

Allelopathic Effect of Three Wild Plants (*Azadirachta indica, Tithonia diversifolia* and *Thevetia peruviana*) on Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.) Growth and Stimulation of Metabolites Involved in Plant Resistance

G. Y. Fangue-Yapseu^{1,2,3}, R. A. Mouafo-Tchinda^{4,5}, M. Fomekong Kenne⁶, P. Effa Onomo^{1,2,3*}, P. F. Djocgoue^{2,7}

¹Laboratory of Phytoprotection and Plant Valorization, Biotechnology Centre, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon ²Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Department of Biological Sciences, Higher Teacher's Training College, Yaoundé, Cameroon ³Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon

⁴Centre SEVE, Département de Biologie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada

⁵Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu, Canada

⁶Laboratoire de Biotechnologie Végétale et Environnement, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon ⁷Department of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon Email: *peffafr@yahoo.fr

How to cite this paper: Fangue-Yapseu, G.Y., Mouafo-Tchinda, R.A., Fomekong Kenne, M., Effa Onomo, P. and Djocgoue, P.F. (2021) Allelopathic Effect of Three Wild Plants (*Azadirachta indica, Tithonia diversifolia* and *Thevetia peruviana*) on Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.) Growth and Stimulation of Metabolites Involved in Plant Resistance. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, **12**, 285-299. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2021.123018

Received: January 16, 2021 **Accepted:** March 9, 2021 **Published:** March 12, 2021

Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the allelopathic effects of Azadirachta indica oil and aqueous extracts of Tithonia diversifolia and Thevetia peruviana on the growth and stimulation of metabolites involved in tomato plant resistance. Randomized in blocks within a shaded area, the different treatments prepared at 10% and 15% (v/v and w/v) in water were subsequently applied on tomatoes seeds to monitor the effect on germination, and on tomatoes leaves to monitor the effect on growth and resilience of the plants. The result showed that in stressful conditions all the treatments significantly inhibit (p < 0.05) the germination capacity of the seeds from 21.22% to 92.61%, the germination rate from 39.82% to 92.76% and the germination viability of the seedlings from 64.67% to 100%. However, the negative allelopathic effect of the treatment was significantly reduced (p < 0.05) when used for germination initiation by botanical priming. In addition, while T. diversifolia at 10% promotes a better aerial and root growth in tomato plants, T. peruviana at 15% induces the activation of resistance mechanisms in tomato plants by increasing protein levels to 104.5%, phenol levels to 183.33% and peroxidase enzyme

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

activity to 586.15%. Therefore, allelopathic compound of wild plants would be a good alternative for growth promotion and resistance of tomato crops.

Keywords

Allelopathic, Wild Plants, Growth, Plant Resistance, Tomato

1. Introduction

Tomato is a very popular and widely grown vegetable in the world. Its production takes an important place in Cameroon's agricultural economy. Indeed, it accounts for 36% of the country's total vegetable production, potentially employs 1,645,200 people and takes place in all agro-ecological basins, in both peri-urban and rural areas in all seasons [1]. However, the production of this vegetable is limited by multiple abiotic and biotic constraints that affect yields. Pest pressure has been identified as the major constraint due to crop losses to market gardeners [2] [3]. To control these pests and thus improve production yields, producers systematically use synthetic pesticides [3]. However, the results of this control method remain controversial as studies have shown the adverse effect of chemical pesticides on human health and on the environment [3] [4]. Yet, among the new crop protection technologies, the use of effective and less toxic botanical insecticides would be an alternative to the use of synthetic pesticides in the control of insect pests [5] [6]. Therefore, plant extracts which are environmentally friendly [7] could be a good substitute. The aim of this study was to evaluate the benefit of an ecological approach of fighting pests on tomatoes cultures.

Among the candidate pesticide plants, Azadirachta indica A. Juss, Tithonia diversifolia (Hemsl.) A. Gray and Thevetia peruviana (Pers.) K. Schum can claim to have been the subject of numerous scientific publications confirming their extraordinary biological activity. Native to tropical America, T. diversifolia is an invasive and recolonizing plant species belonging to the Asteraceae family [8]. It is known for its fertilizing properties; studies have shown its positive impact on production yields [9] [10] [11]. Moreover, it is rich in secondary metabolites including alkaloids and phenolic compounds [12]. Like the latter, A. indica has been the subject of much scientific research. This plant originating from the Indian subcontinent and belonging to the family of Meliaceae is much known for its insecticidal properties [13] [14]. Indeed, it acts in the insect by provoking lack of appetite, disturbance of the hormonal cycle, and by preventing the normal development and the optimal growth [15] [16]. As for *T. peruviana*, it is an ornamental plant native to Central and South America and belonging to the Apocynaceae family [17]. All parts of this plant are considered a potential source of biologically active compounds with insecticidal [18], fungicidal [19] [20] and bactericidal [21] action. The understanding of the link between the raw extracts of these three wild plants and the different stages of growth and development of tomato can help to elucidate the conditions of their use in agriculture.

Indeed, the positive allelopathic properties of wild plants have been demonstrated on seed germination [22], plant growth [23], pest control [18] [24] and microorganisms responsible for some plant diseases [20] [25]. Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the allelopathic effects of *A. indica* oil and aqueous extracts of *T. diversifolia* and *T. peruviana* on the growth and metabolites production involved in tomato plant resistance. The effect of the total biochemical constituents of these three wild plants will be determined on the germination capacity, germination rate, germination viability of seedlings, aerial and root growth of the plants, and induction of increased synthesis of phenols, proteins, peroxidases and polyphenol oxidases in tomato plants in a shade area.

2. Material and Methods

The study was carried out in the shade area of the local company (EcoAgriConsulting and Solution for Africa, Obili-Yaoundé, Cameroon) during September-December, 2018. The laboratory experimentation was conducted in the Biotechnology Centre of the University of Yaoundé I, Nkolbisson, Cameroon.

2.1. Plant Material

The tomato seeds (Rio master variety) were purchased at a local company (Royal Master, Cameroon). Fresh leaves of *Tithonia diversifolia* (773 m, 03°51'28.4"N, 11°30'00.3"E) and *Thevetia peruviana* (747 m, 03°51'29.5"N, 11°29'52.3"E) were collected at the University of Yaoundé I. *Azadirachta indica* oil, cold extracted from the kernel of the seeds, was purchased at a local market (Mokolo, Yaoundé) (**Figure 1**).

2.2. Preparation of Plants Extracts

Fresh leaves of *T. diversifolia* and *T. peruviana* were harvested, washed three times using tap water, and then cut into small chips of about 4 cm of length. Finally, there were macerated at concentrations of 0.1 and 0.15 kg/L of water (w/v) for six days. Following maceration, the solutions were filtered with fine mesh cloth sieve. The 10% and 15% solutions of *A. indica* oil were obtained by emulsifying 0.1 and 0.15 L/L of water (v/v) respectively.



Figure 1. *Thevetia peruviana* (a), *Tithonia diversifolia* (b) and *Azadirachta indica* (c) leaves and flowers.

2.3. Germination Test

Two seeds lots were primed for 1 h and 3 h into the different extracts by total immersion. After botanical priming, seeds were re-dried to near original weight under shade and then germinated in 120×120 mm Petri dishes (16 in each) containing three layers of absorbent paper soaked in 10 ml of distilled water. A seed not subjected to this pretreatment was germinated in the presence of 10 ml of each extract (stressful conditions). Three trials were done with ten Petri dishes per trial. The Petri dishes were incubated in the dark at room temperature and observed daily for six days. A seed was considered to have germinated when the radical pierced the coat according to [26]. Germination capacity, germination rate and germination viability were calculated according to the following equations as:

Germination capacity:

$$GC = (ni/N) \times 100$$

where:

ni = Cumulative number of seeds germinated at each observation i, N= Total number of seeds germinated.

Germination rate:

$$GR = (N1 \times 1) + (N2 - N1)1/2 + (N3 - N2)1/3 + \dots + (Nn - Nn - 1)1/n$$

where:

N1, N2, N3, \dots , Nn - 1, Nn = percentage of seeds germinated on day 1, 2, 3, \dots , n - 1 and n.

Germination viability:

$$GV = (Np/Ng) \times 100$$

where:

Np = *Number of seeds that have formed a complete seedling after germination,*

Ng = *Number of germinated seeds.*

2.4. Evaluation of Agro-Morphological Growth Characters

The agro-morphological characters of plant growth were measured every two weeks, starting from the fourth week after transplanting, and stopped at the beginning of flowering. These parameters included stem diameter measured with a caliper, the number of leaves counted by hand, plant height taken with a ruler and leaf area determined using the following formula by [27]:

$$LA = 0.5(L \times W)$$

where:

L = length of leaf,

W= *maximum width*.

At the beginning of flowering, three plants per treatment were collected and after washing the roots with water to remove all soil particles, the plants were fractionated, and the fresh and dry root and aerial weights were measured. Dehydration took place at 80°C in the incubator for 48 h.

2.5. Determination of the Total Phenolic Compounds Content

The extraction and quantitative measurement of the content of total phenolic compounds were carried out according to a modified protocol developed by [28]. Briefly, 1 g of fresh leaves was crushed at 4°C in 10 ml of 80% methanol; the homogenate was agitated for 10 min, and then centrifuged at 4°C three times at 10,000 g for 10 min. The recovered supernatants were mixed, and the pellets obtained were re-suspended three times in 5 ml of 80% methanol followed by a 5 min agitation. After the second centrifugation step at 4°C, the supernatant was collected and mixed with the previously collected supernatant to constitute the phenolic extract. The concentration of phenolic compounds was determined by the method of [29] using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Absorbance was measured at 725 nm. Total phenolic compound contents were expressed in mg equivalent of catechin per g of fresh weight (mg eq catechin/g FW).

2.6. Determination of the Content of Total Protein

The total native protein content extraction was performed as described by [30] with modification. Briefly, 1 g of fresh leaves was grounded in 10 ml of extraction buffer (Tris-HCl 10 mM pH 7.5, Triton X-100 2%, NaCl 3 M) at 4°C, stirred for 10 min and kept on ice. After 30 min incubation at 4°C, the sample was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The pellet was submitted to a second round of extraction. Both supernatants were mixed with 0.5 volume of n-butanol and 1/10 of 3 M NaAc pH 4.5. Samples were kept on ice for 1h with agitation every 10 min, and centrifuged twice at 10,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatants were mixed to form the total proteins extract and stored at 4°C. The quantification of total proteins was performed according to the [31] method using BSA as the standard. The absorbance was measured at 595 nm using a UV-VIS 1605 Shimadzu spectrophotometer. The amount of total proteins was expressed as mg of BSA equivalent per g of fresh weight (mg eq BSA/g FW).

2.7. Determination of Enzyme Activities

The peroxidase specific activity (POX) expressed as enzyme per g of fresh matter using spectrophotometer at 470 nm (A_{470} /min/UE/g FW) of the total native proteins extract was determined by the method of [32]. Similarly, the polyphenol oxidase (PPO) specific activity expressed as enzyme per g of fresh weight at 330 nm (A_{330} /min/EU/g FW) of the total native proteins extract was described by [33] using catechol as a substrate.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism 5.0. All results were expressed as means \pm standard deviation and subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Where significant differences were found, pairs of samples were

compared using Tukey's test at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Germination Performance of Seeds

Under stressful conditions, all extracts reduced the germination capacity, germination rate and germination viability of tomato seedlings (**Table 1**). The lowest value of germination capacity was obtained with *T. diversifolia* at 10%, Td1 (6.25%) which otherwise did not allow the formation of viable seedlings, as *T. diversifolia* at 15% (Td2) did and both concentrations of *A. indica* (Ai1 and Ai2). However, the negative effect of the extracts was reduced when used for germination initiation by botanical priming. *T. diversifolia* at 10% from the 1h botanical priming was the extract that shows the highest values for all the germination characteristics evaluated.

 Table 1. Variation of germination index of treated and untreated seeds with different plants extracts.

	Stress condition 1 h botanical priming 3 h botanical		3 h botanical priming					
Germination capacity, GC (%)								
С	$84.58\pm0.92^{\rm f}$	100.0 ± 0.00^{a} 90.25 ± 0.38^{de}						
Td1	$06.25\pm0.00^{\rm m}$	$100.0 \pm 0.00^{a} \qquad \qquad 87.50 \pm 0.00^{ef}$						
Td2	$23.96 \pm 1.04^{\rm k}$	$81.25 \pm 0.00^{g} \qquad \qquad 93.75 \pm 0.00^{bc}$						
Tp1	$66.63 \pm 1.06^{\mathrm{i}}$	$94.17 \pm 0.42^{bc} \qquad \qquad 93.67 \pm 0.08^{bc}$						
Tp2	$37.50\pm0.00^{\text{j}}$	95.46 ± 0.91^{b}	$86.75\pm0.75^{\rm f}$					
Ai1	$18.75\pm0.00^{\rm l}$	$86.75 \pm 0.75^{\rm f}$	91.62 ± 1.06^{cd}					
Ai2	$18.75\pm0.00^{\rm l}$	$76.33\pm0.88^{\rm h}$	$80.83\pm0.41^{\text{g}}$					
Germination rate, GR								
С	$4.42\pm0.35^{\text{fgh}}$	6.16 ± 0.51^{ab}	4.79 ± 0.14^{efgh}					
Td1	$0.32\pm0.12^{\rm k}$	$6.34\pm0.29^{\rm a}$	5.91 ± 0.29^{abc}					
Td2	0.83 ± 0.14^{jk}	5.03 ± 0.09^{defg} 5.36 ± 0.05^{bcde}						
Tp1	$2.66\pm0.12^{\rm i}$	6.21 ± 0.63^{ab} 5.05 ± 0.26^{cdefg}						
Tp2	1.30 ± 0.26^{j}	5.81 ± 0.15^{abcd}	5.19 ± 0.12^{cdef}					
Ail	0.83 ± 0.12^{jk}	4.39 ± 0.28^{fgh}	$3.95\pm0.08^{\rm h}$					
Ai2	0.65 ± 0.00^{jk}	5.46 ± 0.52^{bcde}	4.23 ± 0.25^{gh}					
Germination viability, GV (%)								
С	$59.44\pm0.96^{\rm f}$	82.37 ± 1.95^{b}	$70.95\pm0.83^{\rm d}$					
Td1	$00.00\pm0.00^{\rm l}$	86.96 ± 0.90^{a}	65.08 ± 1.37^{e}					
Td2	$00.00\pm0.00^{\rm l}$	$76.61 \pm 0.53^{\circ}$	78.97 ± 1.78^{bc}					
Tp1	$21.00\pm1.73^{\rm j}$	$60.83 \pm 1.44^{\rm f}$	72.70 ± 1.10^{d}					
Tp2	$20.00\pm0.00^{\text{j}}$	$61.39\pm1.92^{\rm ef}$	$77.47 \pm 0.95^{\circ}$					
Ai1	$00.00\pm0.00^{\rm l}$	$51.11 \pm 1.92^{\text{g}}$	15.33 ± 1.77^{k}					
Ai2	$00.00\pm0.00^{\rm l}$	$42.57\pm0.50^{\rm h}$	$34.92 \pm 1.37^{\mathrm{i}}$					

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05. C: control; Td1 (Td2): *T. diversifolia* at 10% (15%); Tp1 (Tp2): *T. peruviana* at 10% (15%); Ai1 (Ai2): *A. indica* at 10% (15%).

3.2. Agro-Morphological Characteristics

The effect of foliar application of the treatments on root and aerial growth of tomato plants is presented in **Table 2**. Plants treated with *T. diversifolia* at 10% (Td1) showed the highest values for volume $(17.83 \pm 0.6 \text{ ml})$, fresh weight (21.18 \pm 0.83 g/plant) and root dry weight (3.39 \pm 0.11 g/plant), while those treated with *T. peruviana* at 10% (Tp1) showed the highest value for root length (45.33 \pm 0.88 cm). Also, regardless of the aerial growth characteristic considered, *T. diversifolia* at 10% had the highest values.

3.3. Phenols and Proteins Contents

The total protein content in treated plants (5.16 to 6.81 mg eq BSA/g FW) was higher than that of untreated plants (3.33 ± 0.25 mg eq BSA/g FW) (**Figure 2**). However, increasing the concentration of *T. diversifolia* and *A. indica* reduced their eliciting capacity on protein synthesis by 20.54% and 17.82% respectively, while increasing the concentration of *T. peruviana* boosts its effect by 18.64%. Also, *T. diversifolia* at 15%, Td2 (0.23 ± 0.03 mg eq catechin/g FW) and *A. indica* at 15%, Ai2 (0.14 ± 0.02 mg eq catechin/g FW) did not significantly affect phenol levels in tomato plants compared to control (0.18 ± 0.004 mg eq catechin/g FW) (**Figure 3**). However, the highest value of phenol content was observed in plants treated with *T. peruviana* at 15%, Tp2 (0.51 ± 0.02 mg eq catechin/g FW) which increased this biochemical character to 183.33% compared to the control.

Root characteristics									
	RL (cm)		V (ml)	V (ml) FRW (g) DRW (g)			
С	19.33 ± 0.33^{d}		7.50 ± 0.5^{d} 5.67 ± 0.5^{d}		$58^{\rm e}$ $1.1 \pm 0.1^{\rm d}$				
Td1	$1 38.67 \pm 0.88^{b}$		17.83 ± 0.6^{a}	21.18 ± 0	.83ª	3.39 ± 0.11^{a}			
Td2	2 22.33 ± 0.88^{cd}		$14.83 \pm 0.44^{\rm b}$ $15.01 \pm 0.501 \pm$		2.39 ± 0.19^{bc}				
Tp1	45.33 ± 0.88^{a}		15.50 ± 0.29^{ab} 14.31 ± 0		.24 ^c 2.53 ± 0.13^{bc}				
Tp2	p2 20.50 ± 0.29^{cd}		$1.67 \pm 0.88^{\circ}$	$16.80\pm0.28^{\rm b}$		2.9 ± 0.1^{ab}			
Ai1	20.33 ± 0.88^{cd}		7.67 ± 0.17^{d}	6.84 ± 0.76^{e}		$1.82 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$			
Ai2	$24.33 \pm 0.67^{\circ}$		10.09 ± 0.17^{cd} 10.09 ± 0.000		.88 ^d	2.55 ± 0.05^{bc}			
Arial characteristics									
	Ø (cm)	H (cm)	NL	LA (cm ²)	AFW (g)	ADW (g)			
С	$0.53\pm0.05^{\circ}$	$51 \pm 1.68^{\circ}$	11 ± 0.41^{d}	428.28 ± 7.31^{b}	$74.18\pm4.48^{\rm de}$	$40.92\pm0.72^{\circ}$			
Td1	$0.88\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	112.5 ± 1.44^{a}	$20.75\pm0.48^{\text{a}}$	676.31 ± 9.1^{a}	132.09 ± 1.59^{a}	63.67 ± 2.37^{a}			
Td2	$0.88\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$110.5\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	$20.5\pm0.65^{\text{a}}$	655.38 ± 7.21^{a}	108.75 ± 1.25^{b}	58.35 ± 0.95^{ab}			
Tp1	$0.88\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	$96 \pm 0.41^{\mathrm{b}}$	$20.5\pm0.5^{\text{a}}$	617.41 ± 32.89^{a}	$91.58 \pm 1.18^{\rm c}$	52.51 ± 2.01^{b}			
Tp2	0.83 ± 0.05^{ab}	104 ± 2.45^{ab}	20.25 ± 0.63^{ab}	720.97 ± 12.51^{a}	80.25 ± 0.35^{cd}	53.31 ± 1.21^{b}			
Ai1	$0.7\pm0.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	$95 \pm 3.86^{\text{b}}$	$16.5 \pm 0.65^{\circ}$	513.03 ± 33.6^{b}	$60.95 \pm 1.65^{\circ}$	39.06 ± 1.39°			
Ai2	0.83 ± 0.09^{ab}	$92.75\pm4.82^{\text{b}}$	18 ± 0.58^{bc}	497.94 ± 11.42^{b}	$86.35 \pm 1.65^{\circ}$	51.11 ± 1.31^{b}			

Table 2. Effect of treatments on agro-morphological characteristics of tomato plants.

Means with the same letter within a column are not significantly different at p < 0.05. C: control; Td1 (Td2): *T. diversifolia* at 10% (15%); Tp1 (Tp2): *T. peruviana* at 10% (15%); Ai1 (Ai2): *A. indica* at 10% (15%), RL: root length, V: volume, FRW (DRW): fresh (dry) root weight, Ø: diameter, H: plant height, NL: number of leaves, AFW (ADW): aerial fresh (dry) weight.



Figure 2. Variation of total native protein content in plant treated and untreated with different plants extracts. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05. C: control; Td1 (Td2): *T. diversifolia* at 10% (15%); Tp1 (Tp2): *T. peruviana* at 10% (15%); Ai1 (Ai2): *A. indica* at 10% (15%).



Figure 3. Variation of total phenolic content in plant treated and untreated with different plants extracts. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05. C: control; Td1 (Td2): *T. diversifolia* at 10% (15%); Tp1 (Tp2): *T. peruviana* at 10% (15%); Ai1 (Ai2): *A. indica* at 10% (15%).

3.4. Enzymatic Activities

The effects of foliar application of the treatments on the enzymatic activity of peroxidase (POX) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) are shown in **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**. With the exception of *A. indica* at 15%, Ai2 (POX activity = $1.18 \pm 0.04 \text{ A}_{470}/\text{min}/\text{UE/g FW}$; PPO activity = $0.56 \pm 0.03 \text{ A}_{330}/\text{min}/\text{EU/g FW}$), all extracts boost the POX (**Figure 4**) and PPO (**Figure 5**) activity of total proteins in tomato plants. The best effect is obtained with *T. diversifolia* at 10% (Td1) and *T. peruviana* at 15% (Tp2) for POX activity and with *A. indica* at 10% (Ai1) for PPO activity.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the allelopathic effects of *A. indica* oil and aqueous extracts of *T. diversifolia* and *T. peruviana* on the growth and induction of metabolites involved in tomato plant resistance. The results of the germination test showed that all extracts had a significant allelopathic effect (p < 0.05) on the germination performance of tomato seeds. Indeed, under stressful



Figure 4. Variation of total peroxidases activities in plant treated and untreated with different plants extracts. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05. C: control; Td1 (Td2): *T. diversifolia* at 10% (15%); Tp1 (Tp2): *T. peruviana* at 10% (15%); Ai1 (Ai2): *A. indica* at 10% (15%).



Figure 5. Variation of total polyphenol oxidases activities in plant treated and untreated with different plants extracts. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05. C: control; Td1 (Td2): *T. diversifolia* at 10% (15%); Tp1 (Tp2): *T. peruviana* at 10% (15%); Ai1 (Ai2): *A. indica* at 10% (15%).

condition, the extracts showed a phytotoxic effect evaluated from 21.22% to 92.61% on the germination capacity, from 39.82% to 92.76% on the germination speed and from 64.67% to 100% on the germination viability of the seedlings. Likewise, studies have shown the inhibitory effect of T. diversifolia on the germination of seeds of Solanum melongena L. [34], Vigna inguiculata L. [23] and Oryza sativa L. [35]. In addition, the phytotoxic effect of T. peruviana was observed on the germination of Parthenium hysterophorus L. [36] and those of A. indica were reported on several varieties of Vigna unguiculata L. [37]. Similarly, the negative allelopathic effects of crude extracts of other wild plants have been cited in numerous studies. For example, the phytotoxic effect of crude extracts of Cakile maritima, Calligonum polygonoides, Senecio glaucus and Zygophyllum album has been observed on seeds of Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) P. Beauv [38]. However, in the present study the use at 10% and 15% of T. diversifolia, A. indica and T. peruviana for germination initiation by botanical priming had a stimulating effect on the germination performance of tomato seeds compared to their use in stressful conditions. This ability of the extracts can be explained by the fact that during re-dehydration (hardening), they induce the production and activation of the enzymes involved in the lifting of dormancy. In fact, according to [39], priming stimulates the activation of endo- β -mannase, which in turn triggers the production of ethylene, a hormone involved in the lifting of seed dormancy. Moreover, [40] suggested that this pre-germination operation allows an increase in the level of antioxidant enzymes, while [41] proposed instead an improvement and synchronization of DNA replication in all embryonic cells. According to [42] this pre-activation of the cell cycle is one of the mechanisms by which priming induces better germination performance. Thus, the results of this study show that the type of allelopathic effect exerted by *A. indica* oil and aqueous extracts from fresh leaves of *T. diversifolia* and *T. peruviana* on the germination performance of tomato seeds depends on the condition of application of the latter on the seeds (before or during germination).

Determination of the effect of extracts on the growth of tomato plants showed that all the growth variables analyzed are significantly affected (p < 0.05) by them. However, the overall analysis of the different growth parameters evaluated shows that T. diversifolia at 10% allowed the best root growth of tomato plants. Surely, it boosts root volume by 137.73%, root fresh weight by 273.54% and root dry weight by 208.18% compared to the control. In addition, this treatment also boosts stem diameter by 66.04%, plant height by 120.59%, leaf count by 88.64%, leaf area by 57.91%, fresh aerial weight by 78.07% and dry aerial weight by 55.6%. This positive allelopathic effect of *T. diversifolia* on tomato plant growth can be explained by the fact that this wild plant is an important provider of nutrients for phosphorus, potassium and nitrogen [9] [10] [11]. Indeed, many authors reported an increase in aerial and root growth of Vigna sinensis L. [43], Amaranthus spinosus L. [22], Vigna unguculata L. [23] [44] and Zea mays L. [45] due to T. diversifolia biomasses. While T. diversifolia has an inhibitory effect on tomato seed germination under stressful conditions, it does boost plant growth after seedling establishment. This suggests that the allelopathic effect of T. diversifolia observed depends on the stage of development of the tomato.

Determination of the effect of different extracts on the induction of the synthesis of biochemical resistance factors in tomato plants showed that *T. peruviana* at 15% is the extract with the most significant positive allelopathic effect (p < 0.05). In fact, it increases the protein rate to 104.5%, the phenol rate to 183.33% and the peroxidase activity of proteins in tomato plants to 586.15%. This over-expression of phenolic compounds and peroxidases due to *T. peruviana* at 0.15 kg/L (15%) may be correlated with increased resistance in tomato plants. Among the proteins induced during plant defense, peroxidases are well known and play a major role in reinforcing the physical barriers of the cell wall [46] and increasing phytoalexin synthesis [47]. As for phenolic compounds, they are recognized as constituent elements of plant defense mechanisms against pathogenic microorganisms. The mode of action of these pre-infectional molecules, whose content may increase with infection, might be related to their antimicrobial properties, their involvement in strengthening plant cell walls and their ability to modulate and induce host defense reactions [48]. Thus, it appears from this study that the 0.15 kg/L extract of *T. peruviana* has a high elicitation power of tomato defense mechanisms, involving the increase of total proteins, total phenolic compounds and the peroxidase activity of total proteins. However, with the exception of *A. indica* at 10%, all extracts stimulated the polyphenol oxidase activity of total proteins in the range of 57.14% to 278.57% compared to the control. The involvement of this enzyme in plant defense had been demonstrated in numerous studies. As a telling example, [49] found that tomato plants over-expressing potato polyphenol oxidase showed increased resistance to *Pseudomonas synringae* pv. tomato, the causal agent of bacterial spot disease. Similarly, [50] showed that over-expression of this enzyme increased the resistance of transgenic poplars against herbivores. Thus, in this study, it appears that except for *A. indica* at 10%, all the extracts elicit the polyphenol oxidase biosynthesis pathway in tomato plants.

This study demonstrated that *T. diversifolia* crude extract at 0.1 kg/L (10%) of can be used by tomato growers to improve the germination performance of the seed (by botanical priming) and growth of tomato plants, while the crude extract at 0.15 kg/L (15%) of *T. peruviana* can be used for the induction of tomato plant resistance. However, it would be interesting before a possible use of these extracts in agriculture, to quantify the sustainability of their effects in the field and to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying their action in the different phases of tomato cultivation.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the institutional and material support from the Biotechnology Centre of the University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon. The study was totally supported by EcoAgriConsulting and Solution for Africa (EACSA Sarl), Yaoundé, Cameroon.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- FAO (Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'Alimentation et l'Agriculture) (2018) Études diagnostique de la réduction des pertes après récolte de trois cultures: Manioc, tomate, pomme de terre. Rapport de synthèse, Cameroun, Rome, 108 p. http://www.fao.org/3/I8571FR/i8571fr.pdf
- [2] Kanda, M., Akpavi, S., Wala, K., Djaneye-Boundjou, G. and Akpagana, K. (2014) Diversité des espèces cultivées et contraintes à la production en agriculture maraîchère au Togo. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 8, 115-127. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v8i1.11</u>
- [3] Mondedji, A.D., Nyamador, W.S., Amevoin, K., Adeoti, R., Abbey, G.A. Ketoh, G.K. and Glitho, I.A. (2015) Analyse de quelques aspects du système de production légumière et perception des producteurs de l'utilisation d'extraits botaniques dans la

gestion des insectes ravageurs des cultures maraichères au Sud du Togo. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, **9**, 98-107. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v9i1.10</u>

- [4] Agboyi, L.K., Ketoh, G.K., Martin, T., Glitho A.I. and Tamo. M. (2016) Pesticide Resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) Populations from Togo and Benin. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 36, 204-210. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758416000138
- [5] Philogene, B.J.R., Regnault-Roger, C. and Vincent, C. (2003) Produits phytosanitaires insecticides d'origine végétale: Promesses d'hier et d'aujourd'hui. In: Roger, C., Philogène, B.J.R. and Vincent, C., Eds., *Biopesticides d'Origine Végétale*, Lavoisier TEC & DOC., Paris, 1-15.
- [6] Shannag, H.S., Capinera, J.L. and Freihat, N.M. (2014) Efficacy of Different Neem-Based Biopesticides against Green Peach Aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *International Journal of Agricultural Policy and Research*, 2, 61-68.
- [7] Regnault-Roger, C., Philogène, B.J.R. and Vincent, C. (2002) Biopesticides d'origines Végétales. Tec & Doc, Paris, 337.
- [8] Ipou Ipou, J., Toure, A. and Tiebre, M. (2009) *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl.) A. Gray (Asteraceae), une nouvelle espèce envahissante des cultures, au centre-ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire. Dijon, XIIIème Colloque International sur la Biologie des Mauvaises Herbes.
- [9] Kaho, F., Yemefack, M., Feujio-Teguefouet, P. and Tchantchaouang, J.C. (2011) Effet combiné des feuilles de *Tithonia diversifolia* et des engrais inorganiques sur les rendements du maïs et les propriétés d'un sol ferralitique au Centre Cameroun. *Tropicultura*, 1, 39-45.
- [10] Mucheru-Muna, M., Mugendis, D., Pypers, P., Mugwe, J., Kung'u, J., Vanlauwe, B. and Merckx, R. (2013) Enhancing Maize Productivity and Profitability Using Organic Inputs and Mineral Fertilizer in Central Kenya Small-Hold Farms. *Experimental Agriculture*, **50**, 250-269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479713000525</u>
- [11] Hafifah, S., Maghfoer, M. and Prasetya, B. (2016) The Potential of *Tithonia diversi-folia* Green Manure for Improving Soil Quality for Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Brotrytis* L.). *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management*, **3**, 499-506.
- [12] Olayinka, B.U., Raiyemo, D.A. and Etejere, E.O. (2015) Phytochemical and Proximate Composition of *Tithoniq diversifolia* (Hemsl.) A. Gray. *Annals. Food Science and Technology*, **16**, 195-200. <u>http://www.afst.valahia.ro</u>
- [13] Koul, O., Multani, J.S., Goomber, S., Daniewski, W.M. and Berlozecki, S. (2004) Activity of Some Nonazadirachtin Limonoids from *Azadirachta indica* against Lepidoteran Larvae. *Australian Journal of Entomology*, **43**, 189-195. <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2003.00390.x</u>
- [14] Harouna, M.A., Baoua, I., Lawali, S., Tamo, M., Amadou, L., Mahamane, S. and Pittendrighm, B. (2019) Essai comparatif de l'utilisation des extraits du Neem et du virus entomopathogène *MaviNPV* dans la gestion des insectes ravageurs du niébé en milieu paysan au Niger. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 13, 950-961. <u>http://www.ifgdg.org https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v13i2.30</u>
- [15] Seck, M. (1997) Effet de l'extrait aqueux des feuilles de neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss) sur la population de Thrips et le rendement du niébé (Vigna unguiculata). Rapport de stage, ISRA/CNRA, Sénégal, 40 p.
- [16] Yarou, B.B., Silvie, P., Komlan, A.F., Mensah, A., Alabi, T., Verheggen, F. and Francis, F. (2017) Plantes pesticides et protection des cultures maraîchères en Afrique de l'Ouest. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society and Environment*, **21**, 288-304.
- [17] Tewtrakul, S., Nakamura, N. and Hattori, M. (2002) Flavanone and Flavonol Glyco-

sides from the Leaves of *Thevetia peruviana* and Their HIV-1 Reverse Transcriptase and HIV-1 Integrase Inhibitory Activities. *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, **50**, 630-635. <u>https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.50.630</u>

- [18] Ambang, Z., Ngoh, D., Ndongo, B. and Djile, B. (2005) Effet des extraits bruts des graines du laurier jaune (*Thevetia peruviana* Pers) sur les charançons *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch), ravageurs des stocks. *Proceeding of 12th Annual Conference of the Cameroon Bioscience Society*, Yaounde, 2005, 27-36.
- [19] Gata-Gonçalves, L., Nogueira, J.M.F., Matos, O. and Bruno De Sousa, R. (2003) Photoactive Extract from *Thevetia peruviana* with Antifungal Properties against *Cladosporium cucumerinum. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, **70**, 51-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1011-1344(03)00024-1</u>
- [20] Ambang, Z., Ngoh, D., Essono, G., Bekolo, N., Chewachong, G. and Asseng, C. (2010) Effect of *Thevetia peruviana* Seeds Extract on *in Vitro* Growth of Four Strains of *Phytophtora megakarya. Plant Omics Journal*, **3**, 70-76.
- [21] Saxena, V.K. and Jain, S.K. (1990) *Thevetia peruviana* Kernel Oil: A Potential Bactericidal Agent. *Fitoterapia*, **61**, 348-349.
- [22] Adesina, O.G. (2013) Does Soil under Natural *Tithonia diversifolia* Vegetation Inhibit Seed Germination of Weed Species? *American Journal of Plant Science*, 4, 2165-2173. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2013.411268</u>
- [23] Ademiluyi, O.B. and Ajewole T.O. (2013) Study on the Effects of Fresh Shoot Biomass of *Tithonia diversifolia* on the Germination, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, **3**, 1005-1011. https://doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2013/4361
- [24] Mamadou, F. (2010) Nouveau fractionnement de la graine de Neem (*Azadirachta indica* Juss) sénégalais: Production d'un biopesticide d'huile et de tourteau. Thèse en vue de l'obtention du Doctorat de l'Université de Toulouse délivré par l'Institut Polytechnique de Toulouse, Toulouse, 267 p.
- [25] Pohe, J. and Agneroh, T.A. (2013) L'huile des graines de Neem, un fongicide alternatif à l'oxyde de cuivre dans la lutte contre la pourriture brune des cabosses de cacaoyer en Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, **62**, 4644-4652. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/jab.v62i0.86147</u>
- [26] Heler, R., Esnault, R. and Lance, C. (2000) Physiologie végétale et développement. Dunod, Paris, 366 p.
- [27] Pearcy, R.W., Ehleringer, J.E., Monney, H.A. and Rundel, P.W. (1989) Plant Physiological Ecology: Field Methods and Instrumentation. Chapman and Hall, New York, 423 p. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-2221-1</u>
- [28] Boudjeko, T., Djocgoue, P.F., Nankeu, D.J., Mbouobda, H.D., Omokolo, N.D. and El Hadrami, I. (2007) Luteolin Derivatives and Heritability of Resistance to *Phytophthora megakarya* in *Theobroma cacao. Australasian Plant Pathology*, **36**, 56-61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/AP06083</u>
- [29] Marigo, G. (1973) Méthode de fractionnement et d'estimation des composés phénoliques chez les végétaux. *Analysis*, **2**, 106-110.
- [30] Tene, T.P.M., Ewane, C.A., Effa, O.P. and Boudjeko, T. (2017) Effects of Chitosan and Snail Shell Powder on Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) Growth and Resistance against Black Pod Disease Caused by *Phytophthora megakarya*. *African Journal of Plant Science*, **11**, 331-340. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJPS2016.1487</u>
- [31] Bradford, M.M. (1976) A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantification of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principles of Protein-Dye Binding. *Analytical Biochem*istry, 72, 248-254.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3

- [32] Baaziz, M., Aissam, F., Brake, Z., Bendiap, K., El Hadrami, I. and Cheick, K. (1994) Electrophoretic Patterns of Acid Soluble Proteins and Active Isoforms of Peroxidase and Polyphenoloxidase Typifying Calli and Somatic Embryos of Two Reputed Date Palm Cultivar in Morocco. *Euphytica*, **76**, 159-168. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00022160
- [33] Van K.A. and Broumer, D. (1964) Increase of Polyphenoloxidase Activity by a Local Virus Infection in Inoculated Parts of Leaves. *Virology*, 22, 9-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(64)90042-X</u>
- [34] Otusanya, O.O., Sokam-Adeaga, A.A. and Ilori, O.J. (2014) Allelopathic Effect of the Root Exudates of *Tithonia diversifolia* on the Germination, Growth and Chlorophyll Accumulation of *Amaranthus dubius* L. and *Solanum melongena* L. *Research Journal of Botany*, 9, 13-23. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/rjb.2014.13.23</u>
- [35] Ilori, O.J., Otusanya, O.O. and Adelusi, A.A. (2007) Phytotoxic Effects of *Tithonia diversifolia* on Germination and Growth of *Oryza sativa. Research Journal of Botany*, 2, 23-32. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/rjb.2007.23.32</u>
- [36] Pavithra, G., Anusha, M. and Rajylakshmi, M. (2012) Effetct of *Thevetia peruviana* Extracts on *In-Vitro* and *In-Vivo* Culture of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Journal* of Crop Science, 3, 83-86.
- [37] Lawan, S., Suleiman, M. and Yahaya, S. (2011) Inhibition of Germination and Growth Behavior of Some Cowpea Varieties Using Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) Leaf Water Extracts. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 4, 169-172. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v4i2.34</u>
- [38] El-Amier Y.A. and Abdullah, T.J. (2014) Allelopathic Effect of Four Wild Species on Germination and Seedling Growth of *Echinocloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 2, 287-294.
- [39] Varier, A., Vari, A.K. and Dadlani, M. (2010) The Subcellular Basis of Seed Priming. *Current Science*, 99, 450-456.
- [40] Ahmed, Z., Sheikh, M.A., Hameed, A. and Salah, U.D. (2012) Investigation of Antioxidant Enzymes and Biochemical Changes in the Wheat Seed (Freed) Induced by Different Pre-Sowing Treatments. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 18, 31-36.
- [41] De Castro, R.D., Lammeren, A.M., Groot, P.C., Bino, J.R. and Hilhorst W.M. (2000) Cell Division and Subsequent Radicle Protrusion in Tomato Seeds Are Inhibited by Osmotic Stress but DNA Synthesis and Formation of Microtubular Cytoskeleton Are Not. *Plant Physiol*ogy, **122**, 327-336. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.122.2.327</u>
- [42] Boucelha, L. and Djebbar, R. (2015) Influence de différents traitements de pré-germination des graines de *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. sur les performances germinatives et la tolérance au stress hydrique. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society* and Environnement, 19, 160-172.
- [43] Musyimi, D.M., Okelo, L.O., Okelo, V.S. and Sikuku, P. (2015) Allelopathic Potential of Mexican Sunflower [*Tithonia diversifolia* (hemsl) a. Gray] on Germination and Growth of Cowpea Seedlings (*Vigna sinensis* L.). *Scientia Agriculturae*, 12, 149-155.
- [44] Taiwo, L.B. and Makinde, J.O. (2005) Influence of Water Extract of Mexican Sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia*) on Growth of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 4, 355-360.
- [45] Oyerinde, R.O., Otusanya, O.O. and Akpor, O.B. (2009) Allelopathic Effect of *Ti-thonia diversifolia* on the Germination, Growth and Chlorophyll Contents of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Scientific Research and Essay*, **4**, 1553-1558.

- [46] Pasqualone, A., Delvecchio, L.N., Mangini, G., Taranto, F. and Blanco, A. (2014) Variability of Total Soluble Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Activity in a Collection of Tetraploid Wheat. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 23, 307-316. <u>https://doi.org/10.23986/afsci.47985</u>
- [47] Raymond, J., Rakariyatham, N. and Azanza, J.L. (1993) Purification and Some Properties of Polyphenoloxidase from Sunflower Seeds. *Phytochemistry*, **34**, 927-931. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)90689-7</u>
- [48] Clerivet, A., Alami, I., Breton, F., Garcia, D. and Sanier, C. (1996) Les composés phénoliques et la résistance des plantes aux agents pathogènes. *Acta Botanica Gallica*, 143, 531-538. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.1996.10515350</u>
- [49] Li, L. and Steffens, J.C. (2002) Over Expression of Polyphenol Oxidase in Transgenic Tomato Plants Results in Enhanced Bacterial Disease Resistance. *Planta*, 215, 239-247. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-002-0750-4</u>
- [50] Wang, J. and Constabel, C.P. (2004) Polyphenol Oxidase Overexpression in Transgenic *Populus* Enhances Resistance to Herbivory by Forest Tent Caterpillar (*Mala-cosoma disstria*). *Planta*, **220**, 87-96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-004-1327-1</u>