13) is satisfied. Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{2p}$ . Then for  $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{1,p}$ ,  $g(\cdot, t) \in Y^{s,p}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $g(x, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; Y^{s,p})$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a unique solution  $u \in C^2([0, T]; Y^{s,p})$  and the following estimate holds

$$\left( \|A^\alpha u\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|A^\alpha u_t\|_{Y^{s,p}} \right) \leq C_0 \left[ \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \int_0^t \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{Y^{s,p}} d\tau \right] \tag{2.24}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Proof.** From (2.11) and (2.17) we get the following uniform estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha \hat{u} \right\|_{X_p} + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha \hat{u}_t \right\|_{X_p} \right) \\ & \leq C \left\{ \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} C(\xi, t) A^\alpha \hat{\varphi} \right\|_{X_p} + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha S(\xi, t) \hat{\psi} \right\|_{X_p} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t \left\| \left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha \tilde{Q}(\xi, t) \hat{g}(\xi, \tau) \right\|_{X_p} d\tau \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

By using the Fourier multiplier theorem ([32], Theorem 4.3) and by reasoning as in Theorem 2.1 we get that  $\left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} C(\xi, t)$ ,  $\left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} S(\xi, t)$  and  $\left( 1 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} A^\alpha S(\xi, t)$  are Fourier multipliers in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; H)$  uniformly with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$ . So, the estimate (2.25) by using the Minkowski's inequality for integrals implies (2.24).

The uniqueness of (2.1)-(2.2) is obtained by reasoning as in Theorem 2.1.

### 3. Local Well Posedness of IVP for Nonlinear WE

In this section, we will show the local existence and uniqueness of solution of the nonlinear problem (1.1)-(1.2).

For this aim we need the following lemmas. By reasoning as in [7] [18] [35], we show the following lemmas concerning the behaviour of the nonlinear term in  $E$ -valued space  $Y^{s,p}$ . Here, let  $E$  be a Banach algebra.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; E)$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then for any  $u \in Y^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$ , we have  $f(u) \in Y^{s,p} \cap X_\infty$ . Moreover, there is some constant  $A(M)$  depending on  $M$  such that for all  $u \in Y^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$  with  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq M$ ,

$$\|f(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C(M)\|u\|_{Y^{s,p}}. \tag{3.1}$$

**Proof.** For  $s = 0$  in view of  $f(0) = 0$ , we get

$$f(u) = \int_0^1 f^{(1)}(\sigma u) d\sigma.$$

It follows that

$$\|f(u)\|_{X_p} \leq C(M)\|u\|_{X_p}.$$

If  $s$  is a positive integer, we have

$$\|f(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C \left[ \|f(u)\|_{X_p} + \sum_{k=1}^s \left\| \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_k} f(u) \right\|_{X_p} \right]. \tag{3.2}$$

By calculation of derivative and applying Holder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_i} f(u) \right\|_{X_p} &\leq \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{\alpha} \left\| f^{(l)}(u) \frac{\partial^{\beta_1} u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^{\beta_2} u}{\partial x_i} \dots \frac{\partial^{\beta_l} u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{X_p} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{\alpha} \left\| f^{(l)}(u) \right\|_{X_\infty} \prod_{k=1}^l \left\| \frac{\partial^{\beta_k} u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{X_{p_k}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l), \beta_k \geq 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_l = l, p_k = \frac{pl}{\beta_k}.$$

Applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality in  $E$ -valued  $X_p$  spaces, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^{\beta_k} u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{X_{p_k}} \leq C \|u\|_{X_\infty}^{1-\frac{\beta_k}{l}} \left\| \frac{\partial^s u}{\partial x_i^s} \right\|_{X_p}^{\frac{\beta_k}{l}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, from (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_i} f(u) \right\|_{X_p} \leq C(M) \left\| \frac{\partial^s u}{\partial x_i^s} \right\|_{X_p}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then combining (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5) we obtain (3.1).

Let  $s$  is not integer number and  $m = [s]$ . From the above proof, we have

$$\|f(u)\|_{Y^{m,p}} \leq C(M)\|u\|_{Y^{m,p}}, \|f(u)\|_{Y^{m+1,p}} \leq C(M)\|u\|_{Y^{m+1,p}}.$$

Then using interpolation between  $W^{m+1,p}$  and  $W^{m,p}$  yields (3.1) for all  $s \geq 0$ .

By using Lemma 3.1 and properties of convolution operators we obtain.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; H)$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Moreover, assume  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; B(H))$ . Then for any  $u \in Y^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$  we have,  $f(u) \in Y^{s,p} \cap X_\infty$ . Moreover, there is some constant  $A(M)$  depending on  $M$  such that for all  $u \in Y^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$  with  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq M$ ,

$$\|\Phi * f(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C(M) \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ . Then for any  $M$  there is some constant  $K(M)$  depending on  $M$  such that for all  $u, v \in Y^{s,p} \cap X_\infty$  with  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq M, \|v\|_{X_\infty} \leq M, \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq M, \|v\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq M$ ,

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq K(M) \|u - v\|_{Y^{s,p}}, \|f(u) - f(v)\|_{X_\infty} \leq K(M) \|u - v\|_{X_\infty}.$$

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $s > \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ . Then for any positive  $M$  there is a constant  $K(M)$  depending on  $M$  such that for all  $u, v \in Y^{s,p}$  with  $\|u\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq M, \|v\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq M$ ,

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq K(M) \|u - v\|_{Y^{s,p}}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $s > 0$ , then  $Y_\infty^{s,p}$  is an algebra. Moreover, for  $f, g \in Y_\infty^{s,p}$ ,

$$\|fg\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C \left[ \|f\|_{X_\infty} + \|g\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|f\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|g\|_{X_\infty} \right].$$

By using, the Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 we obtain.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; H)$  and  $f(u) = O(|u|^{\gamma+1})$  for  $u \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$  be a positive integer. If  $u \in Y_\infty^{s,p}$  and  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq M$ , then

$$\|f(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C(M) \left[ \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}} \|u\|_{X_\infty}^\gamma \right],$$

$$\|f(u)\|_{X_1} \leq C(M) \|u\|_{X_p}^p \|u\|_{X_\infty}^{\gamma-1}.$$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; H)$  and  $f(u) = O(|u|^{\gamma+1})$  for  $u \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$  be a positive integer. Moreover, assume  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; B(E))$ . If  $u \in Y_\infty^{s,p}$  and  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq M$ , then

$$\|\Phi * f(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C(M) \left[ \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}} \|u\|_{X_\infty}^\gamma \right],$$

$$\|\Phi * f(u)\|_{X_1} \leq C(M) \|u\|_{X_p}^p \|u\|_{X_\infty}^{\gamma-1}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{R}; H)$  and  $f(u) = O(|u|^{\gamma+1})$  for  $u \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, let  $\gamma \geq 0$  be a positive integer. If  $u, v \in Y_\infty^{s,p}, \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq M, \|v\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq M$  and  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq M, \|v\|_{X_\infty} \leq M$ , then

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C(M) \left[ (\|u\|_{X_\infty} - \|v\|_{X_\infty}) (\|u\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|v\|_{Y^{s,p}}) (\|u\|_{X_\infty} + \|v\|_{X_\infty})^{\gamma-1} \right],$$

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{X_1} \leq C(M) (\|u\|_{X_\infty} + \|v\|_{X_\infty})^{\gamma-1} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|v\|_{X_p}) \|u - v\|_{X_p}.$$



Let  $\mathbb{E}_0$  denotes the real interpolation space between  $Y^{s,p}(A, H)$  and  $X_p$  with  $\theta = \frac{1}{2p}$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,p} = (Y^{s,p}(A, H), X_p)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p}.$$

**Remark 3.1.** By using J. Lions-J. Peetre result (see e.g. [33], Section 1.8) we obtain that the map  $u \rightarrow u(t_0)$ ,  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  is continuous and surjective from  $Y^{2s,p}(A, H)$  onto  $\mathbb{E}_{0,p}$  and there is a constant  $C_1$  such that

$$\|u(t_0)\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{Y^{2s,p}(A, H)}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Let

$$C^2(Y^{s,p}(A)) = C^{(2)}([0, T]; Y_1^{s,p}(A, H)), C^{2,s}(A, H) = C^{(2)}([0, T]; Y^{s,p}(A, H)).$$

**Condition 3.1.** Assume:

1) the Condition 2.1 holds for  $s > \frac{2pn}{2p-1} \left( \frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{p} \right)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , for a

$q \in [1, 2]$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{2p}$ ;

2) the function  $u \rightarrow f(u)$ : continuous from  $u \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p}$  into  $H$ ,

$f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}; H)$  with  $k$  an integer,  $k \geq s > \frac{n}{p}$  and  $f(u) = O(|u|^{\gamma+1})$  for

$u \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$  be a positive integer.

Let

$$Y_1^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H) = Y^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H) \cap X_1(A^\alpha), Y^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H) = \left\{ u \in Y^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H), \right.$$

$$\left. \|u\|_{Y^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H)} = \|A^\alpha u\|_{X_p} + \left\| \mathbb{F}^{-1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{u} \right\|_{X_p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Main aim of this section is to prove the following results:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let the Condition 3.1 holds. Then there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\varphi \in Y_0(A^\alpha)$  and  $\psi \in Y_1(A^\alpha)$  satisfying

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{X_1} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \|A^\alpha \psi\|_{X_1} \leq \delta, \tag{3.7}$$

problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique local strange solution  $u \in C^2(Y_1^{s,p}(A))$ . Moreover,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{Y_1^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H)} + \|u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{Y_1^{s,p}(A^\alpha; H)} \right) \leq C\delta, \tag{3.8}$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $A, E, g, f$  and initial values.

**Proof.** By (2.5), (2.6) the problem of finding a solution  $u$  of (1.1)-(1.2) is equivalent to finding a fixed point of the mapping

$$G(u) = C_1(t)\varphi(x) + S_1(t)\psi(x) + Q(u), \tag{3.9}$$

where  $C_1(t)$ ,  $S_1(t)$  are defined by (2.6) and  $Q(u)$  is a map defined by

$$Q(u) = -\int_0^t \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left[ U(\xi, t - \tau) \hat{f}(u)(\xi, \tau) \right] d\tau.$$

We define the metric space

$$C(T, A) = C_\delta^2 \left( Y_1^{s,p}(A) \right) = \left\{ u \in C^{2,s}(A, E), \|u\|_{C^{2,s,p}(T,A)} \leq 5C_0\delta \right\}$$

equipped with the norm defined by

$$\|u\|_{C(T,A)} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[ \|A^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{X_\infty} + \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|A^\alpha u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{X_\infty} + \|u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \right],$$

where  $\delta > 0$  satisfies (3.7) and  $C_0$  is a constant in Theorem 2.1 and 2.2. It is easy to prove that  $C(T, A)$  is a complete metric space. From imbedding in Sobolev-Lions space  $Y^{s,p}(A, E)$  (see e.g. [27], Theorem 1) and trace result (3.6) we got that  $\|u\|_{X_\infty} \leq 1$  if we take that  $\delta$  is enough small. For  $\varphi \in Y_0(A^\alpha)$  and  $\psi \in Y_1(A^\alpha)$ , let

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|A^\alpha \varphi\|_{X_1} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \|A^\alpha \psi\|_{X_1} = \delta.$$

So, we will find  $T$  and  $M$  so that  $G$  is a contraction in  $C^{2,s,p}(T, A)$ . By Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and Corollary 3.3  $f(u) \in Y_1^{s,p}$ . So, problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution that satisfies the following

$$G(u)(x, t) = C_1(t)\varphi + S_1(t)\psi + Q(u), \tag{3.10}$$

where  $C_1(t)$ ,  $S_1(t)$  are defined by (2.5) and (2.6). By assumptions, it is easy to see that the map  $G$  is well defined for  $f \in C^{[s]+1}(\mathbb{E}_{0,p}; H)$ . First, let us prove that the map  $G$  has a unique fixed point in  $C(T, A)$ . For this aim, it is sufficient to show that the operator  $G$  maps  $C(T, A)$  into  $C(T, A)$  and  $G$  is strictly contractive if  $\delta$  is suitable small. In fact, by (2.7) in Theorem 2.1, Corollary 3.3 and in view of (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A^\alpha G(u)\|_{X_\infty} + \|A^\alpha G_t(u)\|_{X_\infty} \\ & \leq 2C_0 \left[ \|\varphi\|_{Y_0^\alpha(A^\alpha)} + \|\psi\|_{Y_1^\alpha(A^\alpha)} + \int_0^t \left( \|\hat{f}((u))\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|\hat{f}((u))\|_{X_1} \right) d\tau \right] \\ & \leq 2C_0\delta + C \int_0^t \left( \|u(\tau)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \|u(\tau)\|_{X_\infty}^\gamma + \|u(\tau)\|_{X_p}^p \|u(\tau)\|_{X_\infty}^{\gamma-1} \right) d\tau \\ & \leq 2C_0\delta + C \|u\|_{C^{2,s,p}(T,A)}^{\gamma+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, by (2.17), Corollary 3.3 and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \|A^\alpha G(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|A^\alpha G_t(u)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \right) \\ & \leq 2C_0 \left( \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p}} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p}} + \int_0^t \|\hat{f}((u))\|_{Y^{s,p}} d\tau \right) \\ & \leq 2C_0\delta + \int_0^t \left[ \|u(\tau)\|_{Y^{s,p}} \|u(\tau)\|_{X_\infty}^\gamma \right] d\tau \\ & \leq 2C_0\delta + C \|u\|_{C^{2,s,p}(T,A)}^{\gamma+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, combining (3.11) with (3.12) we obtain

$$\|A^\alpha G(u)\|_{Y_\infty^{s,p}} + \|A^\alpha G_t(u)\|_{Y_\infty^{s,p}} \leq 4C_0\delta + C\|u\|_{C^{2,s,p}(T,A)}^{\gamma+1}. \tag{3.13}$$

So, taking that  $\delta$  is enough small such that  $C(5C_0\delta)^\gamma < \frac{1}{5}$ , by Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and (3.13),  $G$  maps  $C(T, A)$  into  $C(T, A)$ .

Now, we are going to prove that the map  $G$  is strictly contractive. Let  $u_1, u_2 \in C(T, A)$  given. From (3.10) we get

$$G(u_1) - G(u_2) = \int_0^T \left[ S(x, t - \tau) (\hat{f}(u_1)(\tau) - \hat{f}(u_2)(\tau)) \right] d\tau, t \in (0, T).$$

By (2.7) in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A^\alpha [G(u_1) - G(u_2)]\|_{X_\infty} + \|A^\alpha [G(u_1) - G(u_2)]_t\|_{X_\infty} \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left( \|\hat{f}(u_1) - \hat{f}(u_2)\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|\hat{f}(u_1) - \hat{f}(u_2)\|_{X_1} \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left\{ \|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_\infty} (\|u_1\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}}) (\|u_1\|_{X_\infty} + \|u_2\|_{X_\infty})^{\gamma-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}} (\|u_1\|_{X_\infty} + \|u_2\|_{X_\infty})^\gamma \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\|u_1\|_{X_\infty} + \|u_2\|_{X_\infty})^{\gamma-1} \|u_1 + u_2\|_{X_p} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_p} \right\} \\ & \leq C \left( \|u_1\|_{C(T,A)} + \|u_2\|_{C(T,A)} \right)^\gamma \|u_1 - u_2\|_{C(T,A)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, by (2.17) in Theorem 2.2, Corollary 3.3 and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \|A^\alpha [G(u_1) - G(u_2)]\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|A^\alpha [G(u_1) - G(u_2)]_t\|_{Y^{s,p}} \right) \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \|\hat{f}(u_1)(\tau) - \hat{f}(u_2)(\tau)\|_{Y^{s,p}} d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left\{ \|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_\infty} (\|u_1\|_{Y^{s,p}} + \|u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}}) (\|u_1\|_{X_\infty} + \|u_2\|_{X_\infty})^{\gamma-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}} (\|u_1\|_{X_\infty} + \|u_2\|_{X_\infty})^\gamma \right\} d\tau \\ & \leq C \left( \|u_1\|_{C(T,A)} + \|u_2\|_{C(T,A)} \right)^\gamma \|u_1 - u_2\|_{C(T,A)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.14) with (3.15) yields

$$\|G(u_1) - G(u_2)\|_{C(T,A)} \leq C \left( \|u_1\|_{C(T,A)} + \|u_2\|_{C(T,A)} \right)^\gamma \|u_1 - u_2\|_{C(T,A)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Taking  $\delta$  is enough small, from (3.16) we obtain that  $G$  is strictly contractive in  $C(T, A)$ . Using the contraction mapping principle, we get that  $G(u)$  has a unique fixed point  $u(x, t) \in C(T, A)$  and  $u(x, t)$  is the solution of (1.1)-(1.2).

Let us show that this solution is a unique in  $C^{2,s}(A, H)$ . Let  $u_1, u_2 \in C^{2,s}(A, H)$  are two solutions of (1.1)-(1.2). Then for  $u = u_1 - u_2$ , we have

$$u_t - a\Delta u + Au = [f(u_1) - f(u_2)]. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, by Minkowski's inequality for integrals and by Theorem 2.2 from (3.17) we obtain

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}} \leq C_2(T) \int_0^t \|u_1 - u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}} d\tau. \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.18) and Gronwall's inequality, we have  $\|u_1 - u_2\|_{Y^{s,p}} = 0$ , i.e. problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution in  $C^{2s}(A, H)$ .

Consider the problem (1.1)-(1.2), when  $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}_{0,p}$  and  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{1,p}$ . Let

$$C^{(i)}(Y^{s,2}) = C^{(i)}([0, \infty); Y^{s,2}(A, H)), i = 0, 1, 2.$$

### 4. Application

Consider the problem (1.4). Let

$$\begin{aligned} X_{p,2} &= L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,1)), Y^{s,p,2} = H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,1)), \\ Y_q^{s,p,2} &= H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,1)) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,1)), \\ Y^{s,p,2} &= H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H^{2,2}(0,1), L^2(0,1)), 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \\ E_{0p,2} &= (Y^{s,p}(A, L^2(0,1)) \cap X_{p,2}, X_{p,2})_{\frac{1}{2p}, p}, \\ E_{1p,2} &= (Y^{s,p}(A, L^2(0,1)) \cap X_{p,p_1}, X_{p,2})_{\frac{1+p}{2p}, p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega_1 = \omega_1(y)$ ,  $\omega_2 = \omega_2(y)$  be roots of equation  $b_1(y)\omega^2 + 1 = 0$ . Let

$$v(y) = \begin{vmatrix} (-\omega_1)^{m_1} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \omega_1^{m_1} \\ (-\omega_2)^{m_2} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \omega_2^{m_2} \end{vmatrix}, \eta_1(\xi) = [a|\xi|^2 + A_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ip}(L^2(0,1)) &= W^{s(1-\theta_i), p}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,1)) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; H^{2(1-\theta_i), 2}(0,1)), \\ \theta_i &= \frac{1+ip}{2p}, i = 0, 1, p_1 \in (1, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1)  $a \in S_{\phi_1}$  for  $0 \leq \phi_1 < \pi$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{2p}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $v(y) \neq 0$  for all  $y \in [0, 1]$ ;
- 2)  $b_1 \in VMO \cap L^\infty(0,1)$ ,  $Re\omega_k \neq 0$  and  $\frac{\lambda}{\omega_k} \in S(\phi_1)$  for a.e.  $x \in (0,1)$ ,  $\phi_1 \in [0, \pi)$ ;  $b_0 \in VMO \cap L^\infty(0,1)$ ,  $b_1(0) = b_1(1)$ ,  $b_0(0) = b_0(1)$ .
- 3)  $\varphi \in Y_1^{s,p,2}$ ,  $\psi \in Y_1^{s-1,p,2}$  and  $f(.,t) \in Y_1^{s,p,2}$  for  $s > \frac{2pn}{2p-1} \left( \frac{2}{r} + \frac{1}{p} \right)$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $r \in [1, 2]$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- 4) The function  $u \rightarrow F(u)$  is continuous in  $u \in E_{02}$  for  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$ ;

moreover  $F(u) \in C^{(1)}(E_{02}; L^2(0,1))$ .

Then problem (1.9)-(1.10) has a unique local strange solution

$$u \in C^{(2)}([0, T_0); Y_{\infty}^{s,p,2}),$$

where  $T_0$  is a maximal time interval that is appropriately small relative to  $M$ . Moreover, if

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,p,p_1}} + \|A^{\alpha}\varphi\|_{X_1} + \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,p,p_1}} + \|A^{\alpha}\psi\|_{X_1} \leq \delta,$$

then  $T_0 = \infty$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of [30],  $L^2(0,1)$  is a Fourier type space. By virtue of [30], the operator  $A_1$  defined by (1.3) is sectorial in  $L^2(0,1)$ . Moreover, by interpolation of Banach spaces ([33], Section 1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{02} &= \left( W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; H^2(0,1), L^2(0,1)), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,1)) \right)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p} \\ &= B_{p,2}^{s\left(\frac{1}{2p}\right)} \left( \mathbb{R}^n; H^{2l\left(\frac{1}{2p}\right)}(0,1), L^2(0,1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using the properties of spaces  $Y^{s,p,2}$ ,  $Y_{\infty}^{s,p,2}$ ,  $E_{02}$  we get that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are hold, *i.e.*, we obtain the conclusion.

## 5. Conclusion

Here, assuming enough smoothness on the initial data in terms of interpolation spaces  $H(A)$ ,  $H$  and the sectorial operators, the existence, uniqueness, regularity properties of solutions are established. By choosing the space  $H$  and  $A$ , the regularity properties of solutions of a wide class of wave equations in the field of physics are obtained.

## Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] Linares, F. (1993) Global Existence of Small Solutions for a Generalized Boussinesq Equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **106**, 257-293. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1993.1108>
- [2] Liu, Y. (1995) Instability and Blow-Up of Solutions to a Generalized Boussinesq Equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **26**, 1527-1546. <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036141093258094>
- [3] Sogge, C.D. (1995) Lectures on Nonlinear Wave Equations. International Press, Cambridge, MA.

- [4] Whitham, G.B. (1975) Linear and Nonlinear Waves. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- [5] Bona, J.L. and Sachs, R.L. (1988) Global Existence of Smooth Solutions and Stability of Solitary Waves for a Generalized Boussinesq Equation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **118**, 15-29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01218475>
- [6] Constantin, A. and Molinet, L. (2002) The Initial Value Problem for a Generalized Boussinesq Equation. *Differential and Integral Equations*, **15**, 1061-1072.
- [7] Chen, G. and Wang, S. (1999) Existence and Nonexistence of Global Solutions for the Generalized IMBq Equation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **36**, 961-80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(97\)00710-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(97)00710-4)
- [8] Chen, G.-Q., Deng, X. and Xiang, W. (2014) Shock Diffraction by Convex Cornered Wedges for the Nonlinear Wave System. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **211**, 61-112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-013-0681-1>
- [9] Arndt, M. and Griebel, M. (2005) Derivation of Higher Order Gradient Continuum Models from Atomistic Models for Crystalline Solids. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, **4**, 531-562. <https://doi.org/10.1137/040608738>
- [10] Eringen, A.C. (2002) Nonlocal Continuum Field Theories, New York, Springer.
- [11] Huang, Z. (2006) Formulations of Nonlocal Continuum Mechanics Based on a New Definition of Stress Tensor. *Acta Mechanica*, **187**, 11-27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-006-0360-5>
- [12] Polizzotto, C. (2001) Nonlocal Elasticity and Related Variational Principles. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, **38**, 7359-7380. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7683\(01\)00039-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7683(01)00039-7)
- [13] Ghisi, M., Gobbino, M. and Haraux, A. (2016) Optimal Decay Estimates for the General Solution to a Class of Semil-Linear Dissipative Hyperbolic Equations. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, **18**, 1961-1982. <https://doi.org/10.4171/JEMS/635>
- [14] De Godefroy, A. (1998) Blow up of Solutions of a Generalized Boussinesq Equation. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **60**, 123-138. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imamat/60.2.123>
- [15] Levine, H.A. (1974) Instability and Nonexistence of Global Solutions to Nonlinear Wave Equations of the form  $Pu = -Au + F(u)$ . *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **192**, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1974-0344697-2>
- [16] Luo, J.-R. and Xiao, T.-J. (2020) Decay Rates for Second Order Evolution Equations in Hilbert Spaces with Nonlinear Time-Dependent Damping. *Evolution Equations and Control Theory*, **9**, 359-373. <https://doi.org/10.3934/eect.2020009>
- [17] Silling, C.A. (2000) Reformulation of Elasticity Theory for Discontinuities and Long-Range Forces. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, **48**, 175-209. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5096\(99\)00029-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5096(99)00029-0)
- [18] Wang, S. and Chen, G. (2002) Small Amplitude Solutions of the Generalized IMBq Equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **274**, 846-866. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(02\)00401-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00401-8)
- [19] Wang, S. and Chen, G. (2006) Cauchy Problem of the Generalized Double Dispersion Equation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **64**, 159-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2005.06.017>
- [20] Zabusky, N.J. (1967) Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York.
- [21] Pulkina, L.S. (1999) A Non Local Problem with Integral Conditions for Hyperbolic

- Equations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **45**, 1-6.
- [22] Makhankov, V.G. (1978) Dynamics of Classical Solutions (in Non-Integrable Systems). *Physics Reports*, **35**, 1-128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(78\)90074-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(78)90074-1)
- [23] Arendt, W., Batty, C., Hieber, M. and Neubrander, F. (2001) Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems. Monographs in Mathematics, Vol. 96, Birkhauser, Basel. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-5075-9>
- [24] Ashyralyev, A. and Aggez, N. (2014) Nonlocal Boundary Value Hyperbolic Problems Involving Integral Conditions. *Boundary Value Problems*, **2014**, Article No. 205. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-014-0205-4>
- [25] Fattorini, H.O. (1985) Second Order Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. North Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 108, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [26] Pazy, A. (1983) Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer, New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5561-1>
- [27] Shakhmurov, V.B. (2008) Embedding and Separable Differential Operators in Spaces of Sobolev-Lions Type. *Mathematical Notes*, **84**, 842-858. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434608110278>
- [28] Shakhmurov, V.B. (2021) The Improved Abstract Boussinesq Equations and Application. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, **18**, Article No. 233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-021-01874-7>
- [29] Shakhmurov, V.B. (2016) The Cauchy Problem for Generalized Abstract Boussinesq Equations. *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, **25**, 109-122.
- [30] Shakhmurov, V.B. (2015) Abstract Elliptic Equations with VMO Coefficients in Half Plane. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, **25**, 1-21.
- [31] Shakhmurov, V.B. (2016) Separable Differential Operators and Applications. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, **16**, 299-321.
- [32] Shakhmurov, V. and Shahmurov, R. (2021) The Regularity Properties and Blow-up of the Solutions for Improved Boussinesq Equations. *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations (EJQTDE)*, No. 89, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2021.1.89>
- [33] Triebel, H. (1978) Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [34] Girardi, M. and Weis, L. (2003) Operator-Valued Fourier Multiplier Theorems on  $L_p(X)$  and Geometry of Banach Spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **204**, 320-354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236\(03\)00185-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236(03)00185-X)
- [35] Kato, T. and Ponce, G. (1988) Commutator Estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **41**, 891-907. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160410704>