Development of the Press-Through Package Recognizable with Abdominal X-Ray Image of Abdominal Soft Tissue Phantom
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Abstract

Background: Patients with dementia who accidentally swallowed a press-through package (PTP) have been increased in recent years. Swallowed PTP is usually detected with CT having a risk of radiation exposure since PTP is very difficult to detect with abdominal X-ray image. Purpose: This study is aimed at developing the new PTP sheet recognizable with abdominal X-ray by improving the conventional PTP sheet. Material and Methods: The PTP sheet samples used in this study are: No. 1 Control: Commercially available tablet 100 mg, No. 2 Triple-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO4 10.3 g/m2, No. 3 Aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO4 10.3 g/m2, No. 4 Five-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO4 No. 5 Aluminum foil, single-coated with WO3 2.55 g/m2, No. 6 Aluminum foil, double-coated with WO3 5.1 g/m2, No. 7 Aluminum foil, triple-coated with WO3 7.66 g/m2, No. 8 Three-stacked aluminum foil, triple-coated with WO3. PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) were placed inside the abdominal soft tissue phantom, and the images were obtained using FUJIFILM DR CALNEO PT. Region of interest (ROI) was placed on PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) and abdominal soft tissue liquid phantom, and each contrast was measured from the average pixel of the two ROIs. Contrast was calculated by the calculation formula. Each ROI was measured three times, and their average value and standard deviation were estimated. Results: Statistical significance in contrast was not observed in commercial PTP sheet (No. 1), PTP sheet (No. 3), and PTP sheet (No. 5), while there was a significant difference between PTP sheet (No. 1) and PTP sheet (No. 2), PTP sheet (No. 4) PTP sheet (No. 6), PTP sheet (No. 7) (p < 0.05). Significant difference in contrast was observed also between commercial PTP sheet and commercial PTP sheet (No. 1), PTP sheet (No. 3), and PTP sheet (No. 5).
sheet (No. 1) and PTP sheet (No. 8) (P < 0.01). PTP sheet (No. 1), PTP sheet (No. 3), and PTP sheet (No. 5) were not recognized at all by plain abdominal X-ray photography. **Conclusion:** The other all prototype PTP sheets (No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, No. 7 and No. 8) were well recognized. PTP sheets (No. 2, No. 4) of BaSO$_4$ is considered to be more suitable than PTP sheets of WO$_3$ (No. 6, No. 7, No. 8) because it is easier to produce. We will develop oral PTP sheets for patients with dementia for abdominal X-ray in the future.

**Keywords**


---

**1. Introduction**

Patients with dementia who accidentally swallowed a press-through package (PTP) have been increased in recent years. Swallowed PTP is usually detected with CT having a risk of radiation since PTP is very difficult to detect with abdominal X-ray image. PTP consists of lids coated with a heat-sealed material on an aluminum leaf and a dome of vinylchloride. These materials are widely used in Japan to enclose drugs due to their features of cleanliness, hermetic sealing, easy handling, toughness, and low cost. A risk of accidental swallow of PTP in the elderly individuals and the subjects with mental or visual disturbances is a serious issue for emergency physicians. Swallowed PTP with sharp corners may cause gastrointestinal bleeding, mediastinitis, intestinal perforation, and obstructive ileus, although such severe complications are extremely rare [1] [2] [3]. Endoscopic removal should be carried out if PTP exists in the esophagus or stomach. Early laparotomy should be considered if PTP passes through the pyloric ring and symptoms are observed [4]. Therefore, it is very important to make an early and correct diagnosis of the location of the PTP. PTP material is difficult to detect directly by plain radiography due to its high radiolucency, while there are increasing reports that the location of a PTP can be detected by multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]. Therefore, we considered to make PTP sheet which can be recognized by plain X-ray examination with less exposure because CT examination receives much exposure.

**2. Purpose**

When we diagnose accidentally swallowing of patient’s PTPs, we always use CT to diagnose that PTPs are in the stomach or intestinal tract. In these cases, CT scans give patients about 10 times more exposure than plain abdominal X-ray radiography.

Co-authors Sadamoto K, Murata M, Kubota K are specialists in pharmacy. They have been studying PTP safety and openness. On the other hand, Ito K, Shimada M, Yoshikawa K are experts in radiology. This project of development
of this new PTP sheets were launched by a conference of these pharmaceutical experts and radiology experts together. As far as we search, there is no paper on the development of PTP sheets that can be recognized with Plain X-ray radiography.

The degree of X-ray detection is considered to be proportional to the fourth power of atomic number. From this, it turned out that barium and tungsten are the ones that can be painted as ink on the aluminum sheet of PTP.

This study is aimed at developing the new PTP sheet recognizable with plain abdominal X-ray by improving the conventional PTP sheet.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Investigation of X-Ray Blocking Substances

Compounds containing lead, barium, barium sulfate, titanium, iodine compounds, tin, lead, tungsten, bismuth, bismuth nitrite are considered as X-ray blocking substances. Barium sulfate, tungsten carbide, tin oxide, and bismuth oxide of a simple substance or compound having an atomic number of 47 or more are considered as candidates. X-ray detection sensitivity is proportional to the fourth power of atomic number. Candidates for X-ray blocking substances include iodine, barium, and tungsten. Bismuth is toxic and excluded. Iodine was excluded this time because atom number is smaller than barium. Barium and tungsten were finally selected as X-ray blocking substances. The ink containing blocking material (barium sulfate or tungsten trioxide) was coated on the cover material (aluminum foil) of PTP sheet in the form of gravure print.

3.2. Method of Overcoating with a Coater

Possibility of industrialization (commercialization) by gravure ink

Atomic ratio of tungsten carbide [WC] \( W = \frac{183.8}{195.85} \)

Compared with this, the atomic ratio of barium sulfate \( Ba = \frac{137.3}{233.43} \)

X-ray shielding effect \( W:Ba = 29.99:9.83 \)

50 g/m² \( BaSO_4 \) (Solid) \( \rightarrow WC 50 \times 0.328 \times (1.066/1.700) = 10.283 \) g/m²

The present prototype PTP aluminum was tungsten trioxide

\( WO_3 = \frac{231.84 \text{ atomic ratio of tungsten } W = \frac{183.8}{231.84} = 0.7928} \)

Therefore, neodarum sol (\( BaSO_4 \): Kaigen Pharma CO., LTD. Tokyo Japan) solution 2-fold dilution \( \rightarrow WO_3 = 12.093 \) g/m²

We prepared prototype PTP sheets using the inks of barium sulfate and tungsten trioxide ink. Commercially available medicinal zyloic tablets (hyperuricemia drug: Daiichi Sankyo Company, Limited. Tokyo Japan) were used as a control.

PTP sheet samples are No. 1 to No. 8 below (Figure 1).

No. 1: Control: commercially available zyloic tablet 100 mg PTP
No. 2: Triple-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with \( BaSO_4 \) 10.3 g/m² (solid 15.0 g/m²)
No. 3: Aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with \( BaSO_4 \) 10.3 g/m² (solid 15.0 g/m²)
No. 4: Five-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO$_4$
No. 5: Aluminum foil, single-coated with WO$_3$, 2.55 g/m$^2$ (solid 3.5 g/m$^2$)
No. 6: Aluminum foil, double-coated with WO$_3$, 5.1 g/m$^2$
No. 7: Aluminum foil, triple-coated with WO$_3$, 7.66 g/m$^2$
No. 8: Three-stacked aluminum foil, triple-coated with WO$_3$

3.3. Method of Preparation of Abdominal Soft Tissue Phantom

A polyethylene container was used for preparation of a soft tissue equivalent phantom. The size of the container is 320 mm (sideway) × 170 mm (height) × 160 mm (length), and the volume is 8704 ml. In this volume 6144 ml, 1081 g of granulated sugar and 79.87 g of salt were added at a ratio of granulated sugar 176 mg/mL water vs salt 13 mg/mL water to prepare an abdominal soft tissue phantom. Two melamine foams in a size of 30 mm (height) × 300 mm (width) × 135 mm (length) were overpositioned, slits were made, and PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) were inserted through these slits avoiding their floating (Figure 2).

3.4. Measurement Method of Contrast of PTP Sheets

PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) were placed inside the abdominal soft tissue phantom, and the images were obtained at the specified condition of voltage 76 kV, electric current 200 mA, time 160 msec, using FUJIFILM DR CALNEO PT (Fujifilm Corporation Tokyo Japan). Region of interest (ROI) was placed on PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) and abdominal soft tissue liquid phantom, and each contrast was measured from the average pixel of the two ROIs. Contrast was calculated by the calculation formula below.

Contrast = (ROIptp − ROIphantom)/ROIphantom

Each ROI was measured three times, and their average value and standard deviation were estimated.
3.5. Statistical Analysis

Differences in Contrast between the commercial PTP and our prototype PTPs were compared using an unpaired t-test. The P-value was assessed. All statistical analyses were performed with Excel Statistics 2010 for Windows version 1.13.

4. Results

PTP of No. 1 (Control: commercially available zyrolic tablet) was hardly detected.

Contrast of PTP (No. 1) was as low as 0.01501.

PTP of No. 2 (Triple-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO₄) was moderately recognized. Contrast of PTP (No. 2) was a moderate value of 0.1146. PTP of No. 3 (Aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO₄) was hardly detected as same as PTP (No. 1). Contrast of PTP (No. 3) was as low as 0.03729. PTP of No. 4 (Five-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with BaSO₄) was clearly detected. Contrast of PTP (No. 4) was relatively higher as 0.1694. PTP of No. 5 (Aluminum foil, single-coated with WO₃) was hardly detected as same as PTP (No. 1). Contrast of PTP (No. 5) was as low as 0.03223. PTP of No. 6 (Aluminum foil, double-coated with WO₃) was able to be recognized moderately. Contrast of PTP (No. 6) was a moderate value of 0.1331. PTP of No. 7 (Aluminum foil, triple-coated with WO₃) was clearly detected. Contrast of PTP (No. 7) was relatively higher as 0.1832. PTP of No. 8 (Three-stacked aluminum foil, triple-coated with WO₃) was clearly detected. Contrast of PTP (No. 8) was 0.2173 and highest.
among all. There were no significant differences in contrast among commercially available PTP (No. 1), PTP (No. 3) and PTP (No. 5). However there was a significant difference between PTP sheet (No. 1) and PTP sheet (No. 2), PTP sheet (No. 4) PTP sheet (No. 6), PTP sheet (No. 7) (p < 0.05). Significant differences in contrast were observed also between commercially available PTP (No. 1) and PTP (No. 8) (P < 0.01) (Figure 3) (Figure 4).

5. Discussion

MDCT was performed using a 64-detector-row system (CT LightSpeed VCT; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, USA). Imaging and reconstruction parameters for the 40-section device were a beam collimation of 8 × 2.5 mm, a beam pitch of 1.35, a gantry rotation time of 0.6 s, 120 kVp, 199 mAs, a section thickness of 1.25 mm and a reconstruction interval of 1.25 mm using same PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) (Figure 5).

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** Abdominal X-ray image of each PTP sheets in the phantom.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4.** Comparison of each PTP sheets and contrast.
All PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) depict very clearly by CT scan. We always use CT to diagnose that PTPs are in the stomach or intestinal tract. In these cases, CT scans give patients about 10 times more exposure than plain abdominal X-ray radiography.

Inadvertent PTP ingestion is being diagnosed more frequently in cases of foreign bodies in the digestive tract [7] [8].

Radiological tests play a very important role in revealing the location of PTPs that have been inadvertently swallowed. However, contrary to popular opinion, preoperative confirmation of PTPs in the UGI tract is difficult due to their radiolucency.

Elderly patients often visit the emergency hospital for increasing of the associated proportion of the population with dementia, the increased number of drugs dispensed in PTPs, and a lack of attention. Commercially available PTP sheets are unable to recognize in plain radiographs. For this reason, CT scanning is a common practice to examine presence or absence of PTP sheets for the patients who doubted accidental swallow of PTP sheets. We prepared PTP sheets of new materials (barium sulfate and tungsten trioxide). In these PTP sheets, the ink containing blocking material of barium sulfate or tungsten trioxide was overcoated in the form of gravure print on the aluminum foil of lid material of the PTP sheet. As far as we know, it was simply described in Japanese patent files that barium sulfate is used in the applied product. There are no literatures related to PTP sheets of barium sulfate or tungsten trioxide. The results showed that PTP sheet can be recognized with plain X-ray of less exposure dose if it has at least the same or more potent contrast with PTP (No. 2). Since barium is kneaded in the coating ink, this product can be commercialized without difficulty. We showed that the PTPs of No. 6 and more containing tungsten are recognizable with plain X-ray. However, commercialization is now found to be difficult since kneading of tungsten in the coating ink at commercial base is
practically difficult.

6. Conclusions

PTP (No. 1), PTP (No. 3), and PTP (No. 5) were not recognized at all by simple X-ray photography. At the present time, BaSO₄PTP sheet above the concentration of PTP sheet (No. 2) (Triple-stacked aluminum foil, 6-overcoated with Ba-SO₄ 10.3 g/m²) is considered most suitable.

The other all prototype press-through packages (No. 2, No. 4, No. 6, No. 7, No. 8) were well recognized. From now on, we will further improve these PTP sheets recognizable by plain X-ray and develop PTP sheet that can be orally administered to patients.

There were no significant differences in contrast among commercially available PTP (No. 1), PTP (No. 3) and PTP (No. 5). There was a significant difference between PTP (No. 1) and PTP (No. 2), PTP (No. 4) PTP (No. 6), PTP (No. 7) (p < 0.05). Significant difference in contrast was observed also between commercial PTP (No. 1) and PTP (No. 8) (P < 0.01).

All PTP sheets (No. 1 - No. 8) depict very clearly by CT scan.
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