

Positive Solution for a Singular Fourth-Order Differential System

B. Kovács

Institute of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, Miskolc, Hungary
Email: matmn@uni-miskolc.hu

How to cite this paper: Kovács, B. (2021) Positive Solution for a Singular Fourth-Order Differential System. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 9, 2244-2257.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2021.99143>

Received: June 21, 2021

Accepted: September 12, 2021

Published: September 15, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of positive solutions for the singular fourth-order differential system $u^{(4)} = \varphi u + f(t, u, u'', \varphi)$, $0 < t < 1$, $-\varphi'' = \mu g(t, u, u'')$, $0 < t < 1$, $u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0$, $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0$; where $\mu > 0$ is a constant, and the nonlinear terms f, g may be singular with respect to both the time and space variables. The results obtained herein generalize and improve some known results including singular and non-singular cases.

Keywords

Positive Solutions, Fixed Point Theorem, Singular Solutions, Bending of an Elastic Beam, Cone, Boundary Value Problem, Existence, Multiplicity

1. Introduction

It is well known that the bending of an elastic beam can be described with fourth-order boundary value problems. An elastic beam with its two ends simply supported, can be described by the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u''(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Existence of solutions for problem (1) was established for example by Gupta [1] [2], Liu [3], Ma [4], Ma *et al.* [5], Ma and Wang [6], Aftabizadeh [7], Yang [8], Del Pino and Manasevich [9], RP Agarwal *et al.* [10] [11] [12] (see also the references therein). All of those results are based on the Leray-Schauder continuation method, topological degree and the method of lower and upper solutions.

Recently, Wang and An [13] studied the existence of positive solutions for the

second-order differential system by using the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression.

By applying the cone compression and expansion fixed point theorem, Cui and Zou [14] showed that a fourth-order singular boundary problem has a unique positive solution.

By constructing a new type of cone and using fixed point index theory, López-Somoza and Minhós [15] investigated existence of solutions for the Hammerstein equations.

In [16], the authors use a mixed monotone operator method to investigate the existence of positive solution to a fourth-order boundary value problem which describes the deflection of an elastic beam.

In this paper we shall discuss the existence of positive solutions for the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(4)} &= \varphi u + f(t, u, u'', \varphi), \quad 0 < t < 1 \\ -\varphi'' &= \mu g(t, u, u''), \quad 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) &= u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0, \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where μ is a positive parameter and

$f(t, u, v, \varphi) : (0, 1) \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous. In fact as we will see below one could consider in Section 2 and 3

$f(t, u, v, \varphi) \leq f_1(t) f_2(t, u, v, \varphi)$ with

$f_2(t, u, v, \varphi) : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $f_1 : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous provided

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau < +\infty;$$

here K is as defined in Section 2. Moreover, our hypotheses allow but do not require $g(t, u, v) : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ to be singular at $v = 0$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $Y = C[0, 1]$ and

$$Y_+ = \{u \in Y : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

It is well known that Y is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$\|u\|_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$. We denote the norm $\|u\|_2$ by

$$\|u\|_2 = \max \{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}.$$

It is easy to show that $C^2[0, 1]$ is complete with the norm $\|u\|_2$ and

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0 \leq 2\|u\|_2.$$

Suppose that $K(t, s)$ is the Green function associated with

$$-u'' = f(t), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad (4)$$

which is explicitly expressed by

$$K(t,s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \\ s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. $K(t,s)$ has the following properties:

- 1) $K(t,s) > 0, \forall t,s \in (0,1)$;
- 2) $K(t,s) \leq K(s,s), \forall t,s \in [0,1]$;
- 3) $K(t,s) \geq K(t,t)K(s,s), \forall t,s \in [0,1]$;
- 4) $|K(t_1,s) - K(t_2,s)| \leq |t_1 - t_2|$, for all $t_1, t_2, s \in [0,1]$

Lemma 2. ([17]) Let E be a real Banach space and let $P \subset E$ be a cone in E . Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subset of E with $\theta \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let

$Q: P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that either

- 1) $\|Qu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Qu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- 2) $\|Qu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Qu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then Q has a fixed point in $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

The boundary value problem

$$-\varphi'' = \mu g(t, u(t), u''(t)), \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0,$$

can be solved by using the Green's function, namely,

$$\varphi(t) = \mu \int_0^1 K(t,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv, \quad 0 < t < 1. \tag{5}$$

Thus inserting (5) into the first equation of (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(4)} &= \mu u(t) \int_0^1 K(t,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \\ &\quad + f\left(t, u, u'', \mu \int_0^1 K(t,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv\right), \\ u(0) &= u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now we consider the existence of a positive solution of (6). The function $u \in C^4(0,1) \cap C^2[0,1]$ is a positive solution of (6), if $u \geq 0, t \in [0,1]$, and $u \neq 0$.

Then the solution of (6) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t,\tau) K(\tau,s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t,\tau) K(\tau,s) f\left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv\right) ds d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and the second-order derivative u'' can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) &= -\mu \int_0^1 K(t,s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) \mu \int_0^1 K(s,v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv dv ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 K(t,s) f\left(s, u(s), u''(s)\right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left\{ u \in C^2[0,1] : u(0) = u(1) = 0, u(t) \geq K(t,t) \|u\|_0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. -u''(t) \geq K(t,t) \|u''\|_0, t \in [0,1] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note P is a cone in $C^2[0,1]$. For $R > 0$, write $B_R = \{u \in C^2[0,1] : \|u\|_2 < R\}$.

We now define a mapping $T : P \rightarrow C^2[0,1]$ by

$$Tu(t) = \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) f\left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv\right) ds d\tau. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that if $u \in P$ then

$$-u''(t) \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right], \tag{10}$$

where $\sigma = \frac{3}{16}$.

Lemma 3. Let $w \in P$. Then the following relations hold:

- 1) $(Tw)(t) \geq K(t, t) \|Tw\|_0$ for $t \in [0,1]$, and
- 2) $-(Tw)''(t) \geq K(t, t) \|Tw''\|_0$ for $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. For simplicity we denote

$$I = \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) w(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) q(v) dv ds d\tau + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) h(s) ds d\tau, \\ J = \mu \int_0^1 K(s, s) w(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) q(v) dv ds + \int_0^1 K(s, s) h(s) ds,$$

and

$$q(v) = g(v, w(v), w''(v)), \\ h(s) = f\left(s, w(s), w''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, w(v), w''(v)) dv\right).$$

From Lemma 1 it is easy to see that

$$K(t, t)I \leq Tw(t) \leq I \text{ and } t \in [0,1] \tag{11}$$

$$K(t, t)J \leq -(Tw)''(t) \leq J, \quad t \in [0,1] \tag{12}$$

Using (11-12), we have

$$\|Tw\|_0 \leq I \text{ and } \left\| -(Tw)'' \right\|_0 \leq J,$$

hence

$$(Tw)(t) \geq K(t, t) \|Tw\|_0 \text{ for } t \in [0,1] \text{ and} \\ -(Tw)''(t) \geq K(t, t) \|Tw''\|_0 \text{ for } t \in [0,1].$$

(H1) Throughout this paper, we assume additionally that the function $f(t, u, v, \varphi)$ satisfies

$$f(t, u, v, \varphi) \leq f_1(t) f_2\left((u + |v|)\varphi\right), \quad t \in (0,1), \quad u \geq 0, v \leq 0,$$

where $f_1 : (0,1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and $f_2 : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous provided

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau < +\infty.$$

Moreover the function $g(t, u, v) : [0,1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ satisfies.

(H2) There exists an $a > 0$ such that $g(t, u, v)$ is non-decreasing in $v \leq a$ for each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$ and $u \in R^+ = [0, +\infty)$, i.e. if $-a \leq v_2 \leq v_1 < 0$ then $g(t, u, v_1) \geq g(t, u, v_2)$.

(H3) For each fixed $0 < r \leq a$

$$0 < \int_0^1 g(s, u, -rK(s, s)) ds < \infty, \quad \forall u \in [0, +\infty).$$

Let us introduce the following notations

$$D_1 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau,$$

$$D_2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau,$$

$$D_3 = \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$D_4 = \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds,$$

$$D_5 = \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau.$$

Lemma 4. Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then for all $u \in P \cap \bar{B}_R / B_r$ where $r < a < R$ the following hold

$$(Tu)(t) \leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0 M + D_4 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right), t \in (0, 1),$$

and

$$-(Tu)''(t) \leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0 M + D_4 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right), t \in (0, 1),$$

where

$$M = \sup_{w \in [0, R]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, -rK(v, v)) dv + \sup_{w \in [0, R]} \sup_{z \in [a, R]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, z) dv.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $D_1 \leq D_3$ and $D_2 \leq D_4$. Let $u \in P \cap \bar{B}_R / B_r$, then by Lemma 6, $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ and by Corollary 7, $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$. Thus $r \leq \|u''\|_0 \leq R$. Also since $u \in P$ we have $-u''(t) \geq K(t, t) \|u''\|_0$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

By Lemma 1. and (H1)-(H3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) f \left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right) ds d\tau \\ &= \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_{|u''(v)| \leq a} K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \\ &+ \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_{|u''(v)| \geq a} K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) f \left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right) ds d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \sup_{w \in [0, R], |u''(v)| \leq a} \int K(v, v) g(v, w, -rK(v, v)) dv ds d\tau \\
 &+ \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \sup_{w \in [0, R], z \in [a, R], |u''(v)| \geq a} \int K(v, v) g(v, w, z) dv ds d\tau \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) f_2 \\
 &\quad \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) ds d\tau \\
 &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \sup_{w \in [0, R]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, -rK(v, v)) dv ds d\tau \\
 &+ \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) \|u\|_0 \left[\sup_{w \in [0, R], z \in [a, R]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, z) dv \right] ds d\tau \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau \\
 &\quad \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) \\
 &\leq \mu D_1 M \|u\|_0 + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (\mu u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) \\
 &\leq \mu D_3 M \|u\|_0 + D_4 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (\mu u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -(Tu)''(t) &\leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u\|_0 M \\
 &+ \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (\mu u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) \\
 &\leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0 M + D_4 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. $T(P) \subset P$ and $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Let $u \in P$, then we define mapping $T : P \rightarrow C^2[0, 1]$ by (9). Then for any $u \in P$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)''(t) &= -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 K(t, s) f \left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, w(v), w''(v)) dv \right) ds \leq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By Lemma 3,

$$Tu(t) \geq K(t, t) \|Tu\|_0, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$-(Tu)''(t) \geq K(t, t) \|(Tu)''\|_0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence $T(P) \subset P$.

Let $V \subset P$ be a bounded set. Then there exists a $d > 0$, such that $\sup \{\|u\|_2 : u \in V\} = d$.

First we prove $T(V)$ is bounded. Since $\|u\|_2 = \max \{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}$, and $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(t) + |u''(t)|) \\ & \leq \mu M (\|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0) \leq 2dM\mu, \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M_d = \sup\{f_2(w) : w \in [0, 2dM\mu]\}$. Now from Lemma 4 we have for any $u \in V$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(t)| &= \left| \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) f\left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, w(v), w''(v)) dv\right) ds d\tau \right| \tag{14} \\ &\leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0 M + D_2 \sup_{s \in (0,1)} f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) \\ &\leq \mu D_1 dM + M_d D_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have a similar type inequality for

$$\left| (Tu)''(t) \right|.$$

Therefore $T(V)$ is bounded.

Next we prove that $T(V)$ is equicontinuous. Now from Lemma 4, we have for any $u \in V$ and any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Tu)(t_1) - (Tu)(t_2)| \\ & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) \\ & \quad f\left(s, u(s), u''(s), \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, w(v), w''(v)) dv\right) ds d\tau \\ & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u\|_0 M \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(t_1, \tau) - K(t_2, \tau)| K(\tau, s) f_1(s) \\ & \quad f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) ds d\tau \\ & \leq \mu |t_1 - t_2| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(s, s) K(s, v) dv ds \|u\|_0 M + M_d |t_1 - t_2| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds d\tau \\ & \leq (\mu D_3 dM + M_d D_4) |t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

We have a similar type inequality for $\left| (Tu)''(t_1) - (Tu)''(t_2) \right|$.

Therefore $T(V)$ is equicontinuous.

Next we prove that T is continuous. Suppose $u_n, u \in P$ and $\|u_n - u\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ which implies that $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t), u_n''(t) \rightarrow u''(t)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. Similarly for $f(t, u, v) \leq f_1(t) f_2(|u| + |v|)$, $f_2(|u_n(t)| + |u_n''(t)|) \rightarrow f_2(|u(t)| + |u''(t)|)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$ and $g(t, u_n(t)) \rightarrow g(t, u(t))$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. The assertion follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |Tu_n(t) - Tu(t)| \\ & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) \left| u_n(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u_n(v), u_n''(v)) dv \right. \\ & \quad \left. - u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right| ds d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) K(\tau, s) |f_1(s)| \left| f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u_n(v), u_n''(v)) dv \right] (u_n(s) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + |u_n''(s)|) \right) - f_2 \left(\mu \left[\int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv \right] (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) \right| ds d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

and the similar estimate for

$$\left| (Tu_n)''(t) - (Tu)''(t) \right|$$

by an application of the standard theorem on the convergence of integrals.

The Ascoli-Arzela theorem guarantees that $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

Lemma 6. If $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ and $u \in C^2[0, 1]$, then $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$, and so, $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$.

Proof. Since $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, there is a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $u'(\alpha) = 0$, and so $u'(t) = \int_\alpha^t u''(s) ds$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence $|u'(t)| \leq \int_\alpha^t |u''(s)| ds \leq \int_0^1 |u''(s)| ds \leq \|u''\|_0$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $\|u'\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$. Since $u(0) = 0$, we have $u(t) = \int_0^t u'(s) ds$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and so $|u(t)| \leq \int_0^1 |u'(s)| ds \leq \|u'\|_0$. Thus $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u'\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$. Since $\|u\|_2 = \max\{\|u\|_0, \|u''\|_0\}$ and $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$, we obtain that $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0$.

Corollary 7. Let $r > 0$ and let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$. Then $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0 = r$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Assume that the following condition holds

(H4)

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_2(w)}{w} = 0,$$

and

$$\liminf_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \min_{t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]} \inf_{u \in [0, +\infty)} \inf_{\varphi \in [0, +\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v, \varphi)}{|v|} = \infty.$$

If $\mu \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4D_1 M_r}\right)$, then problem (3) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Let us choose $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{1}{4D_2}$. Then by (H4), there exist $0 < r < a$ such that

$$f_2(w) \leq c_1 w, \quad w \in [0, r]. \tag{15}$$

Let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$, then by Corollary 7, $\|u\|_2 = \|u''\|_0 = r$ and $u(0) = u(1) = 0$. Also since $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have $u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq r$, $|u''(t)| \leq \|u''\|_0 = r$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$.

$$\text{Let } M_r = \sup_{w \in [0, r]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, -rK(v, v)) dv.$$

We now show that

$$0 \leq \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \leq r, \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2M_r}.$$

To see this, let $\mu \leq \frac{1}{2M_r}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \\ & \leq \mu \sup_{w \in [0, r]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, -rK(v, v)) dv (\|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0) = \mu M_r 2r \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using by (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f_2 \left(\mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) \\ & \leq c_1 \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \leq c_1 r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 4, and (H1-H2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) u(s) ds d\tau \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, u(v), -rK(v, v)) dv \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) f_2 \left(\mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) ds d\tau \\ & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u\|_0 M_r \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) c_1 \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) ds d\tau \\ & \leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0 M_r + c_1 D_2 r \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|Tu\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P. \tag{16}$$

Similarly we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)''(t) & = -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds \\ & - \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(t, u(s), u''(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (Tu)''(t) \right| \leq \mu \int_0^1 K(s, s) ds \|u\|_0 M_r \\ & + \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) f_2 \left(\mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) ds \\ & \leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0 M_r + c_1 D_4 r \leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0 M_r + c_1 D_2 r \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| (Tu)'' \right\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P. \tag{17}$$

Using (16) and (17) we have

$$\|Tu\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 + \|(Tu)''\|_0 \leq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P. \tag{18}$$

Let us choose $c_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sigma D_5}$. Then by condition (H4), there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v, \varphi) \geq c_2 |v|, \quad \forall u \in R^+, \quad \forall \varphi \in R^+, \quad |v| \geq R_1, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right].$$

Let $R > \max\left\{\frac{R_1}{\sigma}, a\right\}$. Let $u \in \partial B_R \cap P$, i.e. $\|u''\|_0 = R$. Thus by using (10) we have

$$\min_{t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} |u''(t)| \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 = \sigma R > R_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\geq \mu \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) u(s) K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) \, dv \, ds \, d\tau \\ &+ \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) f(t, u(s), u''(s), \varphi(s)) \, ds \, d\tau \\ &\geq c_2 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) |u''(s)| \, ds \, d\tau \\ &\geq c_2 \sigma \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) \, ds \, d\tau \|u''\|_0 \geq \|u''\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then due to Lemma 2, by (18) and the above inequality we see that the problem (3) has at least one positive solution.

Theorem 2. Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Assume that the following conditions hold

(H5)

$$\liminf_{|u|+|v| \rightarrow 0^+} \min_{t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} \inf_{\varphi \in [0, +\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v, \varphi)}{|u|+|v|} = \infty,$$

and

$$\liminf_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \min_{t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} \inf_{u \in [0, +\infty)} \inf_{\varphi \in [0, +\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v, \varphi)}{|v|} = \infty.$$

(H6) there exists $0 < \rho < a$ such that

$$\sup_{w \in [0, a]} f_2(w) \leq \frac{\rho}{4D_4}. \tag{19}$$

If $\mu \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4D_3M}\right)$, then problem (3) has at least two positive solutions.

We note for the argument below that $D_1 \leq D_3$ and $D_2 \leq D_4$.

Proof. By condition (H6) there exists $0 < \rho < a$ such that (19) is fulfilled. Let $u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P$, by Corollary 7, $\|u''\|_0 = \rho$, $u(0) = u(1) = 0$. Also since $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have $u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq \rho$, $|u''(t)| \leq \|u''\|_0 = \rho$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$.

$$\text{Let } M_\rho = \sup_{w \in [0, \rho]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, -\rho K(v, v)) dv.$$

We now show that

$$0 \leq \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \leq \rho, \quad s \in [0, 1], \text{ if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2M_\rho}.$$

To see this, let $\mu \leq \frac{1}{2M_\rho}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \\ & \leq \mu \sup_{w \in [0, \rho]} \int_0^1 K(v, v) g(v, w, -\rho K(v, v)) dv (\|u\|_0 + \|u''\|_0) = \mu M_\rho 2\rho \leq \rho. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (H6), $\forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) & \leq \mu \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u\|_0 M \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) f_2 \left(\mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) ds d\tau \\ & \leq \mu D_1 \|u\|_0 M + \frac{\rho}{4D_4} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(\tau, \tau) K(\tau, s) f_1(s) ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \rho = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \|u''\|_0 = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\|Tu\|_0 \leq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P. \tag{20}$$

Similarly we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)''(t) & = -\mu \int_0^1 K(t, s) u(s) \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv ds d\tau \\ & \quad - \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s), u''(s), \varphi(s)) ds d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (Tu)''(t) \right| & \leq \mu \int_0^1 K(s, s) ds \|u\|_0 M \\ & + \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) f_2 \left(\mu \int_0^1 K(s, v) g(v, u(v), u''(v)) dv (u(s) + |u''(s)|) \right) ds \\ & \leq \mu D_3 \|u\|_0 M + \frac{\rho}{4D_4} \int_0^1 K(s, s) f_1(s) ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \rho = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_0 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| (Tu)'' \right\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P. \tag{21}$$

Using (20) and (21) we have

$$\|Tu\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 + \left\| (Tu)'' \right\|_0 \leq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_\rho \cap P. \tag{22}$$

Let us choose $c_3 \geq \frac{1}{\sigma D_5}$. The by condition (H5), there exists $0 < r < \rho$ such that

$$f(t, u, v, \varphi) \geq c_3 (u + |v|), \quad \forall u \in [0, r], \quad \forall \varphi \in [0, \infty), \quad \forall |v| \in [0, r], \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right].$$

Let $u \in \partial B_r \cap P$, by Corollary 7, $\|u''\|_0 = r$, $u(0) = u(1) = 0$. Also since $\|u\|_0 \leq \|u''\|_0$ we have

$$0 \leq u(t) \leq \|u\|_0 \leq r,$$

$$|u''(t)| \leq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2 = r, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Thus by using (10) we have

$$\min_{t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right]} |u''(t)| \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 = \sigma r, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

The estimate for $(Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 *i.e.* from Lemma 1 and (H5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\geq c_3 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) (u(s) + |u''(s)|) ds d\tau \\ &\geq c_3 \sigma \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) ds d\tau \|u''\|_0 \geq \|u''\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_r \cap P.$$

Finally we show that for sufficiently large $R > a$, it holds

$$\|Tu\|_2 \geq \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

To see this, we choose $c_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sigma D_5}$. Due to condition (H5), there exist $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v, \varphi) \geq c_2 |v|, \quad \forall u \in R^+, \quad \forall \varphi \in R^+, \quad |v| \geq R_1, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right].$$

Let $R > \max\left\{ \frac{R_1}{\sigma}, a \right\}$. Let $u \in \partial B_R \cap P$, by Corollary 7, $\|u''\|_0 = R$. Thus by

using (10) we have

$$\min_{t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} |u''(t)| \geq \sigma \|u''\|_0 = \sigma R > R_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, (H1) and (H4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\geq c_2 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) |u''(s)| \, ds \, d\tau \\ &\geq c_2 \sigma \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tau\right) K(\tau, s) \, ds \, d\tau \|u''\|_0 \geq \|u''\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(Tu)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geq \|u''\|_0 = \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Consequently,

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \|Tu\|_0 \leq \|Tu\|_2, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \cap P.$$

Then by Lemma 2, we know that T has at least two fixed points in $(\bar{B}_R \setminus B_\rho) \cap P$ and $(\bar{B}_\rho \setminus B_r) \cap P$, i.e. problem (3) has at least two positive solutions.

4. Conclusion

This paper investigates the existence of positive solutions for a singular fourth-order differential system using a fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression type. The nonlinear terms may be singular with respect to both the time and space variables. The problem comes from the deformation analysis of an elastic beam in the equilibrium state, whose two ends are simply supported. The results obtained herein generalize and improve some known results including singular and non-singular cases.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Gupta, C.P. (1988) Existence and Uniqueness Theorems for a Bending of an Elastic Beam Equation. *Applicable Analysis*, **26**, 289-304. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036818808839715>
- [2] Gupta, C.P. (1990) Existence and Uniqueness Results for Some Fourth Order Fully Quasilinear Boundary Value Problem. *Applicable Analysis*, **36**, 169-175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036819008839930>
- [3] Liu, B. (2004) Positive Solutions of Fourth-Order Two Point Boundary Value Problem. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **148**, 407-420. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003\(02\)00857-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003(02)00857-3)
- [4] Ma, R. (1999) Positive Solutions of Fourth-Order Two Point Boundary Value Problems, *Ann. Differential Equations*, **15**, 305-313.
- [5] Ma, R., Zhang, J. and Fu, S. (1997) The Method of Lower and Upper Solutions for

- Fourth-Order Two Point Boundary Value Problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **215**, 415-422. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1997.5639>
- [6] Ma, R. and Wang, H. (1995) On the Existence of Positive Solutions of Fourth-Order Ordinary Differential Equations. *Applicable Analysis*, **59**, 225-231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036819508840401>
- [7] Aftabzadeh, A.R. (1986) Existence and Uniqueness Theorems for Fourth-Order Boundary Problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **116**, 415-426. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(86\)80006-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(86)80006-3)
- [8] Yang, Y. (1988) Fourth-Order Two-Point Boundary Value Problems. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **104**, 175-180. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1988-0958062-3>
- [9] Del Pino, M.A. and Manasevich, R.F. (1991) Existence for Fourth-Order Boundary Problem under a Two-Parameter Nonresonance Condition. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **112**, 81-86. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1991-1043407-9>
- [10] Agarwal, R.P., Kovacs, B. and O'Regan, D. (2013) Positive Solutions for a Sixth-Order Boundary Value Problem with Four Parameters. *Boundary Value Problems*, **1**, 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-2770-2013-184>
- [11] Agarwal, R.P., Kovacs, B. and O'Regan, D. (2014) Existence of Positive Solution for a Sixth-Order Differential System with Variable Parameters. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, **44**, 437-454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-013-0701-1>
- [12] Agarwal, R.P., Kovacs, B. and O'Regan, D. (2014) Existence of Positive Solutions for a Fourth-Order Differential System. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, **112**, 301-312. <https://doi.org/10.4064/ap112-3-7>
- [13] Wang, F. and An, Y. (2011) Positive Solutions for a Second-Order Differential Systems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **373**, 370-375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.07.031>
- [14] Cui, Y. and Zou, Y. (2009) Existence and Uniqueness Theorems for Fourth-Order Singular Boundary Value Problems. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **58**, 1449-1456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.07.041>
- [15] López-Somoza, L. and Minhós, F. (2019) Existence and Multiplicity Results for Some Generalized Hammerstein Equations with a Parameter. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2019**, Article No. 423. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2359-y>
- [16] Cabrera, I.J., López, B. and Sadarangani, K. (2018) Existence of Positive Solutions for the Nonlinear Elastic Beam Equation via a Mixed Monotone Operator. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **327**, 306-313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2017.04.031>
- [17] Guo, D. and Lakshmikantham, V. (1988) *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, New York.