

A Meta-Analysis of the Prognostic and Clinicopathological Significance of circZFR in Human Gastrointestinal Cancers

Christian Cedric Bongolo^{1,2,3}, Erick Thokerunga¹, Yu Zhang¹, Jian-Cheng Tu^{1*}

¹Wuhan Life Origin Biotech Joint Stock Co, Ltd., Wuhan, China

²College of Life Sciences and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China

³Department & Program of Clinical Laboratory Medicine and Center for Gene Diagnosis, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

Email: *eriku04@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Bongolo, C.C., Thokerunga, E., Zhang, Y. and Tu, J.-C. (2024) A Meta-Analysis of the Prognostic and Clinicopathological Significance of circZFR in Human Gastrointestinal Cancers. *International Journal of Clinical Medicine*, **15**, 134-144.

https://doi.org/10.4236/ijcm.2024.153009

Received: February 20, 2024 **Accepted:** March 25, 2024 **Published:** March 28, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

Background: Studies of gastrointestinal (GIT) cancers have shown that circZFR could be involved in the development and progression of various GIT cancers. However, small sample sizes limit the clinical significance of these studies. Here, a meta-analysis was conducted to ascertain the actual involvement of circZFR in the development and prognosis of GIT cancers. Methods: PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library were searched up to December 31, 2023. Hazard ratios (HRs) or odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were pooled to evaluate the association between circZFR expression and overall survival (OS). Publication bias was measured using the funnel plot and Egger's test. Results: 10 studies having 659 participants were enrolled for meta-analysis. High circZFR expression was associated with poor OS (HR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.20, 1.70). High circZFR expression also predicted larger tumor size (OR = 4.38, 95% CI 2.65, 7.25), advanced clinical stage (OR = 5.33, 95% CI 3.10, 9.16), and tendency for distant metastasis (OR = 2.89, 95% CI: 1.62, 5.11), but was not related to age, gender, and histological grade. Conclusions: In summary, high circZFR expression was associated with poor OS, larger tumor size, advanced stage cancer and tendency for distant metastasis. These findings suggested that circZFR could be a prognostic marker for GIT cancers.

Keywords

CircZFR, Gastrointestinal, Prognostic, Significance, Meta-Analysis

1. Background

In humans, approximately 93% of the genome can be transcribed into RNA yet less than 2% are capable of being translated into proteins. The rest are termed non-coding RNAs [1]. Among these are circular RNAs (circRNAs) [2] [3] characterized by highly conserved closed loop structures that lack a free 5 cap and 3' tail, making them resistant to degradation by exonucleases. While most circRNAs are derived from exons and found in the cell cytoplasm, their mechanism of formation remains largely unknown [4].

CircRNAs primarily carry out their biological activities by acting as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs), helping to sponge miRNAs, control transcription, and translation and carry out other epigenetic tasks. For instance, upregulation of circCDR1as in gastric cancer suppresses miR-7 activity which leads to more aggressive oncogenic phenotype mediated by PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway [5]. Various studies have demonstrated their ability to regulate aging [6], diabetes [7], and various tumors [8] [9] [10]. In tumors, the involvement of circRNAs has been demonstrated in tumor development, proliferation, and metastasis [11] [11]. Recent studies have also demonstrated their involvement in tumor resistance to chemotherapy [12] [13].

Circular RNA zinc finger RNA-binding protein (Circ-ZFR) is a transcription product of zinc finger RNA-binding protein (ZFR) gene mapped to chromosome 5p13.3. Studies of gastrointestinal (GIT) cancers have shown that it could be involved in the development and progression of various GIT cancers such as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [14], gastric cancer (GC) [15], and colorectal cancer (CRC) [16] among others. While the majority of these studies have demonstrated its oncogenic property, their small sample sizes limit their clinical significance. In this study, we sought to conduct a meta-analysis of all these studies to ascertain the actual involvement of circZFR in the development and prognosis of GIT cancers.

2. Methods

Records search strategy

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the 2020 updated Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [17]. A comprehensive database search was conducted by two independent reviewers (CCB and ET) in PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library up to December 31, 2023. The key items in the search strategy were: "circZFR" OR "circ_ZFR" OR "circ-ZFR" OR "circRNA ZFR" OR "circular RNA ZFR" OR "circ_0072088" OR "circ_0072083" OR "Circ_103809" OR "circRNA_103809" OR "Hsa_circRNA_103809" OR "Circular RNA hsa_circRNA_103809". Additionally, references of included articles were manually searched for relevant articles, and a general search on google and google scholar were conducted for articles missed in the database search.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were as follows: Inclusion criteria: 1) Pa-

tients definitely diagnosed with HCC by histopathology; 2) studies that focused on clinical diagnostic or prognostic value of circZFR in HCC; 3) studies where circZFR was assigned to high expression group (high) or low expression group (low) based on its relative expression level; 4) studies that provided enough information on the correlation between circZFR expression level and overall survival (HRs with 95% CIs) or clinical characteristics (age, gender, stage, grade, and so on). Studies were excluded if: 1) they were duplicate publications; 2) focused on the structures or functions of circZFR, without any clinical diagnostic or prognostic information; 3) had non-extractable data; 4) and had no original data e.g. reviews and meta-analysis.

Data extraction and study quality assessment

Included studies were independently assessed in detail by two investigators (CCB and ET) for data extraction. Each investigator extracted data independently and any discrepancies were settled by consensus. None of the studies was an RCT. The baseline data extracted from each study were: 1) first author name and year of study, country, cancer type, clinical stage, tumor size, cut-off value, follow-up time, detection method, adjuvant therapy before surgery, survival analysis method, and outcome measure method; 2) hazard ratios (HRs) or odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of circZFR for OS or clinicopathologic parameters. For studies that did not directly present HRs, the software Engauge Digitizer (version 4.1) was used to calculate it from the Kaplan-Meier curve. Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [18].

Data synthesis and statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were conducted in Review Manager (RevMan 5.4). HRs or ORs with corresponding 95% CIs were used to describe the relationship between circZFR expression and the prognosis or clinical characteristics. The chi-squared test and \vec{l} statistics was used to assess the heterogeneity among studies. A value of p < 0.05, $\vec{l} > 50\%$ was considered to be study heterogeneity. Random effect model was used since the studies had varying methodologies. The funnel plot and Egger's test was used to estimate the potential publication bias. A P value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Study selection criteria

Thorough database search yielded 89 studies in total, with 49 duplicates that were promptly excluded. 3 studies were reviews and so excluded as well. The remaining 37 studies had their full-text articles extracted and thoroughly assessed. 24 of them did not have clinical analyses while 3 had unextractable data. These were all excluded leaving 10 studies [14] [15] [19]-[26] all from China for final inclusion in the meta-analysis. All the studies combined had a total of 659 participants. The selection flow chart is presented in **Figure 1**.

Description of included studies

Detailed information on the enrolled studies is presented in Table 1. Studies



Figure 1. Flowchart of study selection criteria.

were published between 2017 to 2021, and all were conducted in China. CircZFR expression level was detected by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) in all studies with the sample size ranging from 30 to 170. Analyses were both univariate and multivariate. Outcome measures were clinicopathological parameters (CP) and overall survival (OS). Only 4 studies mentioned the overall follow up time of the patients, all 60 months and more. Mean and median expression of circZFR were used as cut-off values. NOS score in all the studies was \geq 7, indicating high overall quality of the studies.

Association between circZFR expression and OS

Four studies [15] [19] [20] [21] comprising 360 participants qualified for pooled OS analysis. The studies were generally homogeneous ($\vec{F} = 0\%$, p = 0.94). The OS results indicated that high expression of circZFR was associated with relatively poor OS (HR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.20, 1.70) (**Figure 2**).

Author	Country	Cancer type	Clinical stage	Sample size	Cut off value	Follow up (months)	Detection method	Adjuvant therapy	Survival analysis	Outcome measure	NOS
Cedric, 2020	China	HCC	T1 - T4	62	Mean	-	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	СР	7
Li, 2021	China	HCC	I-III	49	NA	-	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	СР	7
Lin, 2021	China	HCC	I-IV	50	Median	60	qRT-PCR	None	Multivariate	OS, CP	9
Tan, 2019	China	HCC	-	80	Mean	60	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	OS	8
Xu, 2021	China	HCC	I-IV	40	NA	-	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	СР	7
Yang, 2019	China	HCC	I-IV	30	Median	-	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	СР	7
Zhan, 2020	China	HCC	I-IV	60	NA	100	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	OS, CP	9
Fang, 2020	China	ESCC	I-IV	58	Median	-	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	СР	7
Huang, 2020	China	GC	-	60	NA	60	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	OS	8
Zhang, 2017	China	CRC	I-IV	170	NA	-	qRT-PCR	None	Univariate	СР	7

Table 1. Summary of the main characteristics of included studies.

Abbreviations: CRC: colorectal cancer; CP: clinicopathological parameters; ESCC: esophageal squamous; GC: gastric cancer; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; N/A: not available; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; OS: overall survival; qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.



Figure 2. Forest plot evaluating the association between circZFR expression and OS.

Association between circZFR expression and clinicopathological parameters

Age, gender, tumor size, clinical stage, distant metastasis (DM), lymph node metastasis (LNM), and histology grade were the clinicopathological parameters analyzed to evaluate their correlation with circZFR expression (Table 2). Notably, six studies enrolled to explore the correlation between circZFR expression and tumor size, demonstrating that higher circZFR expression predicted larger tumor size (OR = 4.38, 95% CI 2.65, 7.25). Similarly, the upregulation of circZFR expression indicated advanced clinical stage (OR = 5.33, 95% CI 3.10, 9.16), and distant metastasis DM (OR = 2.89, 95% 1.62, 5.11) (Figure 3). Statistically insignificant association were found between circZFR expression and age (OR = 1.44, 95% CI 0.94, 2.22), gender (OR = 1.06, 95% CI 0.72, 1.57), and histological grade (OR = 1.75, 95% CI 0.52, 5.93) (Figure S1).

Publication bias analysis

The potential for publication bias was estimated using the funnel plot method and Egger's test. The results showed no significant publication bias as indicated

Subgroup	Studies	Total participants	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Model	Heterogeneity (I ²)
Age	7	479	1.44 (0.94 - 2.22)	0.09	Random	6%
Sex	8	519	1.06 (0.72 - 1.57)	0.77	Random	0%
Tumor size	6	397	4.38 (2.65 - 7.25)	0.0001	Random	0%
Clinical stage	6	397	5.33 (3.10 - 9.16)	0.00001	Random	0%
LNM stage	3	290	2.89 (1.62 - 5.11)	0.003	Random	0%
DM	2	232	2.09 (1.01 - 4.32)	0.05	Random	0%
Histology Grade	3	258	1.75 (0.52 - 5.93)	0.37	Random	73%

 Table 2. Association between circZFR and other clinicopathological parameters.

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; DM: distant metastasis; LNM: lymph node metastasis; OR: odds ratio.

(A)	Larg	er	Small	ler		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year	M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Yang 2019	12	17	3	13	9.2%	8.00 [1.52, 42.04]	2019		
Fang 2020	15	22	14	36	20.3%	3.37 [1.10, 10.32]	2020		
Zhang 2020	20	28	12	32	21.5%	4.17 [1.40, 12.37]	2020		
Li 2021	17	26	8	23	18.3%	3.54 [1.09, 11.51]	2021		
Lin 2021	19	29	6	21	17.1%	4.75 [1.41, 16.05]	2021		
Xu 2021	14	19	7	21	13.6%	5.60 [1.43, 21.95]	2021		
Total (95% CI)		141		146	100.0%	4.38 [2.65, 7.25]		•	
Total events	97		50						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	i² = 0.9	9, df = 5 ((P = 0.9	16); I² = 09	б			5
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.74 ((P < 0.0)0001)					Favours (Smaller) Favours (Larger)	
(B)	III-N	,	1-11			Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Yang 2019	13	19	2	11	8.9%	9.75 (1.59, 59.70)	2019	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Fang 2020	20	29	9	29	23.7%	4.94 [1.62, 15.02]	2020		
Zhan 2020	22	31	10	29	24.7%	4.64 [1.56, 13.81]	2020	∣	
Li 2021	15	21	10	28	19.6%	4.50 [1.33, 15.28]	2021	_	
Lin 2021	20	30	5	15	17.0%	4.00 [1.07, 14.90]	2021		
Xu 2021	11	12	10	28	6.1%	19.80 [2.22, 176.60]	2021		
Total (95% CI)		142		140	100.0%	5.33 [3.10, 9.16]		•	
Total events	101		46						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	i² = 2.1	7, df = 5 ((P = 0.8	33); I² = 09	%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.06	(P < 0.0	00001)					Eavours I-II Favours III-IV	U
(\mathbf{C})	Positi	ve	Negati	ve		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Zhang 2017	58	66	76	104	44.3%	2.67 [1.13, 6.29]	2017		
Cedric 2020	14	23	17	39	29.5%	2.01 [0.70, 5.75]	2020		
Fang 2020	20	29	9	29	26.3%	4.94 [1.62, 15.02]	2020		
Total (95% CI)		118		172	100.0%	2.89 [1.63, 5.11]			
Total events	92		102					-	
Test for overall effect	7 = 3.65	P = 0 0	// 3/1 = 2 (I	- 0.00				0.01 0.1 1 10 10	JO
restion overall ellect.	2-0.00 (, - 0.0	000)					Favours (Negative) Favours (Positive)	

Figure 3. Forest plots evaluating the correlation between circZFR expression and clinicopathological characteristics of the patients that included; tumor size (a), clinical stage (b), and distant metastasis (c).

by the symmetrical distribution of study points in the funnel plot (**Figure S2**). Furthermore, Egger's test (p = 0.172) indicted no publication bias.

4. Discussion

Recent studies indicate that circRNAs possess potentials for cancer prognostic and treatment applications given their stability in body fluids such as plasma and serum, and specificity in certain cancers [27]. Circ ZFR is one of such circRNAs whose potential as a cancer driver gene has been verified in different studies. It is overexpressed in some GIT cancers [15] [20], while under expressed in others [26]. Analysis of its expression indicates strong association with certain clinicopathological characteristics in GIT cancers, making it a potential biomarker for prognostic prediction of these cancers.

In this meta-analysis, we assessed the association between CircZFR and GIT cancers. In the first step, we determined the correlation between circZFR expression and the overall survival (OS) of patients with GIT cancers. The pooled HR revealed that high circZFR expression was associated with poor OS. This was true whether the cut-off values of CircZFR expression were captured in mean or median in the original studies. Indeed, circZFR overexpression has been shown to promote cell proliferation, migration and invasion in GIT cancers such as esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [22], hepatocellular carcinoma [24] and gastric cancer [15] among others.

In relation to other major patient characteristics, we evaluated the association between circZFR expression and the patients' age, gender, tumor size, clinical stage, distant metastasis and histology grade. Our findings showed that higher circZFR expression was correlated with larger tumor size, advanced clinical stage, and distant metastasis. Statistically insignificant associations were noted in age, gender and histological grades. While circRNAs effect their biological functions by acting as miRNA molecular sponge, or regulating transcription of genes, and sometimes translation into proteins or small peptides, the function and mechanism of action of circZFR in promoting GIT cancers is still largely unclear and needs further research to unravel.

In terms of heterogeneity, the studies were largely homogenous as demonstrated by the I^2 values in the various forest plots. This is likely because all the studies were conducted in China and most had similar designs. Similarly, there was no publication bias as indicated by the symmetrical shape of the funnel plot and the result of the Egger's test. These findings improve the reliability of the meta-analysis.

This study had the following limitations that may affect interpretation. Firstly, all the included participants were from China, making generalization of results across different regions of the world difficult. Secondly, only four studies qualified for the prognosis meta-analysis, which greatly limited the wide application of the meta-analysis results. Finally, since many studies never reported HRs with their 95% CIs in the main articles, we extracted these values from the Kap-

lan-Meier curves. This could have an effect on their accuracy.

5. Conclusion

In summary, this meta-analysis demonstrated that upregulation of circ-ZFR expression is highly correlated with poor prognosis of GIT cancers. It is also correlated with certain clinicopathological parameters such as larger tumor size, advanced clinical stage, and distant metastasis among patients of Chinese origin. This demonstrates that circZFR could be a prognostic biomarker for GIT cancers. However, large-scale studies from different regions of the world will be required to verify these results.

Authors' Contributions

CCB designed the study, performed the literature retrieval, data analysis, interpretation and drafted the manuscript. ET contributed to the study methodology, performed literature retrieval and data analysis and reviewed the manuscript. ZY participated in the data analysis and assisted in creating the figures and reviewed the manuscript. JCT supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by Hubei Provincial Science and Technology (Project 2022BAD098) and Postdoctoral Programme of Wuhan Life Origin Biotech Joint stock fund.

Availability of Data and Materials

The dataset used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Dunham, I., *et al.* (2012) An Integrated Encyclopedia of DNA Elements in the Human Genome. *Nature*, 489, 57-74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11247
- [2] Capel, B., et al. (1993) Circular Transcripts of the Testis-Determining Gene Sry in Adult Mouse Testis. Cell, 73, 1019-1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90279-Y
- [3] Cocquerelle, C., Mascrez, B., Hétuin, D. and Bailleul, B. (1993) Mis-Splicing Yields Circular RNA Molecules. *The FASEB Journal*, 7, 155-160. https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.7.1.7678559
- [4] Chen L.-L. and Yang, L. (2015) Regulation of circRNA Biogenesis. *RNA Biology*, 12, 381-388. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2015.1020271</u>
- [5] Pan, H., et al. (2018) Overexpression of Circular RNA ciRS-7 Abrogates the Tumor

Suppressive Effect of miR-7 on Gastric Cancer via PTEN/PI3K/AKT Signaling Pathway. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, **119**, 440-446. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.26201

- [6] Knupp, D. and Miura, P. (2018) CircRNA Accumulation: A New Hallmark of Aging? *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development*, **173**, 71-79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2018.05.001
- [7] Yang, F., et al. (2020) High-Throughput Sequencing and Exploration of the lncRNAcircRNA-miRNA-mRNA Network in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *BioMed Research International*, 2020, Article ID: 8162524. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8162524</u>
- [8] Fu, L., Jiang, Z., Li, T., Hu, Y. and Guo, J. (2018) Circular RNAs in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Functions and Implications. *Cancer Medicine*, 7, 3101-3109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1574</u>
- [9] Huang, W., et al. (2020) Circular RNA cESRP1 Sensitises Small Cell Lung Cancer Cells to Chemotherapy by Sponging miR-93-5p to Inhibit TGF-β Signaling. Cell Death & Differentiation, 27, 1709-1727. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-019-0455-x
- [10] Shi, X., Wang, B., Feng, X., Xu, Y., Lu, K. and Sun, M. (2019) CircRNAs and Exosomes: A Mysterious Frontier for Human Cancer. *Molecular Therapy: Nucleic Acids*, 19, 384-392. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2019.11.023</u>
- [11] Meng, S., et al. (2017) CircRNA: Functions and Properties of a Novel Potential Biomarker for Cancer. Molecular Cancer, 16, Article No. 94. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-017-0663-2
- [12] Zhao, Z., Ji, M., Wang, Q., He, N. and Li, Y. (2019) Circular RNA Cdr1as Upregulates SCAI to Suppress Cisplatin Resistance in Ovarian Cancer via miR-1270 Suppression. *Molecular Therapy: Nucleic Acids*, 18, 24-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2019.07.012
- Kun-Peng, Z., Xiao-Long, M., Lei, Z., Chun-Lin, Z., Jian-Ping, H. and Tai-Cheng, Z. (2018) Screening Circular RNA Related to Chemotherapeutic Resistance in Osteo-sarcoma by RNA Sequencing. *Epigenomics*, 10, 1327-1346. https://doi.org/10.2217/epi-2018-0023
- [14] Cedric, B.C., Souraka, T.D.M., Feng, Y.-L., Kisembo, P. and Tu, J.-C. (2020) CircRNA ZFR Stimulates the Proliferation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma through Upregulating MAP2K1. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, 24, 9924-9931.
- [15] Huang, S.-S., Guo, W.-X. and Ren, M.-S. (2020) Circular RNA hsa_circ_103809 Promotes Cell Migration and Invasion of Gastric Cancer Cells by Binding to microRNA-101-3p. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, 24, 6064-6071.
- [16] Tan, Y., Wang, K. and Kong, Y. (2022) Circular RNA ZFR Promotes Cell Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis of Colorectal Cancer Cells via the miR-147a/CACUL1 Axis. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*, 13, 1793-1804. <u>https://doi.org/10.21037/jgo-22-672</u>
- Page, M.J., *et al.* (2021) The PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews. *BMJ*, 372, Article n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71
- [18] Lo, C.K.-L., Mertz, D. and Loeb, M. (2014) Newcastle-Ottawa Scale: Comparing Reviewers' to Authors' Assessments. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 14, Article No. 45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-45</u>
- [19] Lin, Y., Zheng, Z.-H., Wang, J.-X., Zhao, Z. and Peng, T.-Y. (2021) Tumor Cell-Derived Exosomal Circ-0072088 Suppresses Migration and Invasion of Hepatic

Carcinoma Cells Through Regulating MMP-16. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, **9**, Article 726323. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.726323</u>

- [20] Tan, A., Li, Q. and Chen, L. (2019) CircZFR Promotes Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression through Regulating miR-3619-5p/CTNNB1 Axis and Activating Wnt/β-Catenin Pathway. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 661, 196-202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2018.11.020
- [21] Zhan, W., et al. (2020) Circular RNA hsa_circRNA_103809 Promoted Hepatocellular Carcinoma Development by Regulating miR-377-3p/FGFR1/ERK Axis. Journal of Cellular Physiology, 235, 1733-1745. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.29092</u>
- [22] Fang, N., Shi, Y., Fan, Y., Long, T., Shu, Y. and Zhou, J. (2020) Circ_0072088 Promotes Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion of Esophageal Squamous Cell Cancer by Absorbing miR-377. *Journal of Oncology*, 2020, Article ID: 8967126. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8967126</u>
- [23] Li, L., Xiao, C., He, K. and Xiang, G. (2021) Circ_0072088 Promotes Progression of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Activating JAK2/STAT3 Signaling Pathway via miR-375. *IUBMB Life*, **73**, 1153-1165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/iub.2520</u>
- [24] Xu, R., Yin, S., Zheng, M., Pei, X. and Ji, X. (2021) Circular RNA circZFR Promotes Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression by Regulating miR-375/HMGA2 Axis. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, 66, 4361-4373. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-020-06805-2
- [25] Yang, X., Liu, L., Zou, H., Zheng, Y.-W. and Wang, K.-P. (2019) CircZFR Promotes Cell Proliferation and Migration by Regulating miR-511/AKT1 Axis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Digestive and Liver Disease*, 51, 1446-1455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2019.04.012
- [26] Zhang, P., et al. (2017) Identification of Differentially Expressed Circular RNAS in Human Colorectal Cancer. *Tumor Biology*, **39**, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1177/1010428317694546
- [27] Verduci, L., Strano, S., Yarden, Y. and Blandino, G. (2019) The circRNA-microRNA code: Emerging Implications for Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment. *Molecular Oncology*, 13, 669-680. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12468</u>

Supplementary

(A)	≥ 60	< 60	< 60			Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, R	andom, 95% Cl	Year		M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Zhang 2017	82	99	52	71	29.2%	1	.76 [0.84, 3.70]	2017			
Yang 2019	6	10	9	20	7.5%	1	.83 [0.39, 8.57]	2019			
Zhang 2020	17	33	15	27	16.4%	0	.85 [0.31, 2.36]	2020			
Cedric 2020	21	36	10	26	16.1%	2	.24 [0.80, 6.28]	2020		+- -	
Fang 2020	28	52	1	6	3.7%	5.8	33 [0.64, 53.45]	2020		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Li 2021	12	27	13	22	13.3%	0	.55 [0.18, 1.73]	2021			
Lin 2021	13	23	12	27	13.8%	1	.63 [0.53, 4.98]	2021			
Total (95% CI)		280		199	100.0%	1	44 [0.94, 2.22]			•	
Total events	179		112							•	
Heteroneneity: Tau	'= 0.02' Chi	i ² = 6 30	7 df = 6 (P = 0 3	8) [,] I ² = 69	6			+		
Test for overall effer	+ 7 = 1.68	Έ = 0.0.	9, ai - 0 (19)	- 0.5	0,1 - 0,	•			0.02	2 0.1 1 10 50	
	. L = 1.00 ,	. = 0.0	,							Favours 60 Favours \geq 60	
(B)	Mal		Eoma	lo		04	de Patio			Odde Patio	
Study or Subaroun	Fuente	= Total	Frente	Total	Weight	MHR	andom 95% Cl	Voar		MH Random 95% Cl	
Zhang 2017	02	101	Events 62	60	27.6%	1		2017			
Vana 2010	12	22	J2 2	03	5 5 94	21	10 10 20 10 61	2017			
Codric 2020	14	22	17	21	16 104	2.0	0 [0.36, 10.31]	2019			
Eand 2020	20	46	0 I I	12	9.7%	0	36 [0.25, 1.84]	2020			
Faily 2020 7hong 2020	20	40	9 14	20	0.770	1	71 10 62 4 77	2020			
Liany 2020	10	20	14	17	14.4%		.71 [U.U2, 4.77]	2020			
Li 2021	10	24	7	16	10.7%	1	A5 (0 AA A 70)	2021			
Liii 2021 Vii 2021	10	24	, 5	10	7 4 96	1	1 1 10 27 1 70	2021			
AU 2021	10	30	5	10	7.4 %	'	.14 (0.27, 4.79)	2021			
Total (95% CI)		325		194	100.0%	1.	.06 [0.72, 1.57]			◆	
Total events	195		117								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 6.46, df = 7 (P = 0.49); i ² = 0%											
Test for overall effe	ct: Z = 0.30 ((P = 0.7	7)						0.01	Favours (Female) Favours (Male)	
(C)	Poorly diffe	rentiate	ed Wel	differe	ntiated		Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	T	otal E	<i>v</i> ents	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 9	5% CI	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
Zhang 2017	39		53	95	117	39.7%	0.65 (0.30,	1.39]	2017		
Yang 2019	8		11	7	19	25.7%	4.57 [0.90, 2	23.14]	2019		
Fang 2020	17		27	12	31	34.6%	2.69 [0.93]	7.80]	2020	⊢ ∎−	
Total (95% CI)			91		167	100.0%	1.75 [0.52,	5.93]		-	
Total events	64			114			- ,	5			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.83; Chi ² =	7.32, df	= 2 (P = 0	.03); l² =	73%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.90 (P =	0.37)								Favours (Well) Favours (Poor)	

Figure S1. Forest plots of the association between circZFR expression and clinicopathological parameters, including patient age (a), gender (b), and tumor histology grade (c).

