

The Reconstruction Technique of Multi-Product Production and MINLP Mathematical Modelling

Jernej Hosnar*, Anita Kovač-Kralj

Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia Email: ^{*}jernej.hosnar@um.si

Received 11 May 2015; accepted 26 June 2015; published 29 June 2015

Copyright © 2015 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

😨 🛈 Open Access

Abstract

Low efficiency, negative impacts on the environment and non-profitable operations are the main shortcomings of out-dated industrial processes. Such systems can be reconstructed and improved in the direction of multi-product operations. The study of this article focuses on the development of a method for multi-product operations by reconstructing out-dated industrial processes. This article contains the theory of a developed method that enables the updating of existing process units and integrated systems on the basis of reconstruction scenarios and pathways. The goals of the set out method are: 1) to maintain the existing process units and chemical plants to a greater extent, 2) to enable the potential for finding new process alternatives and technological solutions, 3) to provide a streamlined operation for all subsystems and total systems, 4) to promote environmental and social responsibilities, and 5) to apply the concept of the presented reconstruction method to non-profitable industrial processes. In regard to multi-product operations, a conceptual model is a suitable tool for the reconstruction of industrial processes. It connects several software tools and so enables quick decision-making between process alternatives. A reconstruction method provides foresight into the possible improvements to existing industrial processes. In comparison with the indirect synthesis of DME (simple reconstruction pathway), the lower operating costs from the direct synthesis of DME (complex reconstruction pathway) were confirmed.

Keywords

Reconstruction of Industrial Processes, Multi-Product Production, Complex and Simple Reconstruction Pathways, MINLP Mathematical Modelling

^{*}Corresponding author.

How to cite this paper: Hosnar, J. and Kovač-Kralj, A. (2015) The Reconstruction Technique of Multi-Product Production and MINLP Mathematical Modelling. *Journal of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering*, **3**, 59-74. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/msce.2015.37007

1. Introduction

Low efficiency, negative effects on the environment and non-profitable operations are the main shortcomings of outdated industrial processes [1]. They can be remedied to a lesser extent by retrofits of individual process units or by saving energy or utility consumption (UC). Existing chemical plants can be adequately reconstructed in more suitable forms. A number of techniques, approaches and mathematical models have been developed for these purposes [2]-[5]. Models for approaches to the reconstructions of already existing and non-profitable chemical plants were obtained by authors [6]. In order to ensure flexible production and optimum operation it is insufficient just to look for savings in UC but it does make sense to adapt processes towards the direction of multi-feed/multi-product (MFMP) operations. MFMP systems have a positive impact on energy savings, cleaner production, and economic benefits. In recent times a significant amount of scientific research has been carried out in the field of synthesizing alternative and environmentally-friendly fuels. A promising alternative fuel is dimethyl-ether (DME). Scientists and technical experts describe it as having excellent chemical, physical and eco-friendly properties [7]. Fuel can be synthesized from a variety of raw materials containing high contents of carbon (C), oxygen (O) and hydrogen (H). Fuel production runs from: fossil fuels such as natural gas (NG) [8] and black coal [8]-[10], bio-gas (BG) [11], bio-mass [12]-[16], waste-products with high contents of C and H, corn [17], and the traditional technique of dehydrating MeOH [18] [19]. Two synthetic approaches are in use when processing the formation of DME. The first or commercially better-known indirect (two-step) [20] and the second direct (single-step) synthesizing process [21]. The latter is more efficient, more environmentally-friendly and its molar yield of reactants exceed 90% thanks to modern technologies. Different catalysts for the direct synthesis of DME provide satisfactory molar yield and are considered by the authors [22]-[24]. The production design of industrial processes in the direction of synthesizing alternative fuels provides additional reasons if the existing chemical plants can technologically, economically and environmentally no longer be justified. Such systems can be reconstructed along the path of multi-product production with a basic simultaneously solvable MINLP (mixed-integer nonlinear programming) mathematical model of continuous and discrete variables and it was firstly used by the authors [25].

The examined study of this article focuses on the development of a method of multi-product production for reconstructing out-dated industrial processes. This article contains the theory of the developed method that enables the updating of existing process units and integrated systems on the basis of reconstruction scenarios and pathways. The reconstruction pathway covers analysis of the current state of a chemical plant, the search for alternatives regarding multi-product production, simulation of process alternatives using computer-aided software, the search for mathematical connections between chemical and physical quantities, mathematical modelling of a super-structure, and quantitative evaluation of the results from the reconstruction method. This can be implemented after case study of an existing MeOH plant as to whether the plant is non-profitable. MINLP mathematical model is based on simple and complex reconstruction scenarios that can be examined in more detail during case-studies regarding indirect and direct syntheses of DME from MeOH or direct from natural gas (NG).

The goals of the presented set method are: 1) to maintain the existing process units and chemical processes to a greater extent, 2) to enable the potential for finding new process alternatives and technological solutions, 3) to provide a streamlined operation of all subsystems and systems, 4) to promote environmental and social responsibilities, and 5) to use mentioned method of multi-product operational processes on related industrial processes.

2. Reconstruction Method of a Multi-Product Operation

The chapter presents: the basic theory of a multi-product operation, the method of reconstructing pathways and scenarios, MINLP mathematical modelling of simple and complex reconstructive pathways within a superstructure model, the connection of computer-aided software for data capture, the creation of mathematical connections between physical and chemical quantities, and process of optimization.

2.1. Multi-Product Operation

The Multi-product operation basic function represents the inlet of raw materials, formed chemical products and produced fuels. More synthesis fuels (for example, DME, H₂, olefins, gasoline, etc.) and produced energy

can be generated within a chemical process from fossil fuels, water and air. Such systems are multi-feed/ multi-product (MFMP). The Multi-product operation includes single-feed/multi-product (SFMP) and/or MFMP operations.

The reconstruction method of multi-product production for an industrial process is displayed in Figure 1. Into the process enters $u, u \in U$ different raw materials and exits $v, v \in V$ of pure chemical products and produced energy.

When reconstructing existing plants, multi-product production is based on the basic principle of flexibility. So fossil fuels, such as NG and coal, as well as bio-mass, bio-gas, corn, etc. can be used during the gasification process. When in taking one or more raw materials it is possible to simultaneously produce a number of chemical products. Their productivity depends on the chemical potential and demands in the market. When feeding into the process it is possible to choose between alternative raw materials that are economically appropriate or accessible.

The objective function of a reconstructed industrial process in the direction of multi-product operation is based on the maximized annual profit from individual process alternative $i, i \in I$. The basic formulation of the optimization problem is represented by economic connection (Equation (1)), at basic mass balances (Equation (1a), Equation (1b), Equation (1c)), and other logical conditions (Equation (1d)) and (Equation (1e)).

$$Z = \max(PROF)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{v} C_{v}^{P} \cdot qm_{v,i}^{P} + C^{EL} \cdot E_{i}^{EL} + C^{STEAM} \cdot E_{i}^{STEAM} - \left(\sum_{u} C_{u}^{S} \cdot qm_{u,i}^{S} + C^{UC} \cdot UC_{i} + TAXE_{i} + AMORT_{i} + OPER_{i} \right) \right) \cdot y_{i}$$

$$(1)$$

At conditions:

$$\sum_{v} qm_{v,i}^{P} = \sum_{u} qm_{u,i}^{S}$$
(1a)

$$qm_{\nu,i}^{P,LO} \le qm_{\nu,i}^{P} \le qm_{\nu,i}^{P,UP}$$
(1b)

$$qm_{u,i}^{S,LO} \le qm_{u,i}^S \le qm_{u,i}^{S,UP} \tag{1c}$$

$$qm_{\nu,i}^{P} \le qm_{\nu,i}^{P,UP} \cdot y_{i} \tag{1d}$$

$$qm_{u,i}^{S} \le qm_{u,i}^{S,UP} \cdot y_{i} \tag{1e}$$

and:

$$i = 1, \dots, I$$
$$u = 1, \dots, U$$
$$v = 1, \dots, V$$
$$y_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

Incomes present:

• sales of all generated products $\left(\sum_{v} C_{v}^{P} \cdot qm_{v,i}^{P}\right)$ according to market value, and



Figure 1. Multi-product production of an industrial process.

- sales of fuels (C^{EL} · E^{EL}_i + C^{STEAM} · E^{STEAM}_i); produced electricity and low pressure steam (LPS), medium pressure steam (MPS) or high pressure steam (HPS).
 Expenses on an annual basis represent:
- the cost of all raw materials used $\left(\sum_{u} C_{u}^{s} \cdot qm_{u,i}^{s}\right)$,
- the cost of utility consumption used $(C^{UC} \cdot UC_i)$,
- exhaust emission taxes $(TAXE_i)$,
- amortization of additional process units $(AMORT_i)$ and
- additional operating costs during the process (*OPER*_i).

Equation (1a) represents the total mass balance of raw materials on the feed into the process and is equal to the mass out-feed of products from the process. Inequalities Equation (1b) and Equation (1c) represent a possible consumption of raw materials on the feed into the *i*-th alternative or produced mass capacity of an individual product according to market demand. Inequalities Equation (1d) and Equation (1e) represents the activation or deactivation of individual raw material/product of *i*-th process alternative.

2.2. The Methods of Simple and Complex Reconstruction Pathways

Reconstructions of existing chemical processes are carried out in three main directions: 1) change of productivity (new products and more products), 2) change in the method of obtaining the product/-s (new processes and technologies) and 3) modification on the side of raw materials (different input raw materials). The method of reconstruction pathways is based on: a situation analysis of the existing plant, a search for process alternatives and technologies, and on computer simulation of selected process schemes. **Figure 2** presents the current state of the existing industrial process (point A), reconstructive pathways based on required restrictions and the desired state (point B). The reconstruction process is further limited in practice with: technological, environmental, economic, security and social requirements.

Based on the state of the industrial process, the following questions are raised:

1) How to choose a potential process alternative for transforming the inlet of raw materials into multi-product production?

2) Which reconstruction pathway to choose in order to achieve a new desired state (B) of the existing plant?

3) Can reconstruction be carried out with the help of mathematical modelling?

4) Is it possible to confirm the usefulness of the analysed method on the existing process?



Figure 2. The existing industrial process (A), and based reconstruction pathways.

Existing chemical plants are satisfactorily optimized according to the assumption, but nevertheless do not bring economic profit. In order to improve this, simple and complex reconstructive pathways are at our disposal. From a technical point of view simple reconstruction pathways represent easy but less efficient improvements. These improvements are: replacement of the existing raw materials with new ones, a combination of inlet fossil and renewable fuels, changes in the process parameters and the production of new products. Alternatively the upgrading of existing processes, where products from the raw materials within a new part of the process are currently being produced. A more complex type of reconstruction concerns topological changes to the existing process units, replacements of reactors with new ones.

2.3. Mathematical Modelling

The examined mathematical model includes the results of various software tools (*ASPEN PLUS, SYNHEAT* and *Excel*), thus enabling quick decision-making between potential process alternatives. As far as heat analysis is concerned, *Duran* and *Grossmann* [26] showed that the simultaneous optimization and heat integration (HI) of process flows enables high energy and cost savings in industrial processes.

The mathematical model of the process' super-structure (Figure 1) chooses between $i, i \in I$ reconstruction scenarios. The binary variables y_i , y_k and y_i represent specific process alternatives. z_i represents the integration of an additional compressor during amortization. The discrete operators A and B enable selection between simple and complex reconstruction pathways within a specific combination. If $A = 0 \land B = 1$, the model chooses only between $j, j \in J$ simple reconstruction pathways. In the case of $A = 1 \land B = 0$; the model chooses between $k, k \in K$ complex reconstruction pathways. If $A = 0 \land B = 0$ is determined, the model chooses between all $i, i \in I \land I = J + K$ reconstruction pathways. The model is unsolvable for $A = 1 \land B = 1$. Connection Equation (2) represents the potential selections between all simple process alternatives, and Equation (3) represents the possible selections between complex process alternatives. Equations (Equation (4) and Equation (5)) are additional logical conditions that limit binary parameters. The input data of the mathematical model are presented with a matrix $\mathbf{A}_{L\times I}^{old}$ of physical, chemical, economic, and environmental parameters, activated or de-activated with a matrix of discrete variables $\mathbf{y}_{I\times I}$ of the selected reconstruction scenario and presented using connection (Equation (6)). In the mathematical model, equation (Equation (7)) represents the substantial mass balance of the individual process units $e, e \in E$. The mass flow on the inlet into the process unit is equal to the mass outflow from the process unit of *i*-th alternative. Equation (Equation (8)) represents the energy balance of the feeding component $c, c \in C$ process unit $e, e \in E$, alternative to *i*. The above-mentioned connection does not apply to a reactor, where an exothermic chemical reaction is conducted and therefore equation (Equation (9)) is applicable. The basic energy balance does not apply to the following process units: heater, refrigerator and heat exchanger, where heat from the process is discharged and/or introduced. In the mathematical model, connections (Equation (10) to Equation (14)) represent the energy balances of the heating and cooling devices. Chen's simplification of the medium-logarithmic temperature mentioned in [27] for refrigerators and heaters is given by mathematical connections (Equation (13)) and (Equation (14)). The income from selling the products of individual reconstruction scenarios is represented by equation (Equation (15)). The income from the sales of fuels is a consequence of the exploitation of exothermic reactions and is represented by mathematical connection (Equation (16)). The cost of raw materials' consumption is represented by equation (Equation (17)). The cost for the consumption of utility consumption regarding individual process alternatives is displayed by economic connection (Equation (18)). This connection assumes the consumption of MPS as a hot UC and cooling water or extreme coolant. The exhaust emission taxes are represented by equation (Equation (19)). The following size variables are dimensioned in the model: 1) area of the heater (Equation (20)), area of the cooler (Equation (21)), and the area of the heat exchanger (Equation (22)), 2) volume of the tubular reactor (Equation (23)) and 3) power of the adiabatic compressor (Equation (24) and Equation (25)). These variables are suitable indicators for calculating the investment in additional process equipment.

The big-M formulation [28]-[31] provides an appropriate size for the permitted optimization space and is applicable for all size variables of the process units. It is described by inequalities (Equation (26))-(Equation (30)). The investment in additional process equipment is calculated using mathematical equations (Equation (31)-Equation (33)). The total investment in the heat exchanger, heater and cooler is calculated according to equation (Equation (31)), the investment of the tubular reactor with studied layer of catalyst according to equation (Equation (32)) and the investment in the purchase of a compressor according to equation (Equation (33)). The cost of process equipment is valued as a steady annual amortization over a 10-year period. Amortization of basic units'

purchases is determined by connection (Equation (34)). The investment in distillation columns is excluded from amongst the amortization costs. Distillation columns were already available in the analyzed plant and their dimensions and characteristics coincided with the planned ones. The objective function—annual profit (Equation (35)) that represents the difference between all incomes and all expenses on an annual basis, was chosen as the optimization criterion. It is formed under conditions of continuous and discrete variables by equations (Equation (36))-(Equation (40)). Equation (Equation (36)) represents the total income of process alternative i that is the sum of the sales of the produced products and fuels. Equation (27)) represents the expenses that are presented as the cost of raw materials, UC, emission fees, amortization costs and additional operating costs. Inequalities (Equation (38))-(Equation (40)) represent the basic big-M formulation.

3. The Existing Chemical Plant, and the Technological Alternatives

An analysis of the existing chemical synthesis process of MeOH was conducted and an overview of simple and complex reconstruction pathways was designed by using the reconstructive method of multi-product production. These reconstruction pathways represent the indirect (simple) or direct (complex) pathways.

3.1. The Existing MeOH Plant

The existing chemical plant for the synthesis of MeOH from NG had a capacity of 150,000 tons per year, and has been further analysed by the authors *Kovač and Bencik* [19]. The process is shown in **Figure 3**. In the process of the synthesis of MeOH enter: *a*) NG at a temperature of 0° C, at a pressure of 24.5 bar and with a capacity of 10,500 kg/h, and *b*) MP steam at a temperature of 427°C, at a pressure of 22.2 bar and with a capacity of 33,000 kg/h.

3.2. Indirect Synthesis of DME and a Review of Process Alternatives

DME synthesizes from NG over 3-stages along an indirect pathway of the synthesis. The basic process operations of DME formation are: 1) preheating of raw MeOH, 2) reaction of dehydration until DME, 3) separation and purification of the products and 4) the recycling of non-reactive reactants back to the reactor.

The conceptual alternative process schemes were considered on the basis of the listed literature, and upgraded for the existing industrial process shown in the case study.

The alternative process scheme A (Appendix II) represents a low-pressure synthesis process for the production of DME from raw MeOH. This process scheme was further examined by the authors [30]. The process scheme B (Appendix III) represents a high-pressure synthesis process regarding the production of DME from raw MeOH. The alternative process scheme C (Appendix IV) represents a high-pressure synthesis process. Mentioned process scheme was further examined by the authors [32]. The alternative process scheme D (Appendix V) represents the medium-pressure synthesis process of the high temperature production of DME from raw MeOH. This process scheme was further examined by the authors [33].

3.3. Direct Synthesis of DME and a Review of the Process Alternatives

In the direct pathway regarding synthesis, DME is formed directly from SNG by a catalysed dehydration reaction. A bi-functional catalyst with 2-active places within a single reactor enables simultaneous formation and dehydration of MeOH until the formed product. Formation of the product from SNG is represented by reactions (R1)-(R4).

$$\text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{MeOH}$$
 $\Delta_r H^{298} = -181.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (R1)

$$2\text{MeOH} \leftrightarrow \text{DME} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \qquad \Delta_r H^{298} = -23.4 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$
(R2)

$$\operatorname{CO} + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 \qquad \Delta_r H^{298} = -41.0 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$
 (R3)

$$3\text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{DME} + \text{CO}_2 \qquad \Delta_r H^{298} = -246.0 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$
(R4)

The conceptual alternative process schemes were considered on the basis of the listed literature, and upgraded for the existing industrial process shown in the case study.

The alternative process scheme E (Appendix VI) represents a direct synthesis process for the production of

J. Hosnar, A. Kovač-Kralj



Figure 3. The existing MeOH plant.

DME from NG at HP. This process scheme was further examined by the authors [34]. The alternative process scheme F (Appendix VII) represents a synthesis process a pressure of 50 bar and a temperature of 270° C. Mentioned process scheme was further examined by the authors [35].

4. Case Study

The presented method of reconstruction pathways regarding multi-product production was tested on an existing

MeOH process. An analysis of the prior state of the chemical process was made, and simple and complex reconstruction scenarios were predicted. Simulations of potential process alternatives were conducted by *ASPEN PLUS* computer-aided software [36]. Mathematical connections between the chemical and physical quantities were searched and generated with an MINLP mathematical super-structure model. This model represented the connection of software tools for quick decision-making between simple and complex process alternatives. The results of the developed reconstruction method were quantitatively evaluated.

4.1. Comprehensive Description of the Super-Structure

Figure 4 shows the mathematical model of the super-structure of the reconstructed MeOH process in regard to multi-product production. The NG and MP steam inflow the feed into the process. 2 chemical products (pure DME and MeOH) and produced MPS are created during the process.

An MINLP mathematical model enables selection between simple or complex reconstruction pathways on the basis of included process alternatives. A simple reconstruction pathway is based on an indirect synthesis of DME from MeOH. The alternatives are presented using four process alternatives: from A to D. The second reconstruction pathway is a complex one and is based on a direct synthesis of DME that is presented using two alternatives: E and F.

4.2. MINLP Mathematical Modelling of a 2-Product Production

A general mathematical model (Appendix I) was built within the *GAMS* language on the basis of reviewing the reconstruction scenarios and the simulations of individual process alternatives. It is formulated with total mass balances, heat balances, temperatures, and flow pressures. The main chemical components (DME, MeOH, H₂O, CO and CO₂) are included within the system. The examined study describes such basic mathematical connections as mentioned in the theory regarding specific mathematical connections, applicable only to the analysed plant. The general mass balance of the reactor is adjusted to the synthesis of DME and pure MeOH, where mathematical connections (Equation (41)-Equation (43)) are applicable. In the mathematical model, the incomes from the sale of both products (DME and MeOH) of individual process alternative are presented by equation (Equation (44)). The income from the sale of MPS is the result of utilizing the exothermic reaction. The equation in the model is shown by mathematical connection (Equation (45)). This study included only CO₂ emissions. Emission fees are the result of releasing greenhouse gases into the environment and are presented by equation (Equation (46)). The additional operating costs of complex reconstruction pathways are not the main focus of this study and are therefore assessed as a fixed operating cost of the modified plant.

4.3. Input Data of the Mathematical Model

The inputted physical and chemical data were taken from the process simulator *ASPEN PLUS*. All the inputted data of the reconstruction method regarding the 2-product production are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2.



Figure 4. Super-structure mathematical model of a 2-product production of a reconstructed MeOH plant.

J. Hosnar, A. Kovač-Kralj

Table 1. Inputted physical and chemical quantities of the mathematical model.							
Quantity	Units/alternative <i>i</i>	А	В	С	D	Е	F
$qm^{_{NG}}$	$[kg \cdot h^{-1}]$	10,525	10,525	10,525	10,525	10,525	10,525
$qm^{\scriptscriptstyle STEAM}$	$[kg \cdot h^{-1}]$	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000
k ^{NG/DME}	-	0.9098	0.9027	0.8975	0.9026	1.1895	1.0924
k ^{NG/MeOH}	-	0.1563	0.2041	0.2035	0.2361	0.1960	0.1786
k ^{ng/steam}	-	0.2316	0.9167	0.7990	0.9167	1.67829	1.6210
k ^{NG/CO2}	-	0.00881	0.00877	0.00876	0.00883	0.02977	0.03420
$T_{\scriptscriptstyle REAC}^{\scriptscriptstyle ref}$	[°C]	230.0	270.0	280.0	270.0	240.0	240.0
$p_{\scriptscriptstyle REAC}^{\scriptscriptstyle ref}$	[bar]	1.2	15.0	15.0	15.0	68.0	50.0
T_1	[°C]	41.0	41.0	45.0	41.0	102.0	102.0
T_2	[°C]	120.0	250.0	250.0	250.0	240.0	230.0
$T^{ m in,\ cool}$	[°C]	15.0	15.0	1.0	15.0	-45.0	-45.0
$T^{ m in,heat}$	[°C]	300.0	300.0	350.0	300.0	300.0	300.0
$Z_{\rm comp}$	[{0, 1}]	1	0	0	1	0	0
$P^{ m in,\ comp}$	[bar]	1.0	1.0	1.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
$P^{\mathrm{out,\ comp}}$	[bar]	1.2	1.2	15.0	35.0	68.0	50.0
OPER	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	0	0	0	0	7,200	5,000

 Table 2. Other input data of the mathematical model.

Quantity	Unit	Value	Quantity	Unit	Value
$M_{\scriptscriptstyle DME}$	$[kg \cdot (kmol)^{-1}]$	46.00	$C_{_{NG}}$	$[EUR \cdot kg^{-1}]$	0.786
$M_{_{MeOH}}$	$[\text{kg} \cdot (\text{kmol})^{-1}]$	32.00	$C_{\scriptscriptstyle DME}$	$[EUR \cdot kg^{-1}]$	0.654
$M_{_{H2O}}$	$[kg \cdot (kmol)^{-1}]$	18.00	$C_{_{MeOH}}$	$[EUR \cdot kg^{-1}]$	0.390
$\eta_{_1}$	-	0.23	$C_{_{MP,STEAM}}$	$[EUR \cdot (GJ)^{-1}]$	2.620
$\eta_{_2}$	-	0.70	$C_{{}_{H_2O,15^\circ C}}$	$[EUR \cdot (GJ)^{-1}]$	0.490
К	-	1.002	$C_{_{extreme,-45^{\circ}C}}$	$[EUR \cdot (GJ)^{-1}]$	4.240
$\Delta_{_{r}}H^{_{DME}}$	$[kg \cdot (kmol)^{-1}]$	-23,400	ddv	[%]	20.00
$ ho^{_{MX}}$	$[kg \cdot m^{-3}]$	800.0	$C_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{var}}^{\scriptscriptstyle HEN}$	$[kEUR \cdot m^{-2}]$	6.121
$R_{_m}$	$[kJ \cdot (kmol \cdot K)^{-1}]$	8.314	$C_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{var}}^{\scriptscriptstyle REAC}$	$[kEUR \cdot m^{-3}]$	500.0
τ	[s]	3,000	$C_{_{fix}}^{_{REAC}}$	[kEUR]	20,000.0
k_{c}	$[kW \cdot (K \cdot m^2)^{-1}]$	0.500	$C_{ m var}^{ m COMP}$	$[kEUR \cdot (kW)^{-1}]$	100.0
$k_{\scriptscriptstyle H}$	$[kW \cdot (K \cdot m^2)^{-1}]$	0.500	$C_{_{fix}}^{\scriptscriptstyle COMP}$	[kEUR]	500.0
$k_{\scriptscriptstyle EX}$	$[kW \cdot (K \cdot m^2)^{-1}]$	0.500	$C_{taxeCO2}$	$[kEUR \cdot (kgCO_2)^{-1}]$	$15 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$M_{_{A_c}}$	[m ²]	400.0	$M_{_{P_{comp}}}$	[kw]	500.0
$M_{_{A_{_{\!H}}}}$	[m ²]	400.0	М	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	13,000
$M_{_{A_{\!E\!X}}}$	[m ²]	400.0	t_{oper}	[h]	8,760
$M_{_{V_{\scriptscriptstyle REAC}}}$	[m ³]	100.0	t _{amort}	[a]	10

4.4. Computer Software

The study was based on data obtained from the existing MeOH plant. The remaining data were taken from the literature and computer simulations (Aspen Plus). Data processing was conducted using the following computer programs: *Excel* (collecting of inputted data and results processing), *ASPEN PLUS* [36] (simulation of process alternatives), *SYNHEAT* [37] and *GAMS* [38] (heat integration of process flows, modelling, optimization and search for satisfactory reconstruction solutions).

5. Results

This work covered two sets of results. The first part of the results covered the quantitative calculations of the minimal UC of individual process alternatives and economic evaluation of the optimal scenario at the required limits of simple and complex reconstruction pathways. The second part examined the suitability of the reconstruction method regarding multi-product production and economically evaluated the optimal alternative.

5.1. Values of the Objective Function and UC Consumption

Minimal UC was calculated using the SYNHEAT program in the GAMS [37]. The maximum value of the objective function regarding simple reconstruction pathways was achieved by the alternative D (800 kEUR/a)—from amongst all the indirect pathways of the DME synthesis. The maximum value for the objective function regarding complex reconstruction pathways was achieved by the alternative E (9,900 kEUR/a)—between both direct methods of the product synthesis. The most favourable of all reconstruction pathways was the complex reconstruction pathway, the alternative E at restrictions. The values of the objective function Z and UC of individual process alternatives for a 2-product operation are shown in Table 3.

5.2. The Optimal Alternative Process Scheme

The optimal alternative process scheme E represents a direct synthesis process for the production of DME from NG at high pressure, as in Appendix VI. Pre-formed SNG from the reformer is first cooled to 102° C and then compressed over 3-stages within the compressors (COMP-1E, COMP-2E and COMP-3E) at 68.0 bars. Cooling of the mixture is necessary due to discharge of the H₂O present. The feed into the DME reactor (slurry/liquid phase) (REAC-DME-E) is preheated to 190° C. The equilibrium chemical reaction of forming DME is conducted over 3 stages (R1-R4). The produced products from the reactor are cooled in a refrigerator (COOL-1E) to 65° C, and their pressure potentials are exploited with the usage of a gas turbine. Separation of the gas products is carried out in an absorption column (SEP-CO₂) at -45.0° C, where removal is conducted of the CO₂ present. Further separation of the liquid mixture is carried out over a series of two distillation columns (DEST-1E and DEST-2E). DME is produced in the first column and the remainder enriched with MeOH, and H₂O is separated in the second rectification column. In the latter MeOH arises at the top and H₂O at the bottom of the column.

5.3. Economic Analysis of the Results Regarding the Selected Alternative

Table 4 presents the results of a mathematical model for the optimal process alternative E, which is one of the reconstruction methods of multi-product production.

The results listed in **Table 4** represent the amount of UC. The consumption amounted to 9,300 kW after extreme cooling and 5,900 kW after additional heating. The amortization assets of the additional process units (which amounted to an additional 3,000 kEUR/a) are shown by the results. The cost of DME reactor ($V \approx 22.0$)

Tuble 5. Values of the objective functions of 2 and utility consumptions (00).							
Quantity	Unit\alternative i	А	В	С	D	Е	F
Q_C	[kw]	5,466.5	4,752.4	7,716.6	6,966.8	9,321.0	9,670.0
Q_H	[kw]	2,080.7	4,612.3	2,754.9	8,228.5	5,914.7	6,010.0
$Z = \max(PROF)$	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	-1,129.8	463.0	156.4	797.5	9,910.7	7,338.6
Selection	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	Х

Table 3. Values of the objective functions of Z and utility consumptions (UC).

 m^3) with catalyst filler represented the majority of the amortization. The distillation columns were excluded from the amortization. There was no need to purchase an additional heater or cooler within the planned process; it was only necessary to purchase an additional heat exchanger (A $\approx 54.0 \text{ m}^2$). Modification of the reformer and operation at high temperatures were additional operating costs, which were estimated to be approximately 7,200 kEUR/a. The total annual profit from the alternatives amounted to more than 9,900 kEUR.

Figure 5 shows the economic analysis of the process alternative E. The diagram shows that income from the sale of DME represented 90.0%, the income from the sale of pure MeOH almost 9.0%, and the income from the MP steam produced within the DME reactor to a little more than 1.0% of the total incomes. The cost of raw material (NG) exceeded 83.1% of all expenses generated on the expenditure side. The additional costs were represented by: modifications and operations of the reformer at a higher temperature (10.2%), amortization of additional process units, purchase of the DME reactor (it amounted to 5.4% of the total expenses on an annual basis with 10-year amortization), and the UC and CO_2 emission fees (together they did not exceed 1.5% of the total expenses).

Quantity	Unit	Value	Quantity	Unit	Value
у	-	5 (*)	OPER	[kEUR·a ^{−1}]	7,200
$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^{\scriptscriptstyle{ m min}}$	[kw]	9,321.1	A_H	[m ²]	-
$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle H}^{\scriptscriptstyle { m min}}$	[kw]	5,914.7	A_C	[m ²]	-
prih	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	79,194.6	A_{EX}	[m ²]	54.0
raw	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	57,985.7	A_{REAC}	[m ³]	22.0
UC	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	926.7	P _{COMP}	[kw]	211.0
TAXE	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	41.2	Ζ	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	9,910.7
AMORT	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	3,130.4			

Table 4. The results of a mathematical model of the optimal process alternative E.



 $(^*)$ 5th is the optimal process alternative with name E.

Figure 5. Economic analysis of a 2-product production regarding the process alternative E.

5.4. Suitability of the Reconstruction Method

The reconstruction method of multi-product production and flexible operation has confirmed the foresight on the possible improvements to existing non-profitable industrial process. The suitability of the method, which can transform MeOH process into a technologically, environmentally, and above all economically more favourable process, was confirmed regarding the examined case.

6. Conclusions and Suggestions

This article conceptually presented the reconstruction method in regard to the direction of multi-product production regarding industrial processes. The reconstruction technique can be expected to cover: 1) change of productivity, 2) change in the method of obtaining the product/-s and 3) modification on the side of input raw materials. The reconstruction pathway represented: an analysis of the state of chemical plant, the search for simple and complex alternatives regarding multi-product production, simulations of potential process alternatives with an integrated computer-aided software, the search for mathematical connections between chemical and physical quantities, mathematical modelling of a super-structure, and quantitative evaluation of the method's results. The purpose of mathematical modelling and optimization regarding reconstruction in the direction of multi-product production is the establishment of appropriate formulation. This formulation enables easier decision-making and searching for appropriate solutions between potential reconstructive pathways. Mathematical formulation presents a set of equations and inequality conditions representing mass and energy balances, connections for the size of process equipment, specifications of the temperatures and pressures of individual process units, and restrictive requirements. Mentioned program chooses between simple and complex pathways and process alternatives. Complex reconstruction pathways are more demanding in comparison with simple ones as they represent interference with the already constructed structure of an industrial process. They also represent higher costs, are more effective in most cases and their solutions help to improve the states of the existing plants. Computer-aided software that enables systematic data collection and processing plays an important role during the modelling and optimization.

The prices of raw materials and the prices of market products play important roles in the process of transforming one fuel (for example NG to DME, MeOH, etc.), which are physically, technologically, environmentally and economically more favourable. In comparison with the indirect synthesis of DME (simple reconstruction pathway), the lower operating costs of direct synthesis regarding DME (complex reconstruction pathway) were confirmed. Alternatives to the indirect pathways of the synthesis are cheaper from the point of view of investment contribution during reconstruction but their performances deteriorate. The efficiency of single-step DME from SNG is significantly higher, which justifies the functionality of chemical process planning in this direction.

In the future it will be reasonable to develop reconstruction methods for industrial processes. These reconstruction methods would have to be based on a more efficient yield of reactants (new catalysts), on the production of new products, and on a new process synthesis.

Acknowledgements

This research work was funded by the Public Agency for the Development of the Republic of Slovenia (ADRS), the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sport, for which we sincerely thank them.

References

- Lee, S., Oh, S. and Choi, Y. (2009) Performance and Emission Characteristics of an SI Engine Operated with DME Blended LPG Fuel. *Fuel*, 88, 1009-1015. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2008.12.016</u>
- [2] Papalexandri, K. and Pistikopoulos, E.N. (1993) A Retrofit Design Model for Improving the Operability of Heat Exchanger Networks. In: Pilavachi, P.A., Ed., *Energy Efficiency in Process Technology*, Springer, Netherlands, 915-928. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1454-7_81</u>
- [3] Papalexandri, K. and Pistikopoulos, E.N. (1993) A MINLP Retrofit Approach for Improving the Flexibility of Heat Exchanger Networks. *Annals of Operations Research*, 42, 119-168. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02023174</u>
- [4] Soršak, A. and Kravanja, Z. (2004) MINLP Retrofit of Heat Exchanger Networks Comprising Different Exchanger Types. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 28, 235-251. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(03)00167-4</u>
- [5] Kovac-Kralj, A. and Glavič, P. (2000) Simultaneous Retrofit of Complex and Energy Intensive Processes-III. Com-

puters Chemical Engineering, 24, 1229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(00)00361-6

- [6] Novak-Pintaric, Z. and Kravanja, Z. (2007) Multiperiod Investment Models for the Gradual Reconstruction of Chemical Processes. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, **30**, 1622-1632. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ceat.200700334</u>
- [7] Arcoumanis, C., Choongsik, B., Crookes, R. and Eiji, K. (2008) The Potential of Dimethyl Ether (DME) as an Alternative Fuel for Compression-Ignition Engines: A Review. *Fuel*, 87, 1014-1030. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2007.06.007</u>
- [8] Zhou, L., Hu, S., Li, Y. and Zhou, Q. (2008) Study on Co-Feed and Co-Production System Based on Coal and Natural Gas for Producing DME and Electricity. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **136**, 31-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2007.03.025
- [9] Larson, E.D. and Yang, H. (2004) Dimethyl Ether (DME) from Coal as a Household Cooking Fuel in China. Energy for Sustainable Development, 8, 115-126. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0973-0826(08)60473-1</u>
- [10] Gangadharan, P., Zanwar, A., Zheng, K., Gossage, J.L. and Helen, H. (2012) Sustainability Assessment of Polygeneration Processes Based on Syngas Derived from Coal and Natural Gas. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, **39**, 105-117. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2011.10.006</u>
- [11] Kovač-Kralj, A. and Bencik, D. (2012) Replacing the Existing Methanol Production within DME Production by Using Biogas. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, **27**, 25-30.
- [12] Kabir, K.B., Hein, K. and Bhattacharya, S. (2013) Process Modelling of Dimethyl Ether Production from Victorian Brown Coal—Integrating Coal Drying, Gasification and Synthesis Processes. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 48, 96-104. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2012.08.008</u>
- [13] Srirangan, K., Akawi, L., Moo-Young, M. and Chou, C.P. (2012) Towards Sustainable Production of Clean Energy Carriers from Biomass Resources. *Applied Energy*, **100**, 172-186. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.05.012</u>
- [14] Swain, P.K., Das, L.M. and Naik, S.N. (2011) Biomass to Liquid: A Prospective Challenge to Research and Development in 21st Century. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15, 4917-4933. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.061
- [15] Ju, F., Chen, H., Ding, X., Yang, H., Wang, X., Zhang, S. and Dai, Z. (2009) Process Simulation of Single-Step Dimethyl Ether Production via Biomass Gasification. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27, 599-605. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechady.2009.04.015
- [16] Trippe, F., Fröhling, M., Schultmann, F., Stahl, R., Henrich, E. and Dalai, A. (2013) Comprehensive Techno-Economic Assessment of Dimethyl Ether (DME) Synthesis and Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis as Alternative Process Steps within Biomass-to-Liquid Production. *Fuel Processing Technology*, **106**, 577-586. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2012.09.029
- [17] Li, Y.P., Wang, T.J., Yin, X.L., Wu, C.Z., Ma, L.L., Li, H.B., et al. (2010) 100 t/a-Scale Demonstration of Direct Dimethyl Ether Synthesis from Corncob-Derived Syngas. *Renewable Energy*, 35, 583-587. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.08.002
- [18] Li, Z., Liu, P., He, F., Wang, M. and Pistikopoulos, E.N. (2011) Simulation and Exergoeconomic Analysis of a Dual-Gas Sourced Polygeneration Process with Integrated Methanol/DME/DMC Catalytic Synthesis. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 35, 1857-1862. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2011.01.015</u>
- [19] Kovac-Kralj, A. and Bencik, D. (2011) Replacing an Existing Product's Production within a Similar Product Production by Using a Replacement Technique. *Energy Science & Technology*, 2, 79-84.
- [20] Nasehi, S.M., Eslamlueyan, R. and Jahanmiri, A. (2006) Simulation of DME Reactor from Methanol. *Proceedings of the 11th Chemical Engineering Conference*, Kish Island, 28-30 November 2006.
- [21] Sai Prasad, P.S., Bae, J.W., Kang, S.H., Lee, Y.J. and Jun, K.W. (2008) Single-Step Synthesis of DME from Syngas on Cu-ZnO-Al₂O₃/Zeolite Bifunctional Catalysts: The Superiority of Ferrierite over the Other Zeolites. *Fuel Processing Technology*, **89**, 1281-1286. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2008.07.014</u>
- [22] García-Trenco, A. and Martínez, A. (2014) The Influence of Zeolite Surface-Aluminum Species on the Deactivation of CuZnAl/Zeolite Hybrid Catalysts for the Direct DME Synthesis. *Catalysis Today*, **227**, 144-153.
- [23] García-Trenco, A., Valencia, S. and Martínez, A. (2013) The Impact of Zeolite Pore Structure on the Catalytic Behavior of CuZnAl/Zeolite Hybrid Catalysts for the Direct DME Synthesis. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 468, 102-111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2013.08.038
- [24] Zhang, M.H., Liu, Z.M., Lin, G.D. and Zhang, H.B. (2013) Pd/CNT-Promoted CuZrO₂/HZSM-5 Hybrid Catalysts for Direct Synthesis of DME from CO₂/H₂. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, **451**, 28-35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2012.10.038
- [25] Biegler, L.T., Grossmann, I.E. and Westerberg, A.W. (1997) Systematic Methods of Chemical Process Design. Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River.

- [26] Duran, M.A. and Grossmann, I.E. (1986) Simultaneous Optimization and Heat Integration of Chemical Processes. *AIChE Journal*, **32**, 123-138.
- [27] Kravanja, Z. and Novak-Pintaric, Z. (2006) Optimiranje procesov: Zbrano gradivo. Fakulteta za kemijo in kemijsko tehnologijo, Univerza v Mariboru, Maribor.
- [28] Ropotar, M. and Kravanja, Z. (2006) Implementation of Efficient Logic-Based Techniques in the MINLP Process Synthesizer MIPSYN. In: Marquardt, W. and Pantelides, C., Eds., *Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 233-238.
- [29] Jackson, J.R. and Grossmann, I.E. (2002) High Level Optimization Model for the Retrofit Planning of Process Networks. *Industrial Engineering Chemistry Research*, 41, 3762-3770.
- [30] Turton, R., Bailie, R.C., Whiting, W.B. and Shaeiwitz, J.A. (2009) Analysis, Synthesis, and Design of Chemical Processes. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River.
- [31] Towarmalani, M. and Sahinidis, N.V. (2005) A Polyhedral Branch-and-Cut Approach to Global Optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 103, 225-249. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10107-005-0581-8</u>
- [32] Yomamoto, Y.S. (1995) Ulmann's Encyclopedie of Industrial Chemistry. Completely Revised Edition, Vol. A24, VCH, New York, 540-543.
- [33] Aktas, B. (2012) Production of Dimethyl Ether: Feasibility Study. Free Docs., 1-7.
- [34] Clausen, L.R., Elmegaard, B., Ahrenfeldt, J. and Henriksen, U. (2011) Thermodynamic Analysis of Small-Scale Dimethyl Ether (DME) and Methanol Plants Based on the Efficient Two-Stage Gasifier. *Energy*, 36, 5805-5814. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.08.047</u>
- [35] Zheng, D. and Cao, W. (2007) Retrofitting for DME Process by Energy-Flow Framework Diagram. Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification, 46, 2-9. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2006.03.018</u>
- [36] ASPEN PLUS (2002) User Manual Release 11.1. Aspen Technology Inc., Cambridge.
- [37] Yee, T.F. and Grossmann, I.E. (1990) Simultaneous Optimization Models for Heat Integration—II. Heat Exchanger Networks Synthesis. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 14, 1165-1184.
- [38] GAMS (2007) Beta 22.4: The Solver Manuals. GAMS Development Corporation, Washington DC.

Nomenclatures

Abbreviations	
A-E	name of the alternative reconstruction scenario
ADRS	Agency for the Development of the Republic of Slovenia
ASPEN PLUS	software package for the simulations of real chemical processes
CO_2	carbon dioxide
COOL	process unit of the cooler
DEST	process unit of the distillation column
DME	dimethyl-ether (chemical formula: CH ₃ -O-CH ₃)
GAMS	computer program (General Algebraic Modelling System)
HEAT	process unit of heater
LPS	low-pressure steam/process
MeOH	methanol (chemical formula CH ₃ OH)
MFMP	Multi-Feed Multi-Products
MINLP	Mixed-integer nonlinear programming
MPS	medium-pressure steam/process
NG	Natural Gas
REAC-DME	reactor for DME synthesis
REDUC	process unit of the reduced valve
REQUIL	equilibrium type of the reactor in ASPEN PLUS
SFMP	Single-Feed Multi-Products
SNG	Synthesis Natural Gas
SYNHEAT	programme in GAMS for calculation of the minimal UC
HI	heat integration
UC	utility consumption
HPS	high-pressure steam/process

Greek symbols				
Δ	-	change/difference		
κ	-	heat capacities ratio (C_P/C_V)		
Σ	-	sum		
η_1	-	efficiency of steam production		
η_2	-	efficiency of adiabatic compressor		
ρ	$[kg \cdot m^{-3}]$	substance density		
τ	[s]	living time of the reactants in reactor		

Subscripts and superscripts				
am ort	amortization	min	minimal	
С	component	new	used data of the model	
С	cold stream	out	outlet	
COMP	compressor	old	original data of the model	
е	process unit	oper	operation	
EL	electricity	UC	utility consumption	
EX	heat exchanger	Р	product	
fix	fixed part of investment	r	reaction	
Н	hot stream	ref	reference value	
HEN	heat exchanger network	REAC	reactor	
i	process alternative	S	raw material	
in	inflow	STEAM	steam	
j	simple scenario	taxe	taxes	
k	complex scenario	и	inlet raw material	
Lo	lower limit	UP	upper	
ln	medium-logarithmic	var	variable part of investment	
MIX	mixture	V	chemical product	
m	molar			

Symbols used		
Α	-	binary variable
а	-	coefficient in the matrix
$\mathbf{A}_{L\timesI}$	-	matrix of input data
A_H	[m ²]	surface of the heater
A_C	[m ²]	surface of the cooler
A_{EX}	[m ²]	surface of the heat exchanger
В	-	binary variable
CF	$[\mathbf{k}\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1}]$	heat flow
C_P	$[\mathbf{J} \cdot (\mathbf{kg} \cdot \mathbf{K})^{-1}]$	heat capacity
С	$[EUR \cdot kg^{-1}], [EUR \cdot (GJ)^{-1}]$	price of raw materials, products and UC
ddv	[%]	tax
Ε	$[kWh \cdot a^{-1}]$	energy productivity
Н	$[kJ \cdot mol^{-1}]$	standard reaction enthalpy
I_0	[kEUR]	investment of process units
k	-	mass conversion
k _H	$[kW \cdot (K \cdot m^2)^{-1}]$	heat transfer coefficient of the heater
k_C	$[kW \cdot (K \cdot m^2)^{-1}]$	heat transfer coefficient of the cooler
k_{EX}	$[kW \cdot (K \cdot m^2)^{-1}]$	heat transfer coefficient of the heat exchanger
M	$[kg\cdot kmol^{-1}]$	molar mass
M_B	$[m^2], [m^3], [kW] [kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	the big-M
qm	$[kg \cdot h^{-1}]$	mass flow
Q_C	[kW]	consumption of cold UC
R_m	$[kJ \cdot (kmol \cdot k)^{-1}]$	general gas constant
R_H	$[\mathbf{k}\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{k}^{-1}]$	consumption of hot UC
Р	[bar]	pressure
Р	[kW]	power
UC	$[kWh \cdot a^{-1}]$	consumption of utility
t	[h], [a]	time
Т	[°C], [°K],	temperature
V	[m ³]	volume
Ζ	-	binary variable
Ζ	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	maximized annual profit
X	-	molar yield
У	-	binary variable
$\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{I}}$	-	matrix of binary variables
AMORT	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	cost of amortization of process units
odh	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	expenses
O PER	$[kEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	additional operating costs
prod	$[\text{kEUR} \cdot a^{-1}]$	income of the sale of products
prod_steam	[kEUR·a ⁻¹]	sale of medium pressure steam
prih	[kEUR·a ⁻¹]	incomes
PROF	[kEUR·a ⁻⁺]	annual profit
raw TANE	$[KEUR \cdot a^{-1}]$	cost of raw materials
TAXE	[KEUK·a]	emission taxes