A New Kind of Pre-Mean-Type Mappings and Its Gauss Iteration
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Abstract
A function which is reflexive is called by pre-mean, a more generalized definition of a mean. In this paper, we define a new pre-mean and study its properties, and then using the given invariant curve we consider the problem of convergence of Gauss iteration of a kind of pre-mean type mappings generated by the exponential and logarithmic functions.
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1. Introduction
Mean value is an important fundamental concept in mathematics. For thousands of years, many forms of mean have been proposed, such as the arithmetic mean

\[ A(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_p}{p}, \]

the geometric mean \( G : (0, +\infty)^p \rightarrow (0, \infty) : \)

\[ G(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = \sqrt[p]{x_1 \cdots x_p}, \]

the harmonize mean \( H : (0, +\infty)^p \rightarrow (0, \infty) : \)

\[ H(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = \frac{p}{\frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_p}}. \]

Although there are various mean forms, they all meet the following definitions ([1]):

Let \( I \subset \mathbb{R} \) be an open interval. If the function \( M : I^p \rightarrow I \) satisfies
\[ \min \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\} \leq M(x, y) \leq \max \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}, \quad x_1, \ldots, x_p \in I, \quad (1) \]

then \( M \) is called a mean on the interval \( I \). When the above inequalities strictly hold, \( M \) is called strict mean.

**Theorem 1.** ([2] [3]) If the mean \( M \) and \( N \) of interval \( I \) are continuous, that is, any \( x, y \in I, \quad x \neq y \), we have
\[
\max (M(x, y), N(x, y)) - \min (M(x, y), N(x, y)) < \max (x, y) - \min (x, y),
\]

then
1) For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), Gauss iteration \( (M, N)^n \) of the mapping \( (M, N) \) is the mean type map on \( I^2 \).
2) There is a continuous mean \( K : I^2 \to I \), so that \( [(M, N)]^{\infty} \) converges to \( (K, K) \).
3) \( K \) is \( (M, N) \)-invariant mean, and is the unique \( (M, N) \)-invariant mean.
4) If \( (M, N) \) is a strict mean mapping, that is, \( M \) and \( N \) are a strict mean, then \( K \) is also a strict mean.
5) If \( M \) and \( N \) are (strictly) increasing for each variable, then \( K \) is also (strictly) increasing for each variable.

The above theorem provides the relationship between invariant equations and convergence of iteration of mean-type mappings. By studying the problem of invariant equations, we can study the dynamic behavior of mean-type mappings. There are many works to study kinds of invariant equations with different means ([4]-[8]). For the pre-mean-type mapping, the results have been still few. Next we will introduce some definitions for the pre-mean.

Obviously, it can be seen from the inequalities (1) that any mean satisfies the reflexivity, i.e.
\[ M(x, \ldots, x) = x, \quad x \in I. \]

However, not all the functions that satisfy the reflexivity are means. In other words, there are functions in the interval \( I \) that satisfy the reflexivity but not be means. In 2006, Matkowski ([9]) gave the definition of pre-mean as follows

If the function \( M : I^p \to I \) satisfies reflexivity, \( M \) is called a pre-mean.

This indicates that a mean must be a pre-mean, but a pre-mean is not necessarily a mean, and the pre-mean is a generalized function than the mean. For example, the function \( M : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined as
\[
M(x, y) = \ln \left( \frac{1}{3} \cdot e^{x_1} + \frac{2}{3} e^{x_2} \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},
\]

which satisfies reflexivity, but \( M \left( \ln \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) = \ln \frac{27}{24} > \max \left( \ln \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \) does not satisfy the definition of mean, so this function is a pre-mean but not a mean. But if a pre-mean is (strictly) increasing with respect to each variable, then it must be a (strict) mean ([9] [10]).

In this paper, we will consider the convergence of iterates of pre-mean type...
mappings of the form \( \left( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[1-p,q]} \right) \) with \( \lambda, \mu \in (0,1) \), \( p, q \in \mathbb{R} \), \( p \neq q \), where \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfies

\[
E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x,y) = \ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}}{\mu e^{px} + (1-\mu)e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

2. Some Definitions and Auxiliary Results

**Lemma 1** Suppose \( p, q \in \mathbb{R} \), \( p \neq q \) and \( \lambda, \mu \in (0,1) \), then

1) \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) is the pre-mean.

2) \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) is the mean if and only if \( \lambda = \mu \) or \( pq \leq 0 \).

**Proof.** 1) If \( \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \), make \( x = y \), then \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x,x) = \ln \left( \frac{e^{px}}{e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} = x \), so it is a pre-mean.

2) Firstly, for the case \( \lambda = \mu \), \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x,x) = \ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}}{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \). Let \( x < y \), \( p > q \), then \( (p-q)x < (p-q)y \) and

\[
e^{px+qy} < e^{pq+x+y}.
\]

It can be obtained after doing a simple calculation on the above formula

\[
\left( \lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy} \right)e^{qy} < \left( \lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy} \right)e^{pq},
\]

that is

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}}{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} < y.
\]

Similarly, in the same way, we get

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}}{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} > x, \text{ i.e.}
\]

\[
x < \ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}}{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} < y.
\]

For the case of \( p < q \), it can be proved similarly. From the definition of the mean value, the

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}}{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \in (x,y), \quad E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \text{ is a mean at } \lambda = \mu.
\]

Secondly, for the case \( pq \leq 0 \), it is obvious that the function \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) is increasing with respect to each of the variables, so it is a mean. The proof of the “if” part has been finished. To prove the “only if” result, assume that \( \lambda = \mu \) or \( pq \leq 0 \) and consider two possible cases.

**Case** \( p > 0 \) and \( q > 0 \).

If \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) were a mean, there is

\[
E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(\ln(x),0) < 0 \text{ and } E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(0,\ln(x)) < 0, \quad x \in (0,1)
\]
that is
\[
\left(\frac{\lambda x^p + (1-\lambda)}{\mu x^q + (1-\mu)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\lambda + (1-\lambda)x^p}{\mu + (1-\mu)x^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} < 1, \quad x \in (0,1).
\]

Therefore, if \( p-q > 0 \), then
\[
\frac{\lambda x^p + (1-\lambda)}{\mu x^q + (1-\mu)} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda + (1-\lambda)x^p}{\mu + (1-\mu)x^q} < 1, \quad x \in (0,1).
\]

Let \( x \to 0 \), then
\[
\frac{\lambda}{1-\mu} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \leq 1. \tag{2}
\]

If \( p-q < 0 \), then
\[
\frac{\lambda x^p + (1-\lambda)}{\mu x^q + (1-\mu)} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda + (1-\lambda)x^p}{\mu + (1-\mu)x^q} > 1, \quad x \in (0,1).
\]

Let \( x \to 0 \), then
\[
\frac{\lambda}{1-\mu} \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \geq 1. \tag{3}
\]

So, if and only if \( \lambda = \mu \), Equations (2) and (3) hold.

**Case** \( p < 0 \) and \( q < 0 \).

Since
\[
E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) + E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y)
\]
\[
= \ln \left(\frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qx}}{(1-\mu)e^{px} + \mu e^{qx}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} + \ln \left(\frac{\lambda + (1-\lambda)x^p}{\mu + (1-\mu)x^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}
\]
\[
= \ln \left(\frac{\lambda e^{px} + (1-\lambda)e^{qx}}{(1-\mu)e^{px} + \mu e^{qx}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} = x + y,
\]

then \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) = x + y - E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) \). Therefore, if \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) were a mean, that is, for all \( x < y \) we have
\[
x \leq E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) \leq y,
\]
then by \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) = x + y - E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) \), we have
\[
x \leq E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) \leq y,
\]
that is, \( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) would be a mean, which contradicts the previous case. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2** Let \( p, q \in \mathbb{R} \), \( p \neq q \), \( \lambda, \mu \in (0,1) \), then the arithmetic mean \( A \) is invariant with respect to the pre-mean type mapping \( \left( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \right) \), that is
\[
A \circ \left( E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \right) = A.
\]

**Proof.** For all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \), we have
To consider the convergence of iterates of the pre-mean but not mean mappings, we now give the definition of invariant curves.

Let \( M, N : I^2 \rightarrow I \), \( K : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be some functions, let \( J \subset I \), \( f : J \rightarrow I \) be a function on \( J \). If there is

\[
(f \circ (\lambda \mu), \lambda \mu \lambda \mu - - - -)(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^x + (1 - \lambda) e^y}{\mu e^x + (1 - \mu) e^y} \right)
\]

we say that the graph of the function \( f \) is an invariant curve with respect to the map \((M, N)\), briefly, \((M, N)\) - invariant curve ([2]).

To explore the connection between the pre-mean map and its invariant curve, Lemma 3 is introduced.

**Lemma 3** Let \( p, q \in \mathbb{R}, p \neq q, \lambda, \mu \in (0,1), \) then

1. For every \( a \in \mathbb{R} \), the graph of the function \( f(x) = 2a - x, x \in \mathbb{R} \), that is,

\[
H_a = \{(x, 2a - x) | x \in \mathbb{R}\},
\]

is \( \left( E_{\lambda \mu}, E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right) \) - invariant curve, and in particular \( \left( E_{\lambda \mu}, E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right) (H_a) \subset H_a \).

2. The point \( (a, a) \) is the only fixed point of the mapping \( \left( E_{\lambda \mu}, E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right) \) in the set \( H_a \).

3. The family of sets \( \{H_a : a > 0\} \) forms a partition of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), that is \( H_a \cap H_b = \emptyset \) for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( a \neq b \), and \( \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} H_a = \mathbb{R}^2 \).

**Proof.** Fix \( a \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
A(x, f(x)) = \frac{x + f(x)}{2} = a,
\]

and using Lemma 2, we get

\[
A\left( E_{\lambda \mu}^{[p,q]}(x, f(x)), E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]}(x, f(x)) \right) = A(x, f(x)) = a,
\]

that is

\[
\frac{E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]}(x, f(x)) + E_{\lambda \mu}^{[p,q]}(x, f(x))}{2} = \frac{x + f(x)}{2} = a,
\]

\[
E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]}(x, f(x)) = 2a - E_{\lambda \mu}^{[p,q]}(x, f(x))
\]

therefore,

\[
f(E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]}(x, f(x))) = E_{\lambda \mu}^{[-p,-q]}(x, f(x))
\]

According to the definition of invariant curves, the function \( f(x) = 2a - x \)
is the invariant curve of \((E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]})\). Therefore, the first result is correct and the other two parts are obvious. □

3. Convergence of Iteration of the Pre-Mean Mappings

Using Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, we can get the following:

**Theorem 2** If \( \lambda = \mu \) or \( pq \leq 0 \), the iterative sequence

\[
\left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^n_{n=0}
\]

is pointwise convergent on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^n(x, y) = \left( \frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2} \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

It is difficult to consider the convergence problem for the case \( \lambda \neq \mu \) and \( pq > 0 \). Lemma 3 tells us that, \( H_a \) is the invariant curve of \((E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]})\).

We will study the convergence problem of the restrictions of this map to the invariant sets \( H_a, a \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Theorem 3** Let \( p, q \in \mathbb{R}, \; p \neq q, \lambda, \mu \in (0, 1) \). For every \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) it holds

\[
\left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^n(x, 2a - x) = \left( f_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x), 2a - f_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x) \right),
\]

where the function \( f_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]} \) is defined as

\[
f_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x) = E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, 2a - x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\]

that is

\[
f_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x) = \ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{\rho x} + (1 - \lambda) e^{\rho (2a - x)}}{\mu e^{\rho x} + (1 - \mu) e^{\rho (2a - x)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

**Proof.** For the convenience of writing, write \( E = E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, \; f_a = f_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} \). Because

\[
E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}(x, y) + E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} = x + y,
\]

there is,

\[
\left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)(x, y) = \left( E(x, y), x + y - E(x, y) \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

When \( n = 1 \), the Equation (4) is correct, in fact

\[
\left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)(x, 2a - x) = \left( E(x, 2a - x), 2a - E(x, 2a - x) \right)
= \left( f_a(x), 2a - f_a(x) \right).
\]

Suppose (4) holds for some \( n = k \in \mathbb{N} \), that is

\[
\left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^k(x, 2a - x) = \left( f_a^k(x), 2a - f_a^k(x) \right),
\]

then for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[
\left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^{k+1}(x, 2a - x)
= \left( E_{1,\mu}^{[p,q]}, E_{1,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^k \left( E(x, 2a - x), 2a - E(x, 2a - x) \right)
= \left( f_a^k(E(x, 2a - x)), 2a - f_a^k(E(x, 2a - x)) \right)
= \left( f_a^k(f_a(x)), 2a - f_a^k(f_a(x)) \right) = \left( f_a^{k+1}(x), 2a - f_a^{k+1}(x) \right),
\]
that is to say, when \( n = k + 1 \), (4) is still established, the certificate is finished. □

To further study the theorem 3, we obtain the following

**Corollary 1**

Let \( p, q \in \mathbb{R}, \ p \neq q, \ \lambda, \mu \in (0,1) \), if

\[
\left| \frac{(2\lambda-1)p-(2\mu-1)q}{p-q} \right| < 1,
\]

then there is an open set \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) containing the diagonal \( \Delta = \{x, x \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \} \) such that

\[
\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( E_{x,\lambda,\mu}^{[p,q]} E_{x,\lambda,\mu}^{[-p,-q]} \right)^n (x, y) = \left( \frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2} \right), \quad (x, y) \in U.
\]

**Proof.** According to theorem 3, we can obtain that

\[
f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]} (a) = E(a) = a,
\]
as well as

\[
(f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]})'(x) = \ln \left( \frac{\lambda e^{\mu x} + (1-\lambda) e^{2a-x}}{\mu e^{\mu x} + (1-\mu) e^{2a-x}} \right) \frac{1}{p-q} = \frac{1}{p-q} \left[ p - \frac{2p(1-\lambda) e^{p(2a-x)}}{\lambda e^{\mu x} + (1-\lambda) e^{p(2a-x)} - q + 2q (1-\mu) e^{q(2a-x)}} \right].
\]

So,

\[
\left( f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]} \right)(a) = \frac{(2\lambda-1)p-(2\mu-1)q}{p-q}.
\]

It can be seen that any real number \( a, a \) are the fixed point of \( f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]} \) and \( (f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]})(a) \) is independent of the value of \( a \).

Since \( (f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]})(a) < 1 \), according to the compression mapping principle,

\[
\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]} \right)^n (x) = f_{[\lambda,\mu],\mu}^{[p,q]} (a) = \frac{x+y}{2},
\]

which complete the proof. □
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