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ABSTRACT

We first show that Doppler’s effect implies that the time runs identically in the frames of reference of the source of light and the observer. Furthermore, we then show that the frequency shift due to the (assumed) expansion of space, if any, is “indistinguishable” from that due to the motion of the source with respect to the observer; and that the shift does not depend on the distance to the source. Observed frequency shifts of cosmological sources then need to be interpreted as being only due to their motions with respect to us. This has important implications for our ideas in cosmology.
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1. Introduction

In 1842, Christian Doppler discovered [1] that whenever a source of light is in motion relative to an observer, the period of the light wave as measured by that observer is different than that it is emitted by the source with. In what follows, we are concerned with the implications of the general explanation of Doppler’s effect, with generality of explanation referring here to its applicability for any state of the relative motion of the source and observer, whether of uniform velocity or accelerated.

2. Explaining Doppler’s Effect

In its generality, Doppler’s discovery is to be understood [2] as follows. Part (a) of Figure 1 shows a stationary source $S$ of light. Let a light-front reach an observer at $O$ at $t = 0$. Let observer’s velocity be along $OP$ making an angle $\theta$ with $OS$, as shown. At time $t = 0$, the light-front immediately following the first one is at point $M$, which is at distance $cT$ from $O$. Here, $c$ is the speed of light (in vacuum) and $T$ is the period of the wave emitted by the source. Let the second light-front catch the observer at $P$ along the ray $SQP$ at time $T'$.

The angle $\phi = \angle MOS$ is tiny when the source $S$ is distant from the observer. Then, $MP$ is good approximation for $QP$. Thus, we obtain:

\[
(MP)^2 = c^2T'^2 = \left[cT + (OP)\cos\theta\right]^2 + (OP)^2 \sin^2\theta
\]

(1)

Clearly, only when $(OP) = 0$ that we have $T' = T$, that is, the measured period $T'$ of the light wave equals the period $T$ as emitted by the source. Otherwise, the two periods are different, as was Doppler’s discovery.

Moreover, when the observer is moving (away from) towards the source we obtain (increase) (decrease) in the measured period $T'$.

Part (b) of Figure 1 then shows a stationary observer and a source $S$ moving with velocity $v$ along the line $SP$. From the considerations similar to those of the Part (a) with $\angle MOS$ being very tiny, we obtain:

\[
(MO)^2 = \varpi^2 T'^2 = \left[\varpi T - (SP)\cos\theta\right]^2 + (SP)^2 \sin^2\theta
\]

\[
= \varpi^2 T^2 + 2\varpi T (SP)\cos\theta + (SP)^2
\]

(2)

where $\varpi$ is the speed of light (in vacuum), $T$ is the period of the wave emitted by the source, and $T'$ is the measured period, all in a new frame of reference.

Notice that the observer is shown moving away from the source in Part (a), while the source is shown moving towards the observer in Part (b) of Figure 1; and that accounts for the difference in signs of the $\cos\theta$ terms of Equations (1) and (2).

Also, notice that we do not have to specify how the observer has traveled distance $(OP)$ in Part (a) or the source has traveled distance $(SP)$ in Part (b) of Figure 1 whether with uniform velocity, uniform acceleration or with variable acceleration.

Furthermore, if Equations (1) and (2) are not identical for $(SP) = (OP)$, the observer will be able to measure self
motion using Doppler’s effect. Then, the (general) principle that no observer measures self motion using physical effects requires, in the simplest way, that \( c = \text{constant}, \ T = T', \ T' = T'' \), which we assume, henceforth.

Now, assuming constant acceleration, we may write for distance \((OP)\) as:

\[
(OP) = \frac{1}{2} (u + v) T' \tag{3}
\]

where \( u \) is the velocity of the observer (source) at \( t = 0 \) and \( v \) that at time \( t = T' \).

Then, writing \( v_0 = 1/T' \) for the measured frequency, \( v_e = 1/T' \) for emitted frequency and using Equation (3) in Equation (1), we obtain a quadratic in \( v_0 \) that can be solved to obtain:

\[
v_0 = v_e \times \left[ -\frac{(u+v)}{2c} \cos \theta + \sqrt{1 - \frac{(u+v)^2}{4c^2}} \right] \tag{4}
\]

which yields \( v_0 = v_e \) when the source and the observer are relatively at rest.

Now, when the line of motion \( OP \) is perpendicular to the line \( OS \), the initial line of sight to the source, we have [3] the transverse Doppler effect as:

\[
v_0^T = v_e \sqrt{1 - \frac{(u+v)^2}{4c^2}} \tag{5}
\]

Furthermore, when the velocity is uniform, we have:

\[
v_0 = v_e \left[ \frac{u}{c} \cos \theta + \sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}} \right] \tag{6}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow v_0^T = v_e \sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}}
\]

The above explanation of Doppler’s effect has following features:

1) It crucially assumes only the constancy of the speed of light for all observers; whether moving with uniform velocity or with uniform or with variable acceleration. Acceleration dependence of the Doppler shift is then an implication of this assumption;

2) The approximation that the distance between the source and the observer is much larger than the wave-length of light is incidental for it;

3) It does not involve the transformation of the time coordinate between the frames of reference: \( T' \) or \( v_0 \) is, and so is \( T' \) or \( v_e \), identical in them. This is required to ensure that the observer does not detect self-motion;

4) Assumed constancy of the acceleration (either of the source or of the observer) should be a good approximation as it is over the period of the light wave.

Then, let us note that Equations (4) through (6) are consistent with all the laboratory experiments [4-6] measuring the Doppler shift.

Notice that, here, the acceleration dependence of the Doppler shift is not an assumption, but an implication of the constancy of the speed of light (in vacuum) for all observers.

An experiment for the acceleration-dependence of the Doppler shift is proposed in [2].

### 3. Implications for the Theory of Gravity

Now, of importance to our ideas of gravity is the fact that the Doppler effect does not involve the transformation of the time coordinate between the considered two frames of reference. Thus, time runs identically in the two frames of reference, of the source and of the observer, with the following as its immediate implication.

If we consider “space” to be expanding (contracting), then also a frequency shift results. This is illustrated in Parts (a) and (b) of Figure 2 using an expanding sphere for the purpose of this illustration.

However, the frequency shift due to the expanding space is, mathematically rigorously, equivalent to the Doppler shift corresponding to appropriate velocity \( v \), of the kind shown in Part (c) of Figure 2, with the “space” being Euclidean. That is, Doppler’s effect does not distinguish between the motion of the source/observer (within a Euclidean space) and the expansion of the (assumed curved or not) space.

Notice that the aforementioned is not a necessary conclusion if time runs differently in the frames of reference of the observer and the source of light. In Einstein’s theory of gravity, the expansion of space and the motion of the source influence the frequency differently.

Figure 3 now illustrates Doppler’s effect in a “static” curved space, for this illustration as a sphere of radius \( R \). Source \( S \) emits waves that travel to the observer at \( O \). As shown, the source then moves to location \( P \) on the sphere. Locally, the motion of the source \( S \) along the “curve” \( SP \) is at an angle \( \theta \) with respect to the great circle \( SO \). At the observer’s location \( O \), the first wavefront and the wavefront immediately following it are situated in the same manner as shown in Part (b) of Figure 1.

Then, unless the curvature of the space affects the wave propagation over its wavelength, Equations (1) and
4. Concluding Remarks

From the general explanation of the Doppler effect, the frequency shift is not an indicator of the distance of the source from the observer, but only of its motion relative to that observer.

Of importance is then the fact that the observed frequency shifts of "cosmological" sources do not indicate their distances, therefore.

However, most of the ideas of the present cosmology are based on the red shift being interpreted as an indicator of the distance of a cosmological source.

But, interpreting frequency shift(s) only in terms of the motion(s) of the astronomical source(s) is the only proper interpretation of the frequency shift. Disentangling the effect of the velocity of the source on the frequency shift from that of its acceleration will then be important for our ideas in observational and theoretical cosmology.
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