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## Open Access


#### Abstract

Tensor complementarity problem (TCP) is a special kind of nonlinear complementarity problem (NCP). In this paper, we introduce a new class of structure tensor and give some examples. By transforming the TCP to the system of nonsmooth equations, we develop a semismooth Newton method for the tensor complementarity problem. We prove the monotone convergence theorem for the proposed method under proper conditions.
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## 1. Introduction

A Tensor is a multi-arrray. We denote by $\mathscr{T}(m, n)$ the space of all $m$-order and $n$-dimension tensors. $/ \in \mathscr{T}(m, n)$ is in the form of

$$
y=\left[a_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right], \quad a_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} \in R, \quad 1 \leq i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m} \leq n
$$

If the entries $a_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}$ are invariant under any permutation of their indices, then $\mathscr{C}$ is called a symmetric tensor. We denote by $\mathscr{C}(m, n) \subset \mathscr{T}(m, n)$ the space of all symmetric tensors in $\mathscr{T}(m, n)$.

In this paper, we discuss the following tensor complementarity problem (simplified as TCP $(A, p, q))$ : finding a point $x \in R^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=. \delta x^{m-1}-q \geq 0, \quad x \geq p, \quad(x-p)^{\mathrm{T}} F(x)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q, p \in R^{n}, \quad p>0$ and $\mathscr{A} \in \mathscr{T}(m, n) . \mathscr{x ^ { m - 1 }}$ is an $n$-dimensional vector, whose $i$ th component is given by [1]

$$
\left(\mathscr{y} x^{m-1}\right)_{i}=\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{i i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
$$

$\mathscr{C} x^{m-2}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, whose $t$ th row and $j$ th column is given by

$$
\left(\Delta x^{m-2}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{i_{3}, \cdots, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{i j_{3} \cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{3}} x_{i_{4}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}
$$

It is obvious that $\nabla F(x)=(m-1) . / x^{m-2}$.
Tensor complementarity problem has many applications, such as nonlinear compressed sensing, communications, DNA microarrays, $n$-person noncooperative game and so on, see for example [2] [3]. TCP has received much attention and has taken good progress in recent years [4]-[13], such as the structure of the solution set, the global uniqueness solvability and the error bound and so on. Bai, Huang and Wang [4] proved that the $P$-tensor complementarity problem has a nonempty compact solution set. What's more, they showed the global uniqueness solvability property for the TCP with a strong $P$-tensor. Che, Qi and Wei [5] showed that when the tensor is a positive definite or strictly copositive tensor, the TCP has a nonempty compact solution set. On the other hand, however, the study in the related numerical methods is very few. Luo, Qi and Xiu [3] proposed an iterative method to find the sparsest solutions to the $Z$-tensor complementarity problem with a non-positive constant term. Xu, Li and Xie [14] concerned with the tensor complementarity problem with a positive semi-definite $Z$-tensor. Under the assumption that the problem has a solution at which the strict complementarity holds, they showed that the problem is equivalent to a system of lower dimensional tensor equations. In this paper, we present a semismooth Newton method for TCP, under the assumption that $F(x)$ is a concave function, we establish the monotone convergence theorem for the proposed method. Here $F(x)$ is concave means $F_{i}(x)$ is a concave function, $i=1,2, \cdots, n$.

For convenience of presentation, we introduce some concepts and notations which will be used throughout the paper. We denote $[n]=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $I \subset[n]$ with $|I|=r$. Denote by $\left(/ x^{m-1}\right)_{I}$ the $r$-dimensional subvector of $\mathscr{A} x^{m-1}$ and its elements are $\left(\delta x^{m-1}\right)_{i}, i \in I$. Similarly, we denote $q_{I}$ as the $r$-dimensional subvector of $q$ and its elements are $q_{i}, i \in I$.

## 2. Semismooth Newton Method and Its Convergence

We first introduce a new class of structure tensor, called $M$-like tensor.
Definition 2.1. A tensor $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }} \in \mathscr{T}(m, n)$ is called an $M$-like tensor, if for $\forall x \in R_{+}^{n}, \mathscr{A} x^{m-2}$ is an $M$-matrix.

Remark 2.1. As an example, it is easy to verify that the even-order diagonal tensors with all positive diagonal entries are $M$-like tensors. We give a non-diagonal $M$-like tensor.

Example 2.1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a 4th-order 2-dimensional tensor with elements

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1111}=a_{2222}=2 \\
a_{1212}=a_{1221}=-1 / 2 \\
a_{2112}=a_{2121}=-1 / 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to verify $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$ is an $M$-like tensor over $R_{+}^{2}$. In the following, without specification, we always suppose $\mathscr{A}$ is an $M$-like tensor.

Let $\phi: R^{2} \rightarrow R$ be the well-known Fischer-Burmeister function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\mu, v)=\mu+v-\sqrt{\mu^{2}+v^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that TCP (1.1) can be transformed to the system of nonsmooth equations:

$$
H(x) \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{c}
H_{1}(x)  \tag{2.2}\\
H_{2}(x) \\
\vdots \\
H_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right) \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{c}
\phi\left(x_{1}-p_{1}, F_{1}(x)\right) \\
\phi\left(x_{2}-p_{2}, F_{2}(x)\right) \\
\vdots \\
\phi\left(x_{n}-p_{n}, F_{n}(x)\right)
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

where $F_{i}(x)=\left(y x^{m-1}-q\right)_{i}$.
Let $\partial_{B} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ denote the $B$-subdifferential of $H$ at $x^{(k)} . V^{k} \in \partial_{B} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)$, if it satisfies

$$
\left\|H\left(x^{(k)}\right)-H\left(x^{\star}\right)-V^{k}\left(x^{(k)}-x^{\star}\right)\right\|=O\left(\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right) \text { as }\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{\star}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

For any $V \in \partial_{B} H(x)$ can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i}\right)(m-1)\left(\mathscr{y} x^{m-2}\right)+\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{i}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{i}-1\right)^{2}+\left(b_{i}-1\right)^{2} \leq 1, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $a_{i} \geq 0, b_{i} \geq 0$ and $a_{i}^{2}+b_{i}^{2}>0$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$.
Now, we present semismooth Newton method for TCP (1.1).

## Algorithm 2.1 (Semismooth Newton Method)

Step 0. Choose $\varepsilon>0$ and initial point $x^{(0)}$. Let $k=0$.
Step 1. If $\left\|H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$, stop.
Step 2. Choose $V^{k} \in \partial_{B} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)$, calculate $d^{k}$ satisfying the following linear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{k} d^{k}=-H\left(x^{(k)}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}+d^{(k)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k:=k+1$ and goto Step 1 .
Theorem 2.2. [15] Let $x^{\star}$ be the solution of (1). Then the sequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ generated by (6) is $Q$-quadratically convergent to $x^{\star}$ if $x^{(0)}$ is sufficiently close to $x^{\star}$.

In the following, we will prove the sequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ monotonically converges to the solution of (1). First, we give some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. [16] Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be an $M$-matrix and $B \geq A$ satisfy $b_{i j} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then B is an $M$-matrix and

$$
0 \leq B^{-1} \leq A^{-1} .
$$

Furthermore, any principle submatrix of $A$ is again an $M$-matrix. By the definition of $V$, the th row $V_{i}$ of $V$ can be presented by

$$
V_{i}= \begin{cases}(m-1) a_{i}\left(\mathscr{}\left(x^{m-2}\right)_{i}+b_{i} e_{i},\right. & a_{i}>0 \text { and } b_{i}>0, \\ (m-1)\left(\mathscr{~} x^{m-2}\right)_{i}, & a_{i}=1 \text { and } b_{i}=0, \\ e_{i}, & a_{i}=0 \text { and } b_{i}=1,\end{cases}
$$

where $\left(\mathscr{\int} x^{m-2}\right)_{i}$ is the th row of $\mathscr{\int} x^{m-2}$ and $e_{i}$ is the th row of the $n \times n$ identity matrix. It is easy to verify $V=K B$, where $K=\operatorname{diag}\left(k_{i}\right)$ with

$$
k_{i}= \begin{cases}a_{i}, & a_{i} \neq 0, \\ 1 /\left(a_{i i}\right), & a_{i}=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{1} \\
B_{2} \\
\vdots \\
B_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
B_{i}= \begin{cases}(m-1)\left(ン x^{m-2}\right)_{i}+\left(b_{i} / a_{i}\right) e_{i}, & a_{i} \neq 0, \\ a_{i i} e_{i}, & a_{i}=0,\end{cases}
$$

where $a_{i i}$ is the $i$ th diagonal element of $(m-1) \cdot / x^{m-2}$. Noting that $B \geq(m-1) \cdot \int x^{m-2}$ with the off-diagonal element being nonpositive, we have $B$ is an $M$-matrix and $0 \leq B^{-1} \leq\left((m-1) \cdot \mathscr{A} x^{m-2}\right)^{-1}$ by Lemma 2.3. This together with $K$ being a positive diagonal matrix, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let the matrix $V$ be defined by (2.3), then $V$ is an $M$-matrix.
Define the set $D$ by

$$
D=\{x \geq p \mid H(x) \leq 0\} .
$$

As $p$ always belongs to $D, D$ is not empty.
Lemma 2.5. Let $x^{\star}$ be the solution of (1.1). Then $x^{\star} \in D$ and

$$
x^{\star} \geq x, \quad \forall x \in D .
$$

Proof. Since $x^{\star}$ is the solution of (1.1), we have $x^{\star} \geq p$ and $H\left(x^{\star}\right)=0$. This implies that $x^{\star} \in D$.

For any $x \in D$, we have $x \geq p$ and $H(x) \leq 0$. Associated to $x$, we define two disjoint index sets as follows:

$$
I_{1}:=\left\{i \mid x_{i}=p_{i}\right\} \text { and } I_{2}:=\left\{i \mid x_{i}>p_{i}\right\} .
$$

This together with the fact $x^{\star} \geq p$ implies $x_{i}^{\star} \geq x_{i}$ for all $i \in I_{1}$. Since $H(x) \leq 0$, we have

$$
F_{I_{2}}(x) \leq 0 \leq F_{I_{2}}\left(x^{\star}\right) .
$$

Noting that $\mathscr{A}$ is an $M$-like tensor, by Theorem 4 in [17], we have $F(x)$
is a strong $T$-monotone function. Hence by Lemma 2.2 in [18], we immediately have that $x^{\star} \leq x$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let $x^{(0)} \in D$. Then the sequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ generated by (2.6) is contained in $D$ and satisfies

$$
x^{(k)} \leq x^{(k+1)}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots
$$

Proof. We prove the conclusion by induction. For simplicity, we denote $x^{(k)}$ and $x^{(k+1)}$ by $x$ and $y$ respectively. Suppose $x \in D$, we only need to verify $x \leq y$ and $y \in D$. Since $V^{-1} \geq 0$, by (2.5) we have

$$
d=-V^{-1} H(x) \geq 0
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x+d \geq x \geq p \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we prove $H(y) \leq 0$. Associated to $x$, we define four disjoint index sets as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
J_{1}:=\left\{i \mid x_{i}=p_{i}, F_{i}(x)>0\right\}, & J_{2}:=\left\{i \mid x_{i}>p_{i}, F_{i}(x)=0\right\} \\
J_{3}:=\left\{i \mid x_{i}=p_{i}, F_{i}(x)=0\right\}, & J_{4}:=\left\{i \mid x_{i} \geq p_{i}, F_{i}(x)<0\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Then by (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
H_{i}(x)=0, & a_{i}=0, & b_{i}=1,  \tag{2.8}\\
H_{i}(x)=0, & a_{i}=1, & b_{i}=0, \\
H_{i}(x)=0, & a_{i} \geq 0, & b_{i} \geq 0, \\
H_{i}(x)<0, & a_{i}>1, & b_{i} \in(0,1], \\
\text { if } i \in J_{2} \\
\text { if } i \in J_{3} \\
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $i \in J_{1}$, by (2.3), (2.5), (2.8) we get $d_{i}=-H_{i}(x)=0$ and $y_{i}=x_{i}+d_{i}=x_{i}=p_{i}$. This together with (2.2), (2.1) implies $H_{i}(y) \leq 0$.

If $i \in J_{2}, \quad y_{i}=x_{i}+d_{i} \geq x_{i}>p_{i}$. Since $F_{i}(x)$ is concave, by (2.3), (2.5), (2.8) again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i}(y) & =F_{i}(x+d)=F_{i}(x)+\int_{0}^{1} \nabla F_{i}(x+t(y-x))^{\mathrm{T}}(y-x) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq F_{i}(x)+\int_{0}^{1} \nabla F_{i}(x)^{\mathrm{T}}(y-x) \mathrm{d} t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $H_{i}(y) \leq 0$.
If $i \in J_{3}$, by (2.5) and (2.3), we obtain

$$
a_{i}(m-1)\left(\int x^{m-2}\right)_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} d+b_{i} d_{i}=-H_{i}(x)=0
$$

where $a_{i} \geq 0$ and $b_{i} \geq 0$. Hence, we have

$$
a_{i}(m-1)\left(\mathscr{y} x^{m-2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} d=-b_{i} d_{i} \leq 0 .
$$

If $a_{i}>0$, then $F_{i}(y) \leq F_{i}(x)+(m-1)\left(x x^{m-2}\right)_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} d \leq 0$, which implies $H_{i}(y) \leq 0$.

If $a_{i}=0$, then by (2.4) we have $b_{i}=1$, and then $d_{i}=0$. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is an $M$-like tensor, $\left(\int x^{m-2}\right)_{i j} \leq 0$ and $d_{j} \geq 0$ for $j \neq i$, we have

$$
F_{i}(y) \leq F_{i}(x)+(m-1)\left(ン x^{m-2}\right)_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} d=(m-1) \sum_{j \neq i}\left(ン x^{m-2}\right)_{i j} d_{j} \leq 0 .
$$

Hence, $H_{i}(y) \leq 0$.
Similarly, if $i \in J_{4}$, by simple calculations,

$$
a_{i}(m-1)\left(\mathscr{r} x^{m-2}\right)_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} d \leq-H_{i}(x) \text { and } a_{i}=1-F_{i}(x) / \sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)},
$$

and hence

$$
(m-1)\left(y x^{m-2}\right)_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} d \leq \frac{\sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)}-x_{i}-F_{i}(x)}{1-F_{i}(x) / \sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)}}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i}(y) & \leq F_{i}(x)+(m-1)\left(x x^{m-2}\right)_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} d \\
& \leq F_{i}(x)+\frac{\sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)}-x_{i}-F_{i}(x)}{1-F_{i}(x) / \sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)}} \\
& =\frac{x_{i}^{2}-x_{i} \sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)}}{\sqrt{x_{i}^{2}+F_{i}^{2}(x)}-F_{i}(x)} \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
H_{i}(y) \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in J_{4} .
$$

The above argument has shown $H(y) \leq 0$. From (2.7), we have $y \geq x$ and $y \in D$. The proof is completed.
Noting that the sequence $\left\{V^{k}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded, by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 and Theorem 2.2, it is easy to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let $x^{(0)} \in D$ and $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by (2.6). Then we have for all $k \geq 0$

$$
x^{(k)} \leq x^{(k+1)} \leq x^{\star} .
$$

Moreover, the sequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}$ converges to $x^{\star} Q$-quadratically.

## 3. Conclusion

We have introduced a new kind of structure tensor and discussed the numerical algorithm for TCP. By transforming the TCP to the system of nonsmooth equations, we have presented a semismooth Newton method for TCP. At each iteration, only linear equations need to be solved. The sequence generated by the algorithm is monotonically convergent to the solution of the TCP under proper conditions. This method can be regarded as a kind of Newton-iteration method. There are still some interesting future works that need to be done. For example, we can extend Algorithm 2.1 for other structure TCP and discuss its convergence.
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