

Migration of Biodegradable Organic Matter in Underlying Soils of Household Waste Dumpsites: A Case Study in Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Metogbe B. Djihouessi¹, Sofiath Onifade¹, Martin P. Aina^{1,2*}, Hervé E. Labité¹, François de Paule Codo^{1,2}

¹Laboratoire des Sciences et Techniques de l'Eau (LSTE), Institut National de l'Eau, Université d'Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Cotonou, Bénin

²Institut National de l'Eau (INE), Université d'Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Cotonou, Bénin

Email: *marnickson@yahoo.fr

How to cite this paper: Djihouessi, M.B., Onifade, S., Aina, M.P., Labité, H.E. and de Paule Codo, F. (2018) Migration of Biodegradable Organic Matter in Underlying Soils of Household Waste Dumpsites: A Case Study in Abomey-Calavi, Benin. *Journal of Crystallization Process and Technology*, **8**, 18-32.

https://doi.org/10.4236/jcpt.2018.81002

Received: November 28, 2017 Accepted: January 14, 2018 Published: January 19, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

Abstract

This paper presented the first part of the studies about the development of a tool for groundwater contamination prediction, conducted by the Laboratory of Sciences and Technology of Water (UAC/Benin). The investigation made consisted in estimating the combined effect of retardation factor and biodegradation on migration processes of leachate, in the underlying soils of household waste dumpsites, without active safety barrier. Leachate infiltration tests for different initial conditions were made on soil columns and the breakthrough curves were traced for electrical conductivity, the 5 day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) and total kieldahl nitrogen TKN. A mathematical migration model was developed and solved numerically by finite difference method and implemented with Matlab R2013a. Thus, the calibration of the model was made with electric conductivity data by determining the dispersion coefficient of the studied soils ($D = 0.96 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$). Simulations for model verification showed that the established model can perfectly predict the migration of biodegradable organic pollution (BOD₅) but did not give conclusive results for the monitoring of nitrogenous organic matter (TKN). The influence of the retardation factor on the migration of biodegradable organic pollutants in soils was linear, while the biodegradation rate of the organic material on migration showed an exponential pattern.

Keywords

Waste Dumpsites, Pollutants, Biodegradability, Soil Dispersion, Column Test,

Biodegradable Organic Pollution, Nitrogenous Organic Matter

1. Introduction

The migration of solutes in a porous medium is usually controlled by three mechanisms: Convection, molecular diffusion and mechanical dispersion [1] [2]. During transport of a soluble contaminant from soil surface to groundwater, mechanical dispersion is the major migration mechanism [3]. It is well known that the dispersion of a pollutant in a soil, saturated or not, is influenced both by the intrinsic properties of the soil (such as its nature, porosity, tortuosity and grain size distribution), and by the properties of the fluid flow and transportation (such as viscosity, density and velocity of the fluid) [4] [5]. However, independent of these parameters, it is important in the study of the dispersion process to take into account the nature and origin of the pollutant. Whether organic, inorganic, mineral or heavy metals, domestic, industrial, or pesticides, pollutants involve a large number of phenomena and reactions that may significantly influence their migration in soils [6] [7].

Major phenomena or reactions encountered during migration of leachates in soil, include: Solubilisation at acidic pH and the precipitation at a basic pH [8], metal complexation by organic matter [9] [10], biodegradation of organic matter [11] [12], adsorption of ions or molecules on the surface of the soil grains [13] and cationic exchange phenomena [14]. Thus, to effectively prevent contamination of groundwater by leachate, it is important to define models which can combine the mechanisms of the fluid flow and transport in soils to the mechanisms related to the pollutants nature.

In this paper, a risk assessment tool was developed based on a model coupling the convection-dispersion to the biodegradation of organic pollutants during leachate migration processes in soils for the prediction of groundwater contamination in the context of developing countries.

2. Background Concepts

2.1. Convection-Dispersion Model

Generally, the change in concentration of a passive pollutant A between two points of a soil column is considered as a one-dimensional transport problem, which is simultaneously subjected to the phenomena of convection and dispersion [15] [16]. This gives:

$$\frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t} = D_z \frac{\partial^2 c_A}{\partial z^2} - q_z \frac{\partial c_A}{\partial z} + S(c_A)$$
(2.1)

where: c_A is the concentration of the pollutant $A(\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-3})$, D_z is the overall coefficient of diffusion-dispersion $(\mathbf{L}^2 \cdot \mathbf{T}^{-1})$, q_z is the Darcy velocity, $(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{-1})$, z and t are the spatial (L) and time (T) variables, and $S(c_A)$ describes the set of reactions and contributions that take place in the porous medium $(\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-3})$ also

called source.

Notice that: $c_A = c_{A_p} + c_{A_s}$; with:

$$\frac{\partial c_{A_p}}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t}, \text{ change in concentration of } A \text{ in the soil void;} \qquad (2.2)$$

 $\frac{\partial c_{A_s}}{\partial t} = (1 - \varepsilon) * \rho_s * K_d \frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t}, \text{ change in concentration of } A \text{ at soil grain surface.} (2.3)$

where ε is the porosity of the soil expressed in %, ρ_s is the density of the solid matrix $(\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-3})$, and K_d is the exchange capacity between the fluid and the solid matrix.

2.2. Coupling Biodegradation and Migration Processes

Assuming that the major reaction taking place in the porous medium is a firstorder biodegradation reaction of organic matter by oxidation of organic compounds. The source term of (2.1) is written as follows: $S(c_A) = \frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t} = -\lambda_D \cdot c_A$

[17]. Where λ_D is the degradation rate of the pollutant *A*. Therefore, Equation (2.1) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t} = \frac{D_z}{\varepsilon R} \frac{\partial^2 c_A}{\partial z^2} - \frac{v_z}{\varepsilon R} \frac{\partial c_A}{\partial z} - \frac{\lambda_D}{\varepsilon R} \cdot c_A; \text{ with } R = 1 + \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} * \rho_s * K_d \qquad (2.4)$$

where *R* is the retardation coefficient reflecting the slowing of studied pollutant; it depends on the affinity that has the pollutant to the solid matrix.

The Darcy velocity in Equation (2.4) assumes that the pollutant transport takes place in an empty column. However, the column is filled with a soil of ε porosity. Therefore, the Darcy velocity is replaced with the interstitial velocity: $u_z = q_z/\varepsilon$, and then the effective dispersion coefficient appears and is expressed as: $D = D_z/\varepsilon$.

For solute transfer in soil, the diffusivity is usually smaller than the dispersion [2]. For a small ratio of the diameter to the length of a soil column (d/L) and for a large fluid velocity, the radial dispersion may be neglected in comparison with the axial dispersion [18] [19]. Thus, with: $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_D}{\varepsilon R}$, the migration mechanisms of a dissolved biodegradable organic substance in the granular porous medium is expressed by:

$$\frac{\partial c_A}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{R} \frac{\partial^2 c_A}{\partial z^2} - \frac{u_z}{R} \frac{\partial c_A}{\partial z} - \lambda \cdot c_A$$
(2.5)

Equation (2.5) expresses the coupling "biodegradation - convection dispersion", taking place during the migration of soluble contaminants in soil. The finite difference method or the finite volume solves this equation.

3. Materials and Methods

Model calibration and verification often require some selected initial values and certain key parameter values. In this study, experimental tests of injections of leachate on soil column were performed according to the protocol for measuring the axial dispersion [4]. Measured data were used to set up inputs to drive the model and provide a basis for adjusting model parameters, in particular dispersion, *D*.

3.1. Inputs Values: Experimental Tests

The columns of sampled soils were monolithic type. Identification of soil sampling location was made according to ISO 15175:2004 standard, applied to all dumpsites of the municipality of Abomey-Calavi in Benin. The studied soil is a loamy soil. The geotechnical characteristics of the soil of the samples are shown in **Table 1**.

The characteristics of the leachate used for infiltration tests are presented in **Table 2**. It was a synthetic leachate obtained after leach tests performed by method in batch (cf. [20]) on the household waste from the main dumpsites of the municipality of Abomey-Calavi. During the tests, electrical conductivity, BOD₅ and TKN were measured. These data were used to trace the breakthrough curves of these parameters. The biodegradation rate of dissolved organic carbon was determined according to the method presented in [21] and [22]: $\lambda = 0.000037 \text{ d}^{-1}$.

3.2. Model Discretization

The discretization of Equation (2.5) was made here by the finite difference method on uniform mesh. Consider that along a vertical soil column with sufficiently large length L, a liquid with initial concentration C_0 flows with interstitial velocity u_z . At a moment t_0 , a pollutant of concentration C is injected at the upper end of the column and migrates in the axial direction towards the lower end. It is convenient to define the appropriate boundary conditions (Dirichlet conditions here):

Table 1. Geotechnical characteristics of the soil.

Porosity	Macro-pores	Permeability. k (m/s)	Hydraulic Grad. I	Darcy Velocity (m/s)	Interstitial Velocity (m/s)
27.5%	21%	2.36E-06	8.8	2.08E-06	7.55E-06
ASTM D4404-10		ISO 17312:2005			

Table 2. Physical and Chemical Characteristics of the leachate.

Parameters	Unit	Max	Min	Mean	SD	Precision	Methods standard
pН		4.09	3.84	3.93	0.14	±0.001	ISO 10523:2008
Elec. Cond.	(µS/cm)	3812	3361	3554	232	±1	ISO 7888:1985
COD	(mg O ² /l)	14,086	12,017	13,218	1074	±1	ASTM D1252
BOD_5	(mg O ² /l)	6301	5326	5822	488	±1	NFT 90-103
TKN	(mg/l)	21	16	18	3	±1	ASTM D3590 – 11

$$C(0,t) = C; \ C(\infty,t) = C_0; \ C(z,0) = C_0.$$
(3.2)

The differentiation schemes used are:

$$\begin{cases}
\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}\right)_{i}^{j} = \frac{C_{i}^{j+1} - C_{i}^{j}}{\Delta t} \\
\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} C}{\partial z^{2}}\right)_{i}^{j} = \frac{C_{i+1}^{j} - 2C_{i}^{j} + C_{i-1}^{j}}{\Delta z^{2}} \\
\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial z}\right)_{i}^{j} = \frac{C_{i+1}^{j} - C_{i-1}^{j}}{2\Delta z}
\end{cases}$$
(3.3)

where: *L* is divided into *N* intervals with ends or nodes z_i , *i* ranges from 1 to *N* + 1; C_i^j is the concentration at the node $z_i = i\Delta z$ at $t = j\Delta t$. Thus Equation (2.5) is equivalent to the set of:

$$C_{i}^{j+1} = (\alpha + \beta)C_{i-1}^{j} + (1 - 2\alpha - \gamma)C_{i}^{j} + (\alpha - \beta)C_{i+1}^{j}$$
with
$$\begin{cases}
\alpha = \frac{D\Delta t}{R\Delta z^{2}} \\
\beta = \frac{u_{z}\Delta t}{2R\Delta z} \\
\gamma = \lambda\Delta t
\end{cases}$$
(3.4)

i ranges from 1 to N-1.

The system (3.4) has been implemented by the successive over-relaxation method. The calculations were performed with Matlab R2013a. The initial values were: length of the column (*L*), time of simulation (*T*), space step (Δz), time step (Δt), flow velocity (u_z), retardation factor (*R*), initial concentration (*C*) and a starting value for axial dispersion coefficient (*D*).

The retardation factor R of the pollutant over a water molecule is defined by the quotient of the residence time of the pollutant (t_s) over the residence time of the water molecule [23]. In this study, where the flow permanent and uniform flow, R is determined by Equation (3.5). The residence times of pollutants and water molecule were measured experimentally by injections of leachate on soil columns. Thus, the mean value for the retardation coefficient was calculated and is equal to 2.5.

$$R = \frac{t_s}{L\theta} \cdot v_s$$

where: *L* is the length of the column; θ is water content in the column and v_z the Darcy velocity in column input [24] [25]

3.3. Model Calibration

Figure 1 shows the algorithm to solve Equation (2.5) following the method of finite differences. The program performed an adjustment of the given initial value for axial dispersion coefficient in order to optimize the comparison of model results to measured data. The initial value of the axial dispersion coefficient for the implementation was chosen in accordance with the values found in

the literature for the dispersion in loamy soils and was equal to $0.048 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$ [26].

To quantify the model's prediction, the Relative Root-mean-square Error (RRE) was used to compare simulated versus observed values, with the best fitting simulation returning the lowest RRE.

3.4. Model Verification

The dispersion coefficient obtained after model calibration was used as input for the verification of the model (**Figure 1**). The result obtained after simulation was compared with the measured data. Model was approved when the RRE obtained after verification was close to the RRE for model calibration.

4. Results and Discussion

The measured data were separated into two subsets: one subset for model calibration and another subset for model validation. 48 infiltration tests were carried out on soil columns A of 30 cm length. The subset for the model calibration encompassed the electrical conductivity (EC) data of the columns A. The simulated parameters for model verification include BOD_5 and TKN, registered at the outlet of columns A.

4.1. Infiltration Rate



The influence of the hydraulic gradient on the leachate infiltration rate was

Figure 1. Diagram of the algorithm for model calibration: Determining the axial dispersion coefficient.

shown in **Figure 2**. This figure showed that the evolution of the infiltration speed according to the hydraulic gradient had two phases: The first branch where the infiltration rate increased rapidly for small changes of the hydraulic gradient and the second branch where the infiltration rate was less sensitive to the change of the hydraulic gradient.

Note that for a hydraulic gradient of 8.8, the actual infiltration rate measured when characterizing the soil $(7.55 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm/s})$ was close to the one obtained experimentally $(8.07 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm/s})$. Thus, the rate of flow of leachate in soils for subsequent simulations was taken as $8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm/s}$.

4.2. Model Calibration

The time of simulation was computed to simulate one-month leachate migration during the rainy season. A time step of 1 second (s) was used throughout the simulations. The simulated Breakthrough curves and the experimentally-derived breakthrough curve for model calibration are shown in **Figure 3**.



Figure 2. Average infiltration rate depending on the hydraulic gradient.





Table 3.	RRE for	model	calibration.
----------	---------	-------	--------------

Simulations	Sim1	Sim2	Sim3	Sim4	Sim5
	D = 0.048	D = 0.48	D = 0.96	D = 1.2	D = 1.28
RRE (in %)	40.96	17.78	11.22	11.45	11.67

A comparison of model results to measured data, illustrated by RRE (Table 3), showed that simulation 1 (Sim1 D = 0.048), performed with the initial value of dispersion coefficient derived from the literature $(D = 0.048 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min})$, gave an unsatisfactory fit (RRE = 40.96). Gradually, as the value of the dispersion coefficient was incrementally increased, the simulation curve better approximated the experimental curve. Simulation 3 (Sim3 D = 0.96) provided the optimum fit, with a RRE of 11.22% between model results and measured data. However, the value of the dispersion obtained at the end of the simulation (2378 µS/cm) is less than the experimental value (3174 µS/cm). Note that the experimental curve had a first concavity due to change of solute concentration in effluent at the column outlet at early time between 275 and 600 min. This rapid change of concentration is followed by a bearing between 650 and 890 min. This pattern was probably due to preferential paths in soil columns. Indeed, the preferential flow paths are reflected in very rapid change of solute concentration in effluent at early times. The monolithic soil columns (as the one used in this study) generally have macropores which can promote preferential flows [3]. Thus, before a generalization of these results can be made, the status of the macropores distribution in the soil columns should be investigated. For this case study, the average proportion of macro-pores inside the soil columns was 21%. A correction of about 21% of the experimental result approached the simulated (predicted) value. The calibrated value of the dispersion coefficient for this study was $D = 0.96 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$.

4.3. Model Verification

For the model verification, a longer time step was selected. This time was calculated to correspond to a simulation of the amount of leachate produced during the long rainy season (from March to June) in southern Benin. The verification process involves running the model with the calibrated dispersion coefficient $D = 0.96 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$ and comparing the results to the independent data set for model verification.

4.3.1. Organic Matter (BOD₅) Migration Model

In the numerical simulation of BOD₅ migration, the biodegradation rate of the studied leachate was considered, which is 0.000037 d⁻¹. This value was taken equal to zero upon insertion of input parameters for the model calibration with the electrical conductivity. **Figure 4** showed the results obtained for experimental investigation and numerical simulations with dispersion coefficient $D = 0.96 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$. The RRE between model results and measured data was 11.14%.

As in the case of electrical conductivity data for model calibration, a bearing was clearly observed on the measured BOD₅ data for model verification between

660 and 990 min. The end result for BOD_5 simulation (4197 mg O_2/l) was less than measured outcome (4914 mg O_2/l), with a simulation result correction of 21% again approximating the experimental result. The calibrated dispersion coefficient (*D*) adequately modelled the diffusion of organic pollution for the studied soil. The verification of the migration model for the transport of organic matter in soil (BOD₅) gave satisfactory results.

4.3.2. Nitrogenous Organic Matter (TKN) Migration Model

Figure 5 shows the simulated and measured breakthrough curves of TKN in soil



Figure 4. Simulated and measured breakthrough curves of BOD₅ migration in columns of 30 with $D = 0.96 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$.



Figure 5. Simulated and measured breakthrough curves of NTK in soil columns of 30 cm with $D = 0.96 \text{ cm}^2/\text{min}$.

columns. As can be seen, the calibrated dispersion coefficient did not reflect the experimental evolution of the nitrogenous organic matter, and ammonia/ ammonium in the soil. The verification of the migration of TKN in soil columns A was not conclusive.

The analysis of the breakthrough curve of measured TKN showed a reduction of 99.65% of the nitrogenous organic matter at the end of the experimentation, which was above the recorded reductions for electrical conductivity (10.69%) and BOD₅ (15.69%). Usually, TKN is mostly made up of biodegradable organic compounds and therefore, a similar behavior to BOD would be expected. The failure of the validation of the TKN migration model could come from the difference recorded in the reductions of TKN and BOD. A low ratio of organic nitrogen to NH₃/ NH⁺₄ could explain this difference in the reductions. Therefore it can be inferred that the retardation phenomena and biodegradation of organic matter reactions are not sufficient to model the migration of TKN in soil. The model should look at the different components of TKN separately. In another hand, since the retardation coefficient *R* was calculated based on EC measurements, it might be that the model works for large humic macromolecule (forming part of BOD, for instance), rather than for much smaller molecules as ammonia (in TKN).

At this stage of the study, the designed model properly evaluate the effect of retardation factor on the migration of macromolecules contained in leachate. For much smaller molecules as ammonia, a recalibration of the retardation factor seem to be necessary. A proper model of migration of biodegradable organic matter in the underlying soils would then require a coupling of two retardation factors: one for the macromolecules contained in the leachate and another one for the smaller monovalent ion (such as Na⁺ in EC) or ammonia,

4.4. Influence of Measured Input Parameters

4.4.1. Influence of the Retardation Factor on Organic Matter Migration Model

Retardation coefficient (R) represents the delay accused by a pollutant molecule with respect to the water molecule introduced at the same time at the inlet of a soil column. The value for the retardation factor coefficient taken for model calibration and verification in this study was 2.5. Figure 6 showed simulated breakthrough curves of BOD₅ migration for different values of retardation factor.

The analysis of the curves in **Figure 6** revealed that the migration of BOD_5 in soil columns was slowed by the increased retardation factor. The simulation of the dispersion of organic matter with R = 2.5 was the one that best approximates the measured results. Therefore the method used to measure the retardation coefficient is effective. For a non-delayed migration of pollutants, expressed by a retardation factor equal to 1, the simulated results are far removed from experimental result. The retardation factor is an essential parameter in modelling the migration of biodegradable organic matter in soils of waste dumpsites.



Figure 6. Simulated breakthrough curves of BOD₅ migration for different values of delay factor.



Figure 7. Influence of R on migration of biodegradable organic matter.

The influence of the retardation factor in the migration of biodegradable organic pollutants in soils was linear, and expressed in the present experimental study by the following equation: (Figure 7)

$$y = -0.13x + 1.05 \tag{4.1}$$

4.4.2. Influence of Biodegradation Rate on Organic Matter Migration Model

The biodegradation rate expresses the speed of consumption of organic pollution by aerobic and anaerobic bacteria. Numerical simulations (**Figure 8**) showed that an assumption of zero biodegradation (BC = 0) better approximated the experimental result than prediction with BC = 0.000037j - 1, as used for BOD₅ model calibration. This can be explained by the fact that the organic matter biodegradation kinetics is an exponential function, thus, at the resolution of the experimentation, it is difficult to observe the effects of the biodegradation rate causes a halving of organic pollution at the outlet of the soil columns. It could be



Figure 8. Simulated breakthrough curves of BOD₅ migration for different values of biodegradation rate.



Figure 9. Influence of biodegradation rate on migration of biodegradable organic matter.

the interesting to test longer times/longer columns in order to properly evaluate the biodegradation effect. For a practical point of view, it would be then beneficial to proceed to a bacteria activation of the soil before the deposit of household waste.

The influence of the rate of biodegradation on migration of biodegradable organic pollutants in our experimental investigation (Figure 9) was expressed by the equation:

$$y = -0.76 \cdot e^{-1688x} \tag{4.2}$$

5. Conclusion

The phenomenon of natural biodegradation of organic matter was combined with the principle of convection-dispersion to model migration of leachates in soil. The resolution of this model by the finite difference method showed that the migration in soil of macromolecules contained in leachate can be predicted based on retardation factor determine by infiltration test on soil columns. For much smaller molecules as ammonia, a recalibration of the retardation factor is necessary. Therefore a model that aims to predict the migration in soil of both macro and micromolecule of leachate seem to require a coupling of two type of retardation factor: one for macromolecule such as BOD and one for micro molecule such as ammonia. The model at this stage failed to predict the biodegradation during of organic matter during the migration of leachate in the soil. Longer test times and longer test columns are required in order to properly evaluate the biodegradation effect of leachate during it migration in soils. Increasing the bacterial activity of the underlying soils of garbage dumpsites could be a solution for natural reduction of pollutants migrating to groundwater.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the International Foundation for Science (IFS), Stockholm, for supporting the present work under the IFS Grant W/5840-1.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Marsily, G.D. (1986) Quantitative Hydrogeology: Groundwater Hydrology for Engineers. No. 551.49 M3.
- [2] Fallico, C., Chidichimo, F. and Straface, S. (2012) Solute Dispersion in Porous Media at Different Transport Velocities and Distances. *International Water Technology Journal*, 2, 100-109.
- [3] Lewis, J. and Sjöstrom, J. (2010) Optimizing the Experimental Design of Soil Columns in Saturated and Unsaturated Transport Experiments. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, **115**, 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2010.04.001</u>
- [4] Coutelieris, F.A. and Delgado, J.M.P.Q. (2012) Transport Phenomena in Porous Structures. In: *Transport Processes in Porous Media*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 39-85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-27910-2_4</u>
- [5] Bear, J. and Cheng, A.H.D. (2010) Modeling Groundwater Flow and Contaminant Transport. Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, Vol. 23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6682-5
- [6] Hemond, H.F. and Fechner, E.J. (2014) Chemical Fate and Transport in the Environment. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [7] Zheng, C. and Bennett, G.D. (2002) Applied Contaminant Transport Modeling. Wiley-Interscience, New York, Vol. 2.
- [8] Chuan, M.C., Shu, G.Y. and Liu, J.C. (1996) Solubility of Heavy Metals in a Contaminated Soil: Effects of Redox Potential and pH. *Water*, *Air*, & *Soil Pollution*, 90, 543-556. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00282668

- [9] McBride, M., Sauve, S. and Hendershot, W. (1997) Solubility Control of Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in Contaminated Soils. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 48, 337-346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1997.tb00554.x</u>
- [10] Lagier, T. (2000) Etude des macromolécules de lixiviat: Caractérisation et comportement vis-à-vis des métaux. Doctoral Dissertation, Poitiers ENSIP, Poitiers, 189 p.
- [11] Fu, M.Y. and Zhou, L.X. (2007) Biodegradation of Landfill Leachate in Soil. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 18, 118-122.
- [12] Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J.H., Michalzik, B. and Matzner, E. (2000) Controls on the Dynamics of Dissolved Organic Matter in Soils: A Review. *Soil Science*, 165, 277-304. https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-200004000-00001
- [13] Ahmadi, A., Quintard, M. and Whitaker, S. (1998) Transport in Chemically and Mechanically Heterogeneous Porous Media: V. Two-Equation Model for Solute Transport with Adsorption. *Advances in Water Resources*, 22, 59-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(97)00032-8</u>
- [14] Bame, I.B., Hughes, J.C., Titshall, L.W. and Buckley, C.A. (2013) Leachate Characteristics as Influenced by Application of Anaerobic Baffled Reactor Effluent to Three Soils: A Soil Column Study. *Chemosphere*, 93, 2171-2179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.07.080
- [15] Bird, R.B., Stewart, W.E. and Lightfoot, E.N. (1960) Transport Phenomena. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 413.
- [16] Berkowitz, B., Bear, J. and Braester, C. (1988) Continuum Models for Contaminant Transport in Fractured Porous Formations. *Water Resources Research*, 24, 1225-1236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/WR024i008p01225</u>
- [17] Rubin, J. (1983) Transport of Reacting Solutes in Porous Media: Relation between Mathematical Nature of Problem Formulation and Chemical Nature of Reactions. *Water Resources Research*, 19, 1231-1252. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR019i005p01231
- [18] Klinkenberg, A., Krajenbrink, H.J. and Lauwerier, H.A. (1953) Diffusion in a Fluid Moving at Uniform Velocity in a Tube. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*, 45, 1202-1208. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50522a024</u>
- [19] Bruinzeel, C. and Reman, G.H. (1962) van der Laan, ETh Axial dispersion. Proceedings of the 3th Congress of the European Federation of Chemical Engineering, Olympia.
- [20] BS NF-EN 12457-2:2002. Characterisation of Waste. Leaching. Compliance Test for Leaching of Granular Waste Materials and Sludges. British Standard, UK.
- [21] OECD (1995) Detailed Review Paper on Biodegradability Testing, Environment Monograph n°98, OECD Series on the Test Guidelines Programme, 257 p.
- [22] Nyholm, N., Ingerslev, F., Berg, U.T., Pedersen, J.P. and Frimer-Larsen, H. (1996) Estimation of Kinetic Rate Constants for Biodegradation of Chemicals in Activated Sludge Wastewater Treatment Plants Using Short Term Batch Experiments and µg/L Range Spiked Concentrations. *Chemosphere*, **33**, 851-864. https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(96)00180-4
- [23] Fevrier, L. (2001) Transfert d'un mélange Zn-Cd-Pb dans un dépôt fluvio-glaciaire carbonate: Approche en colonnes de laboratoire. Doctoral Dissertation, Villeurbanne, INSA, 299 p.
- [24] Leij, F.J. and Dane, J.H. (1992) Moment Method Applied to Solute Transport with Binary and Ternary Exchange. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 56, 667-674. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1992.03615995005600030001x

- [25] Das, B.S. and Kluitenberg, G.J. (1996) Moment Analysis to Estimate Degradation Rate Constants from Leaching Experiments. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **60**, 1724-1731. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1996.03615995006000060017x</u>
- [26] Han, N.W., Bhakta, J. and Carbonell, R.G. (1985) Longitudinal and Lateral Dispersion in Packed Beds: Effect of Column Length and Particle Size Distribution. *AIChE Journal*, **31**, 277-288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690310215</u>