

A Procedure for Trisecting an Acute Angle (Method 2)

Lyndon O. Barton

Department of Math and Physics, Delaware State University, Dover, USA Email: lynobar@aol.com

How to cite this paper: Barton, L.O. (2024) A Procedure for Trisecting an Acute Angle (Method 2). *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, **14**, 204-213. https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2024.144012

Received: February 21, 2024 **Accepted:** April 7, 2024 **Published:** April 10, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

CC ①

Open Access

Abstract

This paper presents an alternate graphical procedure (Method 2), to that presented in earlier publications entitled, "A Procedure for Trisecting an Acute Angle" and "A Key to Solving the Angle Trisection Problem". The procedure, when applied to the 30° and 60° angles that have been "proven" to be nottrisectable and the 45° benchmark angle that is known to be trisectable, in each case produced a construction having an identical angular relationship with Archimedes' Construction, as in Section 2 on THEORY of this paper, where the required trisection angle was found to be one-third of its respective angle (*i.e.* DE'MA = 1/3 DE'CG). For example, the trisection angle for the 30°, 45° and 60° angles were 10.00000°, 15.00000°, and 20.00000°, respectively, and Section 5 on PROOF in this paper. Therefore, based on this identical angular relationship and the numerical results (i.e. to five decimal places), which represent the highest degree of accuracy and precision attainable by The Geometer's Sketch Pad software, one can only conclude that not only the geometric requirements for arriving at an exact trisection of the 30° and 60° angle (which have been "proven" to be nottrisectable) have been met, but also, the construction is valid for any arbitrary acute angle, despite theoretical proofs to the contrary by Wantzel, Dudley, and others.

Keywords

Archimedes' Construction, College Geometry, College Mathematics, Angle Trisection, Famous Problems in Mathematics, Mechanism Analysis, Geometer's Sketch Pad

1. Introduction

The trisection of an acute angle (except that of 45°) has been one of the most in-

triguing geometric challenges for mathematicians for centuries going back to 250 B.C., during which time it has been classified as one of the three unsolvable problems of Geometry—the other two being the *squaring of a circle* and the *doubling of a cube* [1] [2].

Simply stated and also "proven", first by Pierre Wanzel in 1837: *the trisection* of an arbitrary acute angle (except 45°) cannot be achieved using an unmarked straightedge and compass only [3] [4], or, as stated by Underwood Dudley, author of <u>A Budget of Trisections</u>, "*There is no procedure, using only an unmarked straightedge and a compass to construct one-third of an arbitrary angle*" [5]. Also, in the same text, Dudley then proceeded to lay out a proof of this statement by showing that a 60° angle cannot be trisected [5]. Yet, there have been countless unsuccessful attempts, <u>until now</u>, by a number of mathematicians to either disprove this assertion or devise a construction that is as close as possible to the exact solution.

The object of this paper is to present a graphical procedure, capable of dividing an arbitrary acute angle into <u>three exactly equal parts</u>, **using only an un**marked straightedge and compass.

This procedure, which is an alternate, Method 2, to that presented in earlier articles entitled, "A Procedure for Trisecting an Acute Angle" [6] and "A Key to Solving the Angle Trisection Problem". [7] is also based on earlier article entitled, "Mechanism Analysis of a Trisector" [8].

Thus, the approach being presented required (a) designing a working model of a trisector mechanism (see Figure A1), (b) studying the key elements of the mechanism, and (c) applying the fundamental principles of principles of Kinematics [9], instead of conventional mathematics and plane geometry to solve the trisection problem.

The basis for employing this approach, was the fact that while it was thought that the angle trisection could not be achieved *using an unmarked straigh-tedge and compass*, yet a mechanism can be built to perform the task perfectly [10].

Hence, performing a motion analysis on an actual trisector seemed a logical rationale for seeking to obtain a fresh insight into understanding the trisection problem.

To be clear, Kinematics [9] is the study of motion, and the purpose of the trisector model was simply to study and gain an understanding of its motion. Therefore, it is not a violation of *the unmarked straightedge and compass rule*. For further details on the motion analysis, see reference [9].

2. Theory

The design and operation of the trisector is based on the well known **Archimedes' construction** [2] represented in the diagram below, that illustrates the **geometric requirements** that must be met in order to arrive at an exact trisection, and the general theorem relating arcs and angles.



Let \angle ECG (or $3\angle\Theta$) be the required angle to be trisected. With center at C and radius CE describe a semicircle. Given that a line from point E can be drawn to cut the semicircle at S and intersect the extended side GC at some point M such that the distance SM is equal to the radius SC, then from the general theorem relating to arcs and angles,

 $\angle EMG = 1/2 (\angle ECG - \angle SCM)$ (1)

$$2\angle EMG + \angle SCM = \angle ECG$$
 (2)

Since Δ CSM is an isosceles Δ

$$\angle SCM = \angle EMG = \angle \Theta \tag{3}$$

Therefore $3\angle EMG = \angle ECG$ or $3\angle \Theta = \angle ECG$ or $\angle EMA = 1/3\angle ECG$ (4)

To Summarize:

Once, segments SM, SC, E'C, and CG are all equal, and \angle SMA = \angle SCA.

Then, <u>EXACT</u> trisection of given angle \angle ECG is achieved or \angle EMA = 1/3 \angle ECG.

Note also that, except for the given angle (ECG), being an acute angle, there are no other restrictions on the measure of this angle.

Therefore, the measure of \angle ECG can be any real number (or arbitrary).

3. Trisector Design and Analysis

The trisector mechanism [6] [7] [8] [9] illustrated in Figure 1(a) is modeled after the Archimedes' Construction [2] discussed above. This is a compound mechanism [9] consisting of a slider-crank linkage CVF [9] and a slid-ing-coupler linkage CVE [9], where both linkages share a common crank CV and a common connecting rod E'F. Also link section VF and cranks CV and CE are all equal in length.

Mechanism operating as a slider-crank [6] [7] [8] [9] (Figure 1(b)):

In this operating mode, as crank CV is rotated in one direction or the other, between the 180°, and 90°, positions, the connecting rod E'F undergoes combined motion, where sliding occurs only at the end F, as the rod is constrained to move within the fixed horizontal slot, while both sliding and rotation occur at the other end E', where the rod moves within the pivoting slot. Meanwhile, the angle that the connection rod E'F makes with the horizontal slot maintains a constant relationship that is 1/3 of the angle formed by link CE and said slot. Or, \angle E'FC = $1/3\angle$ E'CG.

Mechanism operating as a sliding-coupler [6] [7] [8] [9] (Figures 1(c), and 1(d)).



Figure 1. (a): Trisector Mechanism; (b): Trisector Mechanism in Slider-Crank Mode; (c): Trisector Mechanism in Sliding-Coupler Mode; (d) Showing Typical Path of Point F' When Not Constrained to Move within horizontal Slot.

For this operating mode, we assume link CE' is held at a fixed angular position (*i.e.* the angle to be trisected) and the connecting rod E'F is disconnected from the horizontal slider and renamed E'F'. Therefore, as crank CV is rotated in one direction or the other, the mechanism then behaves like a sliding-coupler, where E'F', acting like a coupler, undergoes sliding and rotation at the pivoting slot end E' and pure rotation at the free end F', since F' is not constrained as before to move within the horizontal slot. In this mode, it can be seen that the path of F' (see Figure 1(c)) is actually a smooth circular path that intercepts the horizontal path that it would normally describe when constrained within the horizontal slot. This point of interception is a unique point, as it locates the vertex of the required trisection angle (\angle E'MG or \angle E'MA = 1/3 \angle E'CG), formed by the connecting rod E'M and the horizontal slot to comply with the Archimedes' Construction [2]. See Figure 1(d) and note the identical angular relationship between this figure and Archimedes' Construction [2] shown in Section 2 on THEORY.

4. Procedure

To illustrate the procedure, we will consider the 30° and 60° angles, both of which represent a typical acute angle that has been "proven" to be not trisectable, and the 45° angle which is known to be trisectable, for benchmarking. Then, let it be required to develop a construction for dividing each of these angles into three exactly parts, using an unmarked straightedge and compass only.

The construction layouts for the 30°, 45°, and 60° cases are given in **Figures** 2-4.

STEPS

1. Using CG as the base, erect a perpendicular CC' at C.

2. With center at C and radius CE', describe an arc to cut CC' at a point E.

3. Using CE as the base, form an equilateral triangle CEV, where V is the vertex.

4. Extend segment EV to meet GC (extended) at a point F.

5. Join F to E' with segment FE'.

6. With CV as the radius and C the center, describe an arc from V to cut FE' at a point V' and FG at a point A.

7. With FV as the radius and center at F, describe an arc from V to cut segment FE' at W.

8. Extend segment E'F to a point F' by a distance equal to segment V'W.

9. Join V to A with segment VA.

10. At F', erect a perpendicular ray, F'Y, to base line FG.

11. From F', draw a ray F'X parallel to segment AV.

12. Bisect the angle $\angle XF'Y$ with bisector F'Z cutting baseline FG at a point P.

13. Join E' to P, cutting arc AV at a point R.

14. Join R to C with segment RC.

15. With center at R and radius equal to RC, locate a point N in segment PE'.

16. Join N to F' with a segment NF'.

17. Bisect NF' with the bisector meeting line E'C (extended) at a point O.

18. With center at O and radius OF', describe an arc to cut the baseline FG at a point M.

19. Join E' to M with segment E'M, cutting AV at a point S, to form the required trisection angle \angle E'MA.

20. Join S to C with a segment SC to complete the construction, which makes segment SM equal to segment SC.

21. Measure angle \angle E'MA. This angle, (measured to the nearest hundred thousandths, with the aid of **The Geometer's Sketch Pad**) [11], yields for the 30° case, 10.00000°: for the 45° case, 15.00000°: and for the 60° case, 20.00000°.

Note that Steps 15 to 21 of this procedure are best described with the aid of the schematic in **Figure A2**, where the relationships among points P, N, M, and F' are more clearly shown.

NOTE

It should be noted that **The Geometer's Sketchpad** [11] software was employed not only for its precision and accuracy in terms of measurements, but mainly for its strict adherence to *the unmarked straightedge and compass rule*, where the constructions are built with strict adherence to *the unmarked straightedge and compass rule*.

Also note that the only role the trisector mechanism played in the development of the procedure was to enable one to observe its operation and, based on its unique motion, perform the appropriate kinematic/displacement analysis that produced the results obtained.



Figure 2. (a) Composite Construction Showing Trisection of 30° Angle Yielding $\angle E'MA = 10.00000^\circ$; (b) Resultant Angles for 30 × 10 Trisection.



Figure 3. (a) Composite Construction Showing Trisection of 45° Angle Yielding E'MA = 15.00000° ; (b) Resultant Angles for 45×15 Trisection.



Figure 4. (a) Composite Construction Showing Trisection of 60° Angle Yielding $\angle E'MA = 20.00000^\circ$; (b) Resultant Angles for 60 × 20 Trisection.

5. Proof

Referring to **Figures 2(b), 3(b), and 4(b)** above, and applying the general theorem relating to arcs and angles (see Section 2 on THEORY of this paper), we get

 \angle E'MG = $\frac{1}{2}(\angle$ E'CG - \angle SCM) or \angle E'MA = $\frac{1}{2}(\angle$ E'CG - \angle SCM)

 $2\angle E'MA = \angle E'CG - \angle SCM$ $2\angle E'MA + \angle SCM = \angle E'CG$

Since

.

Then

3∠E'MA = ∠E'CG

Therefore,

for the 30° trisection $\angle E'MA = 1/3\angle E'CG = 1/3(30^\circ) = 10.00000^\circ$ (QED) for the 60° trisection $\angle E'MA = 1/3\angle E'CG = 1/3(45^\circ) = 15.00000^\circ$ (QED) for the 60° trisection $\angle E'MA = 1/3\angle E'CG = 1/3(60^\circ) = 20.00000^\circ$ (QED)

To summarize:

for $\angle E'CG = \mathbf{\Theta} \dots \angle E'MA = 1/3 \angle \mathbf{\Theta}$

Note that these numerical results obtained by **The Geometer's Sketch Pad** [11].

Represent the highest level of accuracy and precision (e.g. five decimal places) attainable by this software.

6. Summary

A comprehensive graphical procedure for trisecting an arbitrary acute angle, using an unmarked straightedge and compass only, has been presented.as an alternate (Method 2) to earlier published article entitled, "A Procedure For Trisecting An As Acute Angle" [6].

The procedure, when applied to the 30° and 60° angles that have been 'proven' to be not trisectable as well as the 45° benchmark angle that is known to be *trisectable*, each produced a construction having an **identical angular relationship** with **Archimedes' Construction** [2] as in Section 2 on THEORY of this paper, where the required trisection angle has been found to be one-third of their respective angles That is;

 \angle E'MA =1/3 \angle E'CG) ... Or, for \angle E'CG = θ Then \angle E'MA = 1/3 $\angle \theta$

For example, the trisection angle for the 30°, 45° and 60° angles were 10.00000°, 15.00000°, and 20.00000°, respectively, as shown in Figures 2(b), 3(b) and 4(b) and Section 5 on PROOF in this paper. Therefore, based on said identical angular relationship and the numerical results (*i.e.* to five decimal places), which represent the highest degree of accuracy and precision attainable by The Geometer's Sketch Pad software, [11], one can only conclude that the geometric requirements for arriving at an <u>exact</u> trisection for both the 30° and 60° angles (that have been "proven" to be *not-trisectable*) and the benchmark 45° angle

(that is known to be trisectable) have been met.

Furthermore, the construction, by demonstrating its capability of trisecting both the known trisectable angle (i.e. 45° angle) and lhe "proven" non-trisectable angles (i.e. 30° and 60° angles), it also demonstrated its validity for trisecting any arbitrary acute angle. Therefore, one can only conclude that the long sought solution to the age-old Angle Trisection Problem has been finally accomplished, despite the theoretical proofs to the contrary by Wantzel, Dudley, and others [3] [4] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16].

Note that, as stated in the reference articles [6] [7] [8], the use of a trisector model [A1], was *only* to study and gain an understanding of the motion of key elements. Therefore, it is <u>not</u> a violation of **the unmarked straightedge and compass rule**.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Tietze, H. (1965) Famous Problems in Mathematics. Graylock Press, New York.
- [2] Ives, H. (1990) An Introduction to the History of Mathematics. 2nd Edition, Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia.
- [3] Wikipedia (2023) Angle Trisection. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angle_trise
- [4] Britannica (2023) Trisecting the Angle. https://www.britannica.com/science/mathematics
- [5] Dudley, U. (1987) Budget of Trisections. Verlag, New York.
- Barton, L.O. (2022) A Procedure for Trisecting An Acute Angle. Advances in Pure Mathematics, 12, 63-69. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2022.122005</u>
- Barton, L.O. (2023) A Key to Solving the Angle Trisection Problem. Advances in Pure Mathematics, 13, 625-638. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2023.139042</u>
- [8] Barton, L.O. (2008) Mechanism Analysis of a Trisector. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 43, 115-122. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2007.10.005</u>
- [9] Barton, L.O. (1993) Mechanism Analysis, Simplified Graphical and Analytical Techniques. 2nd Edition, Marcel-Decker, New York.
- [10] Kempe, A.B. (2010) How to Draw a Straight Line—A Lecture on Linkages (1877). Kissenger Publishing LCC, Whitefish. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican08111877-1340supp</u>
- [11] Bennet, D. (2002) Exploring Geometry with Geometer's Sketch Pad. Key Curriculum Press, Oakland.
- [12] Quine, W.V. (1990) Elementary Proof That Some Angle Cannot Be Trisected by Ruler and Compass. *Mathematics Magazine*, 63, 95-105. https://doi.org/10.2307/2691066
- [13] Loy, J. (2003) Trisection of an Angle. http://www.jimloy.com/geometry/trisect.htm
- [14] Bailey, D.H (2018) Simple Proofs: The Impossibility of Trisection. Math Scholar. https://mathscholar.org/2018/09/simple-proofs-the-impossibility-of-trisection/
- [15] University of Toronto (1997) The Three Impossible Constructions of Geometry.

https://www.math.toronto.edu/mathnet/questionCorner/impossconstruct.html

[16] Dutch, S. (2006) Why Trisecting the Angle Is Impossible. https://www.cs.princeton.edu/~chazelle/courses/BIB/trisect.htm

Appendix







Figure A2. Schematic Showing Relationships of M, P, N, and F'.