

Symmetric Digraphs from Powers Modulo n

Guixin Deng^{1*}, Pingzhi Yuan²

¹School of Mathematics, Guangxi Teachers Education University, Nanning, China

²School of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

E-mail: *oldlao@163.com, mcsypz@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Received June 21, 2011; revised July 25, 2011; accepted August 5, 2011

Abstract

For each pair of positive integers n and k , let $G(n,k)$ denote the digraph whose set of vertices is $H = \{0,1,2,\dots, n-1\}$ and there is a directed edge from $a \in H$ to $b \in H$ if $a^k \equiv b \pmod{n}$. The digraph $G(n,k)$ is symmetric if its connected components can be partitioned into isomorphic pairs. In this paper we obtain all symmetric $G(n,k)$.

Keywords: Congruence, Digraph, Component, Height, Cycle

1. Introduction

In [12], L. Szalay showed that $G(n,2)$ is symmetric if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. In [1], the authors proved that if p is a Fermat prime, then $G(2^r p, 2)$ is not symmetric when $r = 3$ or $r = 5$, but it is symmetric when $k = 4$. And the following theorem is part of Theorem 5.1 in [13].

Theorem 1.1 ([13] Theorem 5.1) Let $n = n_1 n_2$, where $n_1 > 1$, $n_2 > 1$ and $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$. Suppose that $n_1 = 2^m$, where $m \geq 1$. Then $G(n,k)$ is symmetric if one of the following conditions holds:

- i) $m \leq 2$, $k \geq 2$, and $2^{m-1} | k$;
- ii) $m \geq 3$, $k > 2$, and $2^{m-2} | k$;
- iii) $m = 4$ and $k = 2$

In this paper we prove that if $G(n,k)$ is symmetric, where $k \geq 2$ and $2^m || n$, then $m = 5$, $k = 4$ or m, k satisfy one of the conditions of the above theorem.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 3 we obtain all symmetric $G(2^m, k)$ by direct computation. In Section 4 we prove some properties about digraph products which will be useful in the proof of our main theorem. In Section 5 we state and prove the main theorem of the present paper.

2. The Carmichael Lambda-Function

Before proceeding further, we need to review some properties of the Carmichael lambda-function $\lambda(n)$.

Definition 2.1 Let n be a positive integer. Then the Carmichael-lambda-function $\lambda(n)$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(1) &= 1, \\ \lambda(2) &= 1, \\ \lambda(4) &= 2, \\ \lambda(2^k) &= 2^{k-2} \text{ if } k \geq 3, \\ \lambda(p^k) &= (p-1)p^{k-1} \text{ if } p \text{ is an odd prime,} \\ \lambda\left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}\right) &= \text{lcm}\left[\lambda(p_1^{e_1}), \lambda(p_2^{e_2}), \dots, \lambda(p_r^{e_r})\right] \end{aligned}$$

where p_i are distinct primes.

The following theorem generalizes the well-known Euler's theorem which says that $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ if and only if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

Theorem 2.1 (Carmichael). Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a^{\lambda(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ if and only if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Moreover, there exists an integer g such that $\text{ord}_n g = \lambda(n)$, where $\text{ord}_n g$ denotes the multiplicative order of g modulo n .

For the proof see [5, p. 21]

3. The Case $n = 2^m$

Let G be a digraph and a be a vertex in G . The indegree of a , denoted by $\text{ind}(a)$ is the number of directed edges coming to a , and the outdegree of a is the number of edges leaving a . Particularly, let $\text{ind}_n^k(a)$ denote the indegree of a vertex a contained in $G(n,k)$.

There are two particular subdigraphs of $G(n,k)$. Let $G_1(n,k)$ be the induced subdigraph of $G(n,k)$ on the set of vertices which are coprime to n and $G_2(n,k)$ be the induced subdigraph of $G(n,k)$ on the set of vertices which are not coprime with n . We observe that $G_1(n,k)$

and $G_2(n, k)$ are disjoint and that $G(n, k) = G_1(n, k) \cup G_2(n, k)$, that is, no edges goes between $G_2(n, k)$ and $G_2(n, k)$.

It is clear that each component of $G(n, k)$ contains a unique cycle, since the component has only a finite number of vertices and each vertex has outdegree 1. The following lemma tells us that every component contained in $G_1(n, k)$ is determined by its cycle length.

Lemma 3.1 ([13] Corollary 6.4) *Let $t \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then any two components in $G_1(n, k)$ containing t -cycle are isomorphic.*

Definition 3.1 *We define a height function on the vertices and components of $G(n, k)$. Let c be a vertex of $G(n, k)$, we define $h(c)$ to be the minimal nonnegative integer i such that c^{k^i} is congruent modulo n to a cycle vertex in $G(n, k)$. And if C is a component of $G(n, k)$, we define $h(C) = \sup_{c \in C} h(c)$.*

The indegree and the height function play an important role in the structure of $G(n, k)$. We need the following results concerning the indegrees and heights.

Lemma 3.2 ([14]) *Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}$ be the prime factorization of n . Let a be a vertex of positive indegree in $G_1(n, k)$. Then*

$$\text{ind}_n^k(a) = \prod_{i=1}^r \text{ind}_{p_i^{e_i}}^k(a) = \prod_{i=1}^r \delta_i \gcd(\lambda(p_i^{e_i}), k),$$

where $\delta_i = 2$ if $2|k$ and $8|p_i^{e_i}$, and $\delta_i = 1$ otherwise.

Lemma 3.3 ([11] Theorem 3.2) *Let p be a prime. Let a be a vertex of positive indegree in $G_2(p^e, k)$, and assume that $p^l | k$ and $a \neq 0$. Then $l = kt$ for some positive integer t and*

$$\text{ind}_{p^e}^k(a) = \delta p^{(k-1)t} \gcd(\lambda(p^{e-l}), k)$$

where $\delta = 2$ if $p = 2, 2|k$ and $e-l \geq 3$, and $\delta = 1$ otherwise.

Lemma 3.4 ([13] Lemma 3.2) *Let p be a prime and e, k be two positive integers. Then*

$$\text{ind}_{p^e}^k(0) = p^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{k} \right\rfloor}.$$

Lemma 3.5 *Let p be a prime and $e \geq 2, k \geq 2$ be two positive integers. Let h be the positive integer such that $k^{h-1} < e \leq k^h$. Then $h = h(G_2(p^e, k))$.*

Proof. It is clear that $p \in G_2(p^e, k)$ and $h(p) = h(G_2(p^e, k))$. And $p^{k^i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$ if and only if $k^i \geq e$. This proves the Lemma. \square

Lemma 3.6 *Let p be a prime and $e, k \geq 2$ be two positive integers. Let $\lambda(p^e) = uv$ where u is the maximal divisor of $\lambda(p^e)$ relatively prime to k . If G is the component of $G(p^e, k)$ containing 1, then*

$$h(C) = \min \{i : v | k^i\}$$

Proof. Let $h = \min \{i : v | k^i\}$. Then there exists a divisor d of v such that d is not a divisor of k^{h-1} . By Theorem 2.1 there exists a vertex $g \in G(p^e, k)$ such that $\text{ord}_{p^e} g = uv$. Let $a \equiv g^{\frac{uv}{d}} \pmod{p^e}$. Then $\text{ord}_{p^e} a = d$ and $a^{k^{h-1}}$ is not congruent modulo p^e to 1, but $a^{k^h} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}$. We have $h(C) \geq h(a) = h$ by the definition of height function.

Conversely if $a \in C$, then there exists $j \geq 1$ such that $a^{k^j} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}$, then $\text{ord}_{p^e} a | k$. But $\text{ord}_{p^e} a | uv$, hence $\text{ord}_{p^e} a | v$. And $a^{k^h} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}$. That is $h(C) \leq h$. Lemma 3.6 is proved. \square

Now we can prove our first result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $k \geq 2, m \geq 1$ be two positive integers. Then $G(2^m, k)$ is symmetric if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

- i) $m = 1$;
- ii) $m = 2, 2|k$;
- iii) $m = 4, k = 2$;
- iv) $m = 5, k = 4$;
- v) $m \geq 3, 2^{m-2} | k, k \geq m$.

Proof. The case $m < 3$ follows directly by simple computations, so we may assume that $m \geq 3$, thus $\lambda(2) = 2^{m-2}$. We first suppose that $G(2^m, k)$ is symmetric. Let C_0 and C_1 be the components of $G(2^m, k)$ containing the vertex 0 and 1, respectively. Then it is easy to see that C_0 is just $G_2(2^m, k)$. Since the cycle lengths of C_0 and C_1 are 1, by the assumptions and Lemma 3.1 we must have $C_0 \simeq C_1$, thus $h = h(C_0) = h(C_1)$.

If $h = 1$, then $k \geq m$ and $\epsilon \gcd(2^{m-2}, k) = \text{ind}(1) = \text{ind}(0) = 2^{m-1}$, where $\epsilon = 1$ if k is odd, and $\epsilon = 2$ if $2|k$. We must have $2^{m-2} | k$.

If $2 \nmid k$, then C_1 is a cycle, however C_0 is not a cycle. Hence we may assume that $2^r | k, r \geq 1$ and $h \geq 2$. We have $h = h(C_1) = \min \{i : 2^{m-2} | k^i\}$ by Lemma 3.6. It implies that

$$r(h-1) < m-2 \leq rh. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $h = h(C_0)$, by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$k^{h-1} < m \leq k^h. \tag{3.2}$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$2^{r(h-1)} \leq k^{h-1} \leq m-1 \leq rh+1,$$

so $h \leq 3$ and $r \leq 2$. By an easy computation, we have $(m, k, h, r) = (5, 4, 2, 2), (6, 4, 2, 2), (5, 2, 3, 1)$ or $(4, 2, 2, 1)$.

By computations we know that both $G(16, 2)$ and $G(32, 4)$ are symmetric. For $G(32, 2)$ and $G(64, 4)$,

by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we have $\text{ind}_{32}^2(4) = 8$, and for any vertex a in C_1 which has positive indegree, $\text{ind}_{32}^2(a) = 4$. Similarly $\text{ind}_{64}^4(16) = 16$, $\text{ind}_{64}^4(a) = 8$. Thus neither of them are symmetric.

Finally, from Theorem 1.1 it is clear that if m, k satisfy one of $i) - v)$, then $G(2^m, k)$ is symmetric. Theorem 3.1 is proved. \square

4. Properties of Digraphs Product

Given two digraphs G_1 and G_2 . Let $G_1 \times G_2$ be the digraph whose vertices are the ordered pairs (a_1, a_2) , where $a_i \in G_i$ and there is a directed edge from (a_1, a_2) to (b_1, b_2) if there is a directed edge from a_i to b_i for $i = 1, 2$. In [13] L. Somer and M. Krizek proved the following fact: Let $n = n_1 n_2$ where $\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2) = 1$, then $G(n, k) \cong G(n_1, k) \times G(n_2, k)$. And the canonical isomorphism is given by $a \mapsto (a_1, a_2)$ where $a \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. In general we have

$$G(n, k) \cong G(p_1^{e_1}, k) \times G(p_2^{e_2}, k) \times \dots \times G(p_r^{e_r}, k),$$

if $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}$ is the prime factorization of n . We need this fact and the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([4] Lemma 3.1) *Let $n = n_1 n_2$ where $\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2) = 1$. Let C_i be a component of $G(n_i, k)$. And the cycle length of C_i is t_i . Then $C_1 \times C_2$ is a subdigraph of $G(n, k)$ consisting of $\text{gcd}(t_1, t_2)$ components, each having cycles of length $\text{lcm}(t_1, t_2)$.*

Lemma 4.2 *Let $n = n_1 n_2$ where $\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2) = 1$. If $G(n_1, k)$ is symmetric, then $G(n, k)$ is symmetric.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma 4.1 and the fact $G(n, k) \cong G(n_1, k) \times G(n_2, k)$. \square

Lemma 4.3 *If $G(n, k)$ is symmetric, then $G(n, k^r)$ is also symmetric for any $r \geq 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $G(n, k)$ has $2m$ components, say, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{2m} , and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ there exists an isomorphism φ_i of digraphs:

$$\varphi_i : C_i \rightarrow C_{i+m}.$$

If two vertices x, y are in the same component of $G(n, k^r)$, then there exists a vertex z and positive integers u, v and $x^{k^u} \equiv z \pmod{n}$, $y^{k^v} \equiv z \pmod{n}$ which implies that x, y are in the same component of $G(n, k)$. It follows that if D is a component of $G(n, k^r)$, then there exists a $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ such that $D \subseteq C_j$.

Let $C_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s_1} D_j$ and $C_{m+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s_2} E_j$ where $D_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, s_1$ and $E_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, s_2$ are components of $G(n, k^r)$. If $x, y \in C_1$ and $x^{k^r} \equiv y \pmod{n}$, then there exist $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r = y$ such that $x^k \equiv y_1 \pmod{n}$, and $y_i^k \equiv y_{i+1} \pmod{n}$. So $\varphi_1(x)^k \equiv \varphi_1(y) \pmod{n}$ and $\varphi_1(y_i)^k \equiv \varphi_1(y_{i+1}) \pmod{n}$, we get $\varphi_1(x)^{k^r} \equiv \varphi_1(y) \pmod{n}$ and φ_1 still preserves arrows if we consider C_1 and

C_{m+1} as subdigraphs of $G(n, k^r)$

It follows that $s_1 = s_2$ and φ_1 is still an isomorphism if we consider C_1 and C_{m+1} as subdigraphs of $G(n, k^r)$. Hence $G(n, k^r)$ is also symmetric. Lemma 4.3 is proved. \square

Let G be a digraph. Let $|G|$ denote the number of vertices in G , and let $M(G) = \max_{c \in G} \{ \text{ind}(c) \}$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let G and H be two digraphs, and $a \in G, b \in H$. Then $\text{ind}((a, b)) = \text{ind}(a)\text{ind}(b)$, $M(G \times H) = M(G)M(H)$, and $|G \times H| = |G||H|$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the definitions. \square

The following lemma is the key lemma for the proof of the main result of this paper.

Lemma 4.5 *Let O_m denote the digraph whose set of vertices is $\{a = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\}$ and there is a directed edge from a_i to a_j if and only if $a_j = a_0 = a$. Let G and H be two digraphs such that all vertices in G and H have outdegree 1. Then $O_m \times G \cong O_m \times H$ if and only if $G \cong H$.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi : O_m \times G \rightarrow O_m \times H$ is an isomorphism of digraphs. Let

$$G_0 = \{x \in G \mid \text{ind}(x) = 0\}, \quad G_1 = \{x \in G \mid \text{ind}(x) > 0\},$$

$$H_0 = \{x \in H \mid \text{ind}(x) = 0\}, \quad H_1 = \{x \in H \mid \text{ind}(x) > 0\}.$$

If $x \in G_1$ and $\text{ind}((a, x)) = \text{ind}(a)\text{ind}(x) > 0$, then $\text{ind}(\varphi(a, x)) > 0$. Let $\varphi((a, x)) = (a_j, x')$, then we have $x' \in H_1$ and $a_j = a$. Now we define a map $\varphi_1 : G_1 \rightarrow H_1$ by $\varphi_1(x) = x', x \in G_1$. Obviously, φ_1 is injective.

If $y \in H_1$, then there exists a vertex (a, y) of positive indegree in $O_m \times G$ such that $\varphi((a, y)) = (a, y')$. Hence $\varphi_1(y) = y'$ and φ_1 is also surjective.

Now we assume that $x, y \in G_1$, and there is a directed edge from x to y . Let $\varphi_1(x) = x', \varphi_1(y) = y'$, by definition we have $\varphi((a, x)) = (a, x')$ and $\varphi((a, y)) = (a, y')$. We know that there is a directed edge from (a, x) to (a, y) , then there is also a directed edge from (a, x') to (a, y') since φ preserves arrows. So there is also a directed edge from x' and y' . We showed that φ_1 preserves arrows.

For any $y \in G_1$, let

$$E_0(y) = \{x \in G_0 \mid \text{there is a directed edge from } x \text{ to } y\},$$

$$E_1(y) = \{x \in G_0 \mid \text{there is a directed edge from } x \text{ to } y\},$$

then

$$G_0 = \bigcup_{y \in G_1} E_0(y)$$

And the above union is a disjoint union since each vertex has outdegree 1. If $\varphi_1(y) = y'$, by Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{indeg}((a, y)) &= m(|E_0(y)| + |E_1(y)|) \\ &= \text{ind}((a, y')) = m(|E_0(y')| + |E_1(y')|) \end{aligned}$$

and $|E_1(y)| = |E_1(y')|$ since φ_1 maps $E_1(y)$ into $E_1(y')$. Then we also have $|E_0(y)| = |E_0(y')|$. Now we can define a map φ_0 from G_0 to H_0 such that for any $x \in E_0(y)$, $\varphi_0(x) \in E_0(\varphi_1(y))$.

Finally we can define $\phi: G \rightarrow H$

$$\phi(a) = \varphi_i(a) \text{ if } a \in G_i,$$

for $i = 0, 1$. It is easy to show that ϕ is bijective.

Now we prove that ϕ preserve arrows. Suppose $x, y \in G$ and there is a directed edge from x to y . We only need to treat the case when $x \in G_0$ and $y \in G_1$. Let $\phi(y) = \varphi_1(y) = y'$. By the construction of φ_0 we see that $\phi(x) = \varphi_0(x) \in E_0(y')$, so there is also a arrow from $\phi(x)$ to $\phi(y)$. It is easy to show that the number of directed edges of G is equal to the number of directed edges of H . Thus ϕ is an isomorphism. Lemma 4.5 is proved. \square

5. The Main Theorem

To begin with, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *Let E be the component of $G(64q, 4)$ containing the vertex 0 where q is odd and F be another component of $G(64q, 4)$. Then E is not isomorphic to F . And the similar result for $G(32q, 2)$ is also valid.*

Proof. We only prove the case for $G(64q, 4)$, the proof for $G(32q, 2)$ is similar and we omit the details. Assume that $q = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}$ where each p_i is an odd prime, and $e_i \geq 2$ if $i \leq s$, $e_i = 1$ if $s < i \leq r$. Let $\epsilon = 0$ or 1, and let C_ϵ and C_ϵ^i the components of $G(64, 4)$ and $G(p_i^{e_i}, 4)$, containing the vertex ϵ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ respectively. Then

$$E \cong C_0 \times C_0^1 \times \dots \times C_0^r.$$

If the cycle length of $F > 1$, then F is not isomorphic to E . Suppose that the cycle length of F is 1, by Lemma 4.1

$$F \cong C_\epsilon \times F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_r,$$

where F_i is a component of cycle length 1 contained in $G(p_i^{e_i}, 4)$. By Lemma 3.1 we can write

$$F \cong C_{\epsilon_0} \times C_{\epsilon_1}^1 \times \dots \times C_{\epsilon_r}^r,$$

where $\epsilon_i = 0$ or 1. By computations we know that $M(C_0) = 16$, $M(C_1) = 8$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists $u_i \geq 1$ such that $M(C_0^i) = p_i^{u_i}$ or $2p_i^{u_i}$, or $4p_i^{u_i}$ if $1 \leq i \leq s$, $M(C_0^i) = 1$ if $s < i \leq r$. And by Lemma 3.2 $M(C_1^i) = \gcd((p_i - 1)p_i^{e_i - 1}, 4) = 2$ or 4. for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus

$$M(E) = 16 \prod_{i=1}^r M(C_0^i) = 16 \prod_{i=1}^s M(C_0^i),$$

$$M(F) = M(C_\epsilon) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r M(C_{\epsilon_i}^i).$$

Now if $M(E) = M(F)$, we have $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_s = 0$, and if $\epsilon_0 = 0$ then all $\epsilon_i = 0$, $E = F$. If $\epsilon_0 = 1$, then $s = r - 1$ and $\gcd(p_r - 1, k) = 2$. But in this case

$$|E| = |C_0| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r C_0^i = 32 \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} p_i^{e_i - 1},$$

$$|F| = |C_1| \cdot |C_1^r| \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} C_0^i \right).$$

Therefore we have $M(E) \neq M(F)$ or $|E| \neq |F|$, E is not isomorphic to F . Lemma 5.1 is proved. \square

Theorem 5.1 (Main Theorem) *Let $k \geq 2$ and $n = 2^m q$, where $m \geq 1$ and q is odd. Then $G(n, k)$ is symmetric if and only if $G(2^m, k)$ is symmetric.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we only need to prove the necessity. The case $m = 1$ is trivial, so we may assume that $m \geq 2$. Let C_0 be the component of $G(2^m, k)$ containing the vertex 0, and C_1 be the component of $G(2^m, k)$ containing the vertex 1. Let $h_0 = h(C_0)$ and $h_1 = h(C_1)$. We claim that $2|k$ and $h_0 = h_1$. Otherwise we assume firstly that k is odd or $h_0 < h_1$. In both cases we have $G_2(2^m, k^{h_0}) \cong O_{2^{m-1}}$, and if $x \in G(2^m, k^{h_0})$ and $x \neq 0$, then $\text{ind}_{2^m}^{k^{h_0}}(x) < 2^{m-1}$.

By Lemma 4.3 $G(n, k^{h_0})$ is also symmetric and $G(n, k^{h_0}) \cong G(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times G(q, k^{h_0})$. Let

$$G(q, k^{h_0}) \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^s m_i H_i,$$

where each H_i is a connect component such that $H_i \cong H_j$ if and only if $i = j$, and $M(H_i) \leq M(H_j)$ for $i < j$. We can choose an l such that m_l is odd and $2|m_j$ if $j > l$, since $G(q, k^{h_0})$ is not symmetric. Then $G(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^l m_i H_i \right)$ is also symmetric. Let $E = G_2(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times H_l$, by Lemma 4.1 E is a connected component of $G(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^l m_i H_i \right)$ since $G_2(2^m, k^{h_0})$ is a component of cycle length 1. Let F be another component of $G(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^l m_i H_i \right)$. Suppose that

$$E \cong F,$$

by Lemma 4.1 again F is a component of $K \times H_i$, where K is a component of $G(2^m, k^{h_0})$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$. But we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(E) &= M(O_{2^{m-1}} \times H_l) \\ &= 2^{m-1} M(H_l) \\ &\geq M(K) M(H_i) \\ &\geq M(F) \end{aligned}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M(K) = 2^{m-1}$ and $M(H_i) = M(H_l)$, which implies $K = G_2(2^m, k^{h_0})$. But now we have $F = G_2(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times H_i$ and

$$O_{2^{m-1}} \times H_l \cong O_{2^{m-1}} \times H_i.$$

Hence $H_l \cong H_i$ by Lemma 4.5, $i = l$. We show that there are exactly m_l components contained in $G(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times (\bigcup_{i=1}^l m_i H_i)$ which are isomorphic to E .

It is contrary to the fact that $G(2^m, k^{h_0}) \times (\bigcup_{i=1}^l m_i H_i)$ is symmetric.

Now we have $2 \mid k$, if $h_0 > h_1$, consider

$$G(2^m, k^{h_1}) = G_1(2^m, k^{h_1}) \cup G_2(2^m, k^{h_1}).$$

We have $G_1(2^m, k^{h_1}) \cong O_{2^{m-1}}$ and

$$M(G_2(2^m, k^{h_1})) < M(G_1(2^m, k^{h_1})).$$

Using the same arguments we can show that $G(n, k^{h_1})$ is not symmetric. Hence $h_0 = h_1 = h$.

If $h = 1$, then for any vertex $a \in G(2^m, k)$, we have $a^k \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$ if a is even and $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{2^m}$ if a is odd. It implies that $G(2^m, k) \cong 2O_{2^{m-1}}$. $G(2^m, k)$ is symmetric in this case.

If $h > 1$, then $m \geq 3$. Assume that $2^r \parallel k$, then we have (3.1) and (3.2), by the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have $(m, k) = (5, 4), (6, 4), (5, 2)$ or $(4, 2)$. Then the proof is completed by Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 5.1 *Let n, k be two positive integers and $2^m \parallel n, m \geq 1$. Then $G(n, k)$ is symmetric if and only if $k = 1$ or k, m satisfy one of (i) - (v) in Theorem 3.1.*

6. References

- [1] W. Carlip and M. Mincheva, "Symmetry of Iteration Digraphs," *Czechoslovak Mathematic Journal*, Vol. 58, No. 1, 2008, pp. 131-145. [doi:10.1007/s10587-008-0009-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-008-0009-8)
- [2] G. Chartrand and L. Lesnick, "Graphs and Digraphs (3rd Edition)," Chapman Hall, London, 1996.
- [3] Wun-Seng Chou and Igor E. Shparlinski, "On the Cycle Structure of Repeated Exponentiation Modulo a Prime," *Journal of Number Theory*, Vol.107, No. 2, 2004, pp. 345-356. [doi:10.1016/j.jnt.2004.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2004.04.005)
- [4] Joe Kramer-Miller, "Structural Properties of Power Digraphs Modulo n ," Manuscript.
- [5] M. Krizek, F. Lucas and L. Somer, "17 Lectures on the Fermat Numbers, from Number Theory to Geometry," Springer, New York, 2001.
- [6] C. Lucheta, E. Miller and C. Reiter, "Digraphs from Powers Modulo p ," *Fibonacci Quart*, Vol. 34, 1996, pp. 226-239.
- [7] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman and H. L. Montgomery, "An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers," 5th Edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1991.
- [8] T. D. Rogers, "The Graph of the Square Mapping on the Prime Fields," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 148, No. 1-23, 1996, pp. 317-324. [doi:10.1016/0012-365X\(94\)00250-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(94)00250-M)
- [9] L. Somer and M. Krizek, "On a Connection of Number Theory with Graph Theory," *Czechoslovak Mathematic Journal*, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2004, pp. 465-485. [doi:10.1023/B:CMAJ.0000042385.93571.58](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CMAJ.0000042385.93571.58)
- [10] L. Somer and M. Krizek, "Structure of Digraphs Associated with Quadratic Congruences with Composite Moduli," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 306, No. 18, 2006, pp. 2174-2185. [doi:10.1016/j.disc.2005.12.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2005.12.026)
- [11] L. Somer and M. Krizek, "On Semiregular Digraphs of the Congruence $x^k \equiv y \pmod{n}$," *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2007, pp. 41-58.
- [12] L. Szalay, "A Discrete Iteration in Number Theory," *BDFTFud. KÅozl*, Vol. 8, 1992, pp. 71-91.
- [13] L. Somer and M. Krizek, "On Symmetric Digraphs of the Congruence $x^k \equiv y \pmod{n}$," *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 309, No. 8, 2009, pp. 1999-2009. [doi:10.1016/j.disc.2008.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.04.009)
- [14] B. Wilson, "Power Digraphs Modulo n ," *Fibonacci Quart*, Vol. 36, 1998, pp. 229-239.