

# Stechkin-Marchaud Type Inequalities in $L_p$ for Linear Combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer Operators

Guo Feng<sup>1</sup>

1.School of Mathematics and Information Engineering,  
 Taizhou University,  
 Zhejiang,Taizhou 317000, China  
 e-mail : gfeng@tzc.edu.cn

Meiqin Ke<sup>2</sup>

2.Library, Taizhou University,  
 Zhejiang,Taizhou 317000, China  
 e-mail :mqke@tzc.edu.cn

**Abstract**—In this paper, we use the equivalence relation between K-functional and modulus of smoothness, and give the Stechkin-Marchaud-type inequalities for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators . Moreover, we obtain the inverse result of approximation for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators with  $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; x)$  . Meanwhile we unify and extend some previous results.

**Keywords**- Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators; linear combination; K-functional;Stechkin-Marchaud-type inequalities; modulus of smoothness

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let  $f \in L_p[0, 1], (1 \leq p < \infty)$  . The Bernstein-Durrmeyer

operator  $D_n(f; x) (n \in \mathbb{N} := \text{set of naturals})$  is defined as follows

$$D_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x)(n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$ .

which was first introduced and investigated by Derriennic[1] in 1985. The Linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators given by

$$O_{n,r}(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} c_i(n) D_{n_i}(f; x), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $n_i$  and  $c_i(n)$  satisfy:

$$i) n \leq n_0 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_{2r-1} \leq c_n, \quad ii) \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} c_i(n) = 1,$$

$$iii) \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} |c_i(n)| \leq M,$$

$$iv) \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} c_i(n) D_{n_i}((t-x)^m; x) = 0, m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r-1. \quad (1.3)$$

Ditzian and Ivanov [2], Zhou [3], Guo and Li [4] studied the Linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators, and obtained the characterization of approximation, the

relationship of differential and modulus of smoothness for  $O_{n,r}(f; x)$ .

In this paper, we first establish Bernstein-type inequality with parameter  $\lambda$  for  $O_{n,r}(f; x)$  . After that, we use the equivalence relation between K-functional and modulus of smoothness, and give the Stechkin-Marchaud type inequalities in  $f \in L_p[0, 1]$  for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators. Moreover, we obtain the inverse result of approximation for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators with  $\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; x)$  . Meanwhile we unify and extend [2-4] results.

First, we introduce some useful definitions and notations.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\varphi^\lambda(x) = x(1+x), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 1 \leq p < \infty$ .

The modulus of smoothness by

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t)_p = \sup_{0 \leq h < t} \left\| \Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^{2r} f \right\|_p,$$

where

$$\Delta_h^r f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^k f(x + (\frac{r}{2} - k)h), [x - \frac{rh}{2}, x + \frac{rh}{2}] \subseteq [0, 1],$$

otherwise  $\Delta_h^r f(x) = 0$ .

The K-functional by

$$K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p = \inf_{g \in G} \left\{ \|f - g\|_p + t^{2r} \left\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)} \right\|_p \right\},$$

where

$$G = \left\{ g \mid g \in L_p[0, 1], g^{(2r-1)} \in A.C._{loc}, \varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)} \in L_p[0, 1] \right\}.$$

By [5, pp.10-11], there exists  $M > 0$ , such that

$$M^{-1}K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p \leq MK_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p.$$

We are now in a position to state our main results.

**Theorem1.1.**

$f \in G, r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \delta_n(x) = \varphi(x)$

$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , one has the Steckin-Marchaud inequality

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; n^{-\frac{r}{2}}\delta_n^{r(1-\lambda)}(x))_p \leq Mn^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p.$$

**Theorem1.2.** Let  $f \in G, r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2r$ . Then

$$\|O_{n,r}(f) - f\|_p = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x)) \Rightarrow \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t)_p = O(t^\alpha).$$

**Remark 1.3.** For the inverse result, it is obvious that the result of [2] is a special case of the Theorem 1.2 with  $\lambda = 1$ , the result of [3] is a special case of the Theorem 1.2 with  $\lambda = 0, p = \infty$ , and the result of [4] is a special case of the Theorem 1.2 with  $p = \infty$ .

Throughout this paper,  $M$  denotes a positive constant independent of  $n$  and  $f$  which may be different in different places.

I. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

To prove the theorems, we need also the following Lemmas.

**Lemma2.1.** If  $c < \frac{1}{2}, d < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t)t^{-c}(1-t)^{-d} dt \leq Mn^{-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{-d}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Proof.** We notice [5, pp.164]

$$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t)t^\eta dt \leq Mn^{-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^\eta, \quad \eta > -1,$$

$$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t)(1-t)^\xi dt \leq Mn^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)^\xi, \quad \xi > -1.$$

Using Holder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t)t^{-c}(1-t)^{-d} dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t)t^{-2c} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t)(1-t)^{-2d} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq Mn^{-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma2.2.** If  $c \geq 0, d \geq 0, x > 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{-d} \leq Mx^{-c}(1-x)^{-d}. \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** We notice [5, pp.164]

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^l \leq Mx^{-l}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1}\right)^\zeta \leq M(1-x)^{-\zeta}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For  $c = 0, d = 0$ , the result of (2.2) is obvious.

For  $c > 0, d > 0$ , using Holder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{-d} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{-2c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{-2d}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{[2c]+1}\right)^{\frac{c}{[2c]+1}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1}\right)^{[2d]+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{[2d]+1}} \\ & \leq M \left(x^{-([2c]+1)}\right)^{\frac{c}{[2c]+1}} \left((1-x)^{-([2d]+1)}\right)^{\frac{d}{[2d]+1}} \leq Mx^{-c}(1-x)^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $c > 0, d = 0$ , or  $c = 0, d > 0$ , the proof is similar. Thus, this proof is complete.

**Lemma2.3.** For  $f \in L_p[0,1], r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \delta_n(x) =$

$\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, n \geq 2r$ , one has the Bernstein-type inequality

$$\|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}\|_p \leq Mn^r \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f\|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** For  $p = 1$ , if

$$x \in E_n = \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right], \varphi^{-\xi}(x) \leq n^{\frac{\xi}{2}},$$

$\xi > 0$ , by simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) &= (x(1-x))^{-2r} \sum_{i=0}^{2r} Q_i(x, n) n^i \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with  $Q_i(x, n)$  is a polynomial in  $nx(1-x)$  of degree  $\lfloor (2r-i)/2 \rfloor$  with non-constant bounded coefficients. Therefore,

$$|Q_i(x, n)n^i| \leq M(x(1-x))^{r-\frac{1}{2}} n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in E_n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(x)(f; x)| &\leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \varphi^{-i}(x) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Note that [5, pp.129]

$$\int_{E_n} \varphi^{-2m}(x) p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^{2m} dx \leq Mn^{-m-1},$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f)\|_{l(E_n)} &\leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi^{-i}(x) p_{n,k}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du \right| \\ &\leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) |f(u)| du \\ &\leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

If  $x \in E_n^c = [0, \frac{1}{n}) \cup (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1]$ , then  $\frac{n!}{(n-2r)!} \sim n^{2r}$ ,

$\|\varphi^{2r\lambda}\|_\infty \sim n^{-r\lambda}$ ,  $\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(x) dx = \frac{1}{n}$ . By simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) &= \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} p_{n-2r,k}(x)(n+1) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \sum_{j=0}^{2r} (-1)^j \binom{2r}{j} p_{n,k+j}(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f)\|_{l(E_n^c)} &\leq Mn^{2r(2-\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} \int_0^1 p_{n-2r,k}(x) dx \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \binom{2r}{j} (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k+j}(u) |f(u)| du \\ &\leq Mn^{2r(2-\lambda)} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \binom{2r}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} \int_0^1 p_{n,k+j}(u) |f(u)| du \\ &\leq Mn^{2r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

For  $p = \infty$ , if  $x \in E_n$ , by(2.5) we can now write

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x) D_n^{(2r)}(f; x)| &\leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \varphi^{-i}(x) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) du \\ &\leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_\infty \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

If  $x \in E_n^c$ , by (2.7), the proof is similar to that (2.9),

it is enough to show

$$|\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x) D_n^{(2r)}(f; x)| \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2.10)$$

By (2.6), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) applying Riesz-Thorin theorem, we get

$$\|\varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_p \leq Mn^r \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f\|_p.$$

Combining (iii) of (1.3), we obtain

$$\|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \leq Mn^r \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \|f\|_p.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $f \in G, r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, n > 2r$ , Then

$$\|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \leq M \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)}\|_p. \quad (2.11)$$

**Lemma 2.5.** If  $f \in G, r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p &\leq Mn^{r-1} \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3., Lemma2.4., note that  $O_{1,r}^{(2r)} = 0$ ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p &\leq n^{-r} \|\varphi^{2r} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(O_{k,r}(f))\|_p \\ &\quad + n^{-r} \|\varphi^{2r} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(O_{k,r}(f) - f)\|_p \\ &\leq M_2 n^{-r} \|\varphi^{2r} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \\ &\quad + M_1 \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We write  $\|O_{q,r}(f) - f\|_p = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p$ .

For  $\|O_{q,r}(f) - f\|_p$ , there exists  $M_3$ , and  $k : 1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

such that  $\|O_{q,r}(f) - f\|_p \leq M \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & M_2 n^{-r} \|\varphi^{2r} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \\ & \leq \frac{M_2}{n^r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(O_{1,r}(f) - f)\|_p \\ & + \frac{M_2}{n^r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(O_{1,r}(f))\|_p \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)} \|O_{1,r}(f) - f\|_p \\ & + M_2^2 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)} \|O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)} \|O_{q,r}(f) - f\|_p \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 M_3 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)} \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p. \quad (2.13) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \leq \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)$ ,

by (2.12), (2.13), we have

$$\|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \leq M n^{r-1} \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p.$$

where  $M = M_1 + M_1 M_2 M_3$ .

## 2. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. For  $n > 2$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

such that  $\frac{n}{2} \leq m \leq n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|O_{m,r}(f) - f\|_p &= \min_{\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n} \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p, \\ \|O_{m,r}(f) - f\|_p &\leq 2n^{-1} \sum_{\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n} \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the definition of  $K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p$ , and

Lemma 2.5., note that  $\delta_m^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \leq \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; n^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x))_p \\ & \leq \|O_{m,r}(f) - f\|_p + n^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{m,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + M n^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^m \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p \\ & \leq M n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

By relationship of K-functional and modulus of smoothness, we get

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \delta_n^{r(1-\lambda)})_p \leq M n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem !.

Proof of Theorem2.

Proof. By  $\|O_{n,r}(f) - f\|_p \leq M \left( n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x) \right)$ , According

to the definition of  $K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p &\leq \|f - O_{n,r}(f)\|_p + t^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \\ &\leq M \left[ (n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x))^\alpha + t^{2r} \left( \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_n^{(2r)}(f - g)\|_p \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_n^{(2r)}(g)\|_p \right) \right] \\ &\leq M \left[ (n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x))^\alpha + t^{2r} \left( n^r \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|f - g\|_p \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\|_p \right) \right] \\ &\leq M \left( (n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n^{1-\lambda}(x))^\alpha + \frac{t^{2r}}{n^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x)} K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; n^{-r} \varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)})_p \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Berens-Lorens theorem, and relationship of K-functional and modulus of smoothness, we have

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t)_p \leq M t^\alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M..M..Derriennic, "On Multivariate Approximation by Bernstein-type Polynomials," J. Approx. Theory, vol.45, pp. 155-156, 1985.
- [2] Z. Ditzian, K. G. Ivanov " Bernstein-type operators and their derivatives," J Approx. Theory, vol.56, pp.72-90, 1989 .
- [3] D.X.Zhou, "On smoothness characterized by Bernstein type operators," J. Approx Theory, vol.81, pp.303-315, 1995.
- [4] S.S. Guo , C.X.Li , "Approxition by Linear Combinations of Bernstein-Durrmeyer Operators,"

- Journal of Lanzhou University (Natural Sciences) , vol. 36(6), pp. 13-16, 2000.
- [5] Z.Ditzian, V.Totik, “ Moduli of Smoothness,”Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [6] V. Wiekereen, weakp type inequalities for Kantorovich polynomials and related operators. Indng Math., 1987, 90(1).111-120