

# Reverse Total Signed Vertex Domination in Graphs

Wensheng Li

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Langfang Teachers College, Langfang, China

Email: wsli@live.cn

Received November 20, 2012; revised December 20, 2012; accepted December 27, 2012

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . A function  $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is said to be a reverse total signed vertex dominating function if for every  $v \in V$ , the sum of function values over  $v$  and the elements incident to  $v$  is less than zero. In this paper, we present some upper bounds of reverse total signed vertex domination number of a graph and the exact values of reverse total signed vertex domination number of circles, paths and stars are given.

**Keywords:** Reverse Total Signed Vertex Domination; Upper Bounds; Complete Bipartite Graph

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall use the terminology of [1]. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . Let  $|V(G)| = n$ ,  $|E(G)| = m$ . For every  $v \in V$ , the open neighborhood of  $v$ , denoted by  $N_G(v)$ , is a set  $\{u | uv \in E\}$  and the closed neighborhood of  $v$ , denoted by  $N_G[v]$ , is a set  $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . We write  $d_G(v)$  for the degree of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and the maximum and minimum degree of  $G$  are denoted by  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$ , respectively. For every  $v \in V$ , the edge-closed neighborhood of  $v$ , denoted by  $N_E[v]$ , is  $N_E[v] = \{x | x = v \text{ or } x \text{ is incident to } v\}$ .

Many domination parameters in graphs has been studied richly [2-4] A function  $f : V \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is a signed dominating function if for every vertex

$$v \in V, f(N[v]) = \sum_{u \in N[v]} f(u) \geq 1.$$

The weight  $\omega(f)$  of  $f$  is the sum of the function values of all vertices in  $G$ . The signed domination number  $\gamma_s(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum weight of signed dominating functions on  $G$ . This concept was introduced by Dunbar *et al.* [5] and has been studied by several authors [6-9]. As an extension of the signed domination, we give the definition of the reverse total signed vertex domination in a graph.

**Definition 1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph. A reverse total signed vertex dominating function of  $G$  is a function  $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $f(N_E[v]) \leq 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . The reverse total signed vertex domination number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(G)$ , is the maximum weight of a reverse total signed vertex dominating func-

tion of  $G$ . A reverse total signed vertex dominating function  $f$  is called a  $\gamma_{tsv}^0$ -function of  $G$  if  $w(f) = \gamma_{tsv}^0(G)$ .

## 2. Properties of Reverse Total Signed Vertex Domination

**Proposition 1** For any graph  $G$ ,

$$\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) = (n + m) \pmod{2}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{tsv}^0$ -function of  $G$ . Then

$$\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) = f(V) + f(E).$$

Let

$$V_1 = \{v \in V(G) | f(v) = 1\},$$

$$V_2 = \{v \in V(G) | f(v) = -1\},$$

$$E_1 = \{e \in E(G) | f(e) = 1\},$$

$$E_2 = \{e \in E(G) | f(e) = -1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{tsv}^0(G) &= |V_1| - |V_2| + |E_1| - |E_2| \\ &= |V_1| - (n - |V_1|) + |E_1| - (m - |E_1|) \\ &= 2|V_1| + 2|E_1| - (n + m) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) = (n + m) \pmod{2}$ .

**Propositon 2** For any graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) \leq m$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{tsv}^0$ -function of  $G$ . Then for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $f(N_E[v]) \leq 0$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(N_E[v]) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) + 2 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv) \\ &= f(V) + 2f(E). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) = f(V) + f(E) \leq -f(E) \leq m$ .

**Propositon 3** For any graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) \leq \lfloor n\Delta/2 \rfloor$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{tsv}^0$ -function of  $G$ .  $V_1, V_2, E_1$  and  $E_2$  are defined as Proposition 2. Then

$$\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) = f(V) + f(E) = |V_1| - |V_2| + |E_1| - |E_2|.$$

We define two induced graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of  $G$  as follows:

$$V(G_1) = V(G_2) = V(G), \quad E(G_1) = E_1, \quad E(G_2) = E_2.$$

Then for every  $v \in V(G_1)$ ,

$$f(N_E[v]) = f(v) + d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v) \leq 0$$

and  $d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v) \leq -1$ . For every  $v \in V(G_2)$ , we have

$$f(N_E[v]) = f(v) + d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v) \leq 0$$

and  $d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v) \leq 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= |E(G_1)| - |E(G_2)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G_1}(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G_2}(v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{v \in V_1} (d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{v \in V_2} (d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v)) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|V_2| - |V_1|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{tsv}^0(G) &= |V_1| - |V_2| + f(E) \\ &\leq |V_1| - |V_2| + \frac{1}{2} (|V_2| - |V_1|) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2|V_1| - n). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(N_E[v]) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) + 2 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv) \\ &= f(V) + 2f(E) = |V_1| - |V_2| + 2f(E) \\ &= |V_1| - |V_2| + \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_{G_1}(v) - d_{G_2}(v)) \\ &\geq |V_1| - |V_2| + n(0 - \Delta) \\ &= 2|V_1| - n - n\Delta \end{aligned}$$

we have  $|V_1| \leq \frac{n+n\Delta}{2}$ . Therefore  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(G) \leq \lfloor n\Delta/2 \rfloor$ .

**Propositon 4** For any star  $K_{1,n}$ ,  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(K_{1,n}) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{tsv}^0$ -function. Let

$$V(K_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\},$$

$$E(K_{1,n}) = \{v_0v_1, v_0v_2, v_0v_3, \dots, v_0v_n\},$$

where  $v_0$  is the center of  $K_{1,n}$ . Since for every  $v \in V(K_{1,n})$ ,  $f(N_E[v]) \leq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{tsv}^0(K_{1,n}) &= f(V) + f(E) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(N_E[v_i]) + f(v_0) \\ &\leq 0 + f(v_0) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider the function

$$g: V(K_{1,n}) \cup E(K_{1,n}) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\},$$

such that

$$g(v_i) = 1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n), \quad g(v_0v_j) = -1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Then  $g$  is a reverse total signed vertex dominating function on  $K_{1,n}$  and

$$w(g) = g(V) + g(E) = 1 + n - n = 1.$$

Thus  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(K_{1,n}) \geq w(g) = 1$ , which implies that  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(K_{1,n}) = 1$ .

**Propositon 5** For any circle  $C_n$ ,  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(C_n) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{tsv}^0$ -function of  $C_n$ . Let

$$V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}, \quad E(C_n) = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_nv_1\}.$$

Since for every  $v \in C_n$ ,  $|N_E[v]| = 3$ , we have

$$f(N_E[v]) \leq -1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -n &\geq \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(N_E[v]) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) + 2 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv) \\ &= f(V) + 2f(E) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(C_n) = f(V) + f(E) \leq -n - f(E) \leq 0$ .

On the other hand, consider the mapping

$$g: V(C_n) \cup E(C_n) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\},$$

such that

$$g(v_i) = 1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n), \quad g(e_i) = -1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Then  $g$  is a reverse total signed vertex dominating function on  $C_n$  and  $w(g) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\gamma_{tsv}^0(C_n) \geq w(g) = 0,$$

which implies  $\gamma_{tsv}^0(C_n) = 0$ .

**Proposition 6** For any complete bipartite graph  $K_{2,n}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $\gamma_{\text{tsv}}^0(K_{2,n}) = 2 - n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{\text{tsv}}^0$ -function. Let

$$U = \{u_1, u_2\}, \quad V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n\},$$

$$V(K_{2,n}) = U_1 \cup V_1$$

and

$$E(K_{2,n}) = \{u_1v_i, u_2v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Since for every  $v \in V$ ,  $|N_E[v]| = 3$ , we have  $f(N_E[v]) \leq -1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\text{tsv}}^0(K_{2,n}) &= f(V) + f(E) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} f(N_E[v]) + f(u_1) + f(u_2) \\ &\leq 2 - n \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider the mapping

$$g : V(K_{2,n}) \cup E(K_{2,n}) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$$

such that  $g(u_1) = g(u_2) = 1$ ,  $g(v_j) = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $g(u_i v_j) = -1$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then  $g$  is a reverse total signed vertex dominating function on  $K_{2,n}$  and  $w(g) = 2 - n$ . Therefore  $\gamma_{\text{tsv}}^0(K_{2,n}) \geq w(g) = 2 - n$ , which implies  $\gamma_{\text{tsv}}^0(K_{2,n}) = 2 - n$ .

### 3. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2012408002), the Educational Commission of Hebei Province (ZH2011122, Z2011157)

and Langfang Teachers College (LSZQ201106).

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Bondy and V. S. R. Murty, "Graph Theory with Application," Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [2] T. T. Chelvam and G. Kalaimurugan, "Bounds for Domination Parameters in Cayley Graphs on Dihedral Group," *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2012, pp. 5-10. [doi:10.4236/ojdm.2012.21002](https://doi.org/10.4236/ojdm.2012.21002)
- [3] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, "Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs," Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [4] G. T. Wang and G. Z. Liu, "Rainbow Matchings in Properly Colored Bipartite Graphs," *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2012, pp. 62-64. [doi:10.4236/ojdm.2012.22011](https://doi.org/10.4236/ojdm.2012.22011)
- [5] J. E. Dunbar, S. T. Hedetniemi, M. A. Henning and P. J. Slater, "Signed Domination in Graphs," *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Applications*, Vol. 1, 1995, pp. 311-322.
- [6] O. Favaron, "Signed Domination in Regular Graphs," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 158, No. 1, 1996, pp. 287-293. [doi:10.1016/0012-365X\(96\)00026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(96)00026-X)
- [7] Z. Zhang, B. Xu, Y. Li and L. Liu, "A Note on the Lower Bounds of Signed Domination Number of a Graph," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 195, No. 1, 1999, pp. 295-298. [doi:10.1016/S0012-365X\(98\)00189-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(98)00189-7)
- [8] X. Z. Lv, "Total Signed Domination Numbers of Graphs," *Science in China A: Mathematics*, Vol. 37, 2007, pp. 573-578.
- [9] X. Z. Lv, "A Lower Bound on the Total Signed Domination Numbers of Graphs," *Science in China Series A: Mathematics*, Vol. 50, 2007, pp. 1157-1162.