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Abstract

The uropathogenic *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* is reported severally to be resistant to the drugs often used empirically for treatment of urinary tract infections (UTIs). Their ability to exhibit resistance to multiple drugs is a great deal of threat to successes recorded in the management of UTIs caused by this pathogen. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have been demonstrated to exhibit antimicrobial activities but studies about their prospect against multi-drug resistant *S. saprophyticus* are quite few. This study therefore investigated activities of LAB against the multi-drug resistant *S. saprophyticus* recovered from urine samples of symptomatic women. The three different species of LAB (*Lactobacillus fermentum* BTA 62, *Lactobacillus johnsonii* BTA 86 and *Weissella confusa* BTA 40) previously isolated and identified by 16S rRNA sequencing were selected based on their history of antimicrobial activities. Their metabolites were employed in the antagonistic assays against six (6) multi-drug resistant test pathogens recovered from urine samples of symptomatic, non-pregnant women attending clinics in Lagos, Nigeria and the control (*S. saprophyticus* subs bovis strain DSM 18669) following standard procedures. The pathogens showed resistances to almost all the antibiotics except levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, imipenem while the control showed resistance to three. The LAB, *L. fermentum* inhibited five (83.3%) of the pathogens with zone diameter of 12 - 17 mm, followed by *W. confusa* inhibiting three (50%) with 15 - 17 mm. *Lactobacillus johnsonii* on the other hand, inhibited a pathogen...
and the control with zones of 13 mm and 14 mm respectively. In conclusion, the extracted metabolites of LAB inhibited the growth of multi-drug resistant clinical isolates of uropathogenic *S. saprophyticus* and may therefore be potent alternatives to antibiotics.
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### 1. Introduction

The incidence of bacterial urinary tract infection (UTI) in mostly young women, old men and rarely in children is caused by *Staphylococci* such as *S. aureus*, *S. saprophyticus*, and *S. epidermidis* [1] [2] [3]. Although, apart from uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) as the most common cause of UTIs, bacterial organisms such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococci* spp are also implicated and thus suggests the poly-microbial nature of the infections [4] [5].

Apart from the pathogenicity of these bacteria, their resistance to antibiotics in the treatment of UTIs is demonstrated and these have given rise to recurrent episodes of the infections in 30% of young healthy women during their lifetime [6] [7] [8]. *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* is reputed to be second most common cause of community acquired UTIs and over 40% of all young, sexually active women have the bacterium as part of their normal genitourinary flora [9] [10] [11].

In particular, multiple drug resistance in *Staphylococci* which is a major and growing problem can either be hospital or community acquired while the resistance of *S. saprophyticus* to the antibiotics used commonly in the empirical treatment of uncomplicated lower UTIs in young women complicates the treatment [12].

The persistent resistance of bacterial pathogens to most of the available antibiotics has necessitated a need for harmless alternatives; therefore various approaches have been ongoing to arrest this problem. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) with their Generally Regarded As Safe (GRAS) status have been demonstrated to exhibit antimicrobial abilities against pathogens especially the multi-drug resistant [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]. In particular, there are quite scanty data on the antagonistic abilities of LAB against multi-drug resistant uropathogenic *S. saprophyticus* despite the importance of this organism in community acquired UTIs and also its colonization in many young sexually active women. Most of the studies available reported activities against *E. coli*, *Candida albicans*, *K. pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *E. faecalis* [18] [19] [20] [21] which were not really multi-drug resistant.

The main thrust of this work therefore is to evaluate the *in vitro* antimicrobial potentials of locally isolated LAB against multi drug resistant uropathogenic *S. saprophyticus*. 
2. Methods

Participants and ethical consideration: The consenting non pregnant women, within age range 20 - 50 years, symptomatic for UTIs were recruited and had their urine submitted for the study. The ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Nigerian Institute of Medical Research (NIMR), Yaba-Lagos, Health Research and Ethics Committee (ADM/DCST/HREC/879) of the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Idi-Araba, Lagos, and Health Research Ethics Committee (GBGH/705/100) of Gbagada General Hospital, Lagos, all in Nigeria.

Isolation and identification of uropathogenic *S. saprophyticus*. The urine sample was transported to the study laboratory and inoculated immediately alongside positive control *S. saprophyticus* subs bovis strain DSM 18669 on brain heart infusion (BHI) agar following standard microbiological/aseptic procedures. The incubation was done in air atmosphere at 37˚C for 48 h after which biochemical identification was performed according to standard guidelines. All Gram positive, coagulase negative coccal colonies that were resistant to 5 µg novobiocindisc were stored in 20% glycerol-BHI at −80˚C until readiness for molecular identification.

The stored isolates were subcultured on BHI to confirm purity and their genomic DNA extracted using Zymo kits (Zymo Research, USA). The extracts were quantitated using qubitfluorometer and purity confirmed by Nanodrop spectrophotometric (Thermo Scientific) methods.

These were used as templates (1 µl each) in PCR which was carried out using commercially sourced, 4 µl 5× Firepol ready to load master mix (Solis BioDyne, Estonia) and 0.4 µl each of 16S rRNA primer pair targeting V3-V4 region(27F: 5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3’; 1492R: 5’-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3’). The reaction was made up to 20 µl with DNAse free water.

The thermal cycling parameters included initial denaturation at 95˚C for 3 minutes followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95˚C for 30 seconds, annealing at 57˚C for 40 seconds and extension at 72˚C for 2 minutes. The final extension took place at 72˚C for 10 minutes before holding at 4˚C until convenient time to remove the reaction tubes. The PCR products were resolved in 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis at 100 V for 1 h alongside 100 bp DNA ladder. All amplified products were sent out for sequencing.

The sequence data were subjected to Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) algorithm in GenBank of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and the best hit with at least 99% similarity was taken.

Antibiotics susceptibility assay for *S. saprophyticus*. The susceptibility assay of the pathogen to twelve (12) antibiotics was performed following the standard guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Briefly, 0.5 McFarland standard suspension of the pathogen (10⁶ CFU/ml) and control (*S. saprophyticus* Subs bovis strain DSM 18669) was used to make lawn (using sterile swab stick) on a dried Mueller Hinton agar (MHA). The antibiotic discs were dispensed at equidistance to one another on the surface of inoculated MHA.
and incubated as per conditions for the bacterium. After incubation, the inhibition zone was measured in millimeter (mm) and susceptibility adjudged according interpretive table.

**Sources and activation of LAB:** The LAB (3) used in this study were previously isolated from salad vegetables, identified with 16S rRNA partial sequencing, submitted to the GenBank of NCBI and accessioned (MF 580045, MF 580058, MF 580073). They are stored at −80˚C at the culture bank of the Human virology and Genomics unit, NIMR.

The LAB were subcultured on de Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) agar, incubated in 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37˚C for 48 h. All catalase, oxidase negative, Gram positive colonies were used for further assay.

**LAB vs S. saprophyticus:** This was done by agar well diffusion of cell free supernatant (CFS) from the LAB 24 h MRS broth culture prepared after centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 minutes. One hundred (100) µl of filtered (0.2 µm Millipore) supernatant was pipetted into 8 mm well bored on MHA previously swabbed with 0.5 McFarland standard (10⁸ CFU/ml) of the pathogen and control, allowed to diffuse and incubated accordingly. After 24 h, the plate was checked and zone of inhibition measured in mm.

### 3. Results

Six (6) *S. saprophyticus* (SS1-SS6) isolated from urine samples of the women, and the control, identified with 16S rRNA sequencing and exhibiting varied degrees of multiple resistances to test antibiotics were employed in the antagonistic assay. The control (*S. saprophyticus* subs bovis strain DSM 18669) was resistant to three (3) antibiotics while others (SS1-SS6) were resistant to at least eight (8) (*Table 1*).

The LAB identified as *Weissella confusa* BTA 40, *Lactobacillus fermentum* BTA 62 and *Lactobacillus johnsonii* BTA 86 inhibited *S. saprophyticus* at varied degrees. The widest inhibition (17 mm) was seen against SS4, SS6 by *W. confusa* and *L. fermentum* while the narrowest (12 mm) was against SS1 by *L. fermentum*. The CFS of *L. johnsonii* inhibited only SS4 and the control strain DSM 18669 (*Table 2*).

**Table 1.** Antibiotics sensitivity and resistance patterns of *S. saprophyticus* isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>CAZ (30)</th>
<th>CRX (30)</th>
<th>GEN (10)</th>
<th>CTR (30)</th>
<th>ERY (15)</th>
<th>CXC (5)</th>
<th>OFL (5)</th>
<th>AUG (30)</th>
<th>LVS (5)</th>
<th>CPR (10)</th>
<th>RNF</th>
<th>NIP (10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS5</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: CAZ: Ceftazidine; CRX: Cefuroxime; GEN: Gentamicin; CTR: Ceftriaxone; ERY: Erythromycin; CXC: Cloxacillin; OFL: Ofloxacin; AUG: Augmentin; LVS: Levofloxacin; CPR: Ciprofloxacin; RNF: Cefdinir; NIP: imipenem.
Table 2. The zone of inhibition by LAB CFS against *S. saprophyticus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAB (accession number)</th>
<th>*S. saprophyticus/Zone of inhibition</th>
<th>SS1</th>
<th>SS2</th>
<th>SS3</th>
<th>SS4</th>
<th>SS5</th>
<th>SS6</th>
<th>DSM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>W. confusa</em> BTA 40 (MF 580045)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. fermentum</em> BTA 62 (MF 580058)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. johnsonii</em> BTA 86 (MF 580073)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: - No zone of inhibition.

4. Discussion

The current global problem of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has called for spirited research into new drug in order to consolidate the successes of antibiotic era, otherwise, we will be sliding back into pre-antibiotics era. The new drug or chemotherapeutic agents should be such that will not portend side effects such as antibiotics associated diarrhoea (AAD), overgrowth of *Clostridium difficile* which occur as a result of overuse of antibiotics.

Lactic acid bacteria are generally regarded as safe and have proven antimicrobial activities against pathogenic microorganisms. The three selected LAB in this study have been investigated for activities against selected gastrointestinal pathogens such as outbreak strains of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 and Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (unpublished). The evaluation of their organic acids metabolites which exhibited potentials to inhibit the growth of *Salmonella enterica* Ser Typhi and vulvo-vaginal pathogenic *Candida albicans* has previously been demonstrated [22] [23].

The resistance profile of the test bacterium, *S. saprophyticus* in this study showed multiple resistance to most of the antibiotics used (Table 1), except for the quinolones, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and the imipenem, which showed promising in vitro inhibition. This is in contrast to the reports by [24] which demonstrated resistances of coagulase negative *Staphylococci* to ciprofloxacin and even the 3rd generation cephalosporin, cefotaxime. The sensitivity of all the test pathogens in this work to imipenem followed the same pattern and this is in tandem with different reports. In our study, we recorded >83% resistance of the pathogens tocefdinir.

Their resistance to this 3rd generation cephalosporin was in particular, not surprising as [25] in an open label, randomized, controlled, non-inferiority clinical trial, demonstrated better activity of the drug against uropathogenic *E. coli* implicated in acute pyelonephritis and complicated UTI only when used in combination with other cephalosporins. It is noteworthy that the control bacterium used in this study, *S. saprophyticus* subs bovis strain DSM 18669 was on the other hand, sensitive to almost all the antibiotics except ceftazidime, cloxacillin to which all the test pathogens were also resistant. It can therefore be deduced that resistance of *S. saprophyticus* to these antibiotics is intrinsic and that presence of extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) may be common to this bacte-
rium. On the other hand however, their 100% resistance to Augmentin will undermine their possession of ESBL because of beta lactamase inhibitor-clavulanic acid contained in Augmentin.

This is subject to further investigation as there seems to be paucity of research data on presence or otherwise of ESBL in *S. saprophyticus*.

Among the three LAB used in the antagonistic assay, *L. fermentum* BTA 62 showed the best spectrum of inhibition against the test pathogen (Table 2), although could not inhibit control strain, while *W. confusa* BTA 40 only inhibited 3 of the pathogens.

These 2 LAB isolated from locally grown cucumber did not show any *in vitro* inhibitory activities against the control strain but *L. johnsonii* BTA 86 did. This study corroborates the report of Bhola and Bhadekar 2019 about the potentials of LAB (*Lactobacilli* spp.) to inhibit multi-drug resistant uropathogens including *Staphylococci* while [26] [27] also reported probiotic LAB showing reduction in pediatric UTIs.

In our study, we could not determine the particular LAB metabolites within organic acids, bacteriocin, hydrogen peroxide et cetera responsible for inhibition of the test pathogens and also the antagonistic assay was done *in vitro* which may not be extrapolated for real time cellular assay.

**5. Conclusion**

The extracted metabolites of lactic acid bacteria isolated from salad vegetables exhibited *in vitro* inhibitory activities against multi drug resistant clinical isolates of uropathogenic *S. saprophyticus*.
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