

# A Class of Estimators for Population Ratio in Simple Random Sampling Using Variable Transformation

A. C. Onyeka, V. U. Nlebedim, C. H. Izunobi

Department of Statistics, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria  
Email: [aloyonyeka@yahoo.com](mailto:aloyonyeka@yahoo.com), [uchenlebedim@yahoo.com](mailto:uchenlebedim@yahoo.com), [chiyeaka2007@yahoo.com](mailto:chiyeaka2007@yahoo.com)

Received 25 April 2014; revised 19 May 2014; accepted 8 June 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.  
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

This paper is an extension and generalization of the study carried out by [1] on the estimation of the population ratio ( $R$ ) of the population means of two variables ( $y$  and  $x$ ) under Simple Random Sampling (SRS) scheme, using a variable transformation of the auxiliary variable,  $x$ . All the six estimators proposed by [1] are easily identified as special cases of the proposed class of estimators. Asymptotic properties of the proposed class of estimators are derived theoretically and subsequently verified using empirical illustrations. Some of the proposed estimators are found to have relatively large gains in efficiency over the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R} = \bar{y} / \bar{x}$  for the given data set.

## Keywords

Variable Transformation, Ratio, Product and Regression-Type Estimators, Mean Squared Error

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $n$  units be drawn from a population of  $N$  units using simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) method, and let  $y_i(x_i)$  be  $i$ th observations on the study (auxiliary) variable. Reference [2] considered the following variable transformation of the auxiliary variable,  $x$ , under the simple random sampling scheme.

$$x_i^* = \frac{N\bar{X} - nx_i}{N - n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

Other authors who have used the transformation (1) under the simple random sampling scheme include [3]-[5],

while [6] employed the transformation for mean estimation under the post-stratified sampling scheme. An expression of the (transformed) sample mean associated with the variable transformation in (1) is given as:

$$\bar{x}^* = (1 + \pi)\bar{X} - \pi\bar{x}, \quad \pi = \frac{n}{N - n} \tag{2}$$

where  $\bar{x}(\bar{X})$  is the sample (population) mean of the auxiliary variable,  $x$ .

Using the variable transformation (1), the following six estimators for the estimation of the population ratio,  $R$ , were proposed by [1] in simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) scheme:

$$\hat{R}_1 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x} - b(\bar{x}^* - \bar{X})} \text{ (regression-type estimator based on } \bar{x} \text{)} \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{R}_2 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^*}\bar{X}\right)} = \frac{\bar{y}\bar{x}^*}{\bar{x}\bar{X}} \text{ (ratio-type estimator based } \bar{x} \text{)} \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{R}_3 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\left(\frac{\bar{x}\bar{x}^*}{\bar{X}}\right)} = \frac{\bar{y}\bar{X}}{\bar{x}\bar{x}^*} \text{ (product-type estimator based on } \bar{x} \text{ or } \bar{x}^* \text{)} \tag{5}$$

$$\hat{R}_4 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}^*} \text{ (simple ratio estimator based on } \bar{x}^* \text{)} \tag{6}$$

$$\hat{R}_5 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}^* - b(\bar{x} - \bar{X})} \text{ (regression-type estimator based on } \bar{x}^* \text{)} \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{R}_6 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\left(\frac{\bar{x}^*}{\bar{x}}\bar{X}\right)} = \frac{\bar{y}\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^*\bar{X}} \text{ (ratio-type estimator based on } \bar{x}^* \text{)} \tag{8}$$

where  $\bar{y}$  is the sample mean of the study variable,  $y$ , and  $b$  is a suitable constant, often chosen to be close to the population regression coefficient of  $y$  on  $x$ . In the present study, we introduce a class of estimators, which is both a generalization and an extension of the estimators (3) to (8), proposed by [1]. We observe that apart from the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x}$ , other estimators of the population ratio,  $R$ , in literature, like those proposed by [7]-[9], often require additional information on some auxiliary variables, and consequently involving extra funds. Reference [1] argued that such extra costs could be avoided by using variable transformation of the already observed auxiliary variable,  $x$ . Accordingly, cost reduction in constructing estimators of the population ratio,  $R$ , is a strong motivation for the general class of estimators, which the present study seeks to introduce in the estimation of population ratio,  $R$ , using variable transformation.

## 2. The Proposed Class of Estimators

Following [1], we propose a class of estimators of the population ratio ( $R$ ) of the population means of two variables ( $y$  and  $x$ ) under simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) scheme, using variable transformation of the auxiliary variable,  $x$ , as:

$$\hat{R}_k = \bar{y}/t_k \tag{9}$$

where

$$t_k = t \left[ \bar{x} - b(\bar{x}^* - \bar{X}) \right] \left( \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}^*} \right)^\alpha + (1-t) \left[ \bar{x}^* - b(\bar{x} - \bar{X}) \right] \left( \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}} \right)^\alpha \tag{10}$$

and  $t, b, \alpha$  are suitably chosen constants, whose appropriate choices would eventually result in a wide range of estimators of the population ratio,  $R$ . **Table 1** shows some particular cases of the proposed class of estimators,

**Table 1.** Special cases of the proposed class of estimators,  $\hat{R}_k$ .

<b>Proposed Estimators of R</b>	<i>t</i>	<i>b</i>	$\alpha$
$\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x}$ (the customary ratio estimator based on $\bar{x}$ )	1	0	0
$\hat{R}_1 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x} - b(\bar{x}^* - \bar{X})}$ (regression-type estimator based on $\bar{x}$ )	1	<i>b</i>	0
$\hat{R}_2 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^*} \bar{X}\right)}$ (ratio-type estimator based on $\bar{x}$ )	1	0	1
$\hat{R}_3 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\left(\frac{\bar{x} \bar{x}^*}{\bar{X}}\right)}$ (product-type estimator based on $\bar{x}$ or $\bar{x}^*$ )	1	0	-1
$\hat{R}_4 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}^*}$ (simple ratio estimator based on $\bar{x}^*$ )	0	0	0
$\hat{R}_5 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}^* - b(\bar{x} - \bar{X})}$ (regression-type estimator based on $\bar{x}^*$ )	0	<i>b</i>	0
$\hat{R}_6 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\left(\frac{\bar{x}^*}{\bar{x}} \bar{X}\right)}$ (ratio-type estimator based on $\bar{x}^*$ )	0	0	1
$\hat{R}_7 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{4}[\bar{x} - \bar{x}^* + \bar{X}] + \frac{3}{4}[\bar{x}^* - \bar{x} + \bar{X}]}$ (regression-type estimator based on both $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}^*$ )	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	0
$\hat{R}_8 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^*} \bar{X}\right) + \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\bar{x}^*}{\bar{x}} \bar{X}\right)}$ (ratio-type estimator based on both $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}^*$ )	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1
$\hat{R}_9 = \frac{\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{4}[\bar{x} - \bar{x}^* + \bar{X}]\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}^*}\right) + \frac{3}{4}[\bar{x}^* - \bar{x} + \bar{X}]\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}}\right)}$ (regression-cum-ratio type estimator based on both $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}^*$ )	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	1
$\hat{R}_{10} = \frac{\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{4}[\bar{x} - \bar{x}^* + \bar{X}]\left(\frac{\bar{x}^*}{\bar{X}}\right) + \frac{3}{4}[\bar{x}^* - \bar{x} + \bar{X}]\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{X}}\right)}$ (regression-cum-product type estimator based on both $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}^*$ )	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	-1

$\hat{R}_k = \bar{y}/t_k$ , including  $\hat{R}_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$  proposed by [1], and obtained by making appropriate choices of the constants, *t*, *b*, and  $\alpha$  in (10).

Here, the naming of the proposed class of estimators,  $\hat{R}_k$ , is based on (10) or the denominator,  $t_k$ , of the proposed ratio estimator,  $\hat{R}_k$ . The customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x}$  is a ratio of two sample means, namely the sample means of the study and auxiliary variables. The sample mean  $\bar{x}$  in the denominator is an estimate of the population mean,  $\bar{X}$ , of the auxiliary variable. With variable transformation, we replace the sample mean  $\bar{x}$  in the denominator of  $\hat{R}$  by the expression  $t_k$  in (10). The expression  $t_k$  actually generates a kind of different estimators of the population mean ( $\bar{X}$ ) based on 1) the sample mean  $\bar{x}$  of the auxiliary variate,  $x_i$ , or 2) the sample mean  $\bar{x}^*$  of the transformed auxiliary variable,  $x_i^*$ , or 3) a combination of both 1) and

2) above. For instance, choosing  $t = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  in (10) reduces  $t_k$  to  $\bar{x} - b(\bar{x}^* - \bar{X})$ , which is a kind of a regression-type estimator of  $\bar{X}$  based on the sample mean  $\bar{x}$  of the auxiliary variate,  $x_i$ , while choosing  $t = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$  in (10) reduces  $t_k$  to  $\bar{x}^* - b(\bar{x} - \bar{X})$ , which is a kind of a regression-type estimator of  $\bar{X}$  based on the sample mean  $\bar{x}^*$  of the transformed auxiliary variable,  $x_i^*$ . Similarly, all the six estimators proposed by [1] could be easily identified as special cases of the proposed class of estimators. However, the advantage of the proposed class of estimators over the estimators proposed by [1] is that the proposed class of estimators generates a wider range of estimators of  $\bar{X}$ , especially those based on both the sample mean,  $\bar{x}$  and the transformed sample mean,  $\bar{x}^*$ . For instance, choosing  $t = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\alpha = 0$  in (10) reduces  $t_k$  to

$\frac{1}{4}[\bar{x} - b(\bar{x}^* - \bar{X})] + \frac{3}{4}[\bar{x}^* - b(\bar{x} - \bar{X})]$ , which is a kind of a regression-type estimator of  $\bar{X}$  based on both the sample mean  $\bar{x}$  of the auxiliary variate,  $x_i$ , and the sample mean  $\bar{x}^*$  of the transformed auxiliary variable,  $x_i^*$ . Such combinations of estimators are not included among the estimators considered by [1]. Notice that here we assume that  $\bar{X}$  is already known and therefore needs not to be estimated. Hence the expression  $t_k$  is not estimating  $\bar{X}$  in the real sense of it. To obtain the properties of the proposed class of estimators,  $\hat{R}_k$ , we define the quantities:

$$e_0 = \frac{\bar{y} - \bar{Y}}{\bar{Y}} \text{ and } e_1 = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{X}}{\bar{X}}. \tag{11}$$

so that

$$E(e_0) = E(e_1) = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$E(e_0^2) = \frac{V(\bar{y})}{\bar{Y}^2} = \left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \frac{S_y^2}{\bar{Y}^2} \tag{13}$$

$$E(e_1^2) = \frac{V(\bar{x})}{\bar{X}^2} = \left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \frac{S_x^2}{\bar{X}^2} \tag{14}$$

and

$$E(e_0 e_1) = \frac{Cov(\bar{y}, \bar{x})}{\bar{Y}\bar{X}} = \left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \frac{S_{yx}}{\bar{Y}\bar{X}} \tag{15}$$

where  $S_y^2 (S_x^2)$  is the variance of  $y(x)$  and  $S_{yx}$  is the covariance of  $y$  and  $x$ .

Consequently, rewriting the proposed class of estimators in (9) in terms of  $e_0$  and  $e_1$ , and expanding up to first order approximations in expected values, we obtain:

$$(\hat{R}_k - R) = R[e_0 - \theta_k e_1 - \theta_k e_0 e_1 + \theta_k^* e_1^2] \tag{16}$$

and

$$(\hat{R}_k - R)^2 = R^2[e_0^2 + \theta_k^2 e_1^2 - 2\theta_k e_0 e_1] \tag{17}$$

so that if we take the expectations of (16) and (17), and use (12)-(15) to make the necessary substitutions, then the bias and mean squared error of the proposed class of estimators,  $\hat{R}_k$ , are obtained, up to first order approximation, respectively as:

$$B(\hat{R}_k) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) [\theta_k^* R S_x^2 - \theta_k S_{yx}] \tag{18}$$

and

$$MSE(\hat{R}_k) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) [S_y^2 + \theta_k^2 R^2 S_x^2 - 2\theta_k R S_{yx}] \tag{19}$$

where

$$\theta_k = t(1 + \alpha + \pi b) - (1-t)(\alpha\pi + b + \pi) - \alpha(1-\pi) \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k^* &= (\theta_k + \alpha - \alpha\pi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha-1)(1-\pi)^2 - \alpha\pi \\ &\quad - t\alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1) + 1 - \pi b + (1-\pi)(1 + \alpha + \pi b)\right] \\ &\quad - (1-t)\alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)\pi^2 + \pi b + \pi^2 - (1-\pi)\alpha(\pi + b + \pi)\right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Using (18) to (21), the biases and mean squared errors of the special cases of the proposed class of estimators given in **Table 1** are obtained as follows:

$$B(\hat{R}) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [RS_x^2 - S_{yx}] \quad (22)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_1) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) (1 + \pi b) [(1 + \pi b)RS_x^2 - S_{yx}] \quad (23)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_2) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) (1 + \pi) [RS_x^2 - S_{yx}] \quad (24)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_3) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [(1 - \pi + \pi^2)RS_x^2 - (1 - \pi)S_{yx}] \quad (25)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_4) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) \pi [\pi RS_x^2 + S_{yx}] \quad (26)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_5) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) (\pi + b) [(\pi + b)RS_x^2 + S_{yx}] \quad (27)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_6) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) (1 + \pi) [\pi RS_x^2 + S_{yx}] \quad (28)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_7) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) (1 + \pi) [(1 + \pi)RS_x^2 + 2S_{yx}] \quad (29)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_8) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) (1 + \pi) (RS_x^2 - S_{yx}) \quad (30)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_9) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{16} \right) [(1 + 2\pi - 7\pi^2)RS_x^2 + 4(5 + \pi)S_{yx}] \quad (31)$$

$$B(\hat{R}_{10}) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{16} \right) [(13 + 2\pi + 13\pi^2)RS_x^2 - 4(1 - 3\pi)S_{yx}] \quad (32)$$

and,

$$MSE(\hat{R}) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + R^2 S_x^2 - 2RS_{yx}] \quad (33)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_1) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + (1 + \pi b)^2 R^2 S_x^2 - 2(1 + \pi b)RS_{yx}] \quad (34)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_2) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + (1 + \pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 - 2(1 + \pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (35)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_3) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + (1 - \pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 - 2(1 - \pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (36)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_4) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + \pi^2 R^2 S_x^2 + 2\pi RS_{yx}] \quad (37)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_5) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + (\pi+b)^2 R^2 S_x^2 + 2(\pi+b)RS_{yx}] \quad (38)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_6) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + (1+\pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 + 2(1+\pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (39)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_7) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1+\pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 + (1+\pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (40)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_8) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1+\pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 + (1+\pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (41)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_9) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + \frac{1}{16}(5+\pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(5+\pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (42)$$

$$MSE(\hat{R}_{10}) = \frac{1}{\bar{X}^2} \left( \frac{1-f}{n} \right) [S_y^2 + \frac{1}{16}(1-3\pi)^2 R^2 S_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1-3\pi)RS_{yx}] \quad (43)$$

Notice that the estimators,  $\hat{R}_7$  and  $\hat{R}_8$  have equal mean squared errors.

### 3. Efficiency Comparison

The efficiency comparison here is carried out on the basis of the estimators with smaller mean squared errors. Generally, it holds that any particular estimator, say  $\hat{R}_j$ , in the proposed class of estimators,  $\hat{R}_k$ , would perform better than any given estimator,  $\hat{R}_k$ ,  $k=1,2,\dots$ ;  $j \neq k$ , in terms of having a smaller mean squared error if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \theta_j < \theta_k \text{ and } \frac{\beta}{R} < \theta_k \\ (2) \quad & \theta_j > \theta_k \text{ and } \frac{\beta}{R} > \theta_k \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (44)$$

where  $\beta = S_{yx}/S_x^2$  is the population regression coefficient of  $y$  on  $x$ ;  $\theta_j$  and  $\theta_k$  are obtained from (20).

If  $\theta_j = \theta_k$ , then the estimator  $\hat{R}_j$  would be as efficient as the estimator  $\hat{R}_k$ , since both estimators would have equal mean squared errors. Again, notice that  $\theta = 1$  for the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x}$ , which reduces (44) to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \theta_j < 1 \text{ and } \frac{\beta}{R} < 1 \\ (2) \quad & \theta_j > 1 \text{ and } \frac{\beta}{R} > 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (45)$$

Consequently, the expression (45) is the efficiency condition for any particular estimator, say  $\hat{R}_j$ , in the proposed class of estimators,  $\hat{R}_k$ , to perform better than the usual ratio estimator,  $\hat{R}$ , in terms of having a smaller mean squared error.

### 4. Numerical Illustration

Consider the data set given by [10], which can be summarized as follows:  $N = 20$ ,  $n = 8$ ,  $\bar{Y} = 19.55$ ,  $\bar{X} = 18.80$ ,  $S_y^2 = 48.2340$ ,  $S_x^2 = 54.9599$ ,  $S_{yx} = -47.3631$

**Table 2** shows 1) the percentage relative efficiency (PRE-1) of the proposed estimator,  $\hat{R}_7$ , over all other estimators given in **Table 1**, and 2) the percentage relative efficiencies (PRE-2) of all other estimators in **Table 1** over the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x}$ .

**Table 2.** Percentage relative efficiencies (pre) of proposed estimators.

$\hat{R}_x$	$\pi$	$b$	$\theta_k$	MSE	PRE-1	PRE-2
$\hat{R}_7$	0.66667		-0.83334	0.001558	100	2779
$\hat{R}_8$	0.66667		-0.83334	0.001558	100	2779
$\hat{R}_4$	0.66667		-0.66667	0.001885	121	2296
$\hat{R}_{10}$	0.66667		-0.25003	0.005737	368	775
$\hat{R}_9$	0.66667		-1.41667	0.005872	377	737
$\hat{R}_6$	0.66667		-1.66667	0.010322	662	419
$\hat{R}_5$	0.66667	-0.86178	0.19511	0.014640	940	296
$\hat{R}_3$	0.66667		0.33333	0.018411	1182	235
$\hat{R}_1$	0.66667	-0.86178	0.42548	0.021190	1360	204
$\hat{R}$	0.66667		1.00000	0.043296	2779	100
$\hat{R}_2$	0.66667		1.66667	0.079276	5088	55

The percentage relative efficiencies (PRE-1) of **Table 2** reveal that apart from the estimator,  $\hat{R}_8$ , the proposed regression-type estimator,  $\hat{R}_7$ , based on both the sample means,  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{x}^*$ , is more efficient than the other estimators in **Table 1**, including the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R}$ , in terms of having a smaller mean squared error. The table also shows that the estimator,  $\hat{R}_7$ , has very large gains in efficiency over majority of the other estimators. Again, the percentage relative efficiencies (PRE-2) indicate that many of the proposed estimators have very large gains in efficiency over the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R}$ . However, the estimator,  $\hat{R}$  is more efficient than the ratio-type estimator,  $\hat{R}_2$  based on the sample mean,  $\bar{x}$ . This means that estimators in the proposed class are not uniformly better than the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R}$ . Theoretically, the efficiency condition (45) must be satisfied for any particular estimator in the proposed class to be more efficient than the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R}$ .

### 5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we have both generalized and extended the study carried out by [1] on the estimation of the population ratio ( $R$ ) of the population means of two variables ( $y$  and  $x$ ) under simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) scheme, using variable transformation of the auxiliary variable,  $x$ . A class of estimators of the population ratio,  $R$ , has been proposed, and all the six estimators earlier proposed by [1] are easily identified as special cases of the proposed class of estimators. Properties of the proposed estimators, including their biases, mean squared errors, and efficiency conditions were obtained up to first order approximation. The theoretical results were numerically supported and illustrated, and consistency between the theoretical and empirical results was vividly observed. Furthermore, it was observed that for the given data set, majority of the proposed estimators was found to have relatively large gains in efficiency over the customary ratio estimator,  $\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x}$ . Finally, it was remarked that the application of variable transformation of the auxiliary variable,  $x$ , in the present study, has effectively and maximally resulted in the use of auxiliary information for ratio estimation, without extra and real-time cost, often associated with the use of additional auxiliary information in estimating population ratio. This, of course, is the general motivation and advantage of the proposed class of estimators over other estimators of population ratio ( $R$ ), under the simple random sampling scheme.

### References

- [1] Onyeka, A.C., Nlebedim, V.U. and Izunobi, C.H. (2013) Estimation of Population Ratio in Simple Random Sampling Using Variable Transformation. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*, **13**, 57-65.
- [2] Srivenkataramana, T. (1980) A Dual of Ratio Estimator in Sample Surveys. *Biometrika*, **67**, 199-204. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biomet/67.1.199>
- [3] Singh, H.P. and Tailor, R. (2005) Estimation of Finite Population Mean Using Known Correlation Coefficient between

Auxiliary Variables. *Statistica*, **65**, 407-418.

- [4] Tailor, R. and Sharma, B.K. (2009) A Modified Ratio-Cum-Product Estimator of Finite Population Mean Using Known Coefficient of Variation and Coefficient of Kurtosis. *Statistics in Transition—New Series*, **10**, 15-24.
- [5] Sharma, B. and Tailor, R. (2010) A New Ratio-Cum-Dual to Ratio Estimator of Finite Population Mean in Simple Random Sampling. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*, **10**, 27-31.
- [6] Onyeka, A.C. (2013) Dual to Ratio Estimators of Population Mean in Post-Stratified Sampling Using Known Value of Some Population Parameters. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*, **13**, 13-23.
- [7] Singh, M.P. (1965) On the Estimation of Ratio and Product of the Population Parameters. *Sankhya*, **B27**, 321-328.
- [8] Srivastava, S.R., Khare, B.B. and Srivastava, S.R. (1988) On Generalized Chain Estimator for Ratio and Product of Two Population Means Using Auxiliary Variables. *Assam Statistical Review*, **2**, 21-29.
- [9] Upadhyaya, L.N., Singh, G.N. and Singh, H.P. (2000) Use of Transformed Auxiliary Variable in the Estimation of Population Ratio in Sample Survey. *Statistics in Transition*, **4**, 1019-1027.
- [10] Pandey, B.N. and Dubey, V. (1988) Modified Product Estimator Using Coefficient of Variation of Auxiliary Variate. *Assam Statistical Review*, **2**, 64-66.



Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either [submit@scirp.org](mailto:submit@scirp.org) or [Online Submission Portal](#).

