

Electron-Positron Pair Production in Electro-Magnetic Field

Sadah A. Alkhateeb, Aisha Abdu Alshaery, Rawan Ali Aldosary

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia Email: RALDOSARY0002.stu@uj.edu.sa

How to cite this paper: Alkhateeb, S.A., Alshaery, A.A. and Aldosary, R.A. (2022) Electron-Positron Pair Production in Electro-Magnetic Field. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 10, 237-244. https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2022.102017

Received: December 18, 2021 Accepted: January 26, 2022 Published: January 29, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ **Open Access**

 (\mathbf{i})

Abstract

At very high energies, pair production formation $(\gamma + N \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ exhibits a variety of intriguing properties. Analytically and quantitatively, the formation of Electron-Positron pairs in the Electro-Magnetic field of light nuclei has been calculated. In Ultra-Relativistic (UR) areas of incident photon energy, applying the resulting formulas to the energy distribution of the (e^{-}, e^{+}) operation. When we compare the results, we can observe that the Magnetic field of the target nucleus is more efficacious than the Electric field of the nucleus in the (e^-, e^+) operation. Furthermore, we can show that in Pair Production operation, the Differential Cross Section (DCS) owing to the target nucleus's Electric Quadrupole (EQ) and Magnetic Octupole (MO) are bigger than the Differential Cross Section (DCS) attributable to the target nucleus's Electric Charge (EC) distribution and Magnetic Dipole (MD).

Keywords

Pair Production, Positron, Differential Cross Section, The Bethe-Hitler Equation

1. Introduction

There are numerous particles in nature, each of which is accompanied by its field as it moves. They are split into Pheromones and Bosons. Pheromones are divided into Leptons and quarks. The Electron, Muon, and Tau are three charged Leptons, and neutrinos are three neutral Leptons [1]. Both the Electron and the Positron will be studied. The Electron was discovered in 1897 by J.J. Thomson, and it is still the prototypical elementary particle. Anderson saw Pair Production for the first time in 1932 when he exploited the operation to find the Positron [2]. Bethe and Heitler's work shaped our present theoretical understanding of Pair

Production [3].

Nishina and others [4], Bethe, and Heitler [5] were the first to theorize the theoretical treatment of (e^-, e^+) Pair photon production in 1934. In 1936, Jaeger and Hulme [6] established that Pair Production Differential Cross Section (DCS) calculations produce better outcomes at high incident photon energy. Hubbell [7] provides a historical overview of the (e^-, e^+) by photons from Dirac's prediction of the position in 1928 until 2006. The (DCS) results for (e^-, e^+) -Hubbell and Seltzer [8] revealed photon-based Pair Production.

Electron and Positron formation has been studied through high-energy collisions on the Nitrogen nucleus, with Atomic Number 7 and Mass Number 14, and is generated using Electric and Magnetic fields through high energies of incident photons (from 2 to 6 GeV). The results are given in tables and figures to show the difference in the energy distribution of (e^-, e^+) -Pairs. The obtained results are discussed in detail.

2. Formulation of the Problem

We are studying the effect of high energies on a light nucleus in the Pair Production operation.

3. Research Objectives

In this research, we present some new ideas for developing Electro-Magnetic operation and their various applications. This is done by studying the Electro-Magnetic (DCS) of nucleus Nitrogen and studying the extent of their impact on producing photons using high energies.

4. Research Methodology

The interaction of a photon with the nucleus of an atom produces Pairs of Electrons and Positrons. The (e^{-}, e^{+}) operation produced in the interaction of the γ -photon field with the field of nuclei (N), can be written: [9] [10] [11] (**Figure 1**).

$$\gamma(k) + \mathcal{N}(Ze) \rightarrow e^{-}(p_{-}) + e^{+}(-p_{+})$$

Figure 2 depicts the Feynman Diagrams for the issue of Leptonic Pair Production in the Electro-Magnetic field of nuclei [12].

Where $q = p_{-} - k + p_{+}$ indicates the momentum transmitted to the nucleus.



Figure 1. Simplified representation of the collision of a photon with a Nitrogen nucleus to the (e^-, e^+) .



Figure 2. Feynman diagrams for the (e^{-}, e^{+}) pair production process.

This operation was studied by the scientist Bethe and Hitler. Therefore, the final form of the (DCS) of the operation of producing the Leptonic Pair of the nucleus is as follows: [3] [13] [14]

$$dBH = \frac{Z^{2}\alpha^{3}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{|p_{+}||p_{-}|dE_{+}}{\omega^{3}} \frac{d\Omega_{-}d\Omega_{+}}{|q|^{4}} \left[\frac{p_{-}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{(E_{-}-p_{-}\cos\theta_{-})^{2}} \left(4E_{+}^{2}-q^{2}\right) - \frac{p_{+}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{+}}{(E_{+}-p_{+}\cos\theta_{+})^{2}} \left(4E_{-}^{2}-q^{2}\right) + 2\omega^{2} \frac{p_{+}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{+}+p_{-}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{(E_{+}-p_{+}\cos\theta_{+})(E_{-}-p_{-}\cos\theta_{-})} - \frac{2p_{+}p_{-}\sin\theta_{+}\sin\theta_{-}\cos\phi}{(E_{+}-p_{+}\cos\theta_{+})(E_{-}-p_{-}\cos\theta_{-})} \left(2E_{+}^{2}-2E_{-}^{2}-q^{2}\right) \right]$$
(1)

It is the Bethe-Hitler equation for the (Electron-Positron) Pair Production operation, and it can be written in an abbreviated form so that it is applicable, using the following symbols:

$$\eta = \left(\frac{Z^2 \alpha^3}{4\pi^2}\right) \frac{p_+ p_- dE_+}{\omega^3}, \ \Delta_0 = \left(1 - \cos\theta\right)$$

where $p_+ = \left| \overrightarrow{p_+} \right|, p_- = \left| \overrightarrow{p_-} \right|.$

 ω is the energy of the colliding photon where $\omega = E_+ + E_-$. We can write Equation (1) as:

$$d(E, Ze, \mu_1, Q, \Omega) = d1(E) + d2(E) + d11(E) + d22(E).$$
(2)

$$d1(E) = 8\pi \eta \phi_1(E) d\Omega \tag{3}$$

$$d2(E) = 8\pi \eta \left(\frac{\mu_1}{Ze}\right)^2 a_\mu \phi_2(E) d\Omega$$
(4)

$$d11(E) = 8\pi \eta \left(\frac{Q}{Ze}\right)^2 a_q \phi_{11}(E) d\Omega$$
(5)

$$d22(E) = 8\pi \eta \left(\frac{\Omega}{Ze}\right)^2 a_\Omega \phi_{22}(E) d\Omega$$
(6)

Ze, μ_1, Q, Ω are the (EC), the (MD), the (EQ), and the (MO) moments of the target nucleus. $\phi_1(E), \phi_2(E), \phi_{11}(E), \phi_{22}(E)$ in the case of high energies $E, E' \gg m_0 c^2$ [3] [13] [14].

$$\phi_{1}(E) = \frac{1-\gamma}{4k^{2}\omega^{2}\Delta_{0}} - \frac{1-\gamma^{2}}{8\beta^{2}\Delta_{0}} + \frac{2\gamma-7}{8k^{2}\omega^{2}\Delta_{0}} - \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{4k^{2}\omega^{2}\Delta_{0}(1-\gamma)} \\ - \frac{\varepsilon_{T}}{8k^{2}\omega^{2}\Delta_{0}(1-\gamma)} \bigg[3\gamma + \frac{k^{2}\omega^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\gamma(1-\gamma)^{2} \bigg]$$
(7)
$$+ \frac{L}{8k^{2}\omega^{2}\Delta_{0}^{2}(1-\gamma)} \bigg[2+2(1-\gamma)^{2} + \gamma(2-\gamma)\Delta_{0} \bigg]$$
(7)
$$+ \frac{E}{8k^{2}\omega^{2}\Delta_{0}^{2}(1-\gamma)} \bigg[2+2(1-\gamma)^{2} + \gamma(2-\gamma)\Delta_{0} \bigg]$$
(8)
$$+ \frac{\varepsilon_{T}}{\beta^{3}}k^{2}\omega^{2}\frac{\gamma^{2}(1-\gamma+\gamma\Delta_{0})}{1-\gamma} + \frac{L}{2(1-\gamma)} \bigg]$$
(8)
$$+ \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\beta^{3}}k^{2}\omega^{2}\frac{\gamma^{2}(1-\gamma+\gamma\Delta_{0})}{1-\gamma} + \frac{k^{2}\omega^{2}}{\Delta_{0}}(1-\gamma)\bigg[1+(1-\gamma)^{2}\bigg]$$
(9)
$$+ \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{2(1-\gamma)}k^{2}\omega^{2}\bigg[1+(1-\gamma)^{2}\bigg](2-\Delta_{0})$$
(9)
$$\phi_{22}(E) = k^{4}\omega^{4}\bigg[\frac{20}{3\Delta_{0}}(1-\gamma)^{3}\big\{1-(1-\gamma)^{2}\big\}-12(1-\gamma)^{4}$$
$$+ 2(1-\gamma)\big\{5+2\gamma+7(1-\gamma)^{2}\big\}-4\Delta_{0}\big(2-\gamma^{2}+\gamma^{3}\big)$$
(10)
$$- \frac{4}{3}\big\{6+(1-\gamma)^{2}\big\}\Delta_{0} + \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{1-\gamma}\big\{1+(1-\gamma)^{2}\big\}\Delta_{0}(2+\Delta_{0})\bigg]$$

where:

$$\beta_0 = \sqrt{\left(1 - \gamma\right)^2 + 2\gamma\Delta_0}, \quad \beta = k\omega\beta_0, \quad L = 2\ln\left[\frac{2\omega(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma}\right], \quad \gamma = \frac{\omega}{E} = \frac{\varepsilon_T}{E} \quad (11)$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = 2\ln\left[2\omega(1-\gamma)\right], \ \varepsilon_t = \ln\left[\frac{\beta-\gamma+1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\right]$$
 (12)

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{s+1}{3s} \tag{13}$$

$$a_q = \frac{1}{180} \frac{(s+1)(2s+3)}{s(2s-1)} \tag{14}$$

$$a_{\Omega} = \frac{2}{4725} \frac{(s+1)(s+2)(2s+3)}{s(s-1)(2s-1)}$$
(15)

are the (MD), (EQ), and the (MO) coefficients of the nucleus with spin.

5. Results and Discussion

The (EC) d1, (MD) d2, (EQ) d11, (MO) d22, total Electric dE, and total Magnetic dM Differential Cross Section(DCS) for the (e^-, e^+) using formulas for the energy distribution are obtained for the nucleus N_7^{14} and for different values of incident photon energies $\varepsilon_{\gamma} = (2 \text{ GeV}, 4 \text{ GeV}, 6 \text{ GeV})$, where $m = 9.109558 \times 10^{-28}$.

From **Table 1**, we can get the following results:

- The (DCS) d1, d2 for (N₇¹⁴) nucleus is decreased with increasing energies.
- The (DCS) d11, d22 for (N_7^{14}) nucleus is increasing with increasing energies.

From **Figures 3-8**, we conclude that

• The (DCS) d1 and d2 for (N_7^{14}) nucleus are decreased with increasing energies for the (e^-, e^+) , which the (DCS) d11 and d22 for (N_7^{14}) nucleus are increased with increasing energies for the (e^-, e^+) .

Table 1. Differential cross-section of the energy distribution of the N_7^{14} -nucleus.

ε	<i>d</i> 1	<i>d</i> 2	<i>d</i> 11	d 22
2000	$1.19712\!\times\!10^{_{-37}}$	1.73779×10^{-36}	4.51215×10^{-38}	3.28019×10^{-19}
4000	1.4964×10^{-38}	9.41793×10^{-37}	9.02431×10^{-38}	2.84168×10^{-18}
6000	4.43377×10^{-39}	6.56291×10^{-37}	1.35365×10^{-37}	1.00201×10^{-17}















Figure 6. Magnetic octupole d22.







Figure 8. Total magnetic dM.

The (DCS) of the (e⁻, e⁺), (EQ) d11, and (MO) d22 is larger than the (DCS) of (EC) d1 and (MD) d2 of the target nucleus.

For the Total Electric dE = d1 + d11 and the Total Magnetic dM = d2 + d22 (DCS) we have also the following result:

- The (DCS) d11 is more efficacious than the (DCS) d1 for the (DCS) dE, *i.e.*, $dE \approx d1$.
- The (DCS) d22 is more efficacious than the (DCS) d2 for the (DCS) dM, *i.e.*, $dM \approx d22$.
- The Differential Total Magnetic Cross Section dM for (e^-, e^+) -Pair Production is more efficacious than the Differential Total Electric Cross Section dE.

6. Conclusion

Compared to reference [13], we found that the operation of producing an (e^-, e^+) Pair in a Nitrogen nucleus of lighter mass is more effective than in a Sodium nucleus of higher mass. We conclude from this that the lower the mass number, the better the production of the pair. Moreover, we can see that in Pair Productions (e^-, e^+) , the Magnetic field of the target nucleus is more efficacious than the Electric field of the nucleus. The effect of the (QE) and (OM) (DCS) is more influential in the Lepton Pair's production than the (EC) and (MD).

Perspectives

We studied the creation of the (e^-, e^+) Pair for the Nitrogen nucleus through the relation of the energy of the photon falling on the nucleus and the Electro-Magnetic fields, and it can be studied in the future:

• The relation between the creation of the (e^{-}, e^{+}) Pair by the angle of the

incident photon.

• The Production of the Lepton Pair by taking into account the polarization of the incident photons.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Greiner, W. and Reinhardt, J. (2008) Quantum Electrodynamics. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [2] Zettili, N. (2009) Quantum Mechanics Concepts and Applications. 2nd Edition, John Willy & Sons Ltd., Chichester.
- Zhu, W. (2020) Improved Bethe-Heitler formula. Nuclear Physics B, 953, Article No. 114958. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.114958</u>
- [4] Nishina, Y., Tomonaga, S. and Sakata, S. (1934) On the Photoelectric Creation of Positive and Negative Electrons. *Institute of Physical and Chemical Research*.
- Bethe, H. and Heitler, W. (1934) On the Stopping of Fast Particles and on the Creation of Positive Electrons. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, **146**, 83-112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1934.0140</u>
- [6] Jaeger, J. and Hulme, H. (1936) On the Production of Electron Pairs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 153, 443-447. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1936.0013</u>
- Hubbell. J. (2006) Electron-Positron Pair Production by Photons: A Historical Overview. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, **75**, 614-623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2005.10.008
- [8] Hubbell, J. and Seltzer, S. (2004) Cross Section Data for Electron-Positron Pair Production by Photons: A Status Report. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 213, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(03)01524-6
- [9] Klein, S.R. (2006) e⁺e⁻ Pair Production from 10 GeV to 10 ZeV. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 75, 696-711. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2005.09.005</u>
- [10] Chen, M.S., Muzinich, I.J., Terazawa, H. and Cheng, T.P. (1973) Lepton Pair Production from Two-Photon Processes. *Physical Review D*, 7, Article No. 3485. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.3485
- [11] Gong, C., Li, Z.L., Xie, B.S. and Li, Y.J. (2020) Electron-Positron Pair Production in Frequency Modulated Laser Fields. *Physical Review D*, **101**, Article No. 016008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.016008</u>
- [12] Alkhateeb, S. (2012) The Leptonic Pair e^-e^+ , $\mu^-\mu^+$, $\tau^-\tau^+$ Production in the Photon Interaction with Electromagnetic Field of Light Nuclei. *Journal of American Science*, **8**, No. 5.
- [13] Alkhateeb, S. (2020) Effect of Nuclear Magnetic Distribution on the Photon Production of Longitudinally Polarized Lepton-Pairs in the Field of Na²³₁₁ and Al²⁷₁₃ Nuclei. *Thermal Science*, 24, 139-147. https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI20S1139A
- [14] Obraztsov, I.V. and Milstein, A.I. (2021) Quadrupole Radiation and e^+e^- Pair Production in the Collision of Nonrelativistic Nuclei. *Physics Letters B*, **820**, Article No. 136514. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136514</u>