

A Random Attractor Family of the High Order Beam Equations with White Noise

Guoguang Lin, Jie Liu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, China

Email: 15925159599@163.com

How to cite this paper: Lin, G.G. and Liu, J. (2020) A Random Attractor Family of the High Order Beam Equations with White Noise. *International Journal of Modern Nonlinear Theory and Application*, 9, 51-61. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ijmnta.2020.93004>

Received: August 13, 2020

Accepted: September 15, 2020

Published: September 18, 2020

Copyright © 2020 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we studied a class of damped high order Beam equation stochastic dynamical systems with white noise. First, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is used to transform the equation into a noiseless random equation with random variables as parameters. Secondly, by estimating the solution of the equation, we can obtain the bounded random absorption set. Finally, the isomorphism mapping method and compact embedding theorem are used to obtain the system. It is progressively compact, then we can prove the existence of random attractors.

Keywords

Beam Type Equation, Random Attractor, White Noise

1. Introduction

We studied the random high order Beam equation with strong damping and white noise in this paper.

$$u_t + \beta(-\Delta)^{2m} u_t + M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)u_t + N\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)(-\Delta)^m u + \alpha\Delta^{2m} u = q(x)W, \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial v^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m, \quad x \in D, \quad t \in [0, +\infty), \quad (2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x). \quad (3)$$

where $M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)u_t, N\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)(-\Delta)^m u$ are given functions, $m \geq 1$, $q dW$ describe a addable white noise, Ω denotes a area which bounded with smooth homogeneous Dirichlet boundary, $\partial\Omega$ denotes the boundary of Ω . α, β are constants, $\alpha\Delta^{2m} u, \beta(-\Delta)^{2m} u_t$ are strong damping terms, $W(t)$ denotes a one-dimensional two-sided Wiener process on a probability space (Ω, F, P) , $\Omega = \{\omega \in C(R, R) : \omega(0) = 0\}$, F denotes a Borel σ -algebra generated by com-

pact-open topology on Ω , P denotes a probability measure.

Random attractor is a random collection, which is measurable, compact, constant, and attract all the orbits. If it exists, it will be the smallest absorbing sets of system solution concentration, it's also the biggest invariant set. In a sense, random attractor is reminded as a reasonable extension of the global attractor to the classical dynamical system. Recently, more and more scholars have focused on the random dynamic system.

Guo [1] wrote a book about the random infinite dimensional dynamical system, it's the first book at home. It includes any experience of the author with random dynamic study and some research results, the latest development and results also be introduced.

Lin [2] studied the existence of stochastic attractors of high order nonlinear Beam equation.

$$du_t + \left[(-\Delta)^m u_t + \phi \left(\|D^m u\|^2 \right) (-\Delta)^m u_t + g(u) \right] dt = q(x) dW(t).$$

Qin [3] proved the random attractor for stochastic Beam equations with adable white noise, Xu [4] studied the non-autonomous stochastic wave equation with dispersion and dissipation terms.

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u - \alpha \Delta u_t - \beta u_{tt} + h(u)u_t + \lambda u + f(x, u) = g(x, t)u + \varepsilon u \cdot \frac{dW}{dt}.$$

Crauel and Flandoli [5] studied the random attractor of the infinite dimensional equation. Cai and Fan [6] considered the dissipative KDV equation with multiplicative noise.

$$du = (au_{xxx} + u_{xx} + \beta uu_{xx} + ru) dt = f(x) dt + budW(t), x \in D, t > 0.$$

For more relevant studies, it can be referred to references in [7]-[12].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, some symbols and assumptions are introduced for convenience.

Let the operator $A = \Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary condition be selfadjoint, positive definite and linear. Set the eigenvalue of A is $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in N}$, and satisfies

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m \leq \dots, \text{ when } m \rightarrow +\infty, \lambda_m \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{4}$$

Among them

$$\frac{2n}{n+2m} \leq p \begin{cases} < \frac{2n}{n-2m}, & n > 2m \\ < \infty, & n \leq 2m \end{cases}$$

Set $E_k = H_0^{2m+k}(\Omega) \times H_0^k(\Omega), k = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$ and define a weighted inner product and norm in E_k

$$(y_1, y_2)_{E_k} = (D^{2m+k}u_1, D^{2m+k}u_2) + (D^k v_1, D^k v_2), \tag{5}$$

$$\forall y_i = (u_i, v_i)^T, y = (u, v)^T \in E_k, i = 1, 2 \tag{6}$$

and

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta}, \frac{(\alpha - 2)\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{(\beta^2 - 1)\lambda_1^{2m} - 4M^2(\|D^m u\|_p^p)} \right\}, \beta > \frac{\alpha - 2}{\varepsilon}. \tag{7}$$

Definition 2.1 [2] Set $(\Omega, F, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ is a metric dynamic system, if $B(R^+) \times F \times B(X)$, measurable mapping

$$S : R^+ \times \Omega \times X \rightarrow X, (t, w, x) \mapsto S(t, w, x), \tag{8}$$

satisfy

1) For all $s, t \geq 0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, mapping $S(t, w) := S(t, w, \cdot)$ satisfy

$$S(0, w) = id, S(t + s, w) = S(t, \theta_s w) \circ S(s, w); \tag{9}$$

2) For every $w \in \Omega$, mapping $(t, w) \mapsto S(t, w, x)$ continuous.

It is said that S is a continuous random dynamic system on $(\Omega, F, P, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$.

Definition 2.2 [2] It is said that the random set $B(w) \subset X$ is slowly increasing, if $w \in \Omega$, $\beta \geq 0$, there is

$$\liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta s} d(B(\theta_{-s} w)) = 0.$$

and $d(B) = \sup_{x \in B} \|x\|_X$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3 [2] $D(w)$ denotes a collection of all random sets on X , random set $B_0(w)$ denotes a absorption set on $D(w)$, if for every $B(w) \in D(w)$ and $P - a.e. w \in \Omega$, there is $T_B(w) > 0$ make

$$S(t, \theta_{-t} \omega)(B(\theta_{-t} \omega)) \subset B_0(\omega). \tag{10}$$

Definition 2.4 [2] The random set $A(w)$ becomes the random attractor of the continuous random dynamic system $S(t)$ on X . If the random set $A(w)$ satisfies:

- 1) $A(w)$ is a random compact set;
- 2) $A(w)$ is a invariant set, for every $t > 0$, $S(t, w)A(w) = A(\theta_t w)$;
- 3) $A(w)$ attracts all sets on $D(w)$, for any $B(w) \in D(w)$ and

$P - a.e. \omega \in \Omega$, we have the limit formula:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(S(t, \theta_{-t} w)(B(\theta_{-t} w)), A(w)) = 0 \tag{11}$$

$d(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|_H$ denotes the Hausdorff half distance. ($A, B \subseteq H$).

Definition 2.5 [2] Random set $B_k(w) \in D(w)$ is the random absorption set of the random dynamic system $(s(t, w))_{t \geq 0}$, and the random set $B_k(w)$ satisfies

1) Random set $B_k(w)$ is a closed set on Hilbert space X ;

2) For $P - a.e. w \in \Omega$, random set $B_k(w)$ meet the following progressive compactness conditions: For any sequence $x_n \in s(t_n, \theta_{-t_n} w)B_k(\theta_{-t_n} w)$ in $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$, there is a convergent subsequence in space X . Then the stochastic dynamic system $(s(t, w))_{t \geq 0}$ has a unique global attractor

$$A_k(w) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq t_k(w)} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq \tau} s(t, \theta_{-t} w)B_k(\theta_{-t} w)} \tag{12}$$

3. Existence of Random Attractors

3.1. Existence and Uniqueness of Solution

For convenience, Equations (1)-(3) can be reduced to

$$\begin{cases} du = u_t dt \\ du_t + \left(\beta(-\Delta)^{2m} u_t + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) u_t + N \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) (-\Delta)^m u + \alpha \Delta^{2m} u \right) dt \\ = q(x) dW(t) \\ t \in [0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in D. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Set $\phi = (u, y)^T$, $y = u_t + \varepsilon u$, then Equation (1) is equivalent to the following stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} d\phi + L\phi dt = F(\theta_t, \omega, \phi), \\ \phi_0(\omega) = (u_0, u_1 + \varepsilon u_0)^T. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(\theta_t, \omega, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ q(x) dW(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ L &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon I & -I \\ \left((\alpha - \beta\varepsilon) A^{2m} + N \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) A^m + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right) I & \left(\beta A^{2m} + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) - \varepsilon \right) I \end{pmatrix} \\ \delta &= \delta(\theta_t, \omega) = - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^s \theta_t \omega(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$\delta(\theta_t, \omega)$ denotes a Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, it is a stationary solution of Itô equation

$$d\delta + \delta dt = dW. \quad (15)$$

$v = y - q(x)\delta(\theta_t, \omega)$, then Equation (14) can be reduced to

$$\begin{cases} d\varphi + L\varphi dt = \bar{F}(\theta_t, \omega, \varphi), \\ \varphi_0(\omega) = (u_0, u_1 + \varepsilon u_0 - q(x)\delta(\theta_t, \omega))^T. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{F}(\theta_t, \omega, \varphi) &= \begin{pmatrix} q(x)\delta(\theta_t, \omega) \\ q(x) dW(t) + \left(\varepsilon - \beta A^{2m} - 1 - M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right) q(x)\delta(\theta_t, \omega) \end{pmatrix}, \\ L &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon I & -I \\ \left((\alpha - \beta\varepsilon) A^{2m} + N \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) A^m + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right) I & \left(\beta A^{2m} + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) - \varepsilon \right) I \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. The Existence of Random Attractors

This section mainly considers existence of the random attractor of problem (1). First, we can prove that the random dynamic system $S(t, \omega)$ has a bounded random absorption set. For this reason, all slowly increasing subsets in the space E are denoted as $D(E)$.

Lemma 1 $E_k = H_0^{2m+k}(\Omega) \times H_0^k(\Omega)$, for every $y = (y_1, y_2)^T \in E_k$, ($k = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$), When

$$k_1 = \min \left\{ \varepsilon + \frac{\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha}{2\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon \left(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right)}{2\lambda_1^{2m}}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{\varepsilon - M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{2} + \frac{\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{2} - \varepsilon + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right\}, \quad k_2 = \frac{\beta(\beta\varepsilon + 2 - \alpha)}{2}.$$

Then

$$(Ly, y)_{E_k} \geq k_1 \|y\|_{E_k}^2 + k_2 \|D^{2m+k} y_2\|^2. \quad (17)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} & (Ly, y)_{E_k} \\ &= (D^{2m+k}(\varepsilon y_1 - y_2), D^{2m+k} y_1) + \left(D^k \left((\alpha - \beta\varepsilon) A^{2m} y_1 + N \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) A^m y_1 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \beta A^{2m} y_2 \left(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right) y_1 + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) y_2 \right), D^k y_2 \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \|D^{2m+k} y_1\|^2 + (\alpha - \beta\varepsilon - 1) (D^{2m+k} y_1, D^{2m+k} y_2) \\ & \quad + N \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) (D^{2m+k} y_1, D^k y_2) + \beta \|D^{2m+k} y_2\|^2 \\ & \quad + \left(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right) (D^k y_1, D^k y_2) + \left(M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) - \varepsilon \right) \|D^k y_2\|^2 \\ &\geq \varepsilon \|D^{2m+k} y_1\|^2 + \frac{\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha}{2\beta} \|D^{2m+k} y_1\|^2 + \frac{\beta(\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha)}{2} \|D^{2m+k} y_2\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{2} \|D^k y_1\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{2} \|D^k y_2\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \|D^{2m+k} y_2\|^2 + \frac{\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{2} \|D^k y_2\|^2 + \left(M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) - \varepsilon \right) \|D^k y_2\|^2 \\ &= \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha}{2\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon \left(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right)}{2\lambda_1^{2m}} \right) \|D^{2m+k} y_1\|^2 \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\beta(\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha)}{2} \right) \|D^{2m+k} y_2\|^2 \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon - M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{2} + \frac{\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{2} + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) - \varepsilon \right) \|D^k y_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq k_1 \|y\|_{E_k}^2 + k_2 \|D^{2m+k} y_2\|^2 \tag{18}$$

According to the Formula (7), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\beta(\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha)}{2} \geq 0, \\ & \frac{\varepsilon - M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)}{2} + \frac{\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{2} + M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right) - \varepsilon \\ & = \frac{\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{2} - \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon + M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)}{2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So set

$$\begin{aligned} k = \min & \left\{ \varepsilon + \frac{\beta\varepsilon + 1 - \alpha}{2\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)\right)}{2\lambda_1^{2m}}, \right. \\ & \left. \frac{\varepsilon - M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)}{2} + \frac{\beta\lambda_1^{2m}}{2} - \varepsilon + M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)\varepsilon \right\}, \tag{19} \\ k_2 = & \frac{\beta(\beta\varepsilon + 2 - \alpha)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 ϕ denotes a solution of problem (14), then there is a bounded random compact set $\tilde{B}_{0k}(\omega) \in D(E_k)$, so that there is a random variable $T_{B_k(\omega)} > 0$ for any slowly increasing random set $B(\omega) \in D(E_k)$, such that

$$\phi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega) B(\theta_{-t}\omega) \subset \tilde{B}_{0k}(\omega), \forall t \geq T_{B_k}(\omega), \omega \in \Omega. \tag{20}$$

Proof φ denotes a solution of problem (16), use $\varphi = (u, v)^T \in E_k$ to take the inner product with the Equation (16), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|_{E_k}^2 + (L\varphi, \varphi)_{E_k} = (\bar{F}(\theta_t\omega, \varphi), \varphi). \tag{21}$$

From Lemma 1

$$(L\varphi, \varphi)_{E_k} \geq k_1 \|\varphi\|_{E_k}^2 + k_2 \|D^{2m+k} v\|^2, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{F}(\theta_t\omega, \varphi), \varphi) \\ & = (D^{2m+k} q(x) \delta(\theta_t\omega), D^{2m+k} u) \\ & \quad + \left(\varepsilon - \beta A^{2m} - 1 - M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right) \right) (D^k q(x) \delta(\theta_t\omega), D^k v) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\left(1 + M\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)\right)^2}{2\varepsilon} \right) \|D^k q(x)\|^2 |\delta(\theta_t\omega)|^2 \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{2\varepsilon M^2\left(\|D^m u\|_p^p\right)}{\lambda_1^{2m}} \right) \|D^{2m+k} v\|^2 + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|D^{2m+k} q(x)\|^2 |\delta(\theta, \omega)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|D^{2m+k} u\|^2. \quad (23)$$

From Equations (22) and (23), Equation (21) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|_{E_k}^2 + 2k_1 \|\varphi\|_{E_k}^2 + \left(2k_2 - \varepsilon - \frac{4\varepsilon M^2 \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{\lambda_1^{2m}} \right) \|D^{2m+k} v\|^2 \\ & \leq \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\left(1 + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right)^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \|D^k q(x)\|^2 |\delta(\theta, \omega)|^2 \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \|D^{2m+k} q(x)\|^2 |\delta(\theta, \omega)|^2 + \|D^{2m+k} u\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \|D^{2m+k} u\|^2, \quad \eta = 2k_1, \\ P_1 &= \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\left(1 + M \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right) \right)^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \|D^k q(x)\|^2 |\delta(\theta, \omega)|^2 \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \|D^{2m+k} q(x)\|^2 |\delta(\theta, \omega)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi\|_{E_k}^2 + \eta \|\varphi\|_{E_k}^2 \leq P_1 + C_1. \quad (25)$$

According to the Formula (7), we can get

$$2k_2 - \varepsilon - \frac{4\varepsilon M^2 \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{\lambda_1^{2m}} = \beta(\beta\varepsilon + 2 - \alpha) - \varepsilon - \frac{4\varepsilon M^2 \left(\|D^m u\|_p^p \right)}{\lambda_1^{2m}} > 0. \quad (26)$$

From the Gronwall's inequality, $P - a.e. \omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$\|\varphi(t, \omega)\|_{E_k}^2 \leq e^{-\eta t} \|\varphi_0(\omega)\|_{E_k}^2 + \int_0^t e^{-\eta(t-r)} \left(C_1 + P_1 \|\delta(\theta_r, \omega)\|^2 \right) dr, \quad (27)$$

because $\delta(\theta_t, \omega)$ is slowly increasing, and $\delta(\theta_t, \omega)$ is continuous with respect to t , according to the literature [3], a slowly increasing random variable $r_1 : \Omega \rightarrow R^+$ can be obtained, so for $\forall t \in R, \omega \in \Omega$ there is

$$|\delta(\theta_t, \omega)|^2 \leq r_1(\theta_t, \omega) \leq e^{\frac{\eta}{2} t} r_1(\omega). \quad (28)$$

Substituting $\theta_{-t}\omega$ for ω in (27), we get

$$\|\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_k}^2 \leq e^{-\eta t} \|\varphi_0(\theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_k}^2 + \int_0^t e^{-\eta(t-r)} \left(C_1 + P_1 \|\delta(\theta_{-r}\omega)\|^2 \right) dr, \quad (29)$$

and

$$\int_0^t e^{-\eta(t-r)} \left(C_1 + p_1 \|\delta(\theta_{-r}\omega)\|^2 \right) dr = \int_0^t e^{\eta r} \left(C_1 + p_1 \|\delta(\theta_r\omega)\|^2 \right) dr \leq \frac{C_1}{\eta} + \frac{2}{\eta} p_1 r_1(\omega). \quad (30)$$

Because $\phi_0(\theta_{-t}, \omega) \in B_k(\theta_{-t}, \omega)$ is slowly increasing, and $|\delta(\theta_{-t}, \omega)|$ is also slowly increasing, so let

$$R_0^2(\omega) = \frac{C_1}{\eta} + \frac{2}{\eta} p_1 r_1(\omega). \tag{31}$$

Then $R_0^2(\omega)$ also slowly increasing, $\hat{B}_{0k} = \{\varphi \in E_k : \|\varphi\|_{E_k} \leq R_0(\omega)\}$ denotes a random absorption set, because

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{S}(t, \theta_{-t}, \omega) \varphi_0(\theta_{-t}, \omega) \\ &= \phi(t, \theta_{-t}, \omega) \left(\varphi_0(\theta_{-t}, \omega) + (0, q(x) \delta(\theta_{-t}, \omega))^T \right) - (0, q(x) \delta(\omega))^T, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\tilde{B}_{0k}(\omega) = \left\{ \phi \in E_k : \|\phi\|_{E_k} \leq R_0(\omega) + \|D^k q(x) \delta(\omega)\| = \bar{R}_0(\omega) \right\}. \tag{32}$$

Then $\tilde{B}_{0k}(\omega)$ is the random absorption set of $\phi(t, \omega)$, and $\tilde{B}_{0k}(\omega) \in D(E_k)$.

So the lemma is proved.

Lemma 3 When $k = m$, for any $B_m(\omega) \in D(E_m)$, $\phi(t)$ is the solution of Equation (14) under the initial value condition $\phi_0 = (u_0, u_1 + \varepsilon u_0)^T \in B_m$. It can be decomposed into $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} d\phi_1 + L\phi_1 dt = 0 \\ \phi_{10}(\omega) = (u_0, u_1 + \varepsilon u_0)^T \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{cases} d\phi_2 + L\phi_2 dt = F(\omega, \phi) \\ \phi_{20}(\omega) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

Then

$$\|\phi_1(t, \theta_{-t}, \omega)\|_{E_m}^2 \rightarrow 0 (t \rightarrow \infty), \quad \forall \phi_0(\theta_{-t}, \omega) \in B(\theta_{-t}, \omega), \tag{35}$$

and there is a slowly increasing random radius $R_1(\omega)$, so that for every $\omega \in \Omega$, satisfy

$$\|\phi_2(t, \theta_{-t}, \omega)\|_{E_m}^2 \leq R_1(\omega). \tag{36}$$

Proof $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = (u_1, u_{1t} + \varepsilon u_1)^T + (u_2, u_{2t} + \varepsilon u_2 - q(x) \delta(\theta_t, \omega))^T$ is a solution of Equation (16), from Equations (33) and (34), it can be seen that φ_1 and φ_2 satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{1t} + L\varphi_1 dt = 0, \\ \varphi_{10} = \varphi_0 = (u_0, u_1 + \varepsilon u_0)^T, \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{2t} + L\varphi_2 dt = F(\omega, \varphi) \\ \varphi_{20} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

Using $\varphi_1 = (u_1, u_{1t} + \varepsilon u_1)^T$ and Equation (37) to take the inner product, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi_1\|_{E_m}^2 + (L\varphi_1, \varphi_1)_{E_m} = 0, \tag{39}$$

according to lemma 2 and the Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_1(t, \omega)\|_{E_m}^2 \leq e^{-2k_1 t} \|\varphi_0(\omega)\|_{E_m}^2, \tag{40}$$

replace ω in (40) with $\theta_{-t}\omega$, and because $\delta(\theta_{-t}\omega) \in B$ is slowly increasing, then

$$\|\varphi_1(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_m}^2 \leq e^{-2k_1 t} \|\varphi_0(\theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_m}^2 \rightarrow 0(t \rightarrow \infty), \quad \forall \varphi_0(\theta_{-t}\omega) \in B_m \tag{41}$$

Using $\varphi_2 = (u_2, u_{2t} + \varepsilon u_2 - q(x)\delta(\theta_t\omega))^T$ and Equation (38) to take the inner product, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi_2\|_{E_m}^2 + \eta \|\varphi_2\|_{E_m}^2 \leq C_2 + P_2 |\delta(\theta_t\omega)|^2, \tag{42}$$

and $P_2 = P_1 |\delta(\theta_t\omega)|^2$.

According to lemma 1, lemma 2, Equation (29), the Gronwall's inequality, and replace ω with $\theta_{-t}\omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^2(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_m}^2 &\leq e^{-\eta t} \|\phi_{20}(\theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_m}^2 + \int_0^t e^{-\eta(t-r)} (C_2 + P_2 |\delta(\theta_{r-t}\omega)|^2) dr \\ &\leq \frac{C_3}{\eta} + \frac{2}{\eta} P_2 r_1(\omega), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

set

$$R_1^2(\omega) = \frac{C_3}{\eta} + \frac{2}{\eta} P_2 r_1(\omega), \tag{44}$$

for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\|\phi^2(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_m} \leq R_1(\omega), \tag{45}$$

and $R_1(\omega)$ is slowly increasing. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4 The stochastic dynamic system $\{S(t, \omega), t \geq 0\}$ determined by Equation (17) has a compact absorption set $K(\omega) \subset E_k$ under condition $t = 0, P - a.e. \omega \in \Omega$

Proof Suppose $K(\omega)$ is a closed sphere with $R_1(\omega)$ as the radius in space $D\left(A^{\frac{3}{4}}\right) \times D\left(A^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$. According to the embedding relationship $E_k \subset E_0$, $K(\omega)$ is a compact set in E_k . For any slowly increasing random set $B_k(\omega)$ in E , for $\forall \phi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega) \in B_k$, according to Lemma 3.1, there is $\varphi_2 = \varphi - \varphi_1 \in K(\omega)$, so for every $t \geq T_{B_k(\omega)} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{E_k}(S(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)B_k(\theta_{-t}\omega), K(\omega)) \\ &= \inf_{\mathcal{G}(t) \in K(\omega)} \|\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega) - \mathcal{G}(t)\|_{E_k}^2 \leq \|\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_k}^2 \\ &\leq e^{-\eta t} \|\varphi_0(\theta_{-t}\omega)\|_{E_k}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Therefore, for any slowly increasing random set $B_k(\omega)$ in E_k , there is

$$d_{E_k}(S(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)B_k(\theta_{-t}\omega), K(\omega)) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \omega \in \Omega. \tag{47}$$

According to Lemma 1 to Lemma 4, there is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Random dynamic system $\{S(t, \omega), t \geq 0\}$ has a random attractor $A_k(\omega) \subset K(\omega) \subset E_k$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and there is a slowly increasing random set $K(\omega)$, *P.a.e.* $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$A_k(\omega) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{\tau \geq t} S(t, \theta_{-\tau} \omega) K(\theta_{-\tau} \omega)}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$S(t, \omega) A_k(\omega) = A_k(\theta_t \omega). \quad (49)$$

4. Conclusion

We studied a class of damped high order Beam equation stochastic dynamical systems with white noise, by using the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, estimating the solution of the equation and the isomorphism mapping method, then we can get the existence of the random attractor family, I wish there will be some more convenient methods can be shown off. Further we can make the inertial manifolds of the model.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Guo, B.L. (2000) Infinite Dimensional Dynamic System. National Defense Industry Press, Beijing.
- [2] Lin, G., Chen, L. and Wang, W. (2017) Random Attractors of the Stochastic Strongly Damped for the High Order Nonlinear Beam Type Equation. *International Journal of Modern Nonlinear Theory and Application*, **6**, 59-69. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ijmnta.2017.62005>
- [3] Qin, C.L. and Du, J.J. (2016) Random Attractor for Strongly Damped Stochastic Beam Equation. *Journal of Science of Teachers College and University*, **36**, 6-11.
- [4] Xu, G.G., Wang, L.B. and Lin, G.G. (2017) Random Attractors for Nonautonomous Stochastic Wave Equations with Dispersive and Dissipative Terms. *Journal of Applied Functional Analysis*, **19**, 131-149.
- [5] Crauel, H. and Flandoli, F. (1994) Attractors for Random Dynamical Systems. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **100**, 365-393. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01193705>
- [6] Cai, D.M., Fan, X.M. and Ye, J.J. (2014) The Random Attractor of Dissipative KDV Type Equation with Multiplicative Noise Is Considered. *Journal of Southwest University for Nationalities (Natural Science Edition)*, **40**, 900-904.
- [7] Hao, H.J.J. and Zhou, S.F. (2010) Existence of Random Attractors for Strongly Damped Stochastic Sine-Gordon Equations. *Journal of Shanghai Normal University (Natural Science Edition)*, **39**, 121-127.
- [8] Wang, R. and Li, Y.R. (2012) Random Attractor of Generalized Ginzburg-Landau Equation with Multiplicative White Noise. *Journal of Southwest University for Nationalities (Natural Science Edition)*, **2**, 34.

- [9] Cheng, Y.Y. and Li, Y.R. (2012) Random Attractor of Generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation with Multiplicative White Noise. *Journal of Southwest University for Nationalities (Natural Science Edition)*, **37**, 27-30.
- [10] Li, X.T. and Xu, L. (2014) Existence of Random Attractors for Stochastic Delay Discrete Wave Equations. *Journal of Jilin University (Science Edition)*, **52**, 261-262.
- [11] Ban, A.L. (2018) Asymptotic Behavior of a Class of Stochastic Wave Equations. *Journal of Anhui Normal University (Natural Science Edition)*, **41**, 329-334.
- [12] Zhao, C.D. and Zhou, S.F. (2009) Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of Global Random Attractors for Stochastic Lattice Dynamical Systems and Applications. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **354**, 78-95.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.12.036>