



# Analysis of Factors Affecting the Hidden Costs of Construction Projects Factor Analysis

Shuyang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Jiaye Wu<sup>2\*</sup>, Yue Yue<sup>1</sup>, Yingqian Zhang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Management of Sichuan University of Science & Engineering, Zigong, China

<sup>2</sup>Sichuan Shengtuo Testing Technology Co., LTD., Zigong, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Civil Engineering of Sichuan University of Science & Engineering, Zigong, China

Email: \*931074431@qq.com

**How to cite this paper:** Liu, S.Y., Wu, J.Y., Yue, Y. and Zhang, Y.Q. (2019) Analysis of Factors Affecting the Hidden Costs of Construction Projects Factor Analysis. *Open Access Library Journal*, 6: e5665. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1105665>

**Received:** August 6, 2019

**Accepted:** August 19, 2019

**Published:** August 22, 2019

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

Since the hidden costs of construction projects are subtle and strong, difficult to quantify, it has not yet resulted in completing research system. This paper analyzes the literature to identify the concepts and forms of hidden costs, then summarizes the factors affecting the construction of 20 projects in hidden costs. Factor analysis extracted six comprehensive factor variables to represent the most influential factors, propose appropriate measures to control construction projects of hidden costs, and lay the foundation for further quantifying the hidden costs for these factors.

## Subject Areas

Engineering Management

## Keywords

Factor Analysis, Construction Project, Hidden Cost, Common Factor Variable

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, with the continuous expansion of the scale of China's construction industry, some problems of construction companies have gradually emerged, and the more prominent is the issue of cost management. The cost of a construction project includes not only the explicit costs on the financial statements, but also the hidden costs that are hard to quantify. The hidden cost is the same as the categorical cost, which is a part of the total cost of the project, which seriously affects the development of construction enterprises [1]. If you do not control hidden costs, it will cause the company to lose a lot of benefits. Therefore, only

by taking the hidden costs seriously, fully understanding and effectively controlling the hidden costs can get the maximum benefit.

## 2. Identification of Factors Affecting the Hidden Cost of Construction Engineering Projects

### 2.1. Determination of the Influencing Factors of Hidden Costs of Construction Projects

The influencing factors of hidden costs of construction projects are many and complex. In order to fully identify various influencing factors, it is necessary in order to classify them in a specific way [2]. From the perspective of the entire project, people are the main body of management and belong to the various participants of the project, which is the main source of hidden costs of the project. Therefore, the main participants of the project are divided into the owner, the designer and the construction party, and through the consultation of relevant literature, the construction phase of the project is determined through consultation and interviews with professionals in the design, construction, construction and universities. There are 20 influencing factors of hidden costs, as showing in **Table 1**.

**Table 1.** Table of factors affecting hidden costs of construction projects.

Influencing factor number	Influencing factor	
$X_1$	Project organization structure design	
$X_2$	Subcontract management	
$X_3$	The degree of perfection of the system and mechanism	
$X_4$	Information transfer rate	
$X_5$	Quality and technical level of personnel	
Construction party influence factors	$X_6$	Safety production management
	$X_7$	Construction schedule
	$X_8$	Selection of construction plan
	$X_9$	Mechanical equipment repair and maintenance
	$X_{10}$	Construction site layout
	$X_{11}$	Construction machinery selection
Owner's influence factor	$X_{12}$	Initiative for staff work
	$X_{13}$	project changes
	$X_{14}$	Progress payment amount
	$X_{15}$	The reward and punishment mechanism is unfair
Designer influence factor	$X_{16}$	Unreasonable construction quality requirements
	$X_{17}$	Incomplete procedures
	$X_{18}$	Unreasonable design
	$X_{19}$	Insufficient design
	$X_{20}$	Pattern delivery lag

## 2.2. Expert Scoring Method and Sample Data Inspection

The data of quantitative analysis of influencing factors are collected by experts. The designed questionnaire mainly includes two parts: basic information and metrics. The basic information is the personal information of the experts, such as the work unit, the number of years of employment, professional titles, etc. It is used to score the influence degree of the influencing factors and record the relevant suggestions given by the respondents. The questionnaire is measured by the Likert 5 subscale, and 1 - 5 represents the degree of influence from low to high [3], that is, “no impact”, “slightly affected”, “influenced in general”, “large impact”, “very influential” “Large”, invited experts in the construction industry to judge the importance of the influencing factors of hidden costs of engineering projects according to their theoretical level and practical experience. The questionnaire was made available through interviews and emails. A total of 100 questionnaires were distributed and 98 valid questionnaires were sent back.

Because the experience of survey experts is different, and the influencing factors are altered in different engineering projects. The experts participating in the survey will also have a bias in understanding the importance of the influencing factors. In order to ensure that the sample data of the survey meet the reliability requirements, it is necessary in order to verify the validity of the consistency. Using the SPSS statistical analysis software, the Cronbach  $\alpha$  coefficient was selected as the calculation test method, and the reliability test results are presented in **Table 2**.

As can be seen from **Table 2**, the Cronbach  $\alpha$  coefficient of the reliable risk analysis of the questionnaire data is 0.866, and the standardized Cronbach  $\alpha$  coefficient is 0.916, both of which are greater than 0.8, indicating that the questionnaire is included in the questionnaire. Measures of the 22 influencing factors are effective and credible and can meet the needs of statistical analysis.

## 3. Analysis of Factors Affecting the Hidden Costs of Construction Projects Factor Analysis

Factor analysis is the study of how lost at a minimum the amount of information extracted several comprehensive factors representative variables from a number of original variables, and how to make it multivariate data analysis methods with a strong interpretability [4]. Factor analysis is utilized to analyze and evaluate the metric data of the hidden cost of the project, and several common factors affecting the hidden cost of the project can be obtained. When the project implicit cost control is carried out, it is easier to understand. Live the principal contradiction of the problem, thereby improving decision-making efficiency and controlling performance.

**Table 2.** Reliability statistics.

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha is based on standardized items	Number of items
0.866	0.916	22

### 3.1. Applicability of Factor Analysis

Statistical analysis of sample data using factor analysis begins with a suitability test for factor analysis of influencing factor variables, including KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) test and Bartlett sphericity variable partiality test. To examine whether the factor variables are suitable for factor analysis, the test results are shown in **Table 3**.

According to the statistical principle of KMO test and Bartlett sphericity test [5], the factor analysis applicability test of 22 influential factor variable sample data shows that the value of KMO is  $0.677 > 0.5$ , which satisfies the reference standard given by the statistician Kaiser. Explain that the sample data is suitable for factor analysis; in addition, the correlation probability value obtained by the Bartlett sphericity test is less than 0.05, so the rejection of the statistical matrix is the original hypothesis of the identity matrix, and also indicates that there is a correlation between the influential factors. Perform factor analysis.

### 3.2. Extract Common Factor

Using the principal component analysis method, the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix of the influencing factor variables is obtained. The results are shown in **Table 4**.

Factor analysis generally selects factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 [6]. It can be seen from **Table 4** that the eigenvalues of the first six factors are greater than 1, and should be extracted. And the percentage of variance explained by the accumulation of the first six factors is 81.012%, indicating that most of the information in the original factor variables can be reflected by these six factors.

### 3.3. Factor Load Analysis and Public Factor Naming

In order to obtain professional actors, it is necessary to rotate the common factors so that the information difference of each factor is expanded as much as possible [7]. The variance of the correlation matrix of the influencing factor variable is maximized by orthogonal rotation (Varimax). See **Table 5** for the factor load after rotation and **Table 6** for the factor load matrix.

If the load of the factor in the matrix is greater than 0.5, the variable is considered to be very significant in the common factor [8]. Therefore, in the factor load matrix after rotation, find out the factor variables that have a greater influence on each common factor, synthesize their actual meanings and characteristics, and name the common factors, see **Table 7**.

**Table 3.** KMO and Bartlett test.

	Sampling sufficient Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin metric	0.677
	Approximate Chi-Square	3576.398
Bartlett's sphericity test	Degree of freedom	120
	Significant level	0.000

**Table 4.** Principal component analysis table.

Composition	Initial eigenvalue			Extracting the sum of squared loads		
	Total	Percentage of variance	Cumulative %	Total	Percentage of variance	Cumulative %
1	4.891	24.317	24.317	3.891	24.317	24.317
2	3.163	16.770	41.087	3.163	19.770	41.087
3	2.866	14.915	56.002	2.866	17.915	56.002
4	2.226	12.910	68.912	2.226	13.910	68.912
5	1.482	7.264	76.176	1.482	9.264	76.176
6	1.224	4.836	81.012	1.224	4.836	81.012
7	0.852	3.886	84.898			
8	0.602	3.356	88.254			
9	0.521	3.157	91.411			
10	0.433	2.807	94.218			
11	0.233	2.208	95.977			
12	0.181	1.739	96.108			
13	0.118	0.739	97.847			
14	0.106	0.666	98.512			
15	0.090	0.563	99.075			
16	0.049	0.309	99.384			
17	0.043	0.269	99.653			
18	0.038	0.152	99.805			
19	0.022	0.111	99.916			
20	0.018	0.084	100.000			

**Table 5.** Rotated factor load table.

Composition	Sum of squared rotational loads		
	Total	Percentage of variance	Cumulative %
1	3.427	21.421	21.421
2	2.841	14.757	36.178
3	2.792	14.451	50.629
4	2.448	13.300	63.929
5	2.120	9.248	73.177
6	1.850	7.835	81.012

**Table 6.** Rotating factor load matrix.

Factor variable	Composition					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
$X_1$	0.052	-0.098	0.107	0.066	0.857	-0.003
$X_2$	-0.172	0.658	0.073	0.019	0.214	-0.204
$X_3$	0.153	0.393	-0.158	0.530	0.130	-0.143
$X_4$	-0.063	0.078	0.717	-0.027	0.030	0.273
$X_5$	-0.036	0.477	-0.030	0.096	0.260	0.302
$X_6$	-0.024	0.577	0.105	0.218	0.253	-0.172
$X_7$	0.090	0.150	0.015	0.792	-0.177	0.120
$X_8$	0.567	0.089	0.063	-0.170	-0.034	0.278
$X_9$	0.684	0.026	-0.054	0.213	0.022	0.095
$X_{10}$	0.598	0.156	-0.295	-0.078	0.369	0.058
$X_{11}$	0.556	0.240	-0.144	0.090	0.388	-0.051
$X_{12}$	-0.118	0.097	0.546	0.445	0.103	-0.132
$X_{13}$	-0.047	0.674	-0.065	0.193	-0.100	0.432
$X_{14}$	0.565	0.101	-0.012	-0.036	0.023	0.347
$X_{15}$	0.412	0.076	0.040	-0.083	-0.036	0.615
$X_{16}$	0.142	0.796	-0.054	-0.112	0.005	0.145
$X_{17}$	0.326	0.635	-0.078	-0.054	0.030	0.046
$X_{18}$	0.396	0.229	0.055	0.541	-0.354	0.205
$X_{19}$	0.837	-0.017	0.187	0.023	-0.042	0.123
$X_{20}$	0.669	0.095	0.314	-0.021	0.129	-0.011

**Table 7.** KMO and Bartlett test.

Common factor number	Influence factor variable	Public factor naming
$Y_1$	$X_{19}, X_9, X_{20}, X_{15}, X_{10}, X_8, X_{14}, X_{11}$	Participant skill level
$Y_2$	$X_{16}, X_{13}, X_2, X_{17}, X_6$	Construction management
$Y_3$	$X_4, X_{12}, X_{20}$	Level of participation
$Y_4$	$X_7, X_{18}, X_3$	Pre-construction design plan
$Y_5$	$X_1$	Project organization structure design
$Y_6$	$X_{15}$	Progress payment

Measures to control the hidden costs of construction projects usually include contract measures, technical measures, economic measures, and management measures. The following is a combination of six common factors to make recommendations for controlling the hidden costs of construction projects. The technological level of the participants is the most important thing factor. From the perspective of management measures, active control of hidden costs, increase

of preventive input, increases the level of hidden cost management, thereby reducing the probability of unnecessary costs, and ultimately achieves control of construction projects. The purpose of the cost of sex. From the perspective of construction management, construction companies can adopt certain management measures to become passively accepted as operational control. From the perspective of the level of cooperation of the participating parties, construction enterprises can adopt contractual measures to transfer some uncontrollable hidden costs through contract, so as to reduce the hidden costs of construction projects. Construction enterprises can reduce the incidence of pre-construction design planning and project organization structure design on the hidden costs of construction projects through contractual measures and economic measures. Construction enterprises should adopt technical measures to fully consider the impact of the regional economy on hidden costs and formulate corresponding pre-control strategies. The introduction of six common factors allows construction companies to more clearly grasp the key points affecting cost control, and the contract, technology, economic and management measures proposed by combining six factors help construction enterprises to better improve hidden cost management. Reduce hidden costs.

#### **4. Conclusions**

From the perspective of the project participants, the influencing factors of the hidden costs of the project are summarized, and six common factors are extracted to provide decision makers with targeted control measures.

According to the actual situation of different projects, different weights are given to the extracted common factor variables, which help decision makers to evaluate the control of hidden costs of construction projects.

Although this paper conducts a preliminary quantitative analysis of the influencing factors of the hidden costs of construction projects, the interrelationship between the various influencing factors still needs in-depth exploration and research.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### **References**

- [1] Yang, J.L. (2008) Research on Hidden Cost Control of Construction Enterprise Projects. Beijing JiaoTong University, Beijing.
- [2] Gu, T.Y. (2011) Research on the Influencing Factors and Control Strategies of Hidden Costs in the Construction Phase of Construction Projects. Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, Xi'an.
- [3] Sun, C.L., Song, H., Yan, X.F., *et al.* (2013) Construction of the Construction Project Manager's Ability Index System. *Forecast*, 1, 72-76.
- [4] Du, Y.B. (2009) Research on Performance Evaluation of China's Real Estate Listed

Companies Based on Factor Analysis. Chongqing University, Chongqing.

- [5] Zhang, W.Z. and Zhong, Y.F. (2013) IBM SPSS Data Analysis and Mining Case Study Essence. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 229-232.
- [6] Sun, X. (2009) An Application of Factor Analysis Method in Life Quality Assessment of Urban Residents in Jiangsu Province. Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing.
- [7] Zhang, S.L. and Li, P. (2011) An Empirical Study on Risk Factors of Architectural Engineering Design Based on Factor Analysis. *China Safety Science Journal*, **3**, 131-138.
- [8] Reise, S.P., Waller, N.G. and Comery, A.L. (2000) Factor Analysis and Scale Revision. *Psychological Assessment*, **12**, 287-297.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.12.3.287>