Design, Synthesis of Analgesics and Anticancer of Some New Derivatives of Benzimidazole
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Abstract
This work, contains some new compounds from benzimidazole derivatives, which are synthesized by condensation of Orthophenylene diamine and Carbon disulfide resulting in 2-Mercapto-benzimidazole which is treated by alcoholic potassium hydroxide forming potassium salt of 2-mercaptobenzimidazole which reacts with different substances (alkyl chloroacetates, chloroacetic chloride, alkyl halides) also the ethoxy carbonyl methyl thiobenzimidazole reacts with different amines. In addition to chloromethyl benzimidazole which resulted from the reaction between orthophenylene diamine and chloroacetic acid, which reacted with different amines. The synthesized compound tested as analgesics and anticancer activity the new derivatives revealed moderate, strong and very strong analgesics and moderate and strong anticancer activity.
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1. Introduction
The chemical structure of heterocyclic compounds especially the structures which have benzo diazine and benzo diazole (phthalazine, Quinoxaline, Quinazoline and benzimidazole) have many biological activities antibacterial, anticonvulsant, oral hypoglycemics, anticancer, anthelmintics, antiprotozoal, anti-inflammatory, analgesics, antioxidants, growth regulators, and other biological uses. So, we choose the benzimidazoles which are promising nucleus. It has an analgesic activity like diclofenac and also has anticancer activity as doxorubisn i.e., six membered ring
fused with five membered ring containing two nitrogen like some compounds of anticancer, so we synthesized the following structure [1]-[23].
2. Chemistry and Experimental

2.1. 1H-benzo[d]imidazole-2-thiol (1)

Weight 10.8 g (0.1 mol) of orthophenylene diamine (OPD) and 10 ml of carbon disulfide were refluxed in ethanol for 35 hours. Then allow to cool, the formed precipitate was collected. Crystallize from ethanol as reported. M.P: 300˚C - 304˚C.

2.2. Potassium 1H-benzo[d]imidazole-2-thiolate (2)

We take 1.5 gm (0.01 mol) of 2-mercaptobenzimidazole (1) and react with alcoholic potassium hydroxide (KOH) (0.56 gm 0.01 mol) refluxed with ethanol for 30 min with stirring, the yield is collected and dried, crystalized from ethanol the yield was 95% (1.786 gm) melting point > 300.

2.3. Alkyl 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) Thio) Acetate (3a-c)

To 1.88 gm (0.01 mol) of potassium salt of 1H-benzo[d]imidazole-2-thiolate we add 0.01 mol of the alkyl chloroacetate, then refluxed in DMF (Dimethylformamide) on water bath for 6 hours then pour on crushed ice forming the precipitate filter, dried and crystallized from ethanol.

The compound 3a R=CH₃ yield, 60% (1.332 gm), Melting point: 181˚C - 183˚C, Molecular formula C₁₀H₁₀N₂O₂S (227) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 54.10, H: 4.5 and N 12.92 and the Found: C: 53.78, H: 4.41 and N: 13.01.

The compound 3b R=C₂H₅ yield, 95% (2.242 gm), Melting point: 123˚C - 125˚C, Molecular formula C₁₁H₁₂N₂O₂S (236) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 55.6, H: 5.1 and N 11.86 and the Found: C: 56.14, H: 5.25 and N 12.14.

IR (cm⁻¹) 1710 (COOEt) and 3100 NH
1H NMR (ppm): 1 (S, 3H, CH₃), 4.1 (d,2H CH₂) 7.1-7.4 (m, aromatic protons) and 12.4 (S, H, NH of ring).

The compound 3c R=iso C₃H₇ yield, 50% (1.25 gm), Melting point: 202˚C - 204˚C, Molecular formula C₁₂H₁₄N₂O₂S (250) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 57.6, H: 5.6 and N 11.2 and the Found: C: 57.89, H: 5.83 and N 11.46.
IR (cm⁻¹): 1690 (COOCH(CH₃)₂) and 3111 NH

¹HNMR (ppm): 1.19 (m, -CH-(CH₃)₂, 4.15 -SCH₂, 4.93 CH(CH₃)₂ 7.13 - 8 (m, aromatic protons) and 12.54 (s, NH of the ring).

2.4. 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) Thio) Acetyl Chloride (4)

![Acetyl Chloride (4)](image)

To 1.88 gm (0.01 mol) of potassium salt (2) react with (1.12 ml) (0.01 mol) of chloroacetyl chloride in Dimethyl formamide (DMF), refluxed on water bath for 3 hours, pour into crushed ice the precipitate of compound (4) is formed. Allow the compound to dry and crystallized from glacial acetic acid.

Yield 90% (2.03 gm), Melting point 98°C - 99°C, Molecular weight: 226, Molecular formula C₉H₇N₂OSCl.

2.5. 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)-N-(4-sulfamoylphenyl) acetamide (5a), 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)-N-(4-(N-acetyl sulfamoyl) phenyl) acetamide (5b), 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)-N-(4-(N-(thiazol-2-yl) sulfamoyl)phenyl)acetamide (5c)

The compound 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio) acetyl chloride (4) reacts with different derivatives of sulfonamide (1.72 gm 0.01 mol) and the (2.14 gm 0.01 mol) of corresponding sulfa refluxed in DMF on water bath for 4 hours then poured on crushed ice forming compounds (5a-c).

The compound 5a R₂=H yield, 90% (3.26 gm), Melting point: 278°C - 279°C, Molecular formula C₁₅H₁₄N₄O₃S₂ (362) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 49.7, H: 3.89 and N 15.46 and the Found: C: 49.31, H: 4.92 and N 15.31.


The compound 5c R₂= yield, 50% (2.295 gm), Melting point: 231°C - 233°C, Molecular formula C₁₉H₁₇N₅O₃S₃ (459) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 49.66, H: 3.75 and N 12.25 and the Found: C: 49.85, H: 3.52 and N 15.04.

¹HNMR (ppm) 1.2 (S,3H CH₃ of thiazole ring), 4.91 (d,2H CH₃)-S 7.13 - 7.72
(m, 8H of aromatic protons) and 10.27 (S, NH of benzimidazole ring respectively).

### 2.6. 2-(Chloromethyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (6)

![Image of 2-(Chloromethyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole](image)

The orthophenylene diamine (1.08 gm, 0.01 mol) with chloroacetic acid (0.94 gm 0.01 mol) in ethanol, reflux for 4 hours the compound (6) is formed allow to cool and crystallized from ethanol, Yield 95% (1.577 gm), Melting point 181 - 183, Molecular weight (166) Molecular formula: C₈H₇N₂Cl.

### 2.7. 4-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)methyl)amino)benzenesulfonylamide (7 a), N-((4-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)methyl)-amino)-phenyl)sulfonyl)acetamide (7b), 4-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)methyl)amino)-N-(5-methylthiazol-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (7c)

![Image of 4-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)methyl)amino)benzenesulfonylamide](image)

The compound 2-(Chloromethyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (6) (1.66 gm 0.01 mol) reacts with the corresponding sulfa drug (sulfanilamide, sulfacetamide and sulfamethazole) respectively (0.01 mol) in DMF on water bath for 4 hours and pour them on crushed ice to obtain the compound (7a-c).

The compound 7a R=H yield, 75% (226.5 gm), Melting point: 231˚C - 233˚C, Molecular formula C₁₄H₁₄N₄O₂S (302) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 55.62, H: 4.67 and N: 18.53 and the Found: C: 55.44, H: 4.91 and N 18.67.

The compound 7b R=COOCH₃ yield, 80% (323.2 gm), Melting point: 250˚C - 252˚C, Molecular formula C₁₆H₁₆N₄O₃S (404) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 55.80, H: 4.68 and N: 16.27 and the Found: C: 56.02, H: 4.91 and N 16.54.

The compound 7c R= yield, 45% (1.8 gm), Melting point: 281˚C - 283˚C, Molecular formula C₁₈H₁₇N₅O₂S₂ (459) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 54.12, H: 4.29 and N 17.53 and the Found: C: 54.32, H: 4.43 and N 17.80.


![Image of N-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) Methyl) Pyridin-2-Amine](image)
The compound 2-(Chloromethyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (6) (1.66 gm (0.01 mol) react with 2-aminopyridine (0.095 gm 0.01 mol) and refluxed on DMF on water bath for 4 hours then pour into crushed ice, filtrate dried and crystallized from ethanol Yield 60% (1.34 gm), Melting point 216˚C - 217˚C Molecular weight: 224, Molecular formula C_{13}H_{13}N_{4} the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 69.52, H: 5.39 and N 27.94 and the Found: C: 69.87, H: 5.18 and N 25.21. 
IR (cm\textsuperscript{−1}): 3250 cm\textsuperscript{−1} (NH) and 3100 cm \textsuperscript{−1} of aromatic ring
\textsuperscript{1}HNMR (ppm): 4.3 (d,2H CH\textsubscript{2}), 6.81 - 7.9 (m 8H, of aromatic protons of pyridine and benzene of benzimidazole) and 12.2 (s, H NH) of the benzimidazole ring.

2.9. 4-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) Methyl) Amino) Butanoic Acid (9)

The compound 2-(Chloromethyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (6) (1.66 gm 0.01 mol) reacts with (0.89gm 0.01 mol) of 4 amino butanoic acid refluxed in DMF on water bath for 3 hours, then pour on crushed ice, filtered, dried and crystallized from ethanol. Yield 95% (2.21 gm), Molecular weight 233, Molecular formula C_{12}H_{15}N_{3}O_{3} Melting point: 141˚C - 143˚C and) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 61.79, H: 6.48 and N 18.01 and the Found: C: 62.09, H: 6.56 and N 17.95. 
IR (cm\textsuperscript{−1}): 1565 cm\textsuperscript{−1} (COOH) and 3245 cm −1 of NH and 3130 cm −1 for benzene ring.
\textsuperscript{1}HNMR (ppm): (1.5 - 2.3 (m, (CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}, 4.3 CH\textsubscript{2}-NH, 4.7 NH-CH\textsubscript{2}, 7.1 - 7.5 (m 4 H of aromatic ring, 10.5 s, H COOH and 12.3 s, H of NH of the ring.

2.10. 2-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) Thio) Acetohydrazide (10)

The compound 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio) acetyl chloride (6) (2.36 gm 0.01 mol) reacts with Hydrazine hydrate (0.32 gm) excess. the reaction heated over heater for 30 min and left the compound to cool, filtered, dried and crystallized from ethanol. Yield: 95% (2.11 gm), Melting point: 246˚C - 248˚C Molecular weight: 222, Molecular formula C_{9}H_{10}N_{4}OS and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 48.63, H: 4.54 and N: 25.21 and the Found: C: 48.42, H: 4.75 and N 24.75.
IR (cm\textsuperscript{−1}): 1565 cm\textsuperscript{−1} CO and 3241 of NH , 3335 NH\textsubscript{2} and 3169 for benzene ring.
1HNMR (ppm): 4.3 (d, 2H NH2), 9.1 (s, H, NH-NH2) 3.6 (d, 2H, CH2) 7.1 - 7.5 (m, 4H of aromatic protons) and 12.2 (s, H, NH of the ring).

2.11. 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio)-N'-phenylacetohydrazide (11)

The compound (6) (2.36 gm, 0.01 mol) reacts with phenyl Hydrazine (1.08 gm, 0.01 mol) respectively refluxed in ethanol for 3 hours. left the compound to cool, filtered, dried and crystallized from ethanol. Yield: 75% (2.23 gm), Melting point: 288˚C - 290˚C Molecular weight: 298, Molecular formula C15H14N4OS C9H10N4OS and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 60.36, H: 4.73 and N 18.78 and the Found: C: 60.23, H: 4.98 and N 18.96.

IR (cm⁻¹): 1695 cm⁻¹ CO and 3245 of NH, and 3145 for benzene ring.

1HNMR (ppm): 3.90(d, 2H CH2), 5.8 (s, H, C=ONH -NH), 8.4 (S, 1H-NH aromatic) 6.5 - 7.8 (m, 9H of aromatic protons) and 12.1 (s, 1H of NH of the ring).

2.12. 2-((2-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio) acetyl)-2,3-dihydrophtalazine-1,4-dione (12)

To conical flask weight (2.22 gm, 0.01 mol) of compound 2-((1H-benzo[d]-imidazol-2-yl) thio) acetohydrazide (10) (2- add (1.48 gm, 0.01 mol) of phthalic anhydride and refluxed in ethanol for 8 hours then left it to cool, filtered, dried and crystallized from ethanol.

Yield 95% (3.34 gm), Melting point: 272˚C - 274˚C, Molecular weight: 352, Molecular formula: C14H12N4O3S and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 57.95, H: 3.43 and N 15.9 and the Found: C: 57.89, H: 3.46 and N 15.78.

1HNMR (ppm): 3.90(d, 2H CH2), 7.2-8 (m, 8H of aromatic protons, 11.9 (s, H, NH of phthalazine ring) and 12.1 (s, H, NH of benzimidazole).

2.13. (Z)-2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio)-N' benzylideneacetohydrazide (13a)

(Z)-2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio)-N’-(4-methoxybenzylidene) acetohydrazide (13b)

(Z)-2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio)-N’-(2-methoxybenzylidene) acetohydrazide (13c)

Weight (2.22 gm 0.01 mol) of compound 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio) acetohydrazide (10) in conical flask. add the corresponding weight of aromatic aldehyde (0.01 mol) and refluxed respectively in glacial acetic acid for 8 hours. pour on water, filtered, dried and crystallized from glacial acetic acid.

The compound 13a R=H yield, 90% (2.79 gm), Melting point: 262°C - 264°C, Molecular formula C16H14N4OS (310) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 61.92, H: 4.55 and N 18.05 and the Found: C: 62.17, H: 4.28 and N 18.23.

IR (cm⁻¹): 1695 - CO, 3235 -NH, 3335 NH and 3110 for aromatic

¹HNMR (ppm): 4.2(d, 2H, CH₂) 8.41 (s, H, CH aromatic) 7.2  - 8 (m, 8H, aromatic protons) and 12.1 (s, H, NH of the ring).

The compound 13b R=P-methoxy benzaldehyde yield, 60% (2.04 gm), Melting point: 287°C - 298°C, Molecular formula C17H16N4O2S (340) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 60, H: 4.71 and N 16.5 and the Found : C: 60.17, H: 4.28 and N 16.23.

IR (cm⁻¹): 1690 (-CO-), 3335 NH and 3115 of aromatic

¹HNMR (ppm): 2.4(s,3H, CH₃), 4.1(d, 2H, CH₂), 8.3 (s, H, CH aromatic) 7.2 - 8 (m, 8H, aromatic protons) and 12.1 (s, H, NH of the ring).

The compound 13c R=P-methoxy yield, 50% (1.7 gm), Melting point: 255°C - 257°C, Molecular formula C₁₇H₁₄N₂O₂S (340) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 60, H: 4.71 and N 16.23 and the Found: C: 59.54, H: 5.15 and N 16.4.

IR (cm⁻¹): 1685 -CO-, 3340 -NH-, 3110 for aromatic

¹HNMR (ppm): 3.7(s,3H, CH₃) 4.2(d,2H, CH₂) and 8.3 (s,1H of aromatic ring) 7.3 - 8 (m,8H aromatic protons) and 12.1 (s,1H, NH of the ring).

2.14. 2-(Alkylthio)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (14a-c)

In conical flask weight (1.88 gm 0.01 mol) of compound (2) Potassium salt add the corresponding alkyl halide (methyl chloride, propyl chloride and butyl chloride) (0.51 gm, 0.79 gm and.93 gm) respectively and refluxed in DMF on water bath for 5 hours then allowed to cool, filtered dried and crystallized from ethanol.

The compound 14a R=CH₃ yield, 70% (1.15 gm), Melting point: 220°C - 222°C, Molecular formula C₈H₈N₂S (164) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 58.51, H: 4.91 and N 17.60 and the Found: C: 58.13, H: 5.19 and N 17.90.

IR (cm⁻¹): 3100 of aromatic, 3339 of NH

¹HNMR (ppm): 2.7 (s,3H, CH₃), 2.7 - 8 (m,4H, of aromatic protons and 10.2
The compound 14b R=C₃H₇ yield, 55% (1.56 gm), Melting point: 243°C - 245°C, Molecular formula C₁₀H₁₂N₂S (192) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 62.47, H: 6.29 and N 14.57 and the Found: C: 62.37, H: 6.09 and N 14.34

1HNMR (ppm): 0.95 (s,3H, CH₃), 1.41 (d,2H, CH₂-CH₃), 3.9 (d,2H, CH₂-CH₂), 7.3 - 8 (m,4H, aromatic protons) and 12.3 (s,1H, NH of the ring)

The compound 14c R=C₄H₉ yield, 50% (1.03 gm), Melting point: 260°C - 262°C, Molecular formula C₁₁H₁₄N₂S (206) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 64.4, H: 6.84 and N 13.58 and the Found: C: 64.2, H: 6.89 and N 13.81

IR (cm⁻¹): 3115 of aromatic and 3360 of NH

1HNMR (ppm): 94 (s, H, CH₃) 1.8 (d,2H, CH₂-CH₃), 3.15 (d, H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 7.3 - 8 (m,4H aromatic protons) and 12.5 (s,1H, NH of the ring).

2.15. 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) Thio) Acetamide (15)

The compound XV resulted from the reaction between (0.01 mol) of the compound (3b) (2.36 gm) and ammonia gas (from reaction between (NH₄)₂CO₃ with HCl). The ammonia collected via pipe into solution of compound (3b) overnight then the compound (15) is formed Yield: 90% (1.99 gm) Melting point: 230°C - 232°C Molecular weight: 221, Molecular formula C₈H₄N₃OS) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 43.43, H: 4.07 and N 19.0 and the Found: C: 43.79, H: 4.51 and N 18.75.

IR (cm⁻¹): 1695 - CO, 3235-NH, 3335 NH and 3110 for aromatic

1HNMR (ppm): Signal at 5.1 for CH₂, signals at 7.7 for aromatic protons and signal at 11.5 for NH of the ring.

2.16. 5-(((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)methyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiol (16) and its Potassium salt (16,17)

To the compound 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl) thio) acetohydrazide (10) (2.36 gm) (0.01 mol) we add carbon disulfide CS₂ (0.76 ml) excess and Potassium hydroxide 0.56 gm in ethanol on heater, refluxed overnight and the compound resulted divided into two parts. One part left as it is and the second part poured onto HCl/H₂O 3:1 to resulted 2(2mercapto-oxadiazolemethylthio-benzimidazole). The compound of 2(2-mercaptopoxadiazone) and its potassium salt crystalized from ethanol.
The compound 16 \( R=H \) yield, 85\% (2.25 gm), Melting point: 241˚C - 243˚C, Molecular formula \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{8}\text{N}_{4}\text{OS}_{2} \) (264) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 45.55, H: 3.03 and N 21.21 and the Found: C: 45.15, H: 2.98 and N 20.91.

\(^1\)HNMR of mercapto (compound 16) revealed signal peak at 15 ppm for SH, peak at 4.9 ppm for \( \text{CH}_2 \), peak at 7.7 ppm for aromatic protons.

The compound 17 \( R=K \) yield, quantitively, Melting point > 300˚C, Molecular formula \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{7}\text{N}_{4}\text{OS}_{2}\text{K} \) (302) and the Microanalysis (CHN) the Calc. C: 39.74, H: 2.32 and N 18.44 and the Found: C: 40, H: 1.91 and N 18.94.

3. Biological Testing

Experimental Animal

Adult healthy female Wister albino rats weighting between 160 - 200 gm were used for the study. The animals were housed in standard conditions (temperature 24 + 2 with 50\% - 60\% relative humidity and a 12-hour light dark cycle). All animals had free access to water and normal diet. The study was approved by Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (IAEC) and was in accordance with the guideline of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experimental Animal (CPCSEA).

3.1. In Vivo analgesic activity

Materials and Methods

The animals were handled in accordance with the criteria outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Swiss albino mice (22 ± gm), 6 - 8 weeks of age, were kept in a controlled environment (22˚C ± 2˚C, 50\% ± 5\% humidity) under a 12-hour light/dark cycle and had free access to a standard pellet chow and tap water throughout the study. All experiments were conducted at Al-Azhar University Faculty of Pharmacy according to the recommendations of the ethics committee for animal welfare and have been approved by Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee No (1).

Hot Plate Test

The hot plate test was used to calculate analgesic activity by the method explained by Eddy and Limbach (1953) \[24\] with minor modifications. Mice were retained on a hot plate having a stable temperature of 55˚C ± 1˚C. The time taken for either paw licking or jumping was recorded. Each mouse was individually placed on the hot plate in order to find the animal’s reaction to electrical heat-induced pain (licking of the fore paws and eventually jumping). The latency until mice showed first signs of discomfort (hind paw lifting, hind paw licking, or jumping) were recorded, before (baseline), and response was determined after 60 min the administration of normal saline, indomethacin (10 mg/kg), and tested compounds (100 mg/kg). Data were analyzed using statistical software Graph Pad Prism version5. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to ascertain the significance of variations between the times of licking in hot plate test. All data were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \).
Results

The results in Table 1 show that the treatment of mice with indomethacin (10 mg/kg orally) will increase the latency time while the treatment with voltarin (7 mg/kg) produce a significant increased the latency response in the hot plate test after 60 minutes of treatment from 10.17 to 19.50 and 25.67 sec. (91% and 152.4,

Table 1. Analgesic effects of indomethacin, voltarin and tested compounds in comparison to control non-treated mice using hot plate method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested groups</th>
<th>Latency time (sec.)</th>
<th>Percentage increase in latency time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10.17 ± 1.5</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indomethacin</td>
<td>19.50 ± 3.5</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltarin</td>
<td>25.67 ± 5.3</td>
<td>152.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.83 ± 1.9</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>35.17 ± 7.3</td>
<td>245.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>17.67 ± 4.6</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>22.33 ± 7.4</td>
<td>119.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>35.5 ± 16.2</td>
<td>249%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>22.17 ± 10.8</td>
<td>118%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
<td>31.50 ± 9.7</td>
<td>209.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>28.83 ± 4.3</td>
<td>183.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>29.00 ± 6.2</td>
<td>185%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c</td>
<td>29.67 ± 6.0</td>
<td>191.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.83 ± 5.2</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.00 ± 6.9</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.17 ± 7.4</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.50 ± 3.8</td>
<td>160.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>39.33 ± 6.0</td>
<td>286.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>17.83 ± 6.4</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13b</td>
<td>23.17 ± 11.9</td>
<td>127.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13C</td>
<td>36.17 ± 14.1</td>
<td>255.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14a</td>
<td>11.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>−15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14b</td>
<td>33.17 ± 6.6</td>
<td>226%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14c</td>
<td>25.17 ± 10.9</td>
<td>147.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.57 ± 9.9</td>
<td>112%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18.83 ± 4.3</td>
<td>85.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10.00 ± 2.4</td>
<td>−1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
respectively). On the other hand, oral treatment of compounds (6, 9, 14a and 17) showed no significant influence on the reaction time of the animals to the hot plate at dose of 100 mg/kg by mild changes in the latency time by (−11.5%, 27.8%, 15% and −1.7%, respectively), while compounds (3b, 8, 13a, and 16) showed mild and no significant increase by (73.7%, 75.3%, 75.3 and 85.15%, respectively) when compared to control no treated group, after oral treatment of compound (I) in a dose of 100 mg/kg the latency time was moderately increased by (95%) only the compounds (3c, 10, 11, 13b, 14c and 15) showed significant increase in latency time by (119.6%, 98.3%, 160.6%, 127.8%, 147.5% 112%, 98.3% and 118%, respectively) when compared to control no treated group, while the other compounds (3a, 5c, 7a, 7b, 7c, 12, 14b and 17) in this group showed a highly significant increase in latency time by (147.5%, 209.7%, 183.5%, 185%, 191.7% 226% and 245%, respectively) when compared to control non treated group, finally the last two drugs (12 and 13c) produce a very significant increase in latency time in hot plate for about (286.7 and 255.6%, respectively) in a dose of 100 mg/kg when compared to negative control group.

And the new synthesized drugs action can be illustrated against control, voltarin and indomethacin as the following figures (Figure 1 to Figure 4).

Discussion

In this experiment the treatment with indomethacin produce elevation in latency time, voltarin produce a significant increase in latency time when compared to control animals. Compounds (12 and 13c) showed a very highly significant increase in latency time, while a highly significant increase in latency was obtained with treatment of compounds (3a, 5c, 7a-c, 14b), a mild significant increase in response was observed during carried out the experiment with compounds (3c, 10, 11, 13b, 14c, 15, and 5b), finally there is no significant increase Indomethacin (10 mg/kg), voltarin (7 mg/kg), tested compounds (100 mg/kg), was given orally one hour before carried out the experiment. A significantly different from control group. Using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test for multiple comparisons at P ≤ 0.05.

Figure 1. Analgesic effects of indomethacin, voltarin and tested compounds (1, 3a, 3b, 3c and 5c) in comparison to control non-treated mice using hot plate method.
Data are expressed as means ± SD of six mice per group. Indomethacin (10 mg/kg), voltarin (7 mg/kg) tested compounds (100 mg/kg), was given orally one hour before carried out the experiment. A Significantly different from control group. Using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test for multiple comparisons at P ≤ 0.05.

**Figure 2.** Analgesic effects of indomethacin, voltarin and tested compounds (6, 7a, 7b, 7c, 8, 9 and 10) in comparison to control non-treated mice using hot plate method.

Data are expressed as means ± SD of six mice per group. Indomethacin (10 mg/kg), tested compounds (100 mg/kg), was given orally one hour before carried out the experiment. A Significantly different from control group. Using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test for multiple comparisons at P ≤ 0.05.

**Figure 3.** Analgesic effects of indomethacin, voltarin and tested compounds (11, 12, 13a, 13b, 13c, 14a, 14b and 14c) in comparison to control non-treated mice using hot plate method.

in latency time for the remaining compounds when compared to non-treated animals.

### 3.2. In Vitro Cytotoxicity Activity

*In vitro* cytotoxicity against the MCF7 and HepG2 cell lines and against human normal cell (Cell culture Protocol).

Cell Line (MCF7 and HepG2) was attained from the American Type Culture Collection.
Data are expressed as means ± SD of six mice per group. Indomethacin (10 mg/kg), voltarin (7 mg/kg) tested compounds (100 mg/kg), was given orally one hour before carried out the experiment. a Significantly different from control group. Using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test for multiple comparisons at P ≤ 0.05.

**Figure 4.** Analgesic effects of indomethacin, voltarin and tested compounds (15, 16, 17, 5a, and 5b) in comparison to control non-treated mice using hot plate method. Data are expressed as means ± SD of six mice per group. Indomethacin (10 mg/kg), voltarin (7 mg/kg) tested compounds (100 mg/kg), was given orally one hour before carried out the experiment. a Significantly different from control group. Using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test for multiple comparisons at P ≤0.05.

Cells were cultured by using DMEM augmented with 10% fetal bovine serum 10 ug/ml of insulin (Sigma), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. All of the additional chemicals and reagents were taken from Sigma or Invitrogen. Cells were spread in a 96-well flat-bottom microliter plate at a density of 10,000 cells/well and allowed to follow for 24 hours at 37°C in CO₂ incubator. After 24 hours of incubation. The growth medium was exchanged with a fresh medium. Cells were then supplied with different concentrations of the desired compound for 24 hours at 37°C. After 24 hours of incubation, the growth medium was changed with a fresh medium. Subsequently [25], 10 µl of MTT working solution (5 mg/mL in phosphate buffer solution) was added to each well and the plate was hatched for 4 hours at 37°C in incubator [26]. The medium was then extracted, and the produced formazan crystals were solubilized by adding 50 L of DMSO per well for 30 min at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. Lastly, the intensity of the dissolved crystals. (Purple color) was counted using the ROBONIK P2000 ELISA reader at 540 nm with a reference wavelength set at 650 nm. The suspension was moved to the cuvette of a spectrophotometer and the OD values were measured at 595 nm by using DMSO as a blank [27], measurements were achieved and the concentration needed for a 50% inhibition of viability (Cs) was determined graphically Standard Graph was plotted by taking the log. The concentration of the drug in the X-axis and relative cell viability in the Y-axis.

Systematic experimental steps were taken to set the potential cytotoxicity of the drug at different concentrations by MTT assay. It shows a decreasing absorbance at 540 nm in the cells which were treated with increasing concentration of
the drug in comparison to the control cells without any treatment. There is a decreased absorbance in the cells treated with drugs suggesting cytotoxicity. MTT assay significantly helps the researchers to determine whether any of the test compounds have cell toxicity or proliferative activity. All data were measured in triplicate, and IC50 values are given as mean values USD.

**Cytotoxic activity of some compounds against human tumor cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>HePG-2 (µM)</th>
<th>MCF-7 (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>••</strong></td>
<td>DOXORUBICIN</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65.62 ± 3.4</td>
<td>53.40 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>84.71 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>93.01 ± 4.9</td>
<td>78.29 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5c</td>
<td>81.35 ± 4.2</td>
<td>69.48 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39.42 ± 2.6</td>
<td>42.23 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7c</td>
<td>86.35 ± 4.4</td>
<td>67.51 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48.28 ± 2.8</td>
<td>45.67 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>39.52 ± 3.6</td>
<td>44.65 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32.16 ± 2.4</td>
<td>37.20 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52.04 ± 2.9</td>
<td>38.17 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.87 ± 1.6</td>
<td>14.35 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13a</td>
<td>73.55 ± 3.6</td>
<td>57.18 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13b</td>
<td>64.19 ± 3.3</td>
<td>49.65 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13c</td>
<td>58.29 ± 3.1</td>
<td>31.48 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>14a</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14b</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>14c</td>
<td>100&gt;</td>
<td>74.19 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.71 ± 2.3</td>
<td>17.26 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26.10 ± 2.1</td>
<td>28.06 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.71 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.18 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• IC50 (µM): 1 - 10 (very strong), 11 - 20 (strong), 21 - 50 (moderate), 51 - 100 (weak) and above 100 (non-cytotoxic). Cell viability (%) = mean OD/control OD × 100%. Where OD = optical density.

4. Molecular Modeling

A major challenge of modern medicine is to design compounds that modulate specific enzymes while leaving related isozymes unaffected. The two notable enzymes namely Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase (iNOS) are important mediators of an inflammatory process.
Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) like Indomethacin act via inhibition of COX enzyme which catalyzes the first step of the biosynthesis of prostaglandins [28].

Prostaglandins (PGs), found in most of the tissues and organs, are the arachidonic acid metabolites of the Cyclooxygenase (COX) pathway and are major mediators in the regulation of the inflammation and immune function [29]. It has been shown that the COX enzyme exists in two isoforms COX-1 and COX-2 [30]. In terms of amino acid composition, these enzymes are approximately 60% identical, and their catalytic regions are widely conserved [31] [32] [33]. COX-1 enzyme is responsible for maintaining gastric and renal integrity and COX-2 is an inducible enzyme responsible for the production of proinflammatory PGs causing inflammation and pain [34].

The COX-2 inhibitors are effective for the relief of chronic pain in elderly patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis [35].

Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase (iNOS), is another inducible enzyme, that plays a significant role in the over production of nitric oxide (NO) and has been implicated in several pathophysiological states, for example; various inflammation, septic shock, vascular dysfunction in diabetes and cancer patients [36] [37] [38].

Three homologous NOS isozymes [inducible NOS (iNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS), and neuronal NOS (nNOS)] catalyze the five-electron, two-step oxidation of L-arginine (L-Arg) to form nitric oxide which is an important biological signaling molecule and cellular cytotoxin [39]. The constitutive isozymes, eNOS and nNOS, function to produce low levels of NO predominantly for blood pressure regulation and nerve function respectively. In contrast, iNOS is induced by microbial products, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-1 (IL-1), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interferon-γ (INF-γ) in macrophages and some other cells [40]. COX-2 and iNOS over expression has been observed in many human invasive malignant tumors, e.g. breast, lung, prostate, bladder, colorectal cancer and malignant melanoma [41] [42] [43].

Therefore, the modulation of iNOS and COX-2 can be a good strategy for the management of diseases accompanying the overproduction of NO and PGs.

With our long-standing interest in the transcriptional regulation-based control of inflammation, the objectives of the present study are to obtain binding and inhibitory parameters of Benzimidazole derivatives and Indomethacin (NSAID) on COX-2 and iNOS by means of (MOE) prediction of their absorption and distribution properties.

Material and Methods

Carrageenan induced paw oedema in rats the anti-inflammatory activity of Benzimidazole derivatives was determined by inducing acute in vivo and in silico Analysis Divulges the Anti-Inflammatory Activity inflammation by carrageenan in rats [44]. The Institutional Animal Ethical Committee approved protocols
that were followed for experimental analysis. All the animals were acclimatized for a week before use and were grouped in polyacrylic cages and maintained under standard laboratory conditions. The room temperature was maintained at 25°C ± 2°C with dark and light cycle of 14/10h. They were fed on commercial diet and water ad libitum. The rats were divided into five groups of six animals each. The first group, referred as control received normal saline (0.9% w/v, 3 ml/kg/p.o). Second group with Indomethacin (10 mg/kg/p.o) served as standard whereas third, fourth and fifth groups were orally administered with 0.5 mg/kg/p.o, 5 mg/kg/p. o and 10 mg/kg/p.o of Benzimidazole derivatives respectively with the help of an oral catheter. Food was withdrawn overnight but an adequate supply of water was given to rats before the experiments.

After 1 h of drug treatment, a supplanter injection of 1% solution of carrageenan was administered in the left hind paw of all five groups. The volume of paw oedema was measured with caliper after 3 h of injections. The average paw volume was calculated and compared with control and standard to determine the anti-inflammatory activity.

Preparation of protein structures and prediction of binding sites

The experimental coordinates of COX-2 (PDB_ID:1CX2) and iNOS (PDB_ID: 1NS1) structures were taken from PDB. The active sites of COX-2 and iNOS were identified using CASTp server [18] [45] based on precise computational geometry methods, including alpha shape and discrete flow theory. Among the active site residues, the important residues were selected compared to previous data [46] [47]. Ligands were removed from the binding sites and the chain A was selected for COX-2 and chain B for iNOS docking studies. Hetero atoms were removed and polar hydrogen atoms were added to protein structures and partial atomic charges were assigned. The proteins are saved in MOL2 format; atomic solvation parameters were assigned and converted finally into PDBQS format.

Preparation of ligand structures

The molecular structures of Benzimidazole derivatives and Indomethacin were generated and optimized using ChemSketch software. The ligands are saved in PDBQ format using Deftors to define torsions during docking.

Molecular docking

Docking of Benzimidazole derivatives and Indomethacin was carried out against COX-2 and iNOS using MOE which is widely distributed public domain molecular docking software, was used in this study. MOE consists of the components like Auto Grid and AutoTors and uses Monte Carlo simulated annealing and Lamarckian genetic algorithm to create a set of possible conformations. This program addresses automatically the flexible docking of the ligands into a known protein structure.

The proteins for each docking were kept rigid and torsional flexibility was permitted to the ligands. The rotatable bonds in the ligands were defined using AutoTors and grid maps were calculated using Auto Grid. The search was conducted in a grid point of 48 × 54 × 62 for COX-2 and 52 × 56 × 48 for iNOS in
three dimensions built in x, y, and z directions with 0.375Å spacing centered on the binding site of macromolecules and each docking experiment consisted of 10 docking runs with 150 individuals. The default settings were used for all other parameters. The resultant structure files were analyzed using RasMol visualization programs. The MOE result gives the binding position, bound conformation of the peptide and a rough estimate of its activity.

**Pharmacokinetic Properties**

The bioavailability of Benzimidazole derivatives and Indomethacin was determined using PK/DB Database. The ligand structures were manually entered or edited using PK/DB sketcher, then converted into SMILES format and searched for pharmacokinetic properties like human intestinal absorption (%HIA), human oral bioavailability (%F), plasma protein binding (%PPB), blood brain barrier (logBB) and water solubility (logS).

Hologram QSAR Technique [48] [49]

**Results (from Figure 5 to Figure 12)**

![Figure 5. Indomethacin binds to COX-1 receptor in which the legend binds to COX I receptor by bonds between OH and His 90, carbonyl and Arg 120 and NH and Leu 352.](image-url)
**Figure 6.** Indomethacin binds to COX II receptor with 5 hydrogen bonds.

**Figure 7.** The compound (5c) binds to COX 1 receptors by 6 bonds which binds between the NH of benzimidazole an Ser 516, the imidazole rig and Thr 94, S group of mercapto-benzimidazole and Leu 352 and the SO$_2$ group sulfathiazole group and Arg 120 and between thiazole ring and Ser 530.
Figure 8. The binding mode of compound (12) with COX-II enzyme forming 3 hydrogen bonds between CH$_2$ and Ser 353, the benzimidazole ring and Ser 353 and NH of benzimidazole and His 90.

Figure 9. The binding mode of compound (15) with COX His 90, CH$_2$ and His 90, O of carbonyl group and Phe 518 and terminal NH$_2$ with Phe 518 II enzyme forming 4 bonds between NH of benzimidazole.
Figure 10. The compound (12) binds with the protein of COX II by 3 hydrogen bonds.

Figure 11. The binding mode of compound (3c) with COX-II enzyme forming 3 bonds between both NH of benzimidazole ring and Ser 353 and Ser 516 and between benzene ring of benzimidazole and Pro 514.
Figure 12. The compound (11) binds with the protein of COX II receptor by 1 hydrogen bonds.
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