Open Underlay Mesh Reinforced Large Incisional Hernia Repair—Prospective Observational Hospital Based Study
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Abstract

Introduction: Incisional hernias frequently complicate abdominal surgeries with a varied incidence as reported to be 2% - 20%. The risk factors of development of incisional hernias include immunocompromised state, diabetes mellitus, smoking, obesity, wound infection at the index surgery, emergency surgery. Materials and Methods: The study design was prospective and included 62 patients with incisional hernias. The patients were evaluated preoperatively on OPD basis with history, clinical examination, baseline investigation, ultrasound abdomen and computed tomogram. Results: The mean age was 48.9 years with male:female ratio of 1:1.4. Mean BMI was 30.1 kg/m². Out of 62 patients in the study 61.2% had concomitant hypertension and were on treatment for the same. 30.6% were clinically hypothyroid, 38.7% were diabetic and 54.8% were smokers. There were multiple factors present in patients in the current study which were observed to be possible to have risked the patients to incisional hernias after an abdominal surgery. 21 patients had defect size ranging from 5 to 10 cm and 41 patients were bearing a hernia of the defect size of 10 cm or more. The mean operative time was 221.7 minutes. Conclusion: Incisional hernias are a part of surgical practice that would probably glue to it to the end of time. A progression from primitive suture repair with recurrence rates of over 65% to modern day mesh reinforced repairs with recurrences aimed at 0% is always welcome. But still then the placement of mesh in different positions or layers of abdominal wall yields different results.
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1. Introduction

Incisional hernias frequently complicate abdominal surgeries with a varied incidence as reported to be 2% - 20% [1] [2] [3] [4] [5]. The risk factors of development of incisional hernias include immunocompromised state, diabetes mellitus, smoking, obesity, wound infection at the index surgery, emergency surgery [6]. With added morbidity and cost it becomes imperative to salvage the population by having an efficient method to repair such hernias. Repair by primary suturing has recurrences varying from 12% to 54%. Mesh hernioplasties however have proven to be game changer with a reported recurrence of 2% to 35% with variations in the methods the mesh repair is done [7]-[13]. In addition to recurrences, bowel obstruction, enterocutaneous fistulae, pain and disappointing cosmesis seem to be a huge burden.

The largest defect could well be 10 cm or more [14]. Mesh reinforced techniques have fared well in the management of large incisional hernias. Of all these techniques incorporating mesh into repairs including component separation technique, onlay, sublay with or without peritoneal sandwich technique, it is the sublay technique of mesh reinforcement which displayed best results with recurrence of 3.5% to 6.3% [15]. Permanent synthetic meshes have been used in clean wounds with low infectious complications and excellent recurrence rates [16] [17]. These are nonabsorbable meshes made of polypropylene (PP), expanded polytetrafluoroethylene, polyester, lightweight PP, or a combination of these materials used to obtain a “tension-free” closure in incisional hernias [18].

This study was taken up to determine sublay or retromuscular mesh reinforcement as an acceptable technique to repair incisional hernias.

Aims and objectives:
1) To study the Postoperative wound events
2) Recurrence
3) Operative time
4) Hospital stay
5) Mortality

Inclusion criteria:
Large incisional hernias with defect size more than 5 cm

Exclusion criteria:
1) Incisional hernias with defect size less than 5 cm
2) Patient with known collagen diseases
3) BMI > 40
4) Patients on lifelong steroids, HIV
5) COPD with extensive uncontrolled disease
6) Hepatic cirrhosis
7) Renal failure on hemodialysis

2. Materials and Methods

The study was done in the post graduate department of general surgery and al-
lied in SMHS hospital, an associated hospital of Government Medical College, Srinagar, J&K. The study period spanned the time interval between November 2014 to November 2018. The study design was prospective and included 62 patients with incisional hernias. The patients were evaluated preoperatively on OPD basis with history, clinical examination, baseline investigation, Ultrasound abdomen and computed tomogram. History of patients included nature of co-morbidity, nature of index surgery, wound events at the index surgery and symptomatology. Clinical examination would determine the site, size of defect, contents. CT scan was indispensable to characterise the defect, classify and determine loss of domain. The patients were evaluated for nutritional embarrassments and attempts were made to rectify the same preoperatively like blood transfusion, serum albumin, BMI. Cessation of smoking for at least 4 weeks before surgery and weight reduction before surgery was ensured. On the day of surgery, preoperatively the patients were prepared with local part preparation, single shot of tetanus toxoid and 3rd generation cephalosporin. Skin incision was made around previous scar with its excision. Abdominal wall layers were dissected with sharp instruments, scalpel and metzenbaum scissors, with limited use of electrocautery. Space was created behind bilateral recti to allow placement of heavy polypropylene mesh with overlap of fascioaponeurotic edges by at least 5 cm at each place. Retromuscular, sublay preperitoneal mesh hernioplasty without aponeuroplasty with a heavy weight polypropylene mesh was done. The mesh was fixed in place with non-absorbable polypropylene sutures at least 24 in number at places which could possibly avoid wrinkling of mesh and overlap the fascial edges by 5 cms at least in all directions, as shown in Figure 1. A couple of suction drains were kept under skin flaps to counter seroma formation. Age, sex, defect size, defect location, operative time, post-operative wound events like seroma formation, wound infection, abscess formation, early recurrence were noted down. The results were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis.

Figure 1. Intra-operative picture of mesh placement.
3. Results

3.1. Age

The mean age was 48.9 years, SD 17.52. The patients were grouped on the basis of age into 3 groups. Patients within age 30 - 60 years predominated the study with higher incidence of incision hernias (Table 1, Figure 2).

3.2. Sex Distribution

Males patients exceeded in number than female counterparts in presenting incisional hernias with a male female ratio of 1:1.4 (Table 2, Figure 3).

Table 1. Showing age distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 years</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 60 years</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Showing sex distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Showing age distribution.

Figure 3. Showing sex distribution.
3.3. Body Mass Index

Body mass index of the patients in our study varied and thus the patients were grouped into mild, moderate and severe obesity depending upon the variable and a group with normal BMI. About 70% of the patients had obesity of varying grades. Mean BMI was 30.1 kg/m². With P value of 0.27 which is statistically insignificant. As shown in Table 3, Figure 4.

3.4. Comorbidity

The patients presenting with incisional hernias were found to have medical comorbidities viz. Diabetes Mellitus, hypothyroidism, hypertension etc. Out of 62 patients in the study 61.2% had concomitant hypertension and were on treatment for the same. 30.6% were clinically hypothyroid, 38.7% were diabetic and 54.8% were smokers. With P value of 0.06 which is statistically insignificant. There was an overlap of comorbidities with more than one present in each patient (Table 4, Figure 5).

3.5. Risk Factors

Incisional hernia occurs in patients with a previous surgery in abdomen which together with faulty technique is fostered by a considerable risk factor in the individual. There were multiple factors present in patients in the current study which were observed to be possible to have risked the patients to incisional hernias after an abdominal surgery. These are enumerated in Table 4. Obesity (69.3%), wound dehiscence (54.3%) at the index surgery, smoking (54.3%) were the ones which predominated the list. With a P value of 0.22 which is statistically insignificant. As shown in Table 5 and Figure 6.

**Table 3.** Showing body mass index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Showing comorbidities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidity</th>
<th>Number of patients (M)</th>
<th>Number of Patients (F)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5. Showing risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cough</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid Intake</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen Disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiv</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Figure 4. Showing body mass index.

#### Figure 5. Showing comorbidities.

### 3.6. Hernia Defect, Site and Size

The patients in the study presented with a variety of the symptoms attributed to the varied defect size, site and hernia content. We managed to group the patients into two, one with defect size between 5 to 10 cm and another with defect size greater than 10 cm termed large/giant hernias. 21 patients had defect size ranging between 5 to 10 cm and 41 patients were bearing a hernia of the defect size of 10 cm or more. The hernia position was described as being upper midline, lower midline or lateral hernias (Table 6).
Table 6. Showing hernia characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defect</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Upper Midline</th>
<th>Lower Midline</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 - 10 cm</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 cm</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.7. Post-Operative Wound Events

Postoperative wound complications in the treatment of incisional hernias are attributed to large fascial dissection and dead space formation. The commonest observed in our study are enlisted in Table 7 and Figure 7. With P value of 0.36 which is statistically insignificant. Post-operative seroma formation is the most predominant wound event/complication, shown as Figure 8.

3.8. Operative Time

The mean operative time taken to complete the surgery from incision to skin closure through dissection of layers of abdomen and space behind muscle, placement of mesh, its fixation, placement of a vacuum drain was 221.7 minutes. Operative time tends to be shorter in defects of size 5 - 10 cm with operative time of 164.8 minutes.

Duration after drain was removed: mean duration after which the vacuum drains were removed was 10 days.

Hospital stay: mean hospital stay was 8 days.

3.9. Recurrence

Incisional hernia recurred in 1 patient within 3 months of postoperative period and 2 patients developed a late recurrence after 1 and half year, a total of 4.8%. All the three patients had wound infection in postoperative period with one of them developing complete dehiscence and early recurrence consequently. 2 patients out of 3 with recurrence were obese with a mean BMI of over 34.
Table 7. Showing post-operative complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wound Event</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seroma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal obstruction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entero-cutaneous fistula</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap Necrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Showing post-operative complications.

Figure 8. Showing post-operative complication as flap necrosis.

4. Discussion

Each incision made on the abdominal wall predisposes the individual to a second operation for repair of incisional hernia. Incisional hernias are caused due to variety of factors including a few from surgical technique adopted and
Incisional hernia repair warrants exhaustive dissection into the planes of anterior abdominal wall which lead to several wound events and complications uncalled for and unwanted. In this study we had seromas in wounds 27.5%, hematoma formation 21% and wound infection 21% commonly encountered. One patient in the study group developed necrosis of skin flaps overlying the mesh in central part. The same patient was managed by debridement and split skin grafting. These were taken care well due in time to halt their progression (Table 7 and Figure 7). The findings were similar to the results of the study by Michael J. Rosen et al. [20] and fared better than those published by Ferdinand Kockerling et al. [22], Nasajpour H. & Leblanc et al. [23] and William S. Cobb et al. [19]
who reported an incidence of wound events in postoperative period equal to over 37% to 64%, seromas which required aspiration or drainage in patients over 33%, wound infections in 39%. Our study recorded a total of 1.6% enterocutaneous fistula in the study group, 1.6% bowel obstruction, the results are better than those reported by Leber et al. [24] with enterocutaneous fistulas 3.5% and mesh to skin fistulas 5.9%, small bowel obstruction 5.4%. Seromas, hematomas and infections in our study were managed conservatively.

The mean operative time recorded from incision to skin closure through dissection of layers of abdomen and space behind muscle, placement of mesh, its fixation and placement of a vacuum drain was 221.7 minutes. Operative time tends to be shorter in defects of size 5 - 10 cm with operative time of 164.8 minutes due to lesser adhesiolysis and dissection. The results almost overlapped with findings from the study done by Michael J. Rosen et al. [20] who reported a mean operating time of 244 minutes in open incisional hernia repair. The mean hospital stay was similar 8 days Vs 7 days, mean time to removal of drain were also alike 10 days vs 12 days. The mean operative time however was less, 164 minutes as reported by Stefano Olmi et al. [25] which could be because less number of anchoring sutures utilised to hold the mesh in position 6 vs 24. Mean hospital stay and mean time to removal of drain were same.

There was no mortality in our study, overlapping the results by Michael J. Rosen et al. [20].

An important aspect of a technique to repair incisional hernias is the recurrence of hernia that follows. We recorded a hernia recurrence of 4.8% (n = 3), in which 1 patient had a very early recurrence within 3 months and 2 patients presented with a recurrence of the hernia after 18 months. The results were independent and overlapped with studies by Ferdinand Kockerling et al. [22] and Stefano Olmi et al. [25] who reported a recurrence 0% - 13% in their published data. However our results tend to differ from the ones published by William S. Cobb et al. [19] Michael J. Rosen et al. [20], Jacobas W. A. Burger et al. [26] with reported recurrence of 16.9%, 17%, 32% respectively. The only reason which could explain the staggering difference is the follow up which is less in our study i.e. 2 years than theirs, which is not mentioned in one, 2 years and reported as 10 years respectively.

5. Conclusion

Incisional hernias are a part of surgical practice that would probably glue to it to the end of time. A progression from primitive suture repair with recurrence rates of over 65% to modern day mesh reinforced repairs with recurrences aimed at 0% is always welcome. But still then the placement of mesh in different positions or layers of abdominal wall yields different results. Our study aimed at determining the sublay retromuscular placement of mesh as an acceptable technique with minimal morbidity and maximum benefit in terms of recurrence and post-operative wound complications. The results were favourable with accept-
able grades of post-operative complications/events and recurrence rates, hence recommended. The future lies in unearthing knowledge of tensile strength and dynamics of distensibility of the abdominal wall which may enable manufacturing prosthesis to be more compatible in hernia repairs than those contemporarily available and of course, evolution of surgical skill is no less important.
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