



Fixed Point Results for Weakly C -Contraction Mapping in Modular Metric Spaces

Jinwei Zhao*, Qianqian Zhao*, Bo Jin#, Linan Zhong#

Department of Mathematics, Yanbian University, Yanji, China

Email: *948197571@qq.com, #zhonglinan2000@126.com

How to cite this paper: Zhao, J.W., Zhao, Q.Q., Jin, B. and Zhong, L.N. (2018) Fixed Point Results for Weakly C -Contraction Mapping in Modular Metric Spaces. *Open Access Library Journal*, 5: e4061. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1104061>

Received: October 23, 2017

Accepted: January 28, 2018

Published: January 31, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly C -contraction mapping in modular metric spaces. And we established some fixed point results in w -complete spaces. Our results encompass various generalizations of Banach contraction.

Subject Areas

Mathematical Analysis

Keywords

Modular Metric Spaces Weakly C -Contraction Fixed Point Theory

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory has absorbed many mathematicians since 1922 with the celebrated Banach contraction principle (see [1]). It is one of the most useful results in nonlinear analysis, functional analysis and topology. Due to its application in mathematics, the Banach contraction principle has been generalized in many directions (see [2] [3] [4]).

Chatterjea in [5] introduced the notion of C -contraction which is a generalization of the Banach contraction.

Definition 1.1. [5] A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ where (X, d) is a metric space is said to be a C -contraction if there exists $\alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ the following inequality holds:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha (d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) \quad (1)$$

*Co-first authors.

*Corresponding authors.

Chatteriea in [5] proved that if X is complete, then every C -contraction mapping have a unique fixed point.

The notion of C -contraction was generalized to a weak C -contraction by Choudhury in [6].

Definition 1.2. [6] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map. Then T is called a weakly C -contraction (or a weak C -contraction) if there exists $\varphi : [0 \rightarrow \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0 \rightarrow \infty)$ which is continuous, and $\varphi(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = 0$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] - \varphi(d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)), \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

In [6] the author proved that if X is a complete metric space, then every weakly C -contraction has a unique fixed point. This fixed point theory was generalized to a complete, partially ordered metric space in [7] and a ordered 2-metric space in [8].

In 2006, Chistyakov introduced the notion of modular metric space in [9]. Recently, there have been many interesting results in the field of existence and uniqueness of fixed point in complete modular metric (see [10] [11]). In this paper, we will establish fixed point theorems for weakly C -contraction in modular metric space. The presented results extend some recent results in the literature.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper \mathbb{N} will denote the set of natural numbers.

The notion of modular metric space was introduced by Chistyakov in [9] [12] [13], who proved some fixed point results in such kind of spaces.

Let X be a nonempty set. Throughout this paper, for a function $w : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we write

$$w_\lambda(x, y) = w(\lambda, x, y), \tag{3}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.1. [9] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $w : (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a metric modular on X if it satisfies, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following condition:

- 1) $w_\lambda(x, y) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- 2) $w_\lambda(x, y) = w_\lambda(y, x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$;
- 3) $w_{\lambda+\mu}(x, y) \leq w_\lambda(x, z) + w_\mu(z, y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

If instead of (i) we have only the condition (i')

$$w_\lambda(x, x) = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda > 0, x \in X,$$

then w is said to be a pseudomodular (metric) on X .

An important property of the (metric) pseudomodular on set X is that the mapping $\lambda \mapsto w_\lambda(x, y)$ is non increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.2. [9] Let w is a pseudomodular on X . Fixed $x_0 \in X$. The set

$$X_w = X_w(x_0) = \{x \in X : w_\lambda(x, x_0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty\}$$

is said to be a modular metric space (around x_0).

Definition 2.3. [14] Let X_w be a modular metric space.

1) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X_w is said to be w -convergent to $x \in X_w$ if and only if $w_\lambda(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$;

2) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X_w is said to be w -Cauchy if $w_\lambda(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$;

3) A subset C of X_w is said to be w -complete if any w -Cauchy sequence in C is a convergent sequence and its limit is in C .

Definition 2.4. [15] Let w be a metric modular on X and X_w be a modular metric space induced by w . If X_w is a w -complete modular metric space and $T : X_w \rightarrow X_w$ be an arbitrary mapping T is called a contraction if for each $x, y \in X_w$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ there exists $0 \leq k < 1$ such that

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq kw_\lambda(x, y). \tag{4}$$

In [15] Chirasak proved that if X_w is a w -complete modular metric space, then contraction mapping T has a unique fixed point. At the same time, the author proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. [15] Let w be a metric modular on X , X_w be a w -complete modular metric space induced by w and $T : X_w \rightarrow X_w$. If

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq k(w_{2\lambda}(Tx, x) + w_{2\lambda}(Ty, y)), \tag{5}$$

for all $x, y \in X_w$ and for all $\lambda > 0$, where $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then T has a unique fixed point in X_w . Moreover, for any $x \in X_w$, iterative sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let w be a metric modular on X , X_w be a w -complete modular metric space induced by w and $T : X_w \rightarrow X_w$. If

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq k(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)), \tag{6}$$

for all $x, y \in X_w$ and for all $\lambda > 0$, where $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then T has a unique fixed point in X_w .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X_w and we write $x_1 = Tx_0$, $x_2 = Tx_1 = T^2x_0$, and in general, $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $Tx_{n_0-1} = Tx_{n_0}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$. Thus x_{n_0} is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $Tx_{n-1} \neq Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= w_\lambda(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq k(w_{2\lambda}(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + w_{2\lambda}(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\ &= kw_{2\lambda}(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq k(w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n) + w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n-1}), \tag{8}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $\beta := \frac{k}{1-k}$, since $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we get $\beta \in [0, 1)$

and hence

$$w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \beta w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq \beta^2 w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \leq \dots \leq \beta^n w_\lambda(x_1, x_0), \tag{9}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

So for each $\lambda > 0$, we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x_n) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq n_0$. Without loss of generality, suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m > n$. Observe that, for $\frac{\lambda}{m-n} > 0$ and for above-mentioned

ε , there exists $n_{\lambda/(m-n)} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$w_{\frac{\lambda}{m-n}}(x_{n+1}, x_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{m-n}, \tag{10}$$

for all $n \geq n_{\lambda/(m-n)}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(x_n, x_m) &\leq w_{\frac{\lambda}{m-n}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + w_{\frac{\lambda}{m-n}}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + w_{\frac{\lambda}{m-n}}(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{m-n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-n} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon}{m-n} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all $m, n \geq n_{\lambda/(m-n)} \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of X_w , there exists point $x \in X_w$, such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By the notion of metric modular w and the contraction of T , we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(Tx, x) &\leq w_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(Tx, Tx_n) + w_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(Tx_n, x) \\ &\leq k(w_\lambda(x, Tx_n) + w_\lambda(x_n, Tx)) + w_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(Tx_n, x) \\ &= k(w_\lambda(x, x_{n+1}) + w_\lambda(x_n, Tx)) + w_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(x_{n+1}, x), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (12), we obtained that

$$w_\lambda(Tx, x) \leq kw_\lambda(Tx, x). \tag{13}$$

Since $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we have $Tx = x$. Thus, x is a fixed point of T . Next, we

prove that x is a unique fixed point. Suppose that z be another fixed point of T . We note that

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(x, z) &= w_\lambda(Tx, Tz) \\ &\leq k(w_{2\lambda}(x, Tz) + w_{2\lambda}(z, Tx)) \\ &\leq k(w_\lambda(x, z) + w_\lambda(z, Tz) + w_\lambda(z, x) + w_\lambda(x, Tx)) \\ &= 2kw_\lambda(x, z), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. Therefore we have

$$(1 - 2k)w_\lambda(x, z) \leq 0.$$

Since $1 - 2k > 0$, we can imply that $x = z$. Therefore, x is a unique fixed point of T . \square

Next, we will introduce the notion of weakly C -contraction in modular metric space.

Definition 3.2. Let w be a metric modular on X , X_w be a modular metric space induced by w . A mapping $T : X_w \rightarrow X_w$ is said to be a weak C -contraction in X_w if for all $x, y \in X_w$ and for all $\lambda > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)) - \varphi(w_\lambda(x, Ty), w_\lambda(y, Tx)), \quad (15)$$

where $\varphi : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous mapping such that $\varphi(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.

Theorem 3.3. Let w be a metric modular on X , X_w be a w -complete modular metric space induced by w . Let $T : X_w \rightarrow X_w$ be a weak C -contraction in X_w such that T is continuous and non-decreasing. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X_w and we write $x_1 = Tx_0$, $x_2 = Tx_1 = T^2x_0$, and in general, $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $Tx_{n_0-1} = Tx_{n_0}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$. Thus x_{n_0} is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $Tx_{n-1} \neq Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= w_\lambda(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + w_{2\lambda}(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)) - \varphi(w_\lambda(x_n, Tx_{n-1}), w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x_n, x_n) + w_{2\lambda}(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})) - \varphi(w_\lambda(x_n, x_n), w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}w_{2\lambda}(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) - \varphi(0, w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}w_{2\lambda}(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n) + w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. The last inequality gives us

$$w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\{w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers and hence it is convergent.

For each $\lambda > 0$, let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r. \quad (17)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (16) we have

$$r \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(r + r) = r. \quad (18)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) = 2r. \quad (19)$$

Again, making $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (17), (19) and the continuity of φ we have

$$r \leq \frac{1}{2}2r - \varphi(0, 2r) = r - \varphi(0, 2r) \leq r. \tag{20}$$

And, consequently, $\varphi(0, 2r) = 0$. This gives us that $r = 0$ by our assumption about φ .

Thus, for all $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_\lambda(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{21}$$

From the proof of theorem 3.1, we can prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a w -Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of X_w , there exists a point $x \in X_w$, such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By the notion of metric modular w and the contraction of T , we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(Tx, x) &\leq \frac{w_\lambda(Tx, Tx_n) + w_\lambda(Tx_n, x)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(w_\lambda(x, Tx_n) + w_\lambda(x_n, Tx)) \\ &\quad - \varphi(w_\lambda(x, Tx_n), w_\lambda(x_n, Tx)) + \frac{w_\lambda(Tx_n, x)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(w_\lambda(x, x_{n+1}) + w_\lambda(x_n, Tx)) \\ &\quad - \varphi(w_\lambda(x, x_{n+1}), w_\lambda(x_n, Tx)) + \frac{w_\lambda(x_{n+1}, x)}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (22), we obtained that

$$w_\lambda(Tx, x) \leq \frac{1}{2}w_\lambda(Tx, x) - \varphi(0, w_\lambda(Tx, x)). \tag{23}$$

This prove that $x = Tx$. Thus x is a fixed point of T . Next, we prove that x is a unique fixed point. Suppose that z and x are different fixed points of T , then from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(z, x) &= w_\lambda(Tz, Tx) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(z, Tx) + w_{2\lambda}(x, Tz)) - \varphi(w_\lambda(z, Tx), w_\lambda(x, Tz)) \\ &\leq w_{2\lambda}(x, z) - \varphi(w_\lambda(z, x), w_\lambda(x, z)), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. By the property of the φ , we have $x = z$. Hence x is a unique fixed point of T . □

Example 3.4 Let $X = \{(a, 0) \in R^2 \mid a \geq 0\} \cup \{(0, b) \in R^2 \mid b \geq 0\}$. Defined the mapping $w: (0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda((a_1, 0), (a_2, 0)) &= \frac{3|a_1 - a_2|}{\lambda}, \\ w_\lambda((0, b_1), (0, b_2)) &= \frac{|b_1 - b_2|}{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w_\lambda((a, 0), (0, b)) = \frac{3a}{\lambda} + \frac{b}{\lambda} = w_\lambda((0, b), (a, 0)).$$

We note that if we take $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then we see that $X = X_w$ and also T and φ is define by

$$T((a,0)) = \left(0, \frac{a}{2}\right),$$

$$T((0,b)) = \left(\frac{b}{24}, 0\right).$$

and

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{1}{20}(x + y).$$

We can imply that

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)) - \varphi(w_\lambda(x, Ty), w_\lambda(y, Tx)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \quad \text{and all } \lambda > 0.$$

Indeed, case1. let $x = (a_1, 0), y = (a_2, 0)$, then

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) = w_\lambda(T(a_1, 0), T(a_2, 0)) = w_\lambda\left(\left(0, \frac{a_1}{2}\right), \left(0, \frac{a_2}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{2\lambda}, \quad (25)$$

$$w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) = w_{2\lambda}((a_1, 0), T(a_2, 0)) = w_{2\lambda}\left((a_1, 0), \left(0, \frac{a_2}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{3a_1}{2\lambda} + \frac{a_2}{4\lambda}, \quad (26)$$

$$w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx) = w_{2\lambda}((a_2, 0), T(a_1, 0)) = w_{2\lambda}\left((a_2, 0), \left(0, \frac{a_1}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{3a_2}{2\lambda} + \frac{a_1}{4\lambda}, \quad (27)$$

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{2}{7}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)). \quad (28)$$

Case 2. let $x = (0, b_1), y = (0, b_2)$, we have

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) = w_\lambda(T(0, b_1), T(0, b_2)) = w_\lambda\left(\left(\frac{b_1}{24}, 0\right), \left(\frac{b_2}{24}, 0\right)\right) = \frac{|b_1 - b_2|}{8\lambda}, \quad (29)$$

$$w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) = w_{2\lambda}((0, b_1), T(0, b_2)) = w_{2\lambda}\left((0, b_1), \left(\frac{b_2}{24}, 0\right)\right) = \frac{b_2}{16\lambda} + \frac{b_1}{2\lambda}, \quad (30)$$

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{2}{9}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)). \quad (31)$$

Case 3. Let $x = (a, 0), y = (0, b)$, then

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) = w_\lambda(T(a, 0), T(0, b)) = w_\lambda\left(\left(0, \frac{a}{2}\right), \left(\frac{b}{24}, 0\right)\right) = \frac{b}{8\lambda} + \frac{a}{2\lambda}, \quad (32)$$

$$w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) = w_{2\lambda}((a, 0), T(0, b)) = w_{2\lambda}\left((a, 0), \left(\frac{b}{24}, 0\right)\right) = \left|\frac{b}{16\lambda} - \frac{3a}{2\lambda}\right|, \quad (33)$$

$$w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx) = w_{2\lambda}((0, b), T(a, 0)) = w_{2\lambda}\left((0, b), \left(0, \frac{a}{2}\right)\right) = \left|\frac{b}{2\lambda} - \frac{a}{4\lambda}\right|, \quad (34)$$

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{2}{5}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)). \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(w_\lambda(x, Ty), w_\lambda(y, Tx)) &= \frac{1}{20}(w_\lambda((x, Ty) + w_\lambda(y, Tx))) \\ &= \frac{1}{20}[2(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx))] \\ &= \frac{1}{10}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Hence we have

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{2}{5}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)), \tag{37}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x, y \in X$. And

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)) - \varphi(w_\lambda(x, Ty), w_\lambda(y, Tx)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)) - \frac{1}{10}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)) \\ &= \frac{2}{5}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)), \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x, y \in X$. We can get

$$w_\lambda(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}(w_{2\lambda}(x, Ty) + w_{2\lambda}(y, Tx)) - \varphi(w_\lambda(x, Ty), w_\lambda(y, Tx)), \tag{39}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\lambda > 0$. Thus T is a weakly C -contractive mapping. Therefore, T has a unique fixed point that is $(0, 0) \in X_w$.

On the Euclidean metric d on X_w , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(T\left(1, 0\right), T\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) &> \frac{1}{2}\left(d\left(T\left(1, 0\right), T\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + d\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), T\left(1, 0\right)\right)\right) \\ &\quad - \varphi\left(d\left(1, 0\right), T\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right), d\left(\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), T\left(1, 0\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Thus, T is not a weak C -contraction on standard metric space.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we extend the fixed point results for the weakly C -contraction in modular metric space. Moreover, as example, we give a unique fixed point theorem for a mapping satisfying a weak C -contractive condition in modular metric space rather than in standard metric space. The main results of this article generalize and unify some recent results given by some authors.

References

- [1] Banach, S. (1992) Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **3**, 133-181. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-3-1-133-181>
- [2] Bakhtin, I.A. (1989) The Contraction Mapping Principle in Almost Metric Space. *Functional Analysis*, **30**, 26-37.
- [3] Du, W.S. (2010) A Note on Cone Metric Spaces and Its Equivalence. *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, **72**, 2259-2261.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.10.026>
- [4] Azam, A., Fssher, B. and Khan, M. (2011) Common Fixed Points Theorems in Complete Valued Metric Spaces. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **32**, 243-253.
- [5] Chatterjea, S.K. (1972) Fixed Point Theorems. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences*, **25**, 727-730.
- [6] Choudhury, B.S. (2009) Unique Fixed Point Theorem for Weak C -Contractive Mapping. *Journal of Engineering & Technology*, **5**, 6-13.
- [7] Harjani, J., López, B. and Sadarangani, K. (2011) Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly C -Contractive Mappings in Ordered Metric Spaces. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **61**, 790-769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.12.027>
- [8] Nguyen, V.D. and Vo Tie, L.H. (2013) Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly C -Contractions in Ordered 2-Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013**, 161.
- [9] Chistyakov, W. (2006) Metric Modular Spaces and Their Application. *Doklady Mathematics*, **73**, 32-35. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S106456240601008X>
- [10] Afrah, A.A. and Mohamed, A.K. (2013) Fixed Point Results of Pointwise Contractions in Modular Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013**, 163.
- [11] Chirasak, M., Wutiphol, S. and Poom, K. (2011) Fixed Point Theorems for Contraction Mappings in Modular Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2011**, 93.
- [12] Chistyakov, W. (2010) Modular Metric Spaces, I: Basic Concepts. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **72**, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.04.057>
- [13] Chistyakov, W. (2008) Modular Metric Spaces Generated by F-Modular. *Folia Mathematica*, **14**, 3-25.
- [14] Padcharoen, A., Gopal, D., Chaipunya, P. and Kumam, P. (2016) Fixed Point and Periodic Point Results for α -Type F -Contractions in Modular Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2016**, 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0525-4>
- [15] Chirasak, M., Wutiphol, S. and Poom, K. (2011) Fixed Point Theorems for Contraction Mappings in Modular Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2011**, 93.