

Controlled-Release Analysis of Potassium Permanganate Using PMMA Matrix

Jude O. Ighere, Ramesh C. Chawla

Chemical Engineering Department, Howard University, Washington DC, USA
Email: jude.ighere@gmail.com, rchawla@howard.edu

Received 22 August 2014; revised 13 October 2014; accepted 22 October 2014

Academic Editor: Mohammed Usman, University of Lagos, Nigeria

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Excess amount of potassium permanganate has often been used *in-situ* for chemical oxidation of contaminated sites. The consequences are not limited to secondary contamination and cost but also inefficient remediation. Encapsulation of permanganate using PMMA enables controlled dissolution of the oxidant and aids long-term processes. This paper focuses on the oxidant release efficiency from polymer matrix and analysis of data using existing models for glassy polymers. The efficiency profile obtained using mass ratios of 2:1, 4:1, and 8:1 of PMMA to KMnO_4 showed a decrease in the extent of release with increasing mass ratio with 79%, 55.35% and 33.59% respectively. Patches were noticed on the surfaces of PMMA after the release of KMnO_4 , these were attributed to crevices created by the non-fickian diffusion of the oxidant.

Keywords

PMMA, Controlled-Release, Permanganate, Analysis, Encapsulation

1. Introduction

In situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) has been successfully used to degrade chlorinated hydrocarbons for decades [1] [2]. One popular oxidant in ISCO application is potassium permanganate, KMnO_4 possibly due to its high oxidation potential across the pH [3]. This oxidant is highly soluble in aqueous media but largely insoluble in non-polar solvents (e.g. TCE contaminated zone). Thus, as a strong and reactive oxidant, KMnO_4 would oxidize any reductive material dissolved in an aqueous medium. The amount of KMnO_4 therefore available to oxidize target contaminant is likely consumed by dissolved organic constituent, thereby decreasing the oxidation efficiency. More KMnO_4 than necessary is therefore used for complete degradation of the target compound [4].

Therefore, the availability of KMnO_4 in aqueous phase can be controlled by slowing down its dissolution rate, thereby enabling oxidant persistence.

Controlled-release technology has widely been used in biopolymer engineering in drug delivery applications [5]-[7]. This enabled the control of dissolution rate and delivery to targeted parts due to preferential interaction. Similarly, the controlled-release application of KMnO_4 in soil and water treatment should not only control the rate of oxidant dissolution but also improve effective interaction with target contaminant. This is achieved by encapsulating the water soluble KMnO_4 with a responsive polymer that is not soluble in water [8]. Thus, the outer surface barrier prevents direct contact between the oxidant and the aqueous medium. Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) is hydrophobic and responsive to chlorinated hydrocarbons' solvent environment. However, before this study PMMA has not been investigated for oxidant encapsulation.

Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) is a synthetic resin produced from the polymerization of methyl methacrylate, a transparent rigid plastic and often referred to as organic glass. PMMA, an ester of methacrylic acid ($\text{CH}_2 = \text{C}[\text{CH}_3]\text{CO}_2\text{H}$), belongs to the important acrylic family of resins. In modern production it is obtained principally from propylene. Methyl methacrylate, ($\text{CH}_2 = \text{C}[\text{CH}_3]\text{CO}_2\text{H}_3$) in bulk liquid form or suspended as fine droplets in water, is polymerized (its molecules linked together in large numbers) under the influence of free-radical initiators to form solid PMMA. The structure of the polymer repeating unit is presented in **Figure 1**.

More studies would be required to understand the release mechanism of KMnO_4 from PMMA encapsulation. However, this study centers on the oxidant release efficiency of PMMA in aqueous system and the matrix surface analysis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents

Poly (methymetacrylate) (PMMA) bead particles was ordered from Acros Organics; Sodium Borate purchased from Mallinckrodt, inc; Acetone (100%) was purchased from Acros Organics; Trichloroethylene, 100% (American Chemical Society, ACS grade), potassium permanganate (oxidant), sodium thiosulfate (used to quench reaction) and HPLC grade hexane (liquid-liquid extraction) were all purchased from Fisher Scientific. De-ionized water was used for all experiments.

2.2. Encapsulation Procedure

KMnO_4 (oxidant) was encapsulated in PMMA matrix by adapting and modifying the molten suspension and cooling method at room temperature [8]. A predetermined amount (e.g. 2 g) of PMMA was measured into a 100 ml beaker and 3 ml acetone is added. The PMMA whose melting point is very high melts at room temperature under the influence of acetone. The molten PMMA is placed on a stirrer and mixed thoroughly using a glass magnetic arrow. Borax (Sodium Borate) was added to initiate cross-link of the molten PMMA. Under continuous mixing, particles of KMnO_4 were added as required to produce 1:2, 1:4 and 1:8 of KMnO_4 of PMMA ratio. After mixing for 10 mins to assume uniform particle dispersion, the molten mixture was allowed to solidify under room temperature by volatilizing acetone. Solidified particles/granules of encapsulated KMnO_4 were reduced using laboratory mill. Sieved sizes were used as needed for the release experiments. The flow diagram (see **Figure 2**) depicts the encapsulation process.




Figure 1. Structure of poly methyl methacrylate.




Figure 2. Encapsulation of KMnO_4 using PMMA.

2.3. Release Experiments

Encapsulated KMnO_4 for control release experiment were used in the ratios of 1:2, 1:4, and 1:8 of the KMnO_4 /PMMA matrix. A calculated amount of the encapsulated KMnO_4 ranging from 300 to 900 mg containing equivalent amount of the oxidant were used. At ambient condition, the modified oxidant was introduced into a 1-L Milli-Q de-ionized water with continuous mechanical stirring to enable uniform solution. At specific time intervals, aliquots of the solution were taken, centrifuged and analyzed for KMnO_4 using Cary UV Spectrophotometer at 525 nm wavelength. Release profiles were developed for the mass of KMnO_4 released with time. The amount of KMnO_4 initially contained in the PMMA matrix was calculated as below:

$$X = P + K \quad (1)$$

But ratio (r) of PMMA/ KMnO_4 can be represented as

$$r = \frac{P}{K} \text{ or } P = r * K \quad (2)$$

where X = weighed total mass of PMMA and KMnO_4 in the encapsulated form, K = Mass of unmodified KMnO_4 particles per mass of encapsulated matrix, P = mass of PMMA bead particles per mass of encapsulated matrix and r = ratio of PMMA to KMnO_4 in a specific matrix.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Release Experiment for Encapsulated KMnO_4 in Water

The release profile for KMnO_4 in Water was studied with mass to mass ratios of 1:2, 1:4, and 1:8 of KMnO_4 to PMMA particles respectively. The results indicate that the release efficiency is inversely proportional to the mass ratio of KMnO_4 to PMMA particles. There was decrease in release with increasing mass ratio (see **Figure 3**). At a 10-hour time period, 79%, 55.35% and 33.59% of KMnO_4 has been released from 1:2, 1:4, and 1:8 encapsulated matrix respectively. PMMA is not soluble in water hence initial release near time $t = 0$ is most likely dissolution of KMnO_4 integrated on the surface of encapsulated matrix. Therefore the lowest release rate associated with 1:8 mass ratio was due to the thickness of the PMMA layer in the encapsulated matrix.

3.2. Analysis of Release

The release of KMnO_4 from the surface of the PMMA matrix left some patches and holes under SEM imaging (see **Figure 4(b)**). The structural changes on glassy polymer surfaces have been attributed to possible effects of STRESS and strains on surface as the material swells [9]. This is suggested to be as a result of response to any of the following: effects of temperature change, effects of activity differences, and effects of prehistory and sample dimensions [10]-[12]. The release has therefore been modeled as non-fickian where diffusion and dissolution depends on stress on the matrix and not on concentration gradient.






Figure 3. Release profile KMnO_4 from PMMA encapsulated KMnO_4 .



(a)



(b)

Figure 4. (a) SEM image of the surface of PMMA encapsulated KMnO_4 before release experiment; (b) SEM image of the surface of PMMA encapsulated KMnO_4 after release experiment.

The initial swelling/dissolution from the matrix surface leaves patches and holes on the matrix. These holes allow the aqueous phase to diffuse into the inner opening of the PMMA matrix contacting more KMnO_4 [8]. Thus the release mechanism for glassy polymers (such as polystyrene, PMMA) had previously been modeled as below [10]:

$$S_e = at^n \quad (3)$$

where S_e is the percent release of the oxidant, t is the time period for specific S_e , constant a is the characteristic property of the encapsulated matrix and exponent n varies with parameters of structural changes. **Figure 4(a)** and **Figure 4(b)** compares respectively the SEM images of encapsulated matrix before and after oxidant release.

Equation (3) can be represented in the logarithmic form as shown in Equation (4). A plot of $\log S_e$ against $\log t$, give the slope as n while k is obtained from the intercept. Thus, the time required for every percent release can be obtained from the model.

$$\log S_e = \log a + n \log t \quad (4)$$

For the mass ratio 1:8, a plot of $\log S_e$ against $\log t$ gives the value $n = 0.2611$ and $k = 6.75 \times 10^{-2}$. Therefore the model can be represented as

$$t_{S_e} = \left[\frac{S_e}{6.75 \times 10^{-2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{0.2611}} \quad (5)$$

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

PMMA was effective in controlling the instant dissolution of KMnO_4 in aqueous media. The release rate is very low and depends on the thickness of the PMMA layer on oxidant surface. Results showed that the encapsulation of KMnO_4 by PMMA using the modified molten suspension technique controlled the rate of dissolution of KMnO_4 . The interaction mechanism between PMMA and KMnO_4 during encapsulation is not discussed in this paper. The analysis of release data attributed to crevices obtained on the surfaces of PMMA is according to models for other glassy polymers. This study provides insight into the application of PMMA in oxidant release and persistence in long-term leaching organic contamination.

References

- [1] Huang, K.C., Hoag, G.E. and Chheda, P. (1999) Kinetic Study of the Oxidation of Trichloroethylene by Potassium Permanganate. *Environmental Engineering Science*, **16**, 265-274.
- [2] Kirschling, T.L., Gregory, K.B., Minkley, E.G., Lowry, G.V. and Tilton, R.D. (2010) Impact of Nanoscale Zero Valent Iron on Geochemistry and Microbial Populations in Trichloroethylene Contaminated Aquifer Materials. *Environmental Sciences & Technology*, **44**, 3474-3480. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es903744f>
- [3] Crimi, M.L. and Siegrist, R.L. (2005) Factors Affecting Effectiveness and Efficiency of DNAPL Destruction Using Potassium Permanganate and Catalyzed Hydrogen Peroxide. *Journal of Environmental Engineering ASCE*, **131**, 1724-1732. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9372\(2005\)131:12\(1724\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2005)131:12(1724))
- [4] Yuan, B., Chen, Y. and Fu, M.-L. (2012) Degradation Efficiencies and Mechanisms of Trichloroethylene (TCE) by Controlled-Release Permanganate (CRP) Oxidation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **192**, 276-283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.03.049>
- [5] Takami, T. and Murakami, Y. (2011) Development of PEG-PLA/PLGA Microparticles for Pulmonary Drug Delivery Prepared by a Novel Emulsification Technique Assisted with Amphiphilic Block Copolymers. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, **87**, 433-438. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2011.06.004>
- [6] Pawar, V.K., Singh, Y., Meher, J.G., Gupta, S. and Chourasia, M.K. (2014) Engineered Nanocrystal Technology: *In-Vivo* Fate, Targeting and Applications in Drug Delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release*, **183**, 51-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.03.030>
- [7] Felice, B., Prabhakaran, M.P., Rodríguez, A.P. and Ramakrishna, S. (2014) Drug Delivery Vehicles on a Nano-Engineering Perspective. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, **41**, 178-195. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.04.049>
- [8] Kang, N., Hua, I., Suresh, P. and Rao, C. (2004) Production and Characterization of Encapsulated Potassium Permanganate for Sustained Release as an *in Situ* Oxidant. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **43**, 5187-5193. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie0499097>

- [9] Wang, W. and Zhou, M. (2010) Degradation of Trichloroethylene Using Solvent-Responsive Polymer Coated Fe Nanoparticles. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, **369**, 232-239.
- [10] Sarti, G.C. (1988) Fickian and Non-Fickian Diffusion in Solid Polymers. http://www.unileipzig.de/diffusion/presentations_DFII/pdf/DFII_Sarti.pdf
- [11] Motornov, M., Roiter, Y., Tokarev, I. and Minko, S. (2010) Stimuli-Responsive Nanoparticles, Nanogels and Capsules for Integrated Multifunctional Intelligent Systems. *Progress in Polymer Science*, **35**, 174-211. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2009.10.004>
- [12] Manokruang, K. and Manias, E. (2009) Hollow Microspheres and Aqueous Phase Behavior of pH-Responsive Poly(methylmethacrylate-co-methacrylic acid) Copolymers with a Blocky Comonomer Distribution. *Materials Letters*, **63**, 1144-1147. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2009.01.046>

Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either submit@scirp.org or [Online Submission Portal](#).

