

# A Survey of the Major Sorghum Production Regions for Foliar and Panicle Diseases during the 2022 Growing Season in Senegal, West Africa

Louis Kajac Prom<sup>1\*</sup>, Mame P. Sarr<sup>2</sup>, Cyril Diatta<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed Sall<sup>2</sup>, Souleymane Bodian<sup>2</sup>, Coumba Fall<sup>3</sup>, Gualbert Séraphin Dorego<sup>2</sup>, Clint Magill<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>USDA-ARS, SPARC, College Station, USA

<sup>2</sup>Centre National de Recherches Agronomiques de Bambey, Bambey, Senegal

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

Email: \*louis.prom@usda.gov

How to cite this paper: Prom, L.K., Sarr, M.P., Diatta, C., Sall, M., Bodian, S., Fall, C., Dorego, G.S. and Magill, C. (2023) A Survey of the Major Sorghum Production Regions for Foliar and Panicle Diseases during the 2022 Growing Season in Senegal, West Africa. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 14, 829-844. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2023.148055

Received: June 7, 2023 Accepted: August 7, 2023 Published: August 10, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## Abstract

Sorghum is a vital commodity and greatly contributes to the daily calorie needs for millions of the inhabitants in Senegal, West Africa. Yet, sorghum productivity and profitability are impacted by diseases. In the 2022 growing season, 122 farmers' fields across 7 regions, notably Diourbel, Fatick, Kaffrine, Kaolack, Kolda, Tambacounda, and Thies were surveyed for foliar and panicle diseases. During the survey, stops were made at 30 km intervals and at each stop, 2 - 5 fields were evaluated. In each field, 40 plants mostly at soft to early hard dough stages of development were assessed using a W-shaped pattern to cover the whole field. A total of 13 diseases, including leaf blight, anthracnose, Zonate leaf spot, Sooty stripe, rough leaf spot, oval leaf spot, long smut, grain mold, and covered kernel smut were documented. The most predominant diseases were leaf blight, anthracnose, and zonate leaf spot. The prevalence of leaf blight was 100%, while anthracnose and zonate leaf spot were found in 93 out of the 122 fields surveyed, indicating a 76% prevalence, respectively. Across the regions, the prevalence of rough leaf spot was 47%, covered kernel smut (32%), oval leaf spot (19%) and target leaf spot (19%). Mean incidence of leaf blight was high in all regions, ranging from 94% (Kaolack) to 100% (Fatick and Tambacounda). The highest mean incidence of anthracnose (62%) and covered kernel smut (16%) was noted in Tambacounda region. In the region of Thies, the highest mean incidence of zonate leaf spot (49%) was recorded. The mean severity of leaf blight (37%) was highest on plants assessed in the region of Kaffrine, followed by those in the regions of Kaolack, Tambacounda, and Kolda. Anthracnose infection was most intense on plants evaluated in Kolda, while zonate leaf spot was most severe in the region of Tambacounda.

Fields with incidences of 85% and above were considered as "hotspots" to evaluate sorghum germplasm for disease resistance. This survey is significant because the information obtained will be beneficial to plant pathologists, sorghum producers, government officials, and funding agencies to prioritize research projects that ensure productivity and food security.

#### **Keywords**

Sorghum, Survey, Sorghum Diseases, Incidence, Severity, Prevalence, Senegal

# **1. Introduction**

Worldwide, sorghum ranks fifth behind maize, rice, wheat and barley in cereal hectarage and production [1] [2] [3]. During the 2021/2022 season, 40.8 million hectares were planted, resulting in a total production of 58.03 million metric tons [4]. In the arid and semi-arid regions of the world, sorghum plays a critical role in subsistence farming and supplies the daily calorie needs for hundreds of million people [1] [5] [6] [7]. In Africa south of the Sahara, 300 million inhabitants rely on the crop for food security [8]. In addition, sorghum uses are expanding from biofuel, production of paper, starch, and fiber to its utilization in pet food and its potential benefits for human health [3] [5] [6] [9] [10] [11] [12]. In Senegal, sorghum production is behind millet and maize among dryland cereals and plays a vital role in the lives of subsistence farmers [7] [13]. The 5-year mean (2017/2018 to 2021/2022) sorghum area planted was 250,000 ha and production of 302,000 tons [14]. During the same period, mean yield was 1.2 tons/ha in Senegal [14]. Sorghum yields in Senegal and some other African Countries continue to lag behind from the US, Argentina, Brazil, and Mexico due to several factors such as the parasitic weed striga, predation by birds prior to harvest, other pests, soil types, type of sorghum landraces, unpredictable weather patterns, preference of other cereals for consumption, and low farm inputs [1] [4] [15] [16]. By 2050, the world's population will increase to around 9 billion and concurrently, 3 billion tons increases in cereal production will be needed for food and other uses [1] [17]. Cereal production increases coupled with climate change will surely increase fungal and other microorganisms [18]. As a result, disease control will have to be part of an integrated management strategy to ensure increases in cereal and other crops' production [18]. In 2019, survey conducted in Senegal identified 16 different sorghum diseases across 7 production regions [18]. To validate the information gathered in 2019, a second survey was conducted in 2022. In this study, the incidence, prevalence, and severity of sorghum foliar and panicle diseases across farmers' fields in the 7 major sorghum production regions were investigated.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Study area: Field survey of sorghum foliar and panicle diseases was conducted

across 7 major production regions of Diourbel, Fatick, Kaffrine, Kaolack, Kolda, Tambacounda, and Thies in Senegal, West Africa, during the 2022 growing season (**Figure 1**). Senegal lies between latitudes 12°30' and 16°30'N and longitudes 11°30' and 17°30'W, with the northern part of the Country lying in the Sahelian zone, while the wetter southern part in the Sudanian zone [19].

The rainy season lasts for 3 to 4 months and thereafter, a long dry period [20] [21]. Among the regions surveyed, Diourbel (772.3 mm) in the west part of the country receives the lowest while Kolda (1340.1 mm) in the southern part receives the highest annual rainfall (**Table 1**). The soil types in the regions consisted of cambisols, arenosols, gleysols, regosols, acrisols, lixisols, and solonchacks either individually or in combination [18] (**Table 2**). Sorghum cultivation in the country is mainly by rainfed.

Data collection: The protocol for data collection was previously described by Prom *et al.* [18]. Briefly, sorghum fields located along paved and unpaved roads and around rural villages were surveyed during the 2022 growing season. A total of 122 farmers' fields across the 7 regions were surveyed, using a W-shaped pattern to cover the whole field. Stops were made at 30 km intervals and at each stop, 2 - 5 fields (40 plants/field) were assessed for foliar and panicle diseases. Plants in most of the fields surveyed were at soft to early hard dough stages of development.



Figure 1. Map of the regions and locations of the surveyed area.

| Mean weather parameters (May to October) |               |               |               |                       |  |  |  |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Region                                   | Rainfall (mm) | Max Temp (°C) | Min Temp (°C) | Relative humidity (%) |  |  |  |
| Diourbel                                 | 772.3         | 36            | 24.7          | 71                    |  |  |  |
| Fatick                                   | 1215          | 31            | 25.7          | 82.2                  |  |  |  |
| Kaffrine                                 | 1254          | 34            | 24.5          | 83.5                  |  |  |  |
| Kaolack                                  | 851.6         | 34.2          | 25            | 74                    |  |  |  |
| Kolda                                    | 1340.10       | 33.50         | 23.5          | 76                    |  |  |  |
| Tambacounda                              | 935.9         | 34.5          | 24.8          | 57                    |  |  |  |
| Thies                                    | 744           | 34.2          | 22.3          | 77.4                  |  |  |  |

| Table 1. Rainfall, temperature and relative humidity of the surveyed regions during the 2022 growing seaso |
|--|
|--|

Source: ANACIM and ISRA, 2022.

Table 2. Climate and soil type of the surveyed regions.

| Data      | Regions  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|           | Thies  | Diourbel   | Fatick  | Kaolack  | Kaffrine   | Tambacounda  | Kolda  |  |  |  |  |
| Climate   | Sahelo-Soudanian<br>with an annual<br>rainfall between<br>300 and 600 mm | Sahelo-Soudanian<br>with an annual<br>rainfall between<br>300 and 600 mm | Sahelo-Soudanian<br>with an annual<br>rainfall between 600<br>and 1000 mm | Soudanian<br>with an annual<br>rainfall between<br>600 and 1000 mm | Soudanian with an<br>annual rainfall<br>between 600 and<br>1000 mm | Soudanian with<br>an annual rainfall<br>between 600 and<br>1200 mm | Soudano-Guinean<br>with annual<br>rainfall between<br>1000 and 1200 mm |  |  |  |  |
| Soil type | Cambisols<br>Arenosols<br>Gleysols                                       | Cambisols<br>Arenosols   | Cambisols   | Arenosols<br>Lixisols<br>Regosols<br>Solonchacks                   | Arenosols<br>Lixisols<br>Regosols<br>Gleysols                      | Regosols<br>Gleysols<br>Acrisols                                   | Acrisols<br>Gleysols   |  |  |  |  |

Source: Major climatic area, (IRD 1988) and world soil databases.

Equations for prevalence and incidence as per Prom et al. [18] [22].

Prevalence rate = 
$$\frac{\text{Number of fields with the disease}}{\text{Total number of surveyed fields}} \times 100$$
  
Incidence =  $\frac{\text{Number of plants with the disease in a field}}{\text{Number of plants assessed in a field.}} \times 100$ 

Disease Severity scale: The severity scale was previously described by Prom *et al.* [22] and based on 0 - 11 with their mid-points, where 1 = 5.5, 2 = 15.5, 3 = 25.5, 4 = 35.5, 5 = 45.5, 6 = 55.5, 7 = 65.5, 8 = 75.5, 9 = 85.5, 10 = 95.5, and 11 = 100 used to calculate the mean severity.

## 3. Results

During the 2022 growing season, a survey of foliar and panicle diseases from 122 fields in 7 major sorghum production regions of Diourbel, Fatick, Kaffrine, Kaolack, Kolda, tambacounda, and Thies in Senegal, West Africa was conducted. The surveyed fields were primarily planted with different landraces with few ex-

ceptions planted with advanced/improved varieties developed and released by Institut Sénégalais de Recherches Agricoles/Centre National de Recherches Agronomiques (ISRA/CNRA). Notably, different production systems and sorghum types were employed by the producers, ranging from pure sorghum stands to intercropping with okra, peanuts, and cowpea with short and long stems. Field sizes ranged from 0.25 to 2.5 ha and were relatively free of weeds. The mean rainfall in millimeters, temperatures, and relative humidity during the survey period in 2022 is listed in **Table 1**. The soil type of the fields surveyed in Fatick region consisted of cambisols; whereas the remaining regions of Diourbel, Kaffrine, Kaolack, Kolda, Tambacounda, and Thies consisted of various mixtures of cambisols, renosols, glysols, regosols, acrisols, lixisols, and solonchacks (**Table 2**). The area planted, yield, and production during the 2022-2023 cropping season for the surveyed regions are noted in **Table 3**.

In the survey, 40 plants per field were assessed for foliar and panicle diseases using a W-shaped pattern to cover the entire field. Across the 122 fields surveyed, 13 diseases leaf blight (Figure 2(a)), anthracnose (Figure 2(b)), Zonate leaf spot (Figure 2(c)), Sooty stripe (Figure 2(d)), rough leaf spot (Figure 3(a)), oval leaf spot (Figure 3(b)), long smut (Figure 3(c)), covered kernel smut (Figure 3(d)), bacterial leaf stripe, target leaf spot, bacterial leaf streak, grain mold, and gray leaf spot were documented (Table 4). The most identified diseases were leaf blight, anthracnose, and zonate leaf spot. Plants infected with leaf blight (100%) were detected in all surveyed fields, while anthracnose and zonate leaf spot were found in 93 out of the 122 fields surveyed, resulting in 76% prevalence, respectively, during the 2022 growing season (Table 4). The prevalence of rough leaf spot across the 7 regions was 47%, covered kernel smut (32%), gray leaf spot (20%), and 19% for oval leaf spot and target leaf spot, respectively. Out of the 122 fields surveyed, bacterial leaf stripe was found in 7 fields, long smut in four fields, and bacterial leaf streak in two fields.

| Sorghum                                  |           |               |                |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Region                                   | Area (ha) | Yield (kg/ha) | Production (T) |  |  |  |  |
| Diourbel                                 | 589       | 885           | 521            |  |  |  |  |
| Fatick                                   | 15,099    | 813           | 12,279         |  |  |  |  |
| Kaffrine                                 | 64,775    | 1687          | 109,267        |  |  |  |  |
| Kaolack                                  | 9562      | 698           | 6673           |  |  |  |  |
| Kolda                                    | 42,938    | 1295          | 55,587         |  |  |  |  |
| Tambacounda                              | 2413      | 401           | 967            |  |  |  |  |
| Thies                                    | 14,758    | 859           | 12,681         |  |  |  |  |
| Average of the last five years (Senegal) | 250,265   | 1207          | 302,184        |  |  |  |  |

 Table 3. Preliminary results for the 2022-2023 crop year—Summary of sorghum crop.

Source: MAER/DAPSA, Monthly Bulletin of Economic and Financial Statistics (January 2023).



Figure 2. (a) Leaf blight; (b) Anthracnose; (c) Zonate leaf spot; (d) Sooty stripe.



Figure 3. (a) Rough leaf spot; (b) Oval leaf spot; (c) long smut; (d) Covered kernel smut.

Among the regions surveyed, leaf blight infected plants were detected in all fields (Table 5). The prevalence of anthracnose and zonate leaf spot was 100% in the region of Tambacounda, followed by Kolda region with 89%. In the region of Kaffrine, the prevalence of anthracnose was also 100%. Rough leaf spot (71%) was most prevalent in Tambacounda region, followed by the region of Kaolack with 60%. The occurrence of sooty stripe (47%) was highest in the regions of Kaolack and Kolda. The highest prevalence of gray leaf spot (53%), oval leaf spot (42%), target leaf spot (37%), covered kernel smut (37%), and bacterial leaf stripe (11%) was recorded in the region of Kolda. Sorghum long smut was recorded only in the region of Fatick with 20% prevalence, while bacterial leaf streak was observed in Diourbel and Kaolack regions (Table 5).

Mean incidence of leaf blight was high in all regions, ranging from 94% to 100% (Table 6). The highest mean incidence of anthracnose (62%) and covered kernel smut (16%) was noted in Tambacounda region. In the region of Thies,

the highest mean incidence of zonate leaf spot was recorded. Mean incidences of oval leaf spot (27%) and gray leaf spot (25%) were highest in the region of Kolda, whereas the highest mean incidence of target leaf spot was observed in Kaffrine region.

**Table 4.** Prevalence of the various sorghum diseases observed across 122 productionfields in Senegal, West Africa, 2022<sup>1</sup>.

| Disease  | Fields with disease (%) |
|--|-------------------------|
| Anthracnose (Colletotrichum sublineola)                      | 76                      |
| Bacterial leaf stripe (Burholderia andropogonis)             | 6                       |
| Long smut (Sporisorium ehrenbergii)                          | 3                       |
| Oval leaf spot (Ramulispora sorghicola)                      | 19                      |
| Leaf blight ( <i>Exserohilum turcicum</i> )                  | 100                     |
| Target leaf spot (Bipolaris sorghicola)                      | 19                      |
| Bacterial leaf streak (Xanthomonas campestris pv. holcicola) | 2                       |
| Sooty stripe (Ramulispora sorghi)                            | 32                      |
| Covered smut (Sporisorium sorghi)                            | 14                      |
| Rough leaf spot (Ascochyta sorghina)                         | 47                      |
| Gray leaf spot ( <i>Cercospora sorghi</i> )                  | 20                      |
| Grain mold (Various fungal genera)*                          | 16                      |
| Zonate leaf spot (Gloeocercospora sorghi)                    | 76                      |

<sup>1</sup>Sorghum fields from the major sorghum growing regions of Diourbel, Fatick, Kaffrine, Kaolack, Kolda, Tambacounda, and Thies were surveyed. \*The lower prevalence of grain mold may be attributed to the fact that some of the surveyed plants were at the late flowering early soft dough stage of development.

**Table 5.** Percent prevalence of sorghum diseases observed in the five regions surveyed during the 2022 growing season in Senegal, West Africa<sup>1</sup>.

| Disease               | Diourbel | Fatick | Kaffrine | Kaolack | Kolda | Tambacounda | Thies |
|-----------------------|----------|--------|----------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Anthracnose           | 48       | 60     | 100      | 87      | 89    | 100         | 70    |
| Leaf blight           | 100      | 100    | 100      | 100     | 100   | 100         | 100   |
| Zonate leaf spot      | 52       | 70     | 80       | 87      | 89    | 100         | 65    |
| Oval leaf spot        | 24       | 15     | 20       | 27      | 42    | 6           | 0     |
| Rough leaf spot       | 38       | 35     | 50       | 60      | 42    | 71          | 45    |
| Sooty stripe          | 29       | 10     | 40       | 47      | 47    | 24          | 35    |
| Target leaf spot      | 14       | 10     | 10       | 13      | 37    | 35          | 10    |
| Long smut             | 0        | 20     | 0        | 0       | 0     | 0           | 0     |
| Covered kernel smut   | 5        | 20     | 10       | 0       | 37    | 29          | 0     |
| Grain mold            | 10       | 15     | 0        | 7       | 37    | 0           | 30    |
| Bacterial leaf stripe | 10       | 10     | 0        | 0       | 11    | 6           | 0     |
| Bacterial leaf streak | 5        | 0      | 0        | 7       | 0     | 0           | 0     |
| Gray leaf spot        | 19       | 0      | 0        | 40      | 53    | 12          | 15    |

<sup>1</sup>Number of surveyed fields in each region: Diourbel = 21 fields; Fatick = 20 fields; Kaffrine = 10 fields; Kaolack = 15; Kolda = 19 fields; Tambacounda = 17; and Thies = 20 fields. In each field, 40 plants were evaluated using a W-shaped pattern.

| Pagion      | AN               |                  | LB  |     | ZON  | []  | OLS |     | RL  |      | soo | Т   | TAR |     |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Region      | Inc <sup>2</sup> | Sev <sup>3</sup> | Inc | Sev | Inc  | Sev | Inc | Sev | Inc | Sev  | Inc | Sev | Inc | Sev |
| Diourbel    | 20               | 10               | 98  | 32  | 11   | 7   | 4   | 5   | 36  | 15   | 7   | 7   | 8   | 21  |
| Fatick      | 29               | 8                | 100 | 31  | 28   | 7   | 6   | 6   | 16  | 7    | 6   | 7   | 3   | 11  |
| Kaffrine    | 49               | 9                | 97  | 37  | 38   | 13  | 13  | 11  | 15  | 9    | 6   | 6   | 13  | 6   |
| Kaolack     | 37               | 8                | 94  | 35  | 22   | 9   | 9   | 7   | 37  | 13   | 18  | 7   | 6   | 36  |
| Kolda       | 50               | 15               | 99  | 33  | 18   | 9   | 27  | 13  | 14  | 12   | 17  | 12  | 11  | 10  |
| Tambacounda | 62               | 14               | 100 | 34  | 48   | 15  | 3   | 6   | 30  | 13   | 9   | 10  | 8   | 8   |
| Thies       | 39               | 8                | 99  | 25  | 49   | 10  | 0   | 0   | 37  | 9    | 28  | 9   | 6   | 9   |
|             | LS               |                  | CS  |     | (    | GΜ  |     | BLS | 5   | I    | 3SK |     | GLS |     |
| -           | Inc              | Sev              | Inc | S   | ev 1 | Inc | Sev | Inc | Se  | ev . | Inc | Sev | Inc | Sev |
| Diourbel    | 0                | 0                | 8   | 1   | 2    | 18  | 7   | 3   | 1   | 6    | 3   | 6   | 4   | 6   |
| Fatick      | 19               | 13               | 12  | 3   | 1    | 48  | 19  | 3   | 3   | 6    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Kaffrine    | 0                | 0                | 3   | (   | 6    | 0   | 0   | 0   | C   | )    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Kaolack     | 0                | 0                | 0   | (   | 0    | 35  | 17  | 0   | C   | )    | 3   | 6   | 21  | 7   |
| Kolda       | 0                | 0                | 15  | 2   | 3    | 15  | 14  | 3   | 3   | 6    | 0   | 0   | 25  | 11  |
| Tambacounda | 0                | 0                | 16  | 2   | 3    | 0   | 0   | 3   | 6   | 6    | 0   | 0   | 9   | 11  |
| Thies       | 0                | 0                | 0   | (   | 0    | 52  | 18  | 0   | C   | )    | 0   | 0   | 5   | 6   |

**Table 6.** Percent mean incidence and severity of sorghum diseases observed across production fields in seven regions during the 2022 growing season in Senegal, West Africa<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Number of surveyed fields in each region: Diourbel = 21 fields; Fatick = 20 fields; Kaffrine = 10 fields; Kaolack = 15; Kolda = 19 fields; Tambacounda = 17; and Thies = 20 fields. In each field, 40 plants were evaluated using a W-shaped pattern. AN = Anthracnose; LB = Leaf blight; ZON = Zonate leaf spot; OLS=Oval leaf spot; RL = Rough leaf spot; SOOT = Sooty stripe; TAR = Target leaf spot; LS = Long smut; CS = Covered kernel smut; GM = Grain mold; BLS = Bacterial leaf stripe; BSK = Bacterial leaf streak; and GLS = Gray leaf spot. <sup>2</sup>Inc = percent incidence; <sup>3</sup>Sev = percent severity.

The mean severity of leaf blight (37%) was highest on plants assessed in the region of Kaffrine, followed by those in the regions of Kaolack (35%), Tambacounda (34%), and Kolda (33%) (Table 6). Anthracnose infection was most intense on plants evaluated in Kolda (15%) followed by Tambacounda (14%). Diseases such as zonate leaf spot (15%) were most severe in the region of Tambacounda, target leaf spot (21%) in Diourbel, oval leaf spot (13%) in Kolda, and gray leaf spot (11%) in the regions of Kolda and Tambacounda, respectively.

During the survey, disease hotspots that can be utilized to evaluate sorghum germplasm for resistance were identified. In Senegal, locations such as Altou Fass koto, Medina Taif, Sinthian Omar, and Samikounta Mandinko are ideal places where resistance screening against pathogens, causing leaf blight and anthracnose can be conducted (Table 7 and Table 8). In addition, five locations in three regions Kaffrine, Tambacounda, and Thies can be considered as hotspots for zonate leaf spot resistance evaluation sites (Figure 4).

| Region        | Field # | Location              |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------|
|               | 3       | Ndangalma             |
| Disuuhal      | 5       | Sagaleme              |
| Diourbei      | 9       | Ngoye                 |
|               | 20      | Nomb                  |
|               | 2       | Wakhal Diam           |
| Fatick        | 4       | Meme                  |
|               | 11      | Tabe                  |
|               | 2       | Yoba                  |
| ¥7. (C ·      | 6       | Medina Taif           |
| Каптпе        | 7       | Medina Taif           |
|               | 9       | Taba                  |
|               | 3       | Ndaffane              |
| · · · · ·     | 5       | Keur Souleye Thiam    |
| Kaolack       | 6       | Santhie Mamour N'dary |
|               | 10      | Kaymor                |
|               | 8       | Sinthian Omar         |
|               | 9       | Medina Omar           |
| Kolda         | 12      | Sare Bilali           |
|               | 14      | Sare Bilali           |
|               | 16      | Medina Metaba         |
|               | 3       | Altou Fass Koto       |
|               | 4       | Altou Fass Koto       |
| Tamba ayun da | 7       | Samikounta Mandinko   |
| Tambacounda   | 10      | Samikounta Mandinko   |
|               | 14      | Touba Darou Salam     |
|               | 17      | Touba Darou Salam     |
|               | 5       | Kissane               |
|               | 8       | Sangue                |
| Thies         | 17      | Ndour                 |
|               | 18      | Klouck                |
|               | 20      | Fane                  |

**Table 7.** Regions and selected location of fields with 100% percent incidence ("hot spots")of leaf blight.

In each field, 40 plants were assessed using the w-shape pattern to cover the whole field.

| Region      | Field # | Location              |  |  |  |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Vaffuina    | 8       | Medina Taif           |  |  |  |
| Kanrine     | 10      | Medina Taif           |  |  |  |
| V ll.       | 6       | Santhie Mamour N'dary |  |  |  |
| Kaolack     | 9       | Kaymor                |  |  |  |
|             | 8       | Sinthian Omar         |  |  |  |
| 17-14.      | 10      | Sinthian Omar         |  |  |  |
| Kolda       | 11      | Sinthian Omar         |  |  |  |
|             | 16      | Medina Metaba         |  |  |  |
|             | 2       | Altou Fass Koto       |  |  |  |
|             | 6       | Samikounta Mandinko   |  |  |  |
| Tambacounda | 8       | Samikounta Mandinko   |  |  |  |
|             | 10      | Samikounta Mandinko   |  |  |  |
|             | 10      | Roff                  |  |  |  |
| Inies       | 20      | fane                  |  |  |  |

 Table 8. Regions and selected location of fields with 85% percent incidence ("hot spots") of anthracnose.

In each field, 40 plants were assessed using the w-shape pattern to cover the whole field.



**Figure 4.** Zonate leaf spot "hot spot" in three regions, Kaffrine, Tambacounda, and Thies for resistance evaluation of sorghum germplasm.

#### 4. Discussion

Climate change and the expected increase in global population to around 9.1 billion inhabitants by 2050 will require increases in cereal production, including sorghum, a versatile crop that is well adapted in marginal agro-ecological zones [5] [15] [17] [23] [24]. Yet, sorghum productivity and profitability are negatively impacted by fungal, bacterial, viral, and other microorganisms [18]. And without increases in land use/space, other management options to increase yields such as the use of resistant sources will have to be implemented. The initial step in implementing a robust and sustainable management strategy is to determine the occurrence, distribution, and the economic importance of plant diseases.

In this study, 122 fields in 7 major sorghum production regions of Diourbel, Fatick, Kaffrine, Kaolack, Kolda, Tambacounda, and Thies in Senegal, West Africa were surveyed for foliar and panicle diseases during the 2022 growing season. The work documented 13 diseases, including anthracnose, long smut, oval leaf spot, leaf blight, target leaf spot, bacterial leaf streak, sooty stripe, covered kernel smut, rough leaf spot, and zonate leaf spot (Table 4). Leaf bight incited by Exserohilum turcicum was the most prevalent disease and detected in all the surveyed fields, followed by anthracnose and zonate leaf spot with 76% prevalence, respectively. These results confirmed the survey of 206 farmers' fields across the same 7 major sorghum production regions in Senegal conducted in 2019, where leaf blight (96%), followed by anthracnose (68%), and zonate leaf spot (61%) were the most prevalent diseases [18]. In addition, a survey of 96 farmers' fields in 2022 in Niger, noted the presence of leaf blight infected plants in all surveyed fields, followed by anthracnose with 81% prevalence [22]. Also, leaf blight was detected in all 45 sorghum fields surveyed for diseases in Central Sudan [25]. Prom et al. [24] surveyed 121 sorghum fields in Niger during the 2019 growing season and recorded that anthracnose (99%) was the most prevalent disease followed by leaf blight (89%). Furthermore, 384 sorghum fields surveyed in lower Eastern Kenya revealed the presence of anthracnose in 272 fields and leaf blight in 270 fields [26]. Sorghum disease surveys conducted by Njoroge et al. [27] in Tanzania and Uganda, revealed that leaf blight and rust were the most detected diseases in Tanzania, while anthracnose and zonate leaf spot were the dominant diseases detected in Uganda. Sorghum anthracnose (93.7%) and leaf blight (84.8%) were reported to be the most prevalent diseases in South Tigray, Ethiopia, while anthracnose infected plants were observed in all 117 fields surveyed in Southwestern and Western Ethiopia [28] [29]. Ngugi et al. [30] reported that anthracnose, leaf blight, gray leaf spot, and zonate leaf spot were the most observed diseases on sorghum in Western Kenya. However, low frequency of leaf blight was reported in three climatic zones in major sorghum producing area in Ghana [31]. Nevertheless, these studies suggested that leaf blight, anthracnose, and zonate leaf spot are of economic importance and widespread in Africa, south of the Sahara. In this survey, the prevalence of leaf blight in all 7 regions was 100%, while the prevalence of anthracnose and zonate leaf spot was 100% in the region of Tambacounda (Table 5). Also, prevalence of anthracnose in the region of Kaffrine was 100%. The mean incidence of leaf blight among the regions surveyed in 2022 ranged from 94% in Kaolack to 100% in Fatick and Tambacounda, respectively (Table 6). Mean incidence of anthracnose ranged from 20% in Diourbel to 62% in Tanbacounda, whereas the region of Thies recorded the highest mean incidence of zonate leaf spot (49%). In three districts of South Omo and Seen People zones in Ethiopia, Eshte et al. [32] reported a mean incidence of 100% anthracnose infected plants. In this survey, intensity of leaf blight was highest in the region of Kaffrine (37%), followed by Kaolack with 35% and Tambacounda with 34% (**Table 6**). Anthracnose infection was most severe in the regions of Kolda and Tambacounda

The results of this current survey in Senegal, West Africa, confirmed and validated the information gathered in 2019 on the occurrence and distribution of foliar and panicle diseases in the same 7 major producing regions. During the 2019 survey, 15 different diseases anthracnose, bacterial leaf blight, bacterial leaf stripe, long smut, oval leaf spot, leaf blight, target leaf spot, bacterial leaf streak, sooty stripe, grain mold, covered kernel smut, rough leaf spot, gray leaf spot, zonate leaf spot, and maize mosaic virus were documented [18]. In Senegal, breeding for grain mold resistance is a top priority [33]. In the 2019 and 2022 surveys, the prevalence of grain mold was low because most of the plants were assessed at soft dough stage to early hard dough stage. In both surveys, leaf blight, anthracnose and zonate leaf spot were the most prevalent diseases across the regions [18]. However, there were also some differences between the two survey periods. In the 2019 survey, prevalence of leaf blight was 100% in the regions of Kolda, Kaolack, and Fatick, whereas in the 2022 survey, the prevalence of leaf blight was 100% in all regions. Disease severity was recorded during the 2022 survey but not in the 2019 survey [18]. Contrary to the 2019 survey, bacterial leaf blight and maize mosaic virus were not detected during the 2022 survey. Except for these two diseases, all other sorghum diseases were the same for the two survey periods. Loose kernel smut caused by *Sporisorium cruentum* was observed on sorghum planted on experimental plots in Bambey, Senegal, during the 2022 survey (Louis K. Prom and Mame Penda Sarr). This disease was not observed in the 2019 survey. At present, literature research is ongoing to determine whether this disease has ever been reported in Senegal.

Quantifying severity is a critical component in the ability to estimate yield loss and the relative economic impact of the disease. Disease severity was documented in the 2022 survey but not during the 2019 survey. The mean severity of leaf blight (37%) was highest in the region of Kaffrine, followed by Kaolack, Tambacounda, and Kolda, while anthracnose, zonate leaf spot, oval leaf spot, and gray leaf spot infections were most intense on plants evaluated in Kolda and Tambacounda.

In Senegal, sorghum is an important cereal; however, yields are low in most farmers' fields due to several factors, including diseases. Even so, changes in weather patterns can influence the presence and distribution of diseases [34]. Prom *et al.* [34] noted that frequent rains will increase anthracnose infection. Nevertheless, information about the occurrence and distribution of sorghum diseases in Senegal is vital to prioritize research projects. Furthermore, the best management strategy to control these diseases is to identify sorghum germplasm that are resistant and introgress the resistance genes into elite or adapted lines ([35]. However, food and energy cost are increasing in many African countries, including Senegal. In Africa, cereal importation exceeds 100 million metric tons annually [36]. The recent war in Ukraine is having a negative impact on supplies because most of the cereals are imported from either Russian Federation or Ukraine [36].

#### **5.** Conclusion

This work validated and confirmed that leaf blight, anthracnose, and zonate leaf spot are the most widespread and significant foliar sorghum diseases in Senegal, West Africa. Utilization of resistant sources is the best management strategy for plant diseases. Herein, "hotspots" for evaluating sorghum germplasm for disease resistance were identified. With the expected increase in global population growth and climate change, increases in sorghum production will be an integral part in ensuring food security, especially in the drier tropics. Knowledge gained from this survey will be beneficial to plant pathologists, breeders, students, sorghum producers, government officials, and funding agencies in determining research priorities to ensure productivity and sustainability in sorghum.

#### Acknowledgements

This research (CRIS # 3091-22000-040-000-D) was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Agricultural Research Service.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

This research was made possible with the support of the American people provided to the Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Collaborative Research on Sorghum and Millet through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The contents are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government. Program activities are funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under Cooperative Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-13-00047.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- Mundia, C.W., Secchi, S., Akamani, K. and Wang, G. (2019) A Regional Comparison of Factors Affecting Global Sorghum Production: The Case of North America, Asia, and Africa's Sahel. *Sustainability*, **11**, Article No. 2135. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11072135
- [2] Upadhyaya, H., Vetriventhan, M., Asiri, A., Azevedo, V.C.R., Sharma, H., SAharma, R., Sharma, S.P. and Wang, Y.-H. (2019) Multi-Trait Diverse Germplasm Sources from Mini Core Collection for Sorghum Improvement. *Agriculture*, 9, Article No. 121. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9060121</u>
- [3] Xu, J., Wang, W. and Zhao, Y. (2021) Phenolic Compounds in Whole Grain Sorghum and Their Health Benefits. *Foods*, **10**, Article No. 1921. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081921

- [4] USDA-WAP: World Agricultural Production, Foreign Agricultural Service Circular Series WAP March 2023. https://apps.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/circulars/production.pdf
- [5] Frederiksen, R.A. and Odvody, G.N. (2000) Compendium of Sorghum Diseases. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, 78 p.
- [6] Upadhyaya, H.D., Reddy, K.N., Vetriventhan, M., Ahmed, M.I., Krishna, G.M., Reddy, M.T. and Singh, S.K. (2017) Sorghum Germplasm from West and Central Africa Maintained in the ICRISAT Genebank: Status, Gaps, and Diversity. *The Crop Journal*, 5, 518-532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2017.07.002</u>
- [7] Fall, R., Cissé, M., Sarr, F., Kane, A., Diatta, C. and Diouf, M. (2016) Production and Use Sorghum: A Literature Review. *Journal of Nutrition Health and Food Science*, 4, 1-4. <u>https://doi.org/10.15226/jnhfs.2016.00157</u>
- [8] Sirany, T., Tadele, E., Aregahegn, H. and Wale, D. (2022) Economic Potentials and Use Dynamics of Sorghum Food System in Ethiopia: Its Implications to Resolve Food Deficit. *Advances in Agriculture*, **2022**, Article ID: 4580643. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4580643
- [9] Gonzalez, R., Phillips, R., Saloni, D., Jameel, H., Abt, R., Pirraglia, A. and Wright, J. (2011) Biomass to Energy in the Southern United States: Supply Chain and Delivered Cost. *BioResources*, 6, 2954-2976. https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.6.3.2954-2976
- [10] Araújo, K., Mahajan, D., Kerr, R. and da Silva, M. (2017) Global Biofuels at the Crossroads: An Overview of Technical, Policy, and Investment Complexities in the Sustainability of Biofuel Development. *Agriculture*, 7, Article No. 32. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture7040032
- [11] Corsato Alvarenga, I. and Aldrich, C.G. (2018) The Effect of Sorghum Fractions on Apparent Total Tract Digestibility and Antioxidant Capacity by Dogs. *PLOS ONE*, 13, e0206090. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206090</u>
- [12] Frankowski, J., PrzybylskaBalcerek, A. and Stuper-Szablewska, K. (2022) Concentration of Pro-Health Compound of Sorghum Grain-Based Foods. *Foods*, **11**, Article No. 216. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11020216</u>
- [13] Ndiaye, M., Adam, M., Ganyo, K.K., Guissé, A., Cissé, N. and Muller, B. (2019) Genotype-Environment Interaction: Trade-Offs between the Agronomic Performance and Stability of Dual-Purpose Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) Genotypes in Senegal. *Agronomy*, 9, Article No. 867. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9120867
- [14] USDA. https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/countrysummary/Default.aspx?id=SG&crop=sorghum
- [15] Pereira, L.M. and Hawkes, C. (2022) Leveraging the Potential of Sorghum as a Healthy Food and Resilient Crop in the South African Food System. *Frontier in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, Article ID: 786151. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.786151
- [16] Kadi Kadi, H.A., Kapran, I. and Pendleton, B.B. (2005) Identification of Sorghum Genotypes Resistant to Sorghum Midge in Niger. *International Sorghum and Millets Newsletter*, 46, 57-59.
- [17] FAO (2009). https://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/docs/Issues\_papers/HLEF2050\_Glob al\_Agricculture.pdf
- [18] Prom, L.K., Sarr, M.P., Diatta, C., Ngom, A., Aïdara, O., Cissé, N. and Magill, C. (2021) The Occurrence and Distribution of Sorghum Diseases in Major Production

Regions of Senegal, West Africa. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, **20**, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2021.1.10

- [19] Fall, S., Niyogi, D. and Semazzi, F.H.M. (2006) Analysis of Mean Climate Conditions in Senegal (1971-98). *Earth Interactions*, 10, 1-40. https://doi.org/10.1175/EI158.1
- [20] Diouf, N.S., Ouedraogo, I., Zougmoré, R.B., Ouedraogo, M., Partey, S.T. and Gumucio, T. (2019) Factors Influencing Gendered Access to Climate Information Services for Farming in Senegal. *Gender*, *Technology and Development*, 23, 93-110. https://doi.org/10.1080/09718524.2019.1649790
- [21] Sarr, A.B. and Camara, M. (2018) Simulation of the Impact of Climate Change on Peanut Yield in Senegal. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 13, 79-89. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/IJPS2017.4710</u>
- [22] Prom, L.K., Adamou, H., Bibata, A.O., Issa, K., Abdoulkadri, A.A., Oumarou, O.H., Adamou, B., Fall, C. and Magill, C. (2023) Incidence, Severity, and Prevalence of Sorghum Diseases in the Major Production Regions in Niger. *Journal of Plant Studies*, **12**, 48-59. https://doi.org/10.5539/jps.v12n1p48
- [23] Kangama, C.O. (2017) Importance of Sorghum Bicolor in African's Cultures. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 6, 134-137. https://doi.org/10.15640/jaes.v6n2a16
- [24] Prom, L.K., Haougui, A., Adamou, I., Abdoulkadri, A.A., Karimou, I., Ali, O.B. and Magill, C. (2020) Survey of the Prevalence and Incidence of Foliar and Panicle Diseases of Sorghum across Production Fields in Niger. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, 19, 106-113. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2020.106.113</u>
- [25] Beshir, M.M., Ahmed, N.E., Mukhtar, A., Babiker, I.H., Rubaihayo, P. and Okori, P. (2015) Prevalence and Severity of Sorghum Leaf Blight in the Sorghum Growing Areas of Central Sudan. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4, 54-60. <u>https://repository.ruforum.org/sites/default/files/Beshir%20et%20al\_2015%20Wudp eker%20Journal.pdf</u>
- [26] Koima, I.N., Kilalo, D.C., Orek, C.O., Wagacha, J.M. and Nyaboga, E.N. (2022) Survey of Fungal Foliar and Panicle Duseases in Smallholder Sorghum Cropping Systems in Different Agro-Ecologies of Lower Eastern Kenya. *Microbiological Research*, 13, 765-787. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/microbiolres13040055</u>
- [27] Njoroge, S.M., Takan, J.P., Letayo, E.A., Okoth, P.S., Ajaku, D.O., Rathore, A., Ojulong, H. and Manyasa, E. (2018) Survey of Fungal Foliar and Panicle Diseases of Sorghum in Important Agroecological Zones of Tanzania and Uganda. *Plant Health Progress*, **19**, 265-271. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-04-18-0013-S</u>
- [28] Teferi, T.A. and Wubshet, M.L. (2015) Prevalence and Intensity of Economically Important Fungal Diseases of Sorghum in South Tigray, Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 3, 92-98. <u>https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jps.20150302.18</u> https://article.sciencepublishinggroup.com/html/10.11648.j.jps.20150302.18.html
- [29] Tsedaley, B., Adugna, G. and Lemessa, F. (2016) Distribution and Importance of Sorghum Anthracnose (*Colletotrichum sublineolum*) in Southwestern and Western Ethiopia. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, **15**, 75-85. https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2016.75.85
- [30] Ngugi, H.K., King, S.B., Abaya, G.O. and Reddy, Y.V.R. (2002) Prevalence, Incidence, and Severity of Sorghum Diseases in Western Kenya. *Plant Disease*, 86, 65-70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2002.86.1.65</u>
- [31] Nutsugah, S.K., Atokple, I.D.K. and Leth, V. (2008) Sorghum Diseases Prevalent in Ghana. *Ghana Journal of Agricultural Science*, **40**, 119-126.

https://doi.org/10.4314/gjas.v40i2.2161

- [32] Eshte, Y., Mitiku, M. and Shiferaw, W. (2015) Assessment of Important Plant Disease of Major Crops (Sorghum, Maize, Common Bean, Coffee, Mung Bean, Cowpea) in South Omo and Segen People Zone of Ethiopia. *Current Agriculture Research*, **3**, 75-79. <u>https://doi.org/10.12944/CARJ.3.1.10</u>
- [33] Diatta, C. (2016) Development of Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] for Resistance to Grain Mold in Senegal. West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement, College of Basic and Applied Sciences. Thesis, University of Ghana, Accra, 173. <u>http://www.ceraas.org/2019/08/09/development-of-sorghum-sorghum-bicolor-l-mo</u> <u>ench-for-resistance-to-grain-mold-in-senegal</u>
- [34] Prom, L.K., Perumal, Isakeit, T., Radwan, G., Rooney, W.L. and Magill, C. (2015) The Impact of Weather Conditions on Response of Sorghum Genotypes to Anthracnose (*Colletotrichum sublineola*) Infection. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 6, 242-250. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2015/14589</u>
- [35] Erpelding, J.E. and Prom, L.K. (2006) Variation for Anthracnose Resistance within Sorghum Germplasm Collection from Mozambique, Africa. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, 5, 28-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2006.28.34</u>
- [36] Yohannes-Kassahun, B. (2023) One Year Later: The Impact of the Russian Conflict with Ukraine on Africa. Africa Renewal. <u>https://www.un.org/africarenewal/magazine/february-2023/one-year-later-impact-russian-conflict-ukraine-africa</u>