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Abstract

Background: Co-infections may represent substantial diagnostic and treatment challenges. Aim: To the better of our knowledge, we describe the first case in the literature of congenital Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection following maternal CMV non primary infection contemporary to varicella during pregnancy. Case Presentation: A pregnant woman had a varicella during her pregnancy. Congenital CMV infection was fortuitously discovered in the neonate owing to a universal CMV screening. Retrospective analysis of maternal serums during pregnancy showed CMV reactivation. We aim to highlight that CMV reactivation could be due to varicella and discuss if it could facilitate the transplacental transmission of CMV. Conclusion: This case report emphasizes neonatal CMV screening, and warns against dual maternal infection especially because this may be at particular risk of transmission to the fetus.
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1. Introduction

Congenital CMV (cCMV) infection can result from primary or non primary maternal CMV infection during pregnancy [1]. CMV reactivation can be in-
duced by a variety of stimuli or conditions, including immunosuppressant administration, HIV infection and septic shock [2]. Therefore, maternal HIV infection is already described as a risk factor for cCMV [3] because of a higher risk of CMV reactivation. To the better of our knowledge, no case has been reported in the literature concerning cCMV infection following a maternal varicella during pregnancy.

2. Case Report

A 24-year-old primiparous pregnant woman, was referred to our hospital at 33 weeks of gestation (WG) because of a rash clinically identified as a varicella. Varicella primary-infection was laboratory-confirmed with a positive VZV (Varicella Zona Virus) PCR on blood and IgG VZV seroconversion (Figure 1). She received intravenous acyclovir 700 mg 3 times per day during 5 days and no complication was observed. Because of uterine contractions, tocolysis was introduced with atosiban (Tractocile®). Despite the very low risk of fetopathy after 24 WG, regular ultrasound examinations were performed and were all normal. At 38 WG the patient delivered an eutrophic baby girl after spontaneous labor.

In our maternity, universal screening for CMV congenital infection is performed at birth (research protocol) by CMV PCR on saliva swab in the delivery room. For this infant, screening CMV PCR was positive on saliva collected day one (6.63 log copies/mL), and confirmed positive on urine collected day three (6.19 log copies/mL) (Figure 1). Congenital CMV infection was therefore assessed for this neonate. She was asymptomatic at time of diagnosis and all complementary
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Figure 1. Chronology of the clinical story of the maternal varicella and the congenital CMV infection. The timeline repertories clinical symptoms and biological results obtained for VZV and CMV, both for the mother and the neonate according to gestational age and days of life respectively.
examinations were normal: transfontanellar ultrasound, eye fundus examination, auditory brainstem response, blood count, liver function tests. Consequently, prognosis of this CMV congenital infection is excellent but a specific pediatric follow-up was set up because of the approximate 10% risk of delayed hearing impairment [1] [4] [5].

Retrospectively, we investigated maternal blood samples collected during pregnancy. The mother was seronegative for HIV. CMV IgM were always negative, and CMV IgG avidity was always high, which indicates that primary infection occurred before pregnancy. A low positive CMV PCR (29 copies/mL) on the blood sample collected at time of varicella rash was observed, indicating a CMV non primary infection (NPI) at that time (Figure 1). Finally, we hypothesized a probable CMV reactivation occurring during VZV primary infection, and subsequent transmission to the fetus born with asymptomatic congenital CMV infection.

3. Discussion

VZV and CMV are both Herpesviruses that can be acquired transplacentally. Incidence of congenital CMV infection is reported to be approximately 0.5% to 0.7% [6] in European countries, and is in France equally due to maternal CMV primary infection or NPI [7]. Both situations can cause fetal or neonatal complications. NPI are either reactivation of latent infection or re-infection with a different virus, but it is hardly possible to distinguish the two situations.

This case illustrates the risk of CMV non primary infection during another acute infection which is a well know phenomenon particularly for Herpesviruses. Indeed, these viruses establish latency after primary infection and can reactivate in different situations particularly when an intercurrent infection occurs [2] [8] [9] [10] [11]. As an example, Chêne et al. studied the effect of P. falciparum on reactivation of all human Herpesviruses and demonstrated that acute malaria was associated with reactivation of HSV-1 (Herpes Simplex Virus-1) and EBV (Epstein Barr Virus) [10]. Walton et al. showed that septic patients had higher viral loads of Herpesvirus in blood, in particular CMV [12]. Moreover, both Hikoki et al. and Kasuya et al. described CMV reactivation concurrent with VZV infection in immunocompromised patients [13] [14]. This confirms that CMV reactivation during acute VZV infection is plausible, and we wonder if one could have facilitated transplacental transmission of the other. In support of this assumption, Van der Sande et al. studied the risk factors associated with CMV congenital infection in Gambia. They found active malaria infection to be a significant risk factor (adjusted OR 2.9, 95%CI 1.0 - 8.4) and hypothesized that one established infection can facilitate the other to be transmitted congenitally [15]. They also observed that it was more common in primiparous women, as in the present case, suggesting that their immune response may differ from multiparous women.

In the present case, low CMV viremia without any serological argument for an
acute infection, was in favor of CMV NPI. Consequently, the infant was born with CMV congenital infection, fortuitously discovered in the context of a systematic salivary screening at birth. To date, there is no international consensus to recommend universal screening for congenital CMV infection, but studies and discussions are ongoing. However, a targeted congenital CMV screening in case of maternal history of systemic infection could be discussed.

4. Conclusion

Although our case had asymptomatic congenital infection at birth, this case report emphasizes neonatal CMV screening, and warns against dual maternal infection especially because this may be at particular risk of transmission to the fetus.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References


https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix337


List of Abbreviations

CMV: Cytomegalovirus
cCMV: Congenital Cytomegalovirus
WG: Weeks of Gestation
VZV: Varicella Zona Virus
NPI: Non Primary Infection
HSV-1: Herpes Simplex Virus 1
EBV: Epstein Barr Virus