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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the space of $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on a complete noncompact orientable $\delta$-stable hypersurface $M^{m}$ that is immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ with nonnegative BiRic curvature. We prove the nonexistence of $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on $M^{m}$. Moreover, we obtain some vanishing properties for this class of harmonic 1-forms.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$, be a complete noncompact orientable stable hypersurface $M^{m}$ immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ with nonnegative BiRic curvature bounded from below. Fix a point $x \in M$ and let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{m+n}\right\}$ be local orthogonal frame of $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{m}\right\}$ are tangent fields of $M^{m}$. Now we will use the following convention on the ranges of induces: $1 \leq i, j, k, \cdots \leq m$ and $m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+n$. Let $A$ denote the second fundamental form of $x$, is define by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(X, Y)=\sum_{\alpha}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle e_{\alpha}, \quad \forall X, Y \in T_{x} M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on the ambient manifold $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$. Here, we denote $h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}} e_{j}, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle$, then $|A|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}$ denote the square length of the norm of $A$ and the mean curvature vector field $H$ is define by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i} h_{i i}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The traceless second fundamental form $\Phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(X, Y)=A(X, Y)-\langle X, Y\rangle H, \quad \forall X, Y \in T_{x} M \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle is the metric of M^{m}$. A simple computational shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi|^{2}=|A|^{2}-m|H|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\|\Phi\| \equiv 0$, then $M^{m}$ is totally umbilical see ([1] [2] [3] [4]).
Definition 1.1. [5], Let $M^{m}$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $\mu$, $v$ be orthonormal tangent vectors at a point $p \in M^{m}$ and D be the 2-plane generated by $\mu$ and $v$. The bi-Ricci curvature of the plane D is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BiRic}(D)=\operatorname{BiRic}(\mu, v):=\operatorname{Ric}(\mu, \mu)+\delta \operatorname{Ric}(v, v)-R(\mu, v, \mu, v) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta>0, R(\mu, v, \mu, v)$ denotes the sectional curvature and $\operatorname{BiRic}(\mu, v)$, denotes the BiRic curvature in the direction $\mu, v$. Observe that when $m=3$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{BiRic}(\mu, v)=R(\mu, v, \mu, v) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, BiRic is the sum of the sectional curvatures overall mutually orthogonal 2-planes containing at least one of these tangent vectors (see [6]).

The vanishing theorems for $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on complete noncompact submanifolds have been studied extensively by many mathematicians from various points of views. There are some relations between the geometry and topology of a manifold and the space of $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms. According to the decomposition theorem by Hodge-Rham [7], $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1 -forms completely represent the $L^{p}$ cohomology of the underlying manifold. The nonexistence of nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on $M^{m}$ implies that any codimension one cycle on $M^{m}$ must disconnect $M^{m}$, also the uniqueness of the non-parabolic ends of the underlying manifold. In [8], Li considers hypersurface $M^{m}(2 \leq m \leq 5)$ with constant means curvature and then drives the same vanishing properties. In [9], Dung studied immersed hypersurface in a weighted Riemannian manifold with weighted BiRici curvature and proved that if such hypersurfaces are weighted stable then the space of $L^{2}$ weighted harmonic 1 -forms is trivial. In [10], Tanno studied a complete noncompact oriented stable minimal hypersurface immersed in a Riemannian manifold with nonnegative BiRic curvature and proved that there are no nontrivial $L^{2}$ harmonic 1 -forms on $M^{m}$. In [11], Cheng generalized Li's results by assuming that $B i R i c \geq \frac{m-5}{4} H^{2}$, where $H$ is the mean curvature of $M^{m}$, and is normalized to be equal to the second fundamental form. In [5], the Author proves that there are no nontrivial $L^{2}$ harmonic 1 -forms on a strongly stable hypersurface $M^{m}$ of a general Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{N}$ when the bi-Ricci curvature of $\mathbb{N}$ is no less than certain lower bound, which gives a topological obstruction for the stability of $M^{m}$. In [12], Palmer considered $L^{2}$ harmonic forms on a complete oriented stable minimal hypersurface $M^{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, and proved that there exist no nontrivial $L^{2}$ harmonic 1-forms on $M^{m}$. In this direction, many Authors give us various results for $L^{2}$ harmonic 1 -forms on stable
minimal hypersurfaces (see [13] [14]). In [15], the Author proved that the nonexistence of $L^{2}$ harmonic 1 -forms on a complete super stable minimal submanifold $M^{m}$ in hyperbolic space.

The aim of this work is to investigate some vanishing theorems for $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1 -forms on a complete noncompact orientable stable hypersurface that is immersed in space form with nonnegative BiRic curvature bounded from below.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M^{m}$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and the Riemannian structure under a local coordinate system given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=g_{i j} \mathrm{~d} x^{i} \otimes \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the Riemannian metric. We shall make use of the following conventions about indices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=i, j, k, \cdots=m \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and shall agree that repeated indices are summed over their ranges. Denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$ by $\partial_{i}$. The Riemannian curvature tensor $R_{i j k l}$, the Ricci curvature tensor Ric ${ }_{i j}$ and scalar curvature $\bar{R}$ are defined by (see [16] [17])

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) Z=\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on $M^{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\left\langle R\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right) \partial_{l}, \partial_{k}\right\rangle, \quad R i c_{i j}=\sum_{k} g^{p q} R_{i p j q}, \quad \bar{R}=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} g^{i j} R i c_{i j} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor $W_{i j k l}$ and Einstein tensor $A_{i j}$ are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{i j k l}= & R_{i j k l}-\frac{1}{m-2}\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{j k} g_{i l}-\operatorname{Ric}_{j l} g_{i k}-R i c_{i l} g_{j k}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2)} \bar{R}\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j}=R i c_{i j}-\frac{1}{m} g_{i j} \bar{R} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computations, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
|A|^{2}=|R i c|^{2}-\frac{1}{m} \bar{R}^{2}  \tag{13}\\
|W|^{2}=|R|^{2}-\frac{4}{m-2}|R i c|^{2}+\frac{2}{(m-1)(m-2)} \bar{R}^{2} . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we define a new tensor $B_{i j k l}$ of type $(0,4)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j k l}=(m-3) R_{i j k l}-(m-2) W_{i j k l}+\frac{1}{m-1} \bar{R}\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $B_{i j k l}$ has all the symmetries of the curvature tensor $R_{i j k l}$ and the Weyl curvature $W_{i j k l}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{i j k l}=-B_{j i k l}=-B_{i j l k}=B_{j i l k}=B_{k l i j}  \tag{16}\\
B_{i j k l}=B_{i k l j}+B_{i j k k}=0 \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

By direct computations, the BiRici curvature of the plane generated by $\partial_{i}$, $\partial_{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\partial_{i} \wedge \partial_{j}\right|^{2}} B_{i j i j}=\frac{1}{g_{i i} g_{j j}-g_{i j}^{2}}\left(R_{i i} g_{i j}+g_{i i} R_{j j}-2 R_{i j} g_{i j}-R_{i j i j}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So BiRic behaves like a "sectional curvature" of the tensor $B_{i j k l}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j k l}=R_{i k} g_{j l}+R_{j l} g_{i k}-R_{i l} g_{j k}-R_{j k} g_{i l}-R_{i j k l} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B|^{2}=|R|^{2}+4(m-1)|R i c|^{2}+\bar{R}^{2} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{i j k l}-\frac{(2 m-3) \bar{R}}{m(m-1)}\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right)\right|^{2}=|B|^{2}-\frac{2(2 m-3)^{2}}{m(m-1)} \bar{R}^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (13), (14) and (20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B|^{2}=|W|^{2}+\frac{4(m-3)^{2}}{m-2}|A|^{2}+\frac{2(2 m-3)^{2}}{m(m-1)} \bar{R}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21) and (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{i j k l}-\frac{(2 m-3) \bar{R}}{m(m-1)}\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right)\right|^{2}=|W|^{2}+\frac{4(m-3)^{2}}{m-2}|A|^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the BiRic curvatures of all 2 planes are the same at a point, by the argument of polarization, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j k l}=c\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get $c=\frac{(2 m-3) \bar{R}}{m(m-1)}$. Therefore, $W=A=0$ by (24) and the Riemannian curvature is constant.

## 3. The Estimation of the BiRic Curvature

Let $M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ be a complete noncompact orientable stable hypersurface $M^{m}$ immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$. We shall make use of the following conventions about indices:

$$
1 \leq i, j, k, \cdots \leq m, m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+n .
$$

Denote by $\bar{\nabla}, \bar{R}$, Ric and BiRic the Levi-Civita connection, sectional curvature, Ric curvature and BiRic curvature of $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ respectively.

The Gauss equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\bar{R}_{i j k l}+\sum_{\alpha}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{j l}^{\alpha}-h_{i l}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k \mid k l}=R i c_{k k}+R i c_{l l}-R_{k \mid k l}=\sum_{i}\left(\bar{R}_{i k i k}+\bar{R}_{i l i l}\right)-\bar{R}_{k \mid k l} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Gauss Equation (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, X)=\sum_{i} \bar{R}\left(X, e_{i}, X, e_{i}\right)+h(X, X) H-\sum_{i} h\left(e_{i}, X\right)^{2} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. [9] Let $\left(h_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{m}$ be a symmetric matrix $m \times m, \quad m \geq 3$.
And let $H=\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i i}$ and $S=|A|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left(h_{i j}\right)^{2}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(X, X) H-\sum_{i} h\left(X, e_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{|X|^{2}}{n^{2}}\left\{2(m-1) H^{2}-(m-2) H \sqrt{(m-1)\left(m S-H^{2}\right)}-m(m-1) S\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $X \neq 0$. By the definition of the BiRic in Equation (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) \geq \sum_{i} \bar{R}\left(X, e_{i}, X, e_{i}\right)-(\delta S+\varphi(H, S))|X|^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first assume that $X \neq 0$ everywhere. By the definition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \bar{R}\left(X, e_{i}, X, e_{i}\right)=\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\delta \operatorname{Ric}(N, N)\right)|X|^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (29) with (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, X) \geq\left\{\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)-\delta(\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S)\right\}|X|^{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\varphi(H, S)=\left(\frac{m-1}{m}-\delta\right) S-\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left\{2(m-1) H^{2}-(m-2) H \sqrt{(m-1)\left(m S-H^{2}\right)}\right\}$.
From the Bochner formula [18], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta|\omega|^{2}=2\left(|\nabla \omega|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\omega, \omega)\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta|\omega|^{2}=2\left(|\omega| \Delta|\omega|+\left.|\nabla| \omega\right|^{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (33) with (34), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega| \Delta|\omega|-\operatorname{Ric}(\omega, \omega)=|\nabla \omega|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \omega\right|^{2} \geq\left.\frac{1}{m-1}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{2} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inparticular, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega, \omega) \geq\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)-\delta(\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S)\right)|\omega|^{2} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $q=\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega, \omega) \geq\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-(\delta q+\varphi(H, S))\right)|\omega|^{2} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The Structure of $\boldsymbol{\delta}$-Stable Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$

In this section, we assume that $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ is a complete noncompact oriented space form and $M^{m}$ is a complete noncompact oriented stable hypersurface of $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$. Adapt the same notations as in the previous section and the second fundamental form can be written as $h=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$. We assume that the mean curvature vector is in the same direction as in $e_{m+1}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} h_{i i} \geq 0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.1. [19], Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{m+1}, m \geq 3$, be a complete noncompact hypersurface immersed in a Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{N}^{m+1}$. Then the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian of $M$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M} \varphi^{2} \leq \int_{M}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all smooth function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$.
Definition 4.2. [11], Let $M^{m}$ be a complete noncompact manifold and let $H \neq 0, M^{m}$ is said to be strongly stable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\varphi)=\int_{M}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-(\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S) \varphi^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} v \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}^{\infty}$ is the smooth functions and $\mathrm{d} v$ is the volume form.
Definition 4.3. [11], For some number $0<\delta \leq 1, M^{m}$ is $\delta$-stable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\varphi)=\int_{M}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\delta(\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S) \varphi^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} v \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the square norm of the second fundamental form of $M^{m}$. Obviously, given $\delta_{1}>\delta_{2}, \delta_{1}$-stable implies $\delta_{2}$-stable. So, that $M^{m}$ is stable implies that $M^{m}$ is $\delta$-stable.
$M^{m}$ is said to be $\delta$-stable or weakly $\delta$-stable if $I(\varphi) \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \varphi=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. When $H=0$, i.e. $M^{m}$ is minimal, then the immersion is called stable if it is in the strong sense, which is different from the stability of the hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature as said above.

## 5. The Vanishing Theorems

In this section, we presented some vanishing theorems as follows.
Theorem 5.1. Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}, m \geq 3$, be a complete noncompact orientable $\delta$-stable minimal hypersurface $M^{m}$ immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ with nonnegative BiRic curvature bounded from below. If

$$
\operatorname{BiRic}(Y, N) \geq\left(\frac{m-1}{m}-\delta\right) S
$$

Then there is no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-form on $M^{m}$.
Proof: Using (35) and (37), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega| \Delta|\omega| \geq \frac{1}{m-1}|\nabla| \omega \|^{2}+\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-(\delta q+\varphi(H, S))\right)|\omega|^{2} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega|^{p} \Delta|\omega|^{p}=\left.\left.\frac{p-1}{p}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}+p|\omega|^{2 p-2}|\omega| \Delta|\omega| \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $p>0$. Combining (43) with (44), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
|\omega|^{p} \Delta|\omega|^{p} \geq & \left.\left.\frac{p-1}{p}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}+\left.\frac{p}{m-1}|\omega|^{2 p-2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{2} \\
& +p\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-(\delta q+\varphi(H, S))\right)|\omega|^{2 p} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ be a smooth function with compact supported. Multiplying both sides of (45) by $\eta^{2}$ and integrating over $M$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M} \eta^{2}|\omega|^{p} \Delta_{f}|\omega|^{p} \geq & \left.\left.\left(1-\frac{m-2}{p(m-1)}\right) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \\
& +p \int_{M}\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-(\delta q+\varphi(H, S))\right) \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\omega|^{p} \Delta_{f}|\omega|^{p} \\
& \left.=\int_{M} \operatorname{div}\left(\eta^{2}|\omega|^{p} \nabla|\omega|^{p}\right)-\left.\left.\int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}-\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle  \tag{47}\\
& \left.=-\left.\left.\int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}-\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (46) with (47), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left(\frac{2 p(m-1)-(m-2)}{p(m-1)}\right) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq-p \int_{M}\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-(\delta q+\varphi(H, S))\right) \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}  \tag{48}\\
& \left.-\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle \\
& \left.\left.\quad\left(\frac{2 p(m-1)-(m-2)}{p(m-1)}\right) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq-p \int_{M}\left(B i R i c\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)\right) \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}  \tag{49}\\
& \left.\quad-\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle+p \delta \int_{M} q \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}
\end{align*}
$$

From definition (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \geq \int_{M} q \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} v \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\varphi$ by $\eta|\omega|^{p}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left|\nabla\left(\eta|\omega|^{p}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \int_{M} q \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \mathrm{~d} v \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (49) with (51), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.\left(\frac{2 p(m-1)-(m-2)}{p(m-1)}\right) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \\
\leq-p \int_{M}\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)\right) \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}  \tag{52}\\
\left.\quad-\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle+p \delta \int_{M} \mid \nabla\left(\eta|\omega|^{p}\right)^{2} \\
\left.\left.\left(\frac{2 p(m-1)-(m-2)}{p(m-1)}+p \delta\right) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \\
\leq-p \int_{M}\left(B i R i c\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)\right) \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}  \tag{53}\\
\left.-\left.2(p \delta+1) \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle+p \delta \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle \leq\left.\left.\varepsilon \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\varepsilon>0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left(\frac{2 p(m-1)-(m-2)}{p(m-1)}+p \delta-|p \delta+1| \varepsilon\right) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq-p \int_{M}\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)\right) \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}  \tag{55}\\
& \quad+\left(p \delta+\frac{|p \delta+1|}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathbf{A} \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}+\mathbf{B} \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \leq \mathbf{C} \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{A}=\frac{2 p(m-1)-(m-2)}{p(m-1)}+p \delta-|p \delta+1| \varepsilon \\
\mathbf{B}=p\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)\right) \\
\mathbf{C}=p \delta+\frac{|p \delta+1|}{\varepsilon} \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $B_{r}$ be a geodesic ball of radius $r>0$ on $M^{m}$ centered at the point $p$. Choose a cut-off function $\eta$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta=0 \quad \text { in } M \backslash B_{2 r}  \tag{58}\\
\eta=1 \quad \text { in } B_{r} \\
|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{r} \quad \text { in } B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Using (56) with (58), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathbf{A} \int_{B_{r}}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \leq \mathbf{C}\left(\frac{4}{r^{2}}\right) \int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|\omega|^{2 p} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\nabla|\omega|=0$, and $|\omega|=|X|$ is constant. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla \omega|^{2}=\left.\frac{m}{m-1}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{2}=0, \quad \operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)=\varphi(H, S) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (60) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega, \omega)+\delta(\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S)=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\nabla|\omega|=0$, and $|\omega|=|X|$ is constant, the Bochner formula implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, X)=0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (62) we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for any unite tangent vector $Y$, it follows from (31) and (63) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}(Y, Y) & \geq \operatorname{BiRic}(Y, N)-\delta(\operatorname{Ric}(N, N)+S)-\varphi(H, S)  \tag{64}\\
& =\operatorname{BiRic}(Y, N)-\varphi(H, S) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, using (32) with (64) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{BiRic}(Y, N) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{m-1}{m}-\delta\right) S-\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left\{2(m-1) H^{2}-(m-2) H \sqrt{(m-1)\left(m S-H^{2}\right)}\right\} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $M^{m}$ is a minimal stable hypersurface immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$. Hence $H=0$, and this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BiRic}(Y, N) \geq\left(\frac{m-1}{m}-\delta\right) S \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on $M^{m}$. Hence we get the prove as assumption in theorem.

Corollary 5.2. Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}, m \geq 3$, be a complete noncompact orientable $\delta$-stable minimal hypersurface $M^{m}$ immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ with nonnegative BiRic curvature bounded from below. If $\operatorname{BiRic}-\varphi(H, S) \geq 0$ for any positive number $\delta$ satisfy

$$
\delta \leq \frac{m-1}{m}
$$

Then there is no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-form on $M^{m}$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}, m \geq 3$, be a complete noncompact orientable $\delta$-stable hypersurface $M^{m}$ immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$. If BiRic $=\varphi(H, S)=0$, then one of the following conditions holds

1) $M$ is minimal and $S$ is totally geodesic.
2) $M$ is minimal and $\delta=\frac{m-1}{m}$.

Then there is no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-form on $M^{m}$.
Theorem 5.4. Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}, m \geq 3$, be a complete noncompact orientable $\delta$-stable minimal hypersurface $M^{m}$ immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ with nonnegative BiRic curvature bounded from below. If $M^{m}$ satisfy

$$
\lambda_{1}(M)>\frac{\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)}{\delta}
$$

Then there is no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-form on $M^{m}$.
Proof: From the definition (4.1) and replacing $\varphi$ by $\eta|\omega|^{p}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \leq \int_{M}\left|\nabla\left(\eta|\omega|^{p}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lambda_{1} \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \leq\left.\left.\int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}+\int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}+\left.2 \int_{M} \eta|\omega|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.2\left|\int_{M} \eta\right| \omega\right|^{p}\langle\nabla \eta, \nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right\rangle\left.\left|\leq s \int_{M} \eta^{2}\right| \nabla|\omega|^{p}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{s} \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p}, \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s>0$, using (68) with (69), and multiplying both said by $\mathbf{B}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B} \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \leq\left.\left.\frac{\mathbf{B}(1+s)}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mathbf{B}\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right)}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compining (56) with (70), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathbf{D} \int_{M} \eta^{2}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \leq \mathbf{E} \int_{M}|\nabla \eta|^{2}|\omega|^{2 p} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{A}+\frac{\mathbf{B}(1+s)}{\lambda_{1}}, \quad \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{C}-\frac{\mathbf{B}\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right)}{\lambda_{1}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\mathbf{E}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{C}-\frac{\mathbf{B}\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right)}{\lambda_{1}}>0 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \delta+\frac{|p \delta+1|}{\varepsilon}>\frac{p\left(\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right)}{\lambda_{1}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon$ and $s$ small enough, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(M)>\frac{\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)-\varphi(H, S)}{\delta} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(H, S)=\frac{-S}{m}-\frac{2(m-1) H^{2}}{m^{2}}+\frac{(m-2) H \sqrt{(m-1)\left(m S-H^{2}\right)}}{m^{2}} \leq \frac{S}{m} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)=\frac{S}{m} \geq 0 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (58) with (71), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathbf{D} \int_{B_{r}}|\nabla| \omega\right|^{p}\right|^{2} \leq \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{4}{r^{2}}\right) \int_{B_{2 r} \backslash B_{r}}|\omega|^{2 p} . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\omega=0$. Then there are no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1 -forms on $M^{m}$. Hence we get the conclusion.

On the other hand, Dung and Seo [3] proved that

$$
\frac{m-1}{m} S-\frac{2(m-1) H^{2}}{m^{2}}+\frac{(m-2) H \sqrt{(m-1)\left(m S-H^{2}\right)}}{m^{2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{2} S .
$$

In fact, in [3], Dung showed that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m-1}{m} S-\frac{2(m-1) H^{2}}{m^{2}}+\frac{(m-2) H \sqrt{(m-1)\left(m S-H^{2}\right)}}{m^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{2} S-\frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{2 m^{2}}\left(\frac{(m-2) \sqrt{m S-H^{2}}}{\sqrt{m-1}+1}-(\sqrt{m-1}+1) H^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{79}\\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{2} S .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\varphi(H, S) \leq\left(\frac{\sqrt{m-1}}{2}-\delta\right) S$. Therefore, Theorem 5.4 implies the following conclusion.

Corollary 5.5. Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}, m \geq 3$, be a complete noncompact $\delta$-stable minimal hypersurface immersed in space form $\mathbb{N}_{c}^{m+1}$ with nonnegative BiRic curvature bounded from below. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds. Then there is no nontrivial $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-form on $M^{m}$.

1) If $\operatorname{BiRic}\left(\frac{X}{|X|}, N\right)=\frac{S}{m}=0$, then $S$ is totally geodesic.
2) If BiRic $=\left(\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{2}}-\delta\right) S=0$, then either $\delta=\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ or $S$ is totally geodesic.

## 6. Conclusion

We investigated the space of $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on a complete noncompact orientable $\delta$-stable hypersurfaces that are immersed in space form with nonnegative BiRic curvature. We proved the nonexistence of $L^{p} p$-harmonic 1-forms on $M^{m}$. Moreover, we obtained some vanishing properties for this class of harmonic 1-forms.
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