Open Access Library Journal

Weak Insertion of a Continuous Function between Two Comparable α-Continuous (C-Continuous) Functions*

Majid Mirmiran

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran
Email: mirmir@sci.ui.ac.ir

Received 18 February 2016; accepted 4 March 2016; published 9 March 2016

Abstract

A sufficient condition in terms of lower cut sets is given for the insertion of a continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions.

Keywords

Weak Insertion, Strong Binary Relation, C-Open Set, Semi-Preopen Set, α-Open Set, Lower Cut Set

Subject Areas: **Topology**

1. Introduction

The concept of a C-open set in a topological space was introduced by E. Hatir, T. Noiri and S. Yksel in 1996 [1]. The authors define a set S to be a C-open set if $S = U \cap A$, where U is open and A is semi-preclosed. A set S is a C-closed set if its complement is C-open set or equivalently if $S = U \cup A$, where U is closed and A is semi-preopen. The authors show that a subset of a topological space is open if and only if it is an α-open set and a C-open set. This enable them to provide the following decomposition of continuity: a function is continuous if and only if it is α-continuous and C-continuous.

Recall that a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called α-open if A is the difference of an open and a nowhere dense subset of X. A set A is called α-closed if its complement is α-open or equivalently if A is union of a closed and a nowhere dense set. Sets which are dense in some regular closed subspace are called semi-preopen or β-open. A set is semi-preclosed or β-closed if its complement is semi-preopen or β-open.

The concept of a set A was β-open if and only if $A \subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$ was introduced by J. Dontchev in 1998 [2].

*This work was supported by University of Isfahan and Centre of Excellence for Mathematics (University of Isfahan).

How to cite this paper: Mirmiran, M. (2016) Weak Insertion of a Continuous Function between Two Comparable α-Continuous (C-Continuous) Functions. *Open Access Library Journal*, **3**: e2453. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1102453

Recall that a real-valued function f defined on a topological space X was called A-continuous if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ belongs to A, where A was a collection of subset of X and this the concept was introduced by M. Przemski in 1993 [3]. Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of A-continuity. However, for unknown concepts, the reader might refer to papers introduced by J. Dontchev in 1995 [4], M. Ganster and I. Reilly in 1990 [5].

Hence, a real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called C-continuous (resp. α-continuous) if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is C-open (resp. α-open) subset of X.

Results of Katětov in 1951 [6] and in 1953 [7] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which was due to Brooks in 1971 [8], were used in order to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the strong insertion of a continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions.

If g and f are real-valued functions defined on a space X, we write $g \le f$ in case $g(x) \le f(x)$ for all x in X.

The following definitions were modifications of conditions considered in paper introduced by E. Lane in 1976 [9].

A property P defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a *c-property* provided that any constant function has property P and provided that the sum of a function with property P and any continuous function also has property P. If P_1 and P_2 are c-property, the following terminology is used: A space X has the *weak c-insertion property* for (P_1, P_2) if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that $g \le f, g$ has property P_1 and f has property P_2, then there exists a continuous function h such that $g \le h \le f$.

In this paper, it is given a sufficient condition for the weak c-insertion property. Also several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of this result.

2. The Main Result

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a continuous function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space, the family of all α-open, α-closed, C-open and C-closed will be denoted by $\alpha O(X,\tau)$, $\alpha C(X,\tau)$, $CO(X,\tau)$ and $CC(X,\tau)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ). Respectively, we define the *α-closure*, *α-interior*, *C-closure* and *C-interior* of a set A, denoted by $\alpha Cl(A), \alpha Int(A), CCl(A)$ and $CInt(A)$ as follows:

$$\alpha Cl(A) = \cap\{F : F \supseteq A, F \in \alpha C(X,\tau)\}$$

$$\alpha Int(A) = \cup\{O : O \subseteq A, O \in \alpha O(X,\tau)\}$$

$$CCl(A) = \cap\{F : F \supseteq A, F \in CC(X,\tau)\}$$

and

$$CInt(A) = \cup\{O : O \subseteq A, O \in CO(X,\tau)\}.$$

Respectively, we have $\alpha Cl(A), CCl(A)$ are α-closed, semi-preclosed and $\alpha Int(A), CInt(A)$ are α-open, semi-preopen.

The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [6] [7].

Definition 2.2. If ρ is a binary relation in a set S then $\bar{\rho}$ is defined as follows: $x \bar{\rho} y$ if and only if $y \rho v$ implies $x \rho v$ and $u \rho x$ implies $u \rho y$ for any u and v in S.

Definition 2.3. A binary relation ρ in the power set $P(X)$ of a topological space X is called a *strong binary relation* in $P(X)$ in case ρ satisfies each of the following conditions:

1) If $A_i \rho B_j$ for any $i \in \{1,\cdots,m\}$ and for any $j \in \{1,\cdots,n\}$, then there exists a set C in $P(X)$ such that $A_i \rho C$ and $C \rho B_j$ for any $i \in \{1,\cdots,m\}$ and any $j \in \{1,\cdots,n\}$.

2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \bar{\rho} B$.

3) If $A \rho B$, then $Cl(A) \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq Int(B)$.

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined [8] as follows:

Definition 2.4. If f is a real-valued function defined on a space X and if

$\{x \in X : f(x) < \ell\} \subseteq A(f,\ell) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le \ell\}$ for a real number ℓ, then $A(f,\ell)$ is called a *lower indefinite cut set* in the domain of *f* at the level ℓ.

We now give the following main result:

Theorem 2.1. Let *g* and *f* be real-valued functions on a topological space *X* with $g \le f$. If there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of *X* and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f,t)$ and $A(g,t)$ in the domain of *f* and *g* at the level *t* for each rational number *t* such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f,t_1)\,\rho\,A(g,t_2)$, then there exists a continuous function *h* defined on *X* such that $g \le h \le f$.

Proof. Let *g* and *f* be real-valued functions defined on *X* such that $g \le f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of *X* and there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f,t)$ and $A(g,t)$ in the domain of *f* and *g* at the level *t* for each rational number *t* such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f,t_1)\,\rho\,A(g,t_2)$.

Define functions *F* and *G* mapping the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of *X* by $F(t) = A(f,t)$ and $G(t) = A(g,t)$. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1)\,\bar{\rho}\,F(t_2), G(t_1)\,\bar{\rho}\,G(t_2)$, and $F(t_1)\,\rho\,G(t_2)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [7] it follows that there exists a function *H* mapping $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of *X* such that if t_1 and t_2 are any rational numbers with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1)\,\rho\,H(t_2), H(t_1)\,\rho\,H(t_2)$ and $H(t_1)\,\rho\,G(t_2)$.

For any *x* in *X*, let $h(x) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in H(t)\}$.

We first verify that $g \le h \le f$: If *x* is in $H(t)$ then *x* is in $G(t')$ for any $t' > t$; since *x* is in $G(t') = A(g,t')$ implies that $g(x) \le t'$, it follows that $g(x) \le t$. Hence $g \le h$. If *x* is not in $H(t)$, then *x* is not in $F(t')$ for any $t' < t$; since *x* is not in $F(t') = A(f,t')$ implies that $f(x) > t'$, it follows that $f(x) \ge t$. Hence $h \le f$.

Also, for any rational numbers t_1 and t_2 with $t_1 < t_2$, we have $h^{-1}(t_1,t_2) = Int(H(t_2)) \setminus Cl(H(t_1))$. Hence $h^{-1}(t_1,t_2)$ is an open subset of *X*, *i.e.*, *h* is a continuous function on *X*. ■

The above proof used the technique of proof of Theorem 1 of [6].

3. Applications

The abbreviations αc and Cc are used for *α*-continuous and *C*-continuous, respectively.

Corollary 3.1. If for each pair of disjoint *α*-closed (resp. *C*-closed) sets F_1, F_2 of X, there exist open sets G_1 and G_2 of *X* such that $F_1 \subseteq G_1$, $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \varnothing$ then *X* has the weak *c*-insertion property for $(\alpha c, \alpha c)$ (resp. (Cc, Cc)).

Proof. Let *g* and *f* be real-valued functions defined on the *X*, such that *f* and *g* are αc (resp. Cc), and $g \le f$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A\,\rho\,B$ in case $\alpha Cl(A) \subseteq \alpha Int(B)$ (resp. $CCl(A) \subseteq CInt(B)$), then by hypothesis ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of *X*. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(f,t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g,t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\}$ is an *α*-closed (resp. *C*-closed) set and since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$ is an *α*-open (resp. *C*-open) set, it follows that $\alpha Cl(A(f,t_1)) \subseteq \alpha Int(A(g,t_2))$ (resp. $CCl(A(f,t_1)) \subseteq CInt(A(g,t_2))$). Hence $t_1 < t_2$ implies that $A(f,t_1)\,\rho\,A(g,t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1. ■

Corollary 3.2. If for each pair of disjoint *α*-closed (resp. *C*-closed) sets F_1, F_2, there exist open sets G_1 and G_2 such that $F_1 \subseteq G_1$, $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \varnothing$ then every *α*-continuous (resp. *C*-continuous) function is continuous.

Proof. Let *f* be a real-valued *α*-continuous (resp. *C*-continuous) function defined on the *X*. Set $g = f$, then by Corollary 3.1, there exists a continuous function *h* such that $g = h = f$. ■

Corollary 3.3. If for each pair of disjoint subsets F_1, F_2 of X, such that F_1 is *α*-closed and F_2 is *C*-closed, there exist open subsets G_1 and G_2 of *X* such that $F_1 \subseteq G_1$, $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \varnothing$ then *X* have the weak *c*-insertion property for $(\alpha c, Cc)$ and $(Cc, \alpha c)$.

Proof. Let *g* and *f* be real-valued functions defined on the *X*, such that *g* is *ac* (resp. Cc) and *f* is Cc (resp. *ac*), with $g \le f$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A\,\rho\,B$ in case $CCl(A) \subseteq \alpha Int(B)$ (resp. $\alpha Cl(A) \subseteq CInt(B)$), then by hypothesis ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of *X*. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(f,t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g,t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\}$ is a *C*-closed (resp. *α*-closed) set and since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$ is an *α*-open (resp.

C-open) set, it follows that $CCl(A(f,t_1)) \subseteq \alpha Int(A(g,t_2))$ (resp. $\alpha Cl(A(f,t_1)) \subseteq CInt(A(g,t_2))$). Hence $t_1 < t_2$ implies that $A(f,t_1)\,\rho\,A(g,t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1. ■

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Centre of Excellence for Mathematics(University of Isfahan).

References

[1] Hatir, E., Noiri, T. and Yksel, S. (1996) A Decomposition of Continuity. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **70**, 145-150. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00113919

[2] Dontchev, J. (1998) Between *α*- and *β*-Sets. *Mathematica Balkanica*, **12**, 295-302.

[3] Przemski, M. (1993) A Decomposition of Continuity and *α*-Continuity. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **61**, 93-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01872101

[4] Dontchev, J. (1995) The Characterization of Some Peculiar Topological Space via *α*- and *β*-Sets. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **69**, 67-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01874608

[5] Ganster, M. and Reilly, I. (1990) A Decomposition of Continuity. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **56**, 299-301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01903846

[6] Katětov, M. (1951) On Real-Valued Functions in Topological Spaces. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **38**, 85-91.

[7] Katětov, M. (1953) Correction to, "On Real-Valued Functions in Topological Spaces". *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **40**, 203-205.

[8] Brooks, F. (1971) Indefinite Cut Sets for Real Functions. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, **78**, 1007-1010. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2317815

[9] Lane, E. (1976) Insertion of a Continuous Function. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **66**, 181-190. http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1976.66.181