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Abstract

The polynomial $x^4+1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ but is locally reducible, that is, it factors modulo $p$ for all primes $p$. In this paper we investigate this phenomenon and prove that for any composite natural number $N$ there are monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ which are reducible modulo every prime.
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1. Introduction

The polynomials of the title of this article have been discussed by Brandl [1], and Guralnick et al. [2]. Brandl’s paper excludes those $N$ which are such that $(N,\phi(N)) = 1$. These are precisely the composite integers $N$ for which there is only one abstract group of order $N$. The paper by Guralnick et al. does show the existence of such polynomials for all composite $N$’s. Our proof of the same is different, more elementary, and in some cases even constructive.

We shall first enumerate the known results which we shall use in this article. Several of these results are true more generally but we shall state them as needed in this article.

1) Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial. Then the Galois group of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ acts transitively on its roots if and only if $f(x)$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$.

2) Let $K_1 / \mathbb{Q}, K_2 / \mathbb{Q}$ be finite normal extensions that is, splitting fields of some polynomial. Let $K_1 \cap K_2$ denote the compositum of the fields $K_1, K_2$, that is, the smallest subfield of containing $K_1, K_2$. Then $K$ is a normal extension
of \( \mathbb{Q} \) and if \([K_1 : \mathbb{Q}]\) and \([K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]\) are coprime. Then
\[
\text{Aut}(K / \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Aut}(K_1 / \mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Aut}(K_2 / \mathbb{Q})
\]

3) Every finite solvable group can be realized as a Galois group of some polynomials over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Same is true of the symmetric groups \( S_n \) and alternating groups \( A_n \). We shall only need this result for cyclic groups, Frobenius groups and for the groups \( S_n \) and \( A_n \) \[3\] \[4\] and \[5\].

4) Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \) be an irreducible polynomials of degree \( n \). Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \) be its roots. Let \( r \) be an integer, \( 1 < r < n - 1 \) and \( C'_r = m \). Let \( f_r(x) \) denote the polynomial whose roots are all sums of \( r \) different \( \alpha_i \). Then \( f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \) and \( f(x) \) and \( f_r(x) \) have the same splitting field \[6\].

5) Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree \( n \) and \( p \) a prime which does not divide the discriminant of \( f(x) \). Let \( G = \text{Gal}(f) \) be the Galois group of \( f(x) \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Suppose that modulo \( p \) the polynomial \( f(x) \) factors into irreducible polynomials of degrees \( n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t \) so \( n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_t = n \). Then there is \( \sigma \in G \) such that as a permutation on the \( n \) roots of \( f(x) \), \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_t \), where \( \sigma_i \) is acyclic permutation of length \( n_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). See \[7\].

6) Let \( N \) be any composite natural number and \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree \( N \) whose Galois group over \( \mathbb{Q} \) does not have any element of order \( N \). Then \( f(x) \) is reducible modulo every prime. This is an immediate consequence of (5) above.

2. Theorem and Proof

Theorem: For every composite natural number \( N \) there is a monic irreducible polynomial \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) of degree \( N \) which is reducible modulo every prime.

Case I \( N \) is not square-free

We write \( N = p^t m \) where \( t > 1 \) and \( p \) is a prime which does not divide \( m \). Let \( G_1 \) be any non-cyclic group of order \( p^t \) and \( G_2 \) a cyclic group of order \( m \). Let \( f_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be an irreducible polynomial of degree \( p^t \) with Galois group isomorphic to \( G_1 \) and \( f_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be an irreducible polynomial of degree \( m \) with Galois group isomorphic to \( G_2 \). Let \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) be splitting fields of \( f_1(x) \) and \( f_2(x) \) respectively. Let \( K = K_1 K_2 \) be the compositum of the fields \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \). Then \( K \) is of degree \( N \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and \( G = \text{Aut}(K / \mathbb{Q}) \) is isomorphic to \( G_1 \times G_2 \) and so it does not have any element of order \( N \). Let \( a \) be any algebraic integer such that \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}) \). Let \( f(x) \) be the minimum polynomial of \( a \). Then \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree \( N \) and its Galois group does not have any element of order \( N \) and therefore \( f(x) \) has the desired property.

Case II \( N \) is square-free and \( \gcd(N, \varphi(N)) > 1 \)

In this case we can write \( N = pqm \) where \( p, q \) are primes, \( p \) divides \( q - 1 \) and \( \gcd(pq, m) = 1 \). Let \( G_1 \) be a non-abelian group of order \( pq \) and \( G_2 \) a cyclic group of order \( m \). Just as in the previous case we get a monic irreducible polynomial
polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $N$ whose Galois group does not contain an element of order $N$.

**Case III, $N$ is square-free and $\gcd(N, \phi(N)) = 1$**

In this case $N$ is necessarily odd. First we assume that $N$ is a product of just two primes. So let $N = pq$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes, $p < q$ and $p$ does not divide $q - 1$. Let $t$ be the order of $p$ modulo $q$. So $t > 1$ is the smallest integer such that $p^t \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Let $G_1$ be an elementary Abelian $p$-group of order $p^t$ and $G_2$ be a group of order $q$. We note that $\text{Aut}(G_1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(t, p)$ and so its order is divisible by $q$. Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be the semi-direct product of $G_1$ by $G_2$. Evidently $G$ is not a direct product of $G_1$ and $G_2$. Therefore $G_2$ is not a normal subgroup of $G$. We claim that $G_2$ is its own normalizer in $G$. For otherwise the index of the normalizer of $G_2$ in $G$ would be $p^r$, for some $r$, $1 \leq r < t$ which would contradict the fact that $t$ is the smallest integer satisfying $p^t \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Since $G_2$ has prime order $q$ it is disjoint from its conjugates. Therefore $G$ is a Frobenius group of order $p^t q$ and every non-identity element of $G_2$ induces a fixed-point-free automorphism of $G_1$.

Let $K$ be a normal extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group isomorphic to $G$. Then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p^t q$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ of order $p^{t-1}$ and let $F \subseteq K$ be its fixed subfield.

Then by FTGT ([Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory]) the field $F$ is of degree $pq$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We also note that as $H$ is not a normal subgroup of $G$, $F$ is not a normal extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\alpha$ be an algebraic integer such that $F = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and let $f(x)$ be its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is irreducible of degree $pq$.

We claim that $K$ is the splitting field of $f(x)$ (i.e. it is the normal closure of the field $F$) and $G$ is its Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$.

If the normal closure of $F$ were a proper subfield of $K$ then it would imply that $G$ has a proper normal subgroup of order $p^r$ where $r < t$, but this is not possible, as $G$ is a Frobenius group. So $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $N = pq$ and its Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$ does not have any element of order $N = pq$.

Finally assume that $N$ and $\varphi(N)$ are coprime and $N$ is a product of more than two primes. We write $N = pqr$, where $p, q, r$ are primes and $\gcd(p, q, r) = 1$. Let $t = \text{order of } p \text{ modulo } q$. As discussed in the previous case let $f_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $pq$ whose Galois group is the semi-direct product of an elementary group of order $p^t$ by a cyclic group of order $q$ and is a Frobenius group.

Let $G_1$ denote this Frobenius group of order $p^t q$ and $K_1$ denote the splitting field of $f_1(x)$. Let $G_2$ be a cyclic group of order $m$ and $f_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $m$ whose splitting field is $K_2$ and Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $G_2$.

Let $K = K_1 K_2$ be the compositum of the fields $K_1$ and $K_2$. Let $pq = n$ and
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\[ f_i(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - \alpha_i) \]

\[ f_j(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{m}(x - \beta_j) \]

\[ f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{m}(x - \alpha_i \beta_j) \]

We note the following:

1) \([K_i : \mathbb{Q}] = p'q\), \([K_2 : \mathbb{Q}] = m\), \([K : \mathbb{Q}] = p'qm\);

2) \(K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)\);

3) \(K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m)\);

4) \(K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m)\);

5) \(G = Aut(K/\mathbb{Q})\) is a group of order \(p'qm\) isomorphic to the direct product of a Frobenius group of order \(p'q\) and a cyclic group of order \(m\). Therefore it does not have an element of order \(N = pqm\). Note that this Frobenius group does not have any subgroup of order \(pq\).

6) The group \(G\) transitively permutes the \(nm\) algebraic numbers \(\alpha_i, \beta_j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\). So \(f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\) is an irreducible polynomial of degree \(N = pqm\), whose Galois group does not have any element of order \(N\). This completes the proof of our theorem.

3. Alternate Methods

As we noticed the construction of irreducible polynomials in \(Z[x]\) of odd composite degree \(N\) where \(gcd(N, \phi(N)) = 1\), and whose Galois group does not contain an element of order \(N\) is not so straightforward. In some cases such as \(N = 15\) or \(N = 35\) there is another interesting method of construction of such polynomials. In fact it works for most \(N\)'s (with very few exceptions) which are such that \(C_n = N\) for some \(n\) and \(r\) such that \(1 < r < n - 1\). The method we are about to describe fails in cases where \(C_n = N\) but the symmetric group \(S_n\) does have an element of order \(N\), as it happens when \(n = 15, r = 2\) and \(N = 105\).

As the symmetric group on 15 letters does have an element of order 105 = \(C_{15}^r\), namely a permutation which is a product of 3, 5 and a 7-cycle. Let \(f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\) be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree \(n > 4\) whose Galois group is isomorphic to either \(A_n\) or \(S_n\). Let \(r\) be such that \(1 < r < n - 1\) and \(C_n = N\). Further assume that \(S_n\) does not have any element of order \(N\). We know that \(S_n\) is \(n\)-transitive and \(A_n\) is \((n - 2)\)-transitive on \(n\) letters. Let \(f_i(x)\) denote a polynomial of degree \(N = C_n\) whose roots are sum of all \(r\) different roots of \(f(x)\). Let the roots of \(f_i(x)\) be \(\beta_i\), where \(1 \leq i \leq N\). The polynomials \(f(x)\) and let \(f_i(x)\) have the same splitting field. Since both \(S_n\) and \(A_n\) transitively permute the \(N\) roots of \(f(x)\) this polynomial is irreducible. So the polynomial \(f_i(x)\) is the required polynomial of degree \(N\), whose Galois group does not have any element of order \(N\).

4. Examples

1) The first interesting case is for \(N = 15\). Let \(f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\) be an irreducib-
monic polynomial of degree six whose Galois group over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is isomorphic to symmetric or alternating group on five or six letters. Then \( f_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) is an irreducible monic polynomial whose Galois group is the same as that of \( f(x) \) and so does not have any element of order 15. Therefore \( f_1(x) \) is reducible modulo every prime. For instance let \( f(x) = x^6 + 24x - 20 \) whose discriminant is \( 2^{16} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^4 \). We note that

\[
f(x) = (x + 3)(x^5 + 4x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + 4x + 5)(\text{mod 7})
\]

\[
f(x) = (x + 7)(x + 12)(x + 21)(x^3 + 6x^2 + 13x + 16)(\text{mod 23})
\]

\[
f(x) = (x^2 + 26x + 10)(x^3 + 3x^2 + 28x^2 + 25x + 27)(\text{mod 29})
\]

It follows that \( f(x) \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and its Galois group \( G \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is 2-transitive on its roots and has a 3-cycle. Therefore \( G \) is isomorphic to \( A_6 \), the alternating group on six letters \[8\]. We know that \( A_6 \) is 4-transitive on six letters. Let \( f_2(x) \) represent the polynomial of degree 15 whose roots are the sums of the roots of \( f(x) \) taken two at a time. This polynomial turns out to be

\[
x^{15} - 240x^{10} + 520x^9 - 6912x^8 - 8640x^4 - 10800x^3 - 13824
\]

The Galois group of this polynomial is the same as that of \( f(x) \) and so is isomorphic to \( A_6 \). As \( A_6 \) has no element of order 15 this polynomial is reducible modulo every prime.

2) The second example is for \( N = 35 \). As \( C_7^3 = 35 \), we start with a some monic polynomial \( f(x) \) of degree 7 with Galois group isomorphic to \( S_7 \) or \( A_7 \). The polynomial of degree 35 whose roots are the sums of three different roots of \( f(x) \) is the required polynomial whose Galois group (being isomorphic to \( S_n \) or \( A_n \)) does not have any element of order 35. To illustrate this we begin with the polynomial \( f(x) = x^7 - 2x^6 + 2x + 2 \) of degree 7. We observe that the discriminant of the polynomial is \( 50808364 = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 11^3 \) and \( f(x) \) is irreducible modulo 5. Also

\[
f(x) = (x^2 + 7x + 1)(x^2 + 11x + 8)(x^2 + 6x^2 + x + 10)(\text{mod 13})
\]

So \( f(x) \) is an irreducible polynomial of degree 7 whose discriminant is a square and Galois group \( G \) has a 3-cycle. So \( G \) is isomorphic to \( A_7 \) \[8\].

Suppose that the roots of \( f(x) \) are \( \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq 7 \). The polynomial \( f_2(x) \) of degree \( C_7^2 = 21 \) whose roots are \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 7 \), is

\[
x^{21} - 12x^{20} + 60x^{19} - 160x^{18} + 240x^{17} - 192x^{16} + 14x^{15} + 282x^{14} - 384x^{13} - 896x^{12} + 3456x^{11} - 4032x^{10} + 1452x^9 + 936x^8 - 3348x^7 + 8208x^6 - 10800x^5 + 6912x^4 - 1944x^3 + 648x^2 - 648x + 216
\]

This polynomial is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \). As its Galois group is isomorphic to \( A_7 \) which does not have any element of order 21 this polynomial is reducible modulo every prime.

The polynomial \( f_3(x) \) of degree \( C_7^3 = 35 \) whose roots are \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k, 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 7 \), is
This polynomial is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and its Galois group is isomorphic to \( A_7 \). As \( A_7 \) does not have any element of order 35 this polynomial is reducible modulo every prime. Its discriminant is the following 311-digit number

\[
2^{208} \cdot 3^{154} \cdot 11^{20} \cdot 2260889^2 \cdot 73504388212873^3 \cdot 307711591051853^6
\]

**Note:** The composite natural numbers \( N \) below 100 which are such that \( \gcd(N, \phi(N)) = 1 \) are

\[15, 33, 35, 51, 65, 69, 77, 85, 91, 95.\]

Among these numbers the method described above works for \( N = 15, 35 \) and \( 91 \). This is so because \( C_6^2 = 15 \), \( C_3^3 = 35 \), and \( C_4^3 = 91 \). As starting with a polynomial of degree 7 with Galois group isomorphic to \( A_7 \) or \( S_7 \), we constructed an irreducible polynomial of degree 35 which is reducible modulo every prime, likewise starting with a polynomial of degree 14 with Galois group isomorphic to \( A_4 \) or \( S_4 \) we can construct an irreducible polynomial of degree 91 which is reducible modulo every prime.

3) The method discussed in the previous examples above does not work for \( N = 33 \). For this we proceed as in the proof of our theorem. As the order of 11 modulo 3 is 2 we construct a Frobenius group \( G \) of order \( 11^3 \cdot 3 \) which is a semi-direct product of \( \mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \) by a group of order 3. More specifically we extend the group \( \mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \) by the group \( \langle \phi \rangle \) of order three where \( \phi \) is the automorphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \) given by \( \phi(x) = x^6, \phi(y) = xy^4 \). It is easily seen that this automorphism has order three and is fixed-point-free. The resulting group, the semi-direct product of \( \mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \) by \( \langle \phi \rangle \) is a Frobenius group of order 363 having the subgroup \( \mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \) as its kernel and the group \( \langle \phi \rangle \) as its complement.

This Frobenius group of order 363 does not have any subgroup of order 33. Let \( K / \mathbb{Q} \) be a normal extension whose Galois group is isomorphic to \( G \).

Let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \) of order 11 and \( F \) be its fixed subfield. By FTGT (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory) the field \( F \) has degree 33 over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( a \) be an algebraic integer such that \( F = \mathbb{Q}(a) \) and let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be its minimum polynomial. As proved in the theorem this polynomial has degree 33 and its Galois group is a Frobenius group of order \( 11^3 \cdot 3 = 363 \) which does not have any subgroup of order 33 and therefore the irreducible polynomials \( f(x) \) is reducible modulo every prime.
5. Construction of the Polynomials \( f_r(x) \)

It remains to be seen that given a degree \( n \) polynomial \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) how we can compute the polynomial \( f_r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \), for \( 1 < r < n-1 \). This can be done with the help of the concept of the resultant of two polynomials. Let

\[
f(x) = a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n, \quad g(x) = b_0 x^m + b_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_m,
\]

be polynomials of degree \( n > 0 \) and \( m > 0 \) respectively (so \( a_0, b_0 \neq 0 \)) with coefficients in a field \( \mathbb{F} \). Let \( \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \beta_j, 1 \leq j \leq m \) be the zeros of \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) in some extension of \( \mathbb{F} \). Writing \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) as simply \( f \) and \( g \), and resultant simply as \( \text{Res} \) we have

\[
\text{Res}(f, g) = a_0^n b_0^m \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\alpha_i - \beta_j)
\]

As this resultant is equal to the following determinant of order \( m + n \), its value can be computed with the help of any symbolic computation package such as MATHEMATICA.

\[
\text{Res}(f, g) = \begin{vmatrix}
a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n & \cdots \\
a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
b_0 & b_1 & \cdots & b_{m-1} & b_m \\
b_0 & b_1 & \cdots & b_{m-1} & b_m
\end{vmatrix}
\]

In this determinant all the missing entries are zeros. The entries in the first \( m \) rows are the coefficients of \( f(x) \) and those in the last \( n \) rows are the coefficients of \( g(x) \). If \( f \) and \( g \) are polynomials in two variables \( x \) and \( y \) then we can determine their resultant with respect to any of the variable. For the discussion of the calculation of \( f_r(x) \) for a given polynomial \( f(x) \) it will be convenient to deal with monic polynomials. Let \( f(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \) be a polynomial of degree \( n \) with zeros \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \). The polynomial \( (-1)^n f(x-y) \) can be regarded as a monic polynomial of degree \( n \) in \( y \) with coefficients in the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{F}[x] \). As a polynomial in \( y \) its \( n \) zeros are \( x - \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \). We note that

\[
(-1)^n f(x-y) = (-1)^n \prod_{i \in \text{set}} (x-y-\alpha_i) = \prod_{i \in \text{set}} (y-(x-\alpha_i)).
\]

This observation and (and similar ones) will be used repeatedly in what follows. As before we let \( f_r(x) \) denote the monic polynomial of degree \( C'_n \) with zeros \( \alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_r} \) where \( 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq n \).

We shall show how to find \( f_r(x) \) for \( r = 2, 3 \). The method discussed can be easily generalized to larger values of \( r \).

5.1. Computation of \( f_2(x) \)

Let
\[ R_2(x) = \text{Res}_y((-1)^n f(x-y), f(y)) = \prod_{i<j} (x-\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \]

Then \( R_2(x) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n^2 \) with zeros \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j \). So the \( n^2 \) zeros of \( R_2(x) \) are \( 2\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j, i < j \), each appearing twice. Let

\[ A_2(x) = 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \]

Then \( A_2(x) \) is a monic polynomial of degree \( n \) with zeros \( 2\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \). Therefore, \( R_2(x)/A_2(x) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n^2 - n \), with zeros \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j, i < j \), each zero appearing twice. Therefore,

\[ f_2(x) = \left(\frac{R_2(x)}{A_2(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \]

is a polynomial of degree \( \frac{n^2 - n}{2} = C_n^2 \) with zeros \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j, i < j \).

### 5.2. Computation of \( f_3(x) \)

We first note that, as a polynomial in \( y \), \( f_2(x-y) \) has degree \( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \) and has roots \( x-\alpha_i - \alpha_j \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \). In other words, we can write

\[ f_2(x-y) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( x-y-\left(\alpha_i + \alpha_j\right) \right) \]

\[ = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( y+x+\alpha_i + \alpha_j \right) \]

Let

\[ R_3(x) = \text{Res}_y\left((-1)^{n(n-1)/2} f_2(x-y), f(y)\right) = \prod_{i<j<k} (x-\alpha_i - \alpha_j - \alpha_k) \]

Then \( R_3(x) \) is a polynomial of degree \( C_n^2 \cdot n = \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2} \) whose zeros are of following types.

\( \alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k, i < j < k \), each appearing three times.

\( 2\alpha_i + \alpha_j, i \neq j \).

We check that the total number adds up to the right degree, namely

\[ 3 \cdot C_n^3 + 2 \cdot C_n^2 = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2} + n(n-1) = \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2} \]

We shall now find a polynomial with zeros \( 2\alpha_i + \alpha_j, i \neq j \). Let

\[ A_3(x) = \text{Res}_y\left((-1)^n f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)2^n, f(y)\right) \]

Here as before we have multiplied by \( (-1)^n \) to ensure that the first polynomial in the argument of \( \text{Res}_y \) is monic. We note that as a polynomial in \( y \) the roots of \( f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \) are \( x-2\alpha_i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Also \( A_3(x) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n^2 \). In fact
So the zeros of $A_i(x)$ are $3\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $2\alpha_i + \alpha_j, i \neq j$. Let

$$B(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)^3, C(x) = \frac{A_i(x)}{B(x)}$$

So $B(x)$ is a monic polynomial with zeros $3\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $C(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n^2 - n$ with zeros $2\alpha_i + \alpha_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We also note that

$$\frac{R(x)}{C(x)} = \frac{R_i(x) \cdot B(x)}{A_i(x)}$$

is a polynomial of degree $\frac{n^2(n-1)}{2} - (n^2 - n) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ with zeros $\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k, i < j < k$, each repeated three times. Therefore

$$f_i(x) = \left(\frac{R_i(x)}{C(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

is the required polynomial of degree $C_n^3$.

6. Addendum

Bernard Dominique [9] sent us a list of following eighteen irreducible polynomials of degree 33 and informed us that these are reducible for all primes $p < 500000$.

\begin{align*}
x^{33} + 2x^3 + 1, x^{33} + x^6 + 1, x^{33} + x^6 + 2x^3 + 1, \\
x^{33} + x^6 + 1 & \& x^{33} + x^6 + x^3 + 1, x^{33} + x^9 + 1, \\
x^{33} + x^9 + x^3 + 1, x^{33} + x^9 + 2x^3 + 1, x^{33} + x^9 + x^6 + x^3 + 1, \\
x^{33} + x^9 + 2x^6 + 1x^{33} + x^9 + 2x^6 + 2x^3 + 1x^{33} + 2x^9 + 1, \\
x^{33} + 2x^9 + 2x^6 + 1x^{33} + 2x^9 + x^6 + 1x^{33} + 2x^9 + x^6 + x^3 + 1, \\
x^{33} + 2x^9 + x^6 + 2x^3 + 1, x^{33} + 2x^9 + 2x^6 + x^3 + 1, x^{33} + 2x^9 + 2x^6 + 2x^3 + 1.
\end{align*}

If the Galois group of any of these polynomials regarded as a permutation on its 33 roots had a 33-cycle then according to Cebotarev Density Theorem the density of primes $p$ for which any of these polynomials is irreducible should be $\geq \frac{1}{13}$ [10]. As there is no such prime $p < 500000$ we believe that these polynomials are reducible for all primes. However, in the absence of any information about their Galois group we do not have a proof that any of these polynomials is locally reducible for all primes.

7. Conclusion

In this paper we have shown that for any composite natural number $N$ there are polynomials of degree $N$ with integer coefficients which are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. DOI: 10.4236/ojdm.2019.92006
but which are reducible modulo $p$ for every prime $p$ and we have given method of construction of such polynomials for various values of $N$.
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