Structural features of the nucleotide sequences of virus and organelle genomes

Masaharu Takeda

Department of Materials and Biological Engineering, Tsuruoka National College of Technology, Tsuruoka, Japan. Email: <u>mtakeda@tsuruoka-nct.ac.jp</u>

Received 8 July 2011; revised 9 September 2011; accepted 9 October 2011.

ABSTRACT

The four nucleotides (bases), A, T (U), G and C in small genomes, virus DNA/RNA, organelle and plastid genomes were also arranged sophisticatedly in the structural features in a single-strand with 1) reversecomplement symmetry of base or base sequences, 2) bias of four bases, 3) multiple fractality of the distribution of each four bases depending on the distance in double logarithmic plot (power spectrum) of L (the distance of a base to the next base) vs. P (L) (the probability of the base-distribution at L), although their genomes were composed of low numbers of the four bases, and the base-symmetry was rather lower than the prokaryotic- and the eukaryotic cells. In the case of the genomic DNA composed of less than 10,000 nt, it was better than to be partitioned at 10 of the L-value, and the structural features for the biologically active genomic DNA were observed as the large genomes. As the results, the base sequences of the genomic DNA including the genomic-RNA might be universal in all genomes. In addition, the relationship between the structural features of the genome and the biological complexity was discussed.

Keywords: Structural Features of Small Genome; Virus; Organelle

1. INTRODUCTION

Watson and Crick deduced that DNA had a doublehelical structure with complementary and anti-paralleled strands [1] based on the equal amounts of adenine (A) and thymine (T), and guanine (G) and cytosine (C) by Chargaff [2], and the X-ray diffraction patterns of DNA fibers by R. Franklin and M. Wilkins [3,4]. After that, Chargaff and co-workers also observed that a single-strand of *Bacillus subtilis* DNA had the same amount of A + T and G + C ([5]; Chargaff's second parity-rule, 1968). About fifty years later, the genome base sequences of many organisms described below have been determined, and an artificial bacterial genome (582,970 bp) was chemically synthesized based on *Mycoplasma genital-ium* [6], although partial unreadable regions still remained in each genome. The structural analysis of the DNA based on the entire genome base sequence was necessary to understand living organisms. To do this, we had to characterize the structural features of genomic DNA.

Genome projects had been completed so far to obtain the base sequences of prokaryotic organisms, and eukaryotic organisms and so many organisms [7-9]. The base sequences of many viruses, plastids and organelle genomes were also revealed. Their genomes were essentially small and were diverse because in a part of viruses, RNA or a single-strand DNA/RNA was used as genomes.

As the Genome Project revealed, an individual gene was an integral part of a genome. There were many genes in a genome, and the associated regulatory regions that were expressed, replicated, transcribed and translated into proteins, and all participated in biological phenomena. Each gene could be converted to respective protein according to the maturation of mRNA and "Central Dogma" [10]. They might be organized based on the support the other regions in chromosome, so called, the non-coding region for the regulation of the gene-expression in living cells as a biological system. If so, we should be to face up to the entire genome as a molecule with three dimensions, not only the coding region, but also the non-coding regions. The genome might be organized in living cells as a biological system, including the coding- and the non-coding regions, which had grown with the passage of time. Therefore, we would have reported the entire genome as a systematized molecule to understand living cells [11].

The study for the entire genomic base sequences were not so much, because we had few effective tools, in-



cluding hard- and soft-ware, to analyze the large-scale molecule such as genome now. Some challenging bioin-formatics papers [12-16] had reported on stem-loop structures, and the analyses of the whole-genome using the structural features of the genomic DNA, the specific base sequences [17-24].

In prokaryotic cells including viruses and bacteriophages, most regions of the genome were occupied in the coding regions, whereas in eukaryotic cells the coding regions were not so large in entire genome, and variable depend on the genome-sizes (base numbers composed of the genomic DNAs), for example, the coding regions was occupied only several percent in H. sapiens genomic DNA [25]. Furthermore, each gene on chromosome or genome had been arranged in the order, the direction using either the Watson-strand or the Crickstrand on the transcription, and the distance to the bothsides genes. When changed one of these three characters of gene on genome, the order, the direction, the distance, the living cells were become different ones. For instance, the changes of these characters might be occurred the chromosomal translocation [26-28], and they were forced to live the surroundings. Therefore, only the coding regions, *i.e.*, the genes could not be explained over the biological phenomena in living cells, especially the eukaryotic cells [11].

The genomic DNA might be also "a molecule with the aligned four bases, A, T, G, C, and with three dimensions" even if there was a huge. So, the large region was deleted, presumably they might become a molecule with different conformation affected the gene-expression and the activity to interact with the biological materials, bioorganic compound(s), protein(s), nucleic acid(s), sugar(s), fatty acid(s) or so on. To express the gene(s), the regulatory elements, the promoter (trigger), the SAR (scaffold), the insulator (boundary), the poly-A-signal (stability), ncRNAs (controller) etc on genomic DNA were all or some necessary [25,29-34]. Thus, both the coding- and the non-coding regions should be necessary to express gene(s) precisely, rapidly and stably to carry out the various biological phenomena.

The small genomes were compact because of the little, or the low non-coding regions, and questioned whether the structural features of the genomic DNA/RNA would have the same or not as those of the large genomes. If they would have so, the base sequences of their genomes might be a model of the genomic DNA [11,35,36].

In this paper, the author had analyzed the small genomic DNA/RNAs such as the virus, the mitochondrial and the chloroplast genomes were also arranged sophisticatedly in the same rules similar to the large genomes and chromosomes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sequence Spectrum Method (SSM)

Sequence spectrum Method (SSM) was described previously [35].

2.2. Appearance Frequencies of Bases or Base Sequences

Appearance frequency of the base or base sequences (three successive base sequence = triplet) was described previously [11,35]

2.3. The Parameters "d"-, "m"-, "p"-, and "w"-Values of the SSM Analysis for the Interaction

The Controllable parameters in the sequence spectrum were the base size "d" of the key sequence, the average width "m", the skip base number (the size factor) "p" and the window width "w" of homology as described previously [35,36].

2.4. *f* (α) Spectrum Analysis [37,38]

The $f(\alpha)$ and α were calculated from the base distribution curve of adenine base(s) as follows. 1) L was 1 through 15 (the base distribution curve of adenine in for example, *S. cerevisiae* chromosome 1 was calculated as $y = ae^{-bx}$, x = L-value, a = 0.3736, b = 0.3365), and 2) L was 16 or more (the base distribution curve of adenine in *S. cerevisiae* was calculated as a = 0.2148, b = 0.2770).

When the L-value was between 1 and 15, bases in the genome were expressed as **Eq.1**, $y = ae^{-bx}$. Then, a derivative of both sides of **Eq.1** by x is as follows.

$$dy/dx = -abe^{-bx} = -ab/e^{bx}$$
.

let $-ab/e^{bx} = \alpha$, (x is L-value).

Here, the distribution curve P (L) correlated to $f(\alpha)$ is as follows,

$$P(L) = cL^{-f(\alpha)}$$
(3)

here *c* is constant in **Eq.3**.

In order to exclude the effect of c in this equation, let P'(L) = P(L)/P(L) max, and then use P'(L) instead of P(L). P(L) max is the maximum value of P(L).

Therefore,

$$f(\alpha) = -\ln \mathbf{P}'(\mathbf{L}) / \ln \mathbf{L}$$
(4).

In each case (L = 1 - 10, or 15, L = more than 11, or 16), the $f(\alpha)$ spectrum of the adenine (A) is calculated and plotted as α (x-axis) vs. $f(\alpha)$ (y-axis). When the $f(\alpha)$ varies as a function of α , the fractality must be multi-fractal (red-diamond, the linearly-decreased region of the "A" base in double logarithmic plot of L vs. P (L)); in contrast, when $f(\alpha)$ is constant at any given α -value,

the fractality must be unifractal (black-square, the exponential-decreased region of the "A" base in double logarithmic plot of L vs. P(L)).

A similar calculation was carried out for each base, T, G, or C in a single-strand of DNA in the genome from the genome database.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using the data-bases of NCBI [7], Sanger Institute [8], SGD [9] and MIPS [39] were useful to analyze, following structural features were revealed in a single-strand of genomic DNA.

3.1. The Genome Base Sequence Was Reverse-Complement Symmetry Even in a Single-Strand of DNA

Genomic DNA/RNA was composed of four different bases, A, T (U), G and C. The base number (nt) and GC contents of each genome and chromosome for virus, plastid and the mitochondiral (mt) DNAs were calculated as shown in Table 1. Although in viruses (DNA/ RNA), mtDNA and chloroplast (ch) DNA, the symmetry of the base sequences was somewhat low because of the small genome-size (base numbers) of genomic DNA/ RNA in comparison with the large genomes such as eukarvotic chromosomes. In other words, the numbers of base A was almost equal to those of T, and the numbers of G was equal to those of C, the symmetry of a single-strand of DNA maintained according to exactly would agree with Chargaff's second parity-rule and previously reported [Table 1, ref. 5, 11]. The results also indicated that a single-stranded genomic DNA might sometimes be had a closed structure with partial hydrogen-bonding (stem-loops) as seen with RNA secondary structure [13-16].

Table 2 was shown in the three successive base sequences (triplet) of *Simian virus* 40 (SV40, 5243 nt, DNA), *Human adenovirus A* DNA (34,125 nt), *Autographa California* virus (133,894 nt, DNA), *Fujinami sarcoma* virus (4711 nt, RNA), *Rous sarcoma* virus (RSV, 9392 nt, single-strandRNA), *Homo sapiens* mtDNA (16,570 nt), *Plasmodium fasciparum* mtDNA (5967 nt), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mtDNA (85,779 nt), *Arabidopsis thaliana* mtDNA (366,924 nt), *Arabidopsis thaliana* mtDNA (490,516 nt) and *Oryza sativa japonica* chDNA (134,525 nt). The *S. cerevisiae* chromosome 1 (230,203 nt) was a control genome.

Although the reverse complement base-symmetry in a single-strand of DNA/RNA was rather low in the virus genomes, and a part of mtDNAs, the structural feature of genome could be maintained regardless the genome-size, the GC-content and the form of the genomes as the lar-

ger genomes [11]. The appearance frequencies of three successive base sequences corresponded to the speciesdependent genetic codon (triplets) [11,22,40], which in turn could be corresponded to the 20 amino acids. The structural feature of the genomes might be related the "peak" and "pocket" of the sequence spectra of the genomic DNAs and connected to identify the homology of the interactive-sites of proteins and DNAs [35,36].

The difference of the GC-content and the ratio of the base-symmetry between the nuclear chromosomes and the organelle, the chloroplast genomes might be caused of the origin of the symbiosis of these genomes in the host cells [41-43].

3.2. The Genome Base Sequence Was Localized

We calculated the distribution of the bases in 1) *Simian virus* 40, 2) *Autographa California* virus, 3) *Human im-munodeficiency virus* 2, 4) *Arabidopsis thaliana* mtDNA, 5) *Plasmodium falciparum* mtDNA, 6) *Arabidopsis thaliana* chDNA (**Figure 1**). The artificial chromosomal sequences with the same appearance frequencies of the triplet (3 successive base sequences) and the same base numbers were generated using the random number as that of real sequence in each chromosome as previously reported [11]. The *S. cerevisiae* chromosome 1 (230, 203 nt, **Figure 1(g)**) was a control of the real- and the artificial chromosome. The window-length (w, base number, nt) in each genome was depend on the genomesize as described in the MATERIALS ANA METHODS.

Four bases were localized on each real genome of each species (**Figure 1**, left panels), whereas they were distributed uniformly on the artificial genomes (**Figure 1**, right panels). In contrast to the uneven distribution of four bases on the real genome, the "A", "T", "G" or "C" frequencies in each artificial genome sequence were distributed uniformly. In addition, the results that the frequency of "A" was similar with "T", and that of "C" was similar with "G" corresponded to the base symmetry, *i.e.*, the hydrogen bonding of A-T and G-C (GC-content) of each chromosome [11]. When the genome was AT-rich, the frequency of A (or T) was higher than that of C (or G) (**Figure 1**).

Similar results were also observed in base distribution between real chromosomes and their artificial genome sequences both in single- or double-stranded RNA used as a genome (**Figure 1(c)**). These results indicated that there might be many A-T (U for RNA) and G-C hydrogen bonding in a single-strand DNA of intra-chromosomal molecules regardless eukaryotes or prokaryotes. The artificial genome sequence of each genome or chromosome could observe the reverse-complement symmetry, but the four bases were distributed uniformly, corresponding with the same molar contents, A to T and

 Table 1. Nucleotide (base) contents of small genomes.

| | Genome | Form | Base number nt | А | T (U) | С | G | GC (%) | A/T (U) | C/G |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------|
| (Virus) | | | | | | | | | | |
| SV40 | DNA | circular | 5243 | 1518 | 1586 | 1100 | 1039 | 40.8 | 0.96 | 1.06 |
| H.adenoA | DNA | linear | 34,125 | 9330 | 8919 | 8012 | 7864 | 46.5 | 1.05 | 1.02 |
| APSE-1 | DNA | circular | 36,524 | 10,357 | 10,138 | 7567 | 8462 | 43.9 | 1.02 | 0.89 |
| Phage933 | DNA | linear | 61,670 | 15,964 | 15,261 | 14,057 | 16,388 | 49.4 | 1.05 | 0.86 |
| Acid-two-tail | DNA | circular | 62,730 | 17,978 | 18,891 | 13,166 | 12,695 | 41.2 | 0.95 | 1.04 |
| S/Pnecro | DNA | linear | 111,362 | 25,250 | 25,112 | 30,919 | 30,,081 | 54.8 | 1 | 1.03 |
| A.calif. | DNA | circular | 133,894 | 39,195 | 40,201 | 27,151 | 27347 | 40.7 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| HParvoV B19 | DNA (ss) | linear | 5594 | 1658 | 1482 | 1177 | 1277 | 43.8 | 1.12 | 0.91 |
| V. phageVf12 | DNA (ss) | circular | 7965 | 2028 | 2299 | 1851 | 1787 | 45.6 | 0.88 | 1.03 |
| Enterophage If1 | DNA (ss) | circular | 8454 | 2324 | 2435 | 1747 | 1948 | 43.7 | 0.95 | 0.9 |
| Fujinami | RNA | linear | 4788 | 1072 | 856 | 1302 | 1558 | 59.7 | 1.25 | 0.84 |
| OSendornaV | RNA | linear | 13,952 | 5209 | 4019 | 3541 | 3472 | 33.8 | 1.29 | 0.79 |
| Newcastle | RNA | linear | 15,186 | 4425 | 3748 | 3541 | 3472 | 46.2 | 1.18 | 1.02 |
| VicfabaV | RNA | linear | 17,635 | 5816 | 3421 | 4117 | 4281 | 47.6 | 1.7 | 0.96 |
| RSV | RNA(ss) | linear | 9392 | 2230 | 2080 | 2378 | 2704 | 54.1 | 1.07 | 0.88 |
| HIV.ty2 | RNA(ss) | linear | 10,359 | 3506 | 2123 | 2132 | 2598 | 45.7 | 1.65 | 0.82 |
| Nipah | RNA(ss) | linear | 18,246 | 6176 | 5106 | 3326 | 3638 | 38.2 | 1.21 | 0.91 |
| (Organelle) | | | | | | | | | | |
| P. know. Mt | DNA | circular | 5957 | 1968 | 2171 | 916 | 902 | 30.5 | 0.91 | 0.98 |
| P. falc. Mt | DNA | linear | 5967 | 1933 | 2149 | 936 | 949 | 28.2 | 0.9 | 0.99 |
| C. elegans Mt | DNA | circular | 13,794 | 4335 | 6179 | 1225 | 2055 | 23.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| H.sapiens Mt | DNA | circular | 16,570 | 5123 | 4094 | 5182 | 2170 | 44.4 | 1.25 | 2.39 |
| S. pombe Mt | DNA | circular | 19,431 | 6652 | 7022 | 2783 | 3064 | 30 | 0.93 | 0.91 |
| D. melano. Mt | DNA | circular | 19,517 | 8152 | 7883 | 2003 | 1479 | 17.8 | 1.03 | 1.35 |
| S. cerev. Mt | DNA | circular | 85,779 | 36,169 | 34,934 | 6863 | 7813 | 17.1 | 1.03 | 0.88 |
| A. thaliana Mt | DNA | circular | 366,924 | 102,464 | 100,190 | 82,661 | 81,609 | 44.7 | 1.02 | 1.01 |
| O. sativa Mt | DNA | linear | 490,516 | 136,863 | 138,,549 | 107,346 | 107,758 | 43.8 | 0.99 | 1 |
| O. sativa Ch | DNA | circular | 134,525 | 41,248 | 40831 | 26,126 | 26,320 | 39 | 1.01 | 1 |
| Zea mays Ch | DNA | circular | 140,384 | 43,281 | 43,108 | 26,908 | 27,087 | 38.4 | 1 | 0.99 |
| A. thaliana Ch | DNA | circular | 154,478 | 48,546 | 49,866 | 28,496 | 27,570 | 36.3 | 0.97 | 0.97 |

| Tab | le | 2. | Apj | pearance | frequency | of | three | successive | base | sequences. |
|-----|----|----|-----|----------|-----------|----|-------|------------|------|------------|
|-----|----|----|-----|----------|-----------|----|-------|------------|------|------------|

| Triplet | SV40 Hadeno-A (5243 nt) (34,125 nt) | | A.calif (133,894 nt) | | Fujinami (4711 nt) | | RSV (9392 nt) | | HS mtDNA (16,570 nt) | | Pfal mtDNA (5967 nt) | | SC mtDNA (85,779 nt) | | AT mtDNA (36,6924 nt) | | AT chDNA (154,478 nt) | | OSJ mtDNA (490,516 nt) | | OSJ chDNA (134,525 nt) | | SC chr.1 (230,203 nt) | | | |
|---------|--|------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------|------|
| Tuplet | Frequency ratio [*] Frequency ratio [*] Frequency ratio [*] | | | y ratio [*] I | Frequenc | y ratio [*] F | requenc | y ratio [*] l | io [*] Frequency ratio [*] Frequency ra | | | y ratio [*] | Frequency | y ratio [*] | Frequenc | y ratio [*] | Frequenc | y ratio [*] | Frequenc | equency ratio [*] Frequency ratio [*] F | | | | Frequency ratio [*] | | |
| AAA | 212 | | 1086 | | 5746 | | 59 | | 141 | | 524 | | 157 | | 4982 | | 10260 | | 7118 | | 14279 | | 5586 | | 8576 | |
| TTT | 240 | 0.88 | 1044 | 1.04 | 5762 | 1 | 26 | 2.27 | 119 | 1.18 | 251 | 2.09 | 282 | 0.56 | 4326 | 1.15 | 9605 | 1.07 | 7604 | 0.94 | 14815 | 0.96 | 5408 | 1.03 | 8845 | 0.97 |
| AAT | 111 | | 633 | | 4160 | | 23 | | 102 | | 376 | | 206 | | 6950 | | 6745 | | 5449 | | 9920 | | 4180 | | 6306 | |
| ATT | 128 | 0.87 | 648 | 0.98 | 4161 | 1 | 42 | 0.55 | 128 | 0.8 | 330 | 1.14 | 280 | 0.73 | 6620 | 1.01 | 6731 | 1 | 5390 | 1.01 | 10316 | 0.96 | 4158 | 1.01 | 6383 | 0.99 |
| AAG | 106 | | 630 | | 1680 | | 95 | | 180 | | 209 | | 80 | | 1117 | | 9714 | | 3017 | | 12173 | | 2932 | | 4960 | |
| CTT | 113 | 0.94 | 668 | 0.94 | 1869 | 0.9 | 48 | 1.98 | 139 | 1.29 | 319 | 0.66 | 100 | 0.8 | 874 | 1.28 | 9514 | 1.02 | 3190 | 0.95 | 12280 | 0.99 | 2920 | 1 | 4727 | 1.05 |
| AAC | 103 | | 683 | | 3079 | | 52 | | 122 | | 494 | | 105 | | 689 | | 5705 | | 2324 | | 7342 | | 2058 | | 4105 | |
| GTT | 98 | 1.05 | 556 | 1.23 | 3135 | 0.98 | 36 | 1.44 | 119 | 1.03 | 104 | 4.75 | 101 | 1.04 | 806 | 0.85 | 5329 | 1.07 | 2449 | 0.95 | 7277 | 1.01 | 1994 | 1.03 | 4195 | 0.98 |
| ATA | 71 | | 438 | | 2654 | | 25 | | 99 | | 367 | | 247 | | 9734 | | 6185 | | 4621 | | 8980 | | 3378 | | 5243 | |
| TAT | 84 | 0.85 | 438 | 1 | 2716 | 0.98 | 26 | 0.96 | 114 | 0.87 | 324 | 1.13 | 310 | 0.8 | 9427 | 1.03 | 5994 | 1.03 | 4400 | 1.05 | 9050 | 0.99 | 3366 | 1 | 5187 | 1.01 |
| ATG | 83 | | 593 | | 2217 | | 60 | | 151 | | 162 | | 157 | | 878 | | 5057 | | 2468 | | 7439 | | 2155 | | 4294 | |
| CAT | 104 | 0.8 | 584 | 1.02 | 2201 | 1.01 | 80 | 0.75 | 120 | 1.26 | 416 | 0.39 | 127 | 1.24 | 703 | 1.25 | 5254 | 0.96 | 2634 | 0.94 | 7580 | 0.98 | 2201 | 0.98 | 4264 | 1.01 |
| ATC | 67 | | 383 | | 1955 | | 64 | | 102 | | 371 | | 84 | | 752 | | 6312 | | 3032 | | 8257 | | 2564 | | 3849 | |
| GAT | 50 | 1.14 | 407 | 0.94 | 1910 | 1.02 | 62 | 1.03 | 144 | 0.71 | 114 | 3.25 | 125 | 0.67 | 904 | 0.83 | 6292 | 1 | 3027 | 1 | 8442 | 0.98 | 2504 | 1.02 | 4012 | 0.96 |
| AGA | 67 | | 485 | | 1507 | | 69 | | 160 | | 178 | | 100 | | 947 | | 8611 | | 3080 | | 10394 | | 2992 | | 4537 | |
| TCT | 94 | 0.71 | 488 | 0.99 | 1564 | 0.96 | 38 | 1.82 | 128 | 1.25 | 307 | 0.58 | 87 | 1.15 | 755 | 1.25 | 8512 | 1.01 | 3368 | 0.91 | 10884 | 0.95 | 2954 | 1.01 | 4424 | 1.03 |
| AGT | 87 | | 502 | | 1576 | | 68 | | 115 | | 161 | | 78 | | 836 | | 5963 | | 2098 | | 7910 | | 1843 | | 3697 | |
| ACT | 104 | 0.84 | 555 | 0.9 | 1608 | 0.98 | 37 | 1.84 | 115 | 1 | 412 | 0.39 | 114 | 0.68 | 660 | 1.27 | 5990 | 1 | 2041 | 1.03 | 7813 | 1.01 | 1834 | 1 | 3534 | 1.05 |
| AGG | 95 | | 532 | | 674 | | 133 | | 227 | | 174 | | 54 | | 555 | | 6543 | | 1769 | | 8146 | | 1916 | | 2707 | |
| CCT | 102 | 0.93 | 495 | 1.07 | 781 | 0.86 | 88 | 1.51 | 179 | 1.27 | 543 | 0.32 | 53 | 1.02 | 483 | 1.15 | 6482 | 1.01 | 1934 | 0.91 | 8114 | 1 | 1882 | 1.02 | 2456 | 1.1 |
| AGC | 96 | | 604 | | 1423 | | 141 | | 170 | | 282 | | 50 | | 265 | | 6368 | | 1459 | | 7766 | | 1452 | | 2684 | |
| GCT | 101 | 0.95 | 563 | 1.07 | 1582 | 0.9 | 121 | 1.16 | 183 | 0.93 | 179 | 1.58 | 53 | 0.94 | 233 | 1.14 | 6.049 | 1.05 | 1453 | 1 | 7607 | 1.02 | 1429 | 1.02 | 2698 | 0.99 |
| ACA | 130 | | 683 | | 3602 | | 72 | | 155 | | 448 | | 119 | | 574 | | 4652 | | 1932 | | 6114 | | 1629 | | 3924 | |
| TGT | 104 | 1.25 | 581 | 1.18 | 3336 | 1.08 | 50 | 1.44 | 133 | 1.17 | 100 | 4.48 | 128 | 0.93 | 555 | 1.03 | 4355 | 1.07 | 2057 | 0.94 | 6201 | 0.99 | 1601 | 1.02 | 4181 | 0.94 |
| ACG | 5 | | 352 | | 2529 | | 40 | | 105 | | 119 | | 38 | | 193 | | 2878 | | 1056 | | 3959 | | 1015 | | 2186 | |
| CGT | 5 | 1 | 312 | 1.13 | 2630 | 0.96 | 47 | 0.85 | 92 | 1.14 | 78 | 1.52 | 35 | 1.09 | 169 | 1.14 | 2840 | 1.01 | 1149 | 0.92 | 4044 | 0.98 | 1000 | 1.02 | 2105 | 1.04 |
| ACC | 53 | | 523 | | 1163 | | 91 | | 158 | | 516 | | 63 | | 416 | | 4776 | | 1692 | | 6054 | | 1559 | | 2849 | |
| GGT | 61 | 0.87 | 445 | 1.18 | 1210 | 0.96 | 64 | 1.42 | 150 | 1.05 | 80 | 6.45 | 70 | 0.9 | 631 | 0.66 | 4561 | 1.05 | 1622 | 1.04 | 6296 | 0.96 | 1557 | 1 | 2955 | 0.96 |
| TAA | 106 | | 647 | | 3169 | | 37 | | 93 | | 414 | | 202 | | 7171 | | 5915 | | 3888 | | 7821 | | 2803 | | 4787 | |
| TTA | 122 | 0.87 | 644 | 1 | 3105 | 1.02 | 31 | 1.19 | 125 | 0.74 | 329 | 1.26 | 262 | 0.77 | 6765 | 1.06 | 5838 | 1.01 | 3638 | 1.05 | 7793 | 1 | 2812 | 1 | 4693 | 1.02 |
| TAG | 66 | | 361 | | 1196 | | 26 | | 105 | | 258 | | 103 | | 843 | | 6186 | | 2350 | | 8187 | | 2240 | | 2925 | |
| CTA | 73 | 0.9 | 444 | 0.81 | 1172 | 1.02 | 27 | 0.96 | 108 | 0.97 | 523 | 0.49 | 100 | 1.03 | 701 | 1.42 | 6136 | 1.01 | 2342 | 1 | 8187 | 1 | 2213 | 1.01 | 2755 | 1.06 |
| TAC | 66 | | 535 | | 1804 | | 36 | | 111 | | 377 | | 139 | | 654 | | 4536 | | 2021 | | 6482 | | 1820 | | 3282 | |
| GTA | 56 | 1.18 | 455 | 1.18 | 1955 | 0.92 | 42 | 0.86 | 91 | 1.22 | 154 | 2.45 | 134 | 1.04 | 895 | 0.73 | 4472 | 1.01 | 2058 | 0.98 | 6580 | 0.99 | 1831 | 0.99 | 3490 | 0.94 |
| TTG | 107 | | 649 | | 3877 | | 62 | | 152 | | 116 | | 103 | | 638 | | 6566 | | 3130 | | 9626 | | 2651 | | 5451 | |
| CAA | 132 | 0.81 | 702 | 0.92 | 3659 | 1.06 | 53 | 1.17 | 139 | 1.09 | 465 | 0.25 | 104 | 0.99 | 618 | 1.03 | 7031 | 0.93 | 3044 | 1.03 | 9355 | 1.03 | 2698 | 0.98 | 5147 | 1.06 |
| TTC | 110 | | 579 | | 2183 | | 33 | | 108 | | 308 | | 116 | | 898 | | 9169 | | 4261 | | 12454 | | 3609 | | 5161 | |
| GAA | 82 | 1.34 | 597 | 0.97 | 2091 | 1.04 | 80 | 0.41 | 172 | 0.63 | 200 | 1.54 | 84 | 1.38 | 967 | 0.93 | 9218 | 0.99 | 3858 | 1.1 | 12259 | 1.02 | 3669 | 0.98 | 5437 | 0.95 |

M. Takeda / J. Biomedical Science and Engineering 4 (2011) 719-733

| TGA | 89 | | 529 | | 2157 | | 82 | | 137 | | 190 | | 82 | | 776 | | 6382 | | 2854 | | 8781 | | 2189 | | 4800 | |
|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TCA | 103 | 0.86 | 470 | 1.13 | 2129 | 1.01 | 64 | 1.28 | 126 | 1.09 | 415 | 46 | 102 | 0.8 | 714 | 1.09 | 6489 | 0.98 | 2819 | 1.01 | 8606 | 1.02 | 2176 | 1.01 | 4611 | 1.04 |
| TGG | 93 | | 620 | | 1643 | | 114 | | 232 | | 99 | | 94 | | 444 | | 5099 | | 1949 | | 7269 | | 1975 | | 3691 | |
| CCA | 98 | 0.95 | 662 | 0.94 | 1578 | 1.04 | 114 | 1 | 176 | 1.32 | 464 | 0.21 | 74 | 1.27 | 287 | 3.48 | 5538 | 0.92 | 2144 | 0.91 | 7219 | 0.99 | 1997 | 0.99 | 3696 | 1 |
| TGC | 104 | | 590 | | 2241 | | 121 | | 166 | | 123 | | 53 | | 196 | | 4383 | | 1314 | | 6345 | | 1322 | | 2945 | |
| GCA | 94 | 1.11 | 609 | 0.97 | 2130 | 1.05 | 122 | 0.99 | 149 | 1.11 | 207 | 0.59 | 48 | 1.1 | 188 | 1.04 | 4644 | 0.94 | 1264 | 1.04 | 6239 | 1.02 | 1360 | 0.97 | 2993 | 0.98 |
| TCG | 4 | | 275 | | 2173 | | 47 | | 86 | | 121 | | 36 | | 198 | | 4411 | | 1711 | | 5775 | | 1566 | | 2200 | |
| CGA | 2 | 2 | 309 | 0.89 | 2077 | 1.05 | 47 | 1 | 105 | 0.82 | 122 | 0.99 | 25 | 1.44 | 214 | 0.93 | 4479 | 0.98 | 1654 | 1.03 | 5899 | 0.98 | 1576 | 0.99 | 2158 | 1.02 |
| TCC | 94 | | 469 | | 1145 | | 63 | | 86 | | 361 | | 61 | | 573 | | 6748 | | 2501 | | 8460 | | 2338 | | 2786 | |
| GGA | 81 | 1.16 | 570 | 0.82 | 1003 | 1.14 | 148 | 0.43 | 254 | 0.34 | 122 | 2.96 | 91 | 0.67 | 635 | 0.9 | 6614 | 1.02 | 2231 | 1.12 | 8356 | 1.01 | 2343 | 1 | 2983 | 0.93 |
| GAG | 61 | | 472 | | 1056 | | 136 | | 189 | | 129 | | 46 | | 450 | | 6482 | | 1714 | | 7828 | | 1792 | | 2645 | |
| CTC | 81 | 0.75 | 391 | 1.21 | 1123 | 0.94 | 59 | 2.31 | 142 | 1.33 | 419 | 0.31 | 50 | 0.92 | 352 | 1.28 | 6463 | 1 | 1876 | 0.91 | 7763 | 1.01 | 1752 | 1.02 | 2556 | 1.03 |
| GAC | 46 | | 417 | | 1688 | | 68 | | 151 | | 170 | | 43 | | 251 | | 4094 | | 1220 | | 4901 | | 1135 | | 2384 | |
| GTC | 37 | 1.24 | 349 | 1.19 | 1750 | 0.96 | 56 | 1.21 | 131 | 1.15 | 106 | 1.6 | 36 | 1.19 | 238 | 1.05 | 4216 | 0.97 | 1231 | 0.99 | 5251 | 0.93 | 1109 | 1.02 | 2455 | 0.97 |
| GTG | 66 | | 480 | | 1912 | | 95 | | 149 | | 55 | | 40 | | 251 | | 3676 | | 1188 | | 5343 | | 1067 | | 2798 | |
| CAC | 77 | 0.86 | 478 | 1 | 1791 | 1.07 | 85 | 1.12 | 149 | 1 | 454 | 0.12 | 47 | 0.85 | 249 | 1.01 | 3961 | 0.93 | 1156 | 1.03 | 5215 | 1.02 | 1024 | 1.04 | 2722 | 1.03 |
| GGG | 77 | | 419 | | 729 | | 159 | | 285 | | 73 | | 25 | | 741 | | 4934 | | 1532 | | 6573 | | 1745 | | 1592 | |
| CCC | 41 | 1.88 | 480 | 0.87 | 685 | 1.06 | 102 | 1.56 | 206 | 1.38 | 624 | 0.12 | 17 | 1.47 | 724 | 1.02 | 5007 | 0.99 | 1685 | 0.91 | 6416 | 1.02 | 1589 | 1.1 | 1620 | 0.98 |
| GGC | 57 | | 486 | | 1569 | | 139 | | 208 | | 151 | | 12 | | 228 | | 4124 | | 966 | | 5354 | | 1009 | | 1905 | |
| GCC | 59 | 0.97 | 514 | 0.95 | 1446 | 1.08 | 120 | 1.16 | 194 | 1.07 | 271 | 0.56 | 33 | 0.36 | 252 | 0.9 | 4077 | 1.01 | 1023 | 0.94 | 5454 | 0.98 | 1002 | 1.01 | 1960 | 0.97 |
| GCG | 12 | | 523 | | 2181 | | 110 | | 135 | | 54 | | 8 | | 142 | | 2827 | | 734 | | 3997 | | 774 | | 1259 | |
| CGC | 8 | 1.5 | 529 | 0.99 | 2106 | 1.04 | 71 | 1.55 | 116 | 1.16 | 155 | 0.35 | 27 | 0.3 | 126 | 1.13 | 2722 | 1.04 | 735 | 1 | 3832 | 1.04 | 782 | 0.99 | 1380 | 0.91 |
| CAG | 112 | | 660 | | 1248 | | 154 | | 198 | | 199 | | 65 | | 193 | | 5077 | | 1325 | | 6028 | | 1239 | | 3091 | |
| CTG | 134 | 0.84 | 598 | 1.1 | 1371 | 0.91 | 150 | 1.03 | 216 | 0.92 | 180 | 1.11 | 57 | 1.14 | 204 | 0.95 | 4920 | 1.03 | 1388 | 0.95 | 6188 | 0.97 | 1214 | 1.02 | 3074 | 1.01 |
| CGG | 11 | | 350 | | 1465 | | 104 | | 153 | | 80 | | 25 | | 495 | | 3656 | | 1101 | | 4591 | | 1018 | | 1446 | |
| CCG | 6 | 1.83 | 350 | 1 | 1395 | 1.05 | 72 | 1.44 | 140 | 1.09 | 141 | 0.57 | 30 | 0.83 | 471 | 1.05 | 3581 | 1.02 | 1138 | 0.97 | 4635 | 0.99 | 1021 | 1 | 1444 | 1 |

G to C, as in the genomic DNA molecule [11]. The low symmetry of A/T and G/C such as HIVtype2 (**Table 1**) or three successive base-sequences such as SV40 (**Table 2**) were affected on the distribution of the four bases (**Figure 1**). In addition, the low symmetry of four bases in HIVtype2-genome (**Table 1**) was affected to the distribution of bases (**Figure 1(c)**). Other small RNA-genome such as *Fujinami sarcoma virus* (RNA, 4788 nt) and RSV (ss-RNA, 9392 nt) maintained the base symmetry and the base distribution (**Table 1** and data not shown), therefore, the low symmetry of four bases in HIVtype2-genome might be not only reason that the genome was RNA, but also related to the origin and the evolution of the genome.

3.3. The Genome Bases Had Multiple Fractality

Figure 2 showed the distribution curve of adenine bases "A" in small genomes. Most genomes should be partitioned the "L" value at 15 to observe the multiple frac-

tality, but in the very small genomes composed of 10,000 - 15,000 nt such as SV40 (a), HIV (c), and *P. falcipu arum* mtDNA (e) the linearly decreased region (power law-tail) at long distances could be observed when the L-value of the partition was favorable at 10, *i.e.*, L = 1 - 10, and more than 10 in double logarithmic plot of L vs. P (L).

Real chromosomes had the base-symmetry (the reverse-complement symmetry) as well as the base bias, whereas the artificial genome sequences had only the reverse-complement symmetry, but not the bias of the base-distribution. Based on the above results, how are the four bases, A, T (U), G, and C placed on a single-strand of DNA in a genome? In order to understand this issue we investigated the fractality characteristics of the real genomes and the artificial genomes based on the distribution of the base-distribution of the base-distribution of the distribution of the distribution of the distribution of the distribution of the distance L between a base and the next base, for the base "A", the







(c)

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.



(d)





(e)



(f)

Real



Copyright © 2011 SciRes.



Figure 1. (a) Simian virus 40 (5243 nt), DNA, circular, GC = 40.8%, w = 500 nt; (b) Autographa calfornica nucleopolyhedrovirus (133,894 nt), GC = 40.0%, w = 5000 nt; (c) Human immunodeficiency virus 2 (10,359 nt), GC = 45.7%, w = 1000 nt; (d) Arabidopsis thaliana mtDNA (366,924 nt), GC = 44.7%, w = 10,000 nt; (e) Plasmodium falciparum mtDNA (5967 nt), GC = 28.2%, w = 500 nt; (f) Arabidopsis thaliana chDNA (154,478 nt), GC = 36.3%, w = 10,000 nt; (g) Saccharomyces cerevisiae, chromosome 1 (230,201 nt), linear, GC = 38.0%, w = 10,000 nt (control).

L-value was corresponded the base numbers from "A" to the next "A" in the genomic DNA, and P (L) is the sum of the L-value with the same base-distance in the genomic DNA [11].

A simple distinction of the multi-fractality (the linearly-decreased fractality = power-law-tail) or the unifractality (the exponential-decreased fractality) of the base distribution in a sequence was determined using by the fractal analysis described in the MATERIALS AND METHODS section.

For example, let us consider the case of adenine "A" in the SV40 genome. When the L-value was 1 through 10, the distribution curve P (L) of adenine (A) was fitted to an exponential equation, $y = ae^{-bx}$ (**Eq.1**, x = logL, y = logP(L); a and b are constant). In the case of adenine "A" in the SV40 genome, the a and b values were calculated from equation 1 (**Eq.1**) as 0.3819 and 0.3400, respectively (**Figure 2(a)**).

In contrast, when the L-value was more than 10, P (L) gave a straight line, y = Ux + W (equation 2 = Eq.2; U is the slope and W was the intercept) with a slope of -0.00121 (expressed as -(1.21E-03)) (Figure 2(a)). Other small genomes, *A. calfornica*, HIVtype2, *A. thaliana* mtDNA, *P. falciparum* mtDNA, and *A. thaliana* chDNA were also showed the multiple fractality (Figure 2).

The identification of the multiple fractality in the base(s) in these genomes was also confirmed by the $f(\alpha)$ spectrum **Figure 3**. When $f(\alpha)$ varied as a function of α , the fractality must be multifractal (red-diamond, **Figures 2** and **3**); in contrast, when $f(\alpha)$ was constant at the α -value, the fractality must be unifractal (black-square,

Figures 2 and 3).

The other three bases, thymine "T", guanine "G", and cytosine "C" in the SV40 genome also behaved in a similar manner as "A", with the multiple fractality at the boundary of the L-value. In addition, the a and b values of A and T, and G and C were identical. These fractal characteristics of a single-strand of DNA of the genome were also obtained for other species (**Figure 1**, data not shown, ref. 11).

In contrast, in the artificial genome sequences, neither the bias of four bases on the genomes nor the multiple fractality were observed in the base(s) regardless of the distance in the base distribution (L-value = 10 or more). Thus, the bases of the artificial sequence of genomes were distributed only the exponentially decreased-fractality (**Eq.1**, uni-fractal) even when L was more than 10, and the multiple fractality of the base sequences in the real genomes was not observed throughout the sequences, although the base numbers (nt) and the appearance frequencies of the base sequences were the same in each genome described in the MATERIALS AND METHODS section (data not shown).

Many studies using a part of genomic DNA of *E. coli* and other model DNA sequences had been reported that genomic DNA had a fractality [44-47]. These studies might be analyzed based on the bacterio-phages, the prokaryotic genomes, because the fractality of large genome such *S. cerevisiae* and *H. sapiens* genomes had not been analyzed yet in those days, in addition, the multiple fractality might not be observed in the literatures previously published.





Figure 2. Fractality of adenine nucleotide (A). (a) *Simian virus* 40 (5243 nt); (b) *Autographa calfornica nucleopolyhedrovirus* (133,894 nt); (c) *Human immunodeficiency virus*2 (10,359 nt); (d) *Arabidopsis thaliana* mtDNA (366,924 nt); (e) *Plasmodium falciparum* mtDNA (5967 nt); (f) *Arabidopsis thaliana* chDNA (154,478 nt); (g) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chromosome 1 (230,203 nt, control).

Essentially, all genomes or chromosomes might be three structural features, the co-existence of the reversecomplement symmetry, the bias, the multiple fractality in a single-strand of DNA [11].

These three structural features of the single-strand DNA of genomes were able to observe only in the real (active) genome, but not observed in the individual gene, the short DNA or the random-ordered DNA such as the artificial sequence of the genome [11]. When these three

structural features were co-existed, the gene(s) on the genome could be able to express, and the resulted product(s) might be functioned timely and properly in the living cells even in the small genomes. The bases of genomes were not placed randomly, but seem to be placed sophisticatedly by the generation-rules as a single-strand of genomic DNA even in the small genomes. Presumably, two such structural-featured in a single-strand DNAs above described might be assembled to form the anti-



Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

0.08

0.2



(g)

Figure 3. f(a) analysis of virus, mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes. (a) SV40; (b) *Autographa California* virus; (c) *Human immunodeficiency* virus2; (d) Arabidopsis thaliana mtDNA; (e) *Plasmodium falciparum* mtDNA; (f) *Arabidopsis thaliana* chDNA; (g) *Sacchrmyces cerevisiae* chromosome 1 (230,203 nt, control).

paralleled, complementary, double-strand DNA as we know (**Table 2**).

The structural features of a single-strand of genomic DNA might have implications that affect DNA replication, transcription, translation, as well as other biological processes because the information might be present in genome base sequence [11].

Previously, Crick and his co-workers proposed a question about DNA structure [48,49]. They presented data to show that the base-sequence of the DNA was necessary to understand the detailed structure of DNA. Now we could speculate about the detailed structure of DNA molecules because the complete base sequences of several genomes were available. The structural features of the single-strand of the genomic DNA might also be suggested the process of the DNA replication.

Essentially, the reverse-complement symmetry in the base sequence should be observed on a single-strand of DNA in a genome. The base symmetry in a single-strand of DNA of a genome was presented; in other words, the DNA might be able to be closed, and possible to make stem-loop structures. Previously, the biological role of the non-coding sequences and stem-loop structures was discussed [12-16]. Now, the genome sequences of many organisms had been revealed, and we should analyze the genome to understand living organisms.

Therefore, to understand biological phenomena in living organisms, we needed new approaches to analyze genomes including both the coding- and the non-coding region as a large intact molecule. Based on the above structural features of the genomic DNA, the Sequence Spectrum Method (SSM) was developed and proposed [35,36]. The SSM was a new analytical method of the entire genome based on the appearance frequencies of the nucleotides (bases) sequence of genome.

4. COMPLEXITY THROUGHOUT THE GENOME

When the distribution of each four bases depending on the distance in double logarithmic plot (power spectrum) of L (the distance of a base to the next base) vs. P (L) (the probability of the base-distribution at L), the exponentially decreased-fractality at short distances and the linearly decreased (power law-tail) at long distances, *i.e.*, the multiple fractality with the different fractality was observed. The genome was a "field" of the various genes as described above. In virus genomes, the genes were very crowded on the field like the prokaryotic cells; in addition, the intergenic region was smaller, and the multiple fractality was hard to be observed, specifically the multifractality was hidden behind the unifractality. In prokaryotic cells, most of the genome was occupied the coding regions, whereas in the organelle and the plastids (chloroplasts) genomes, the field was large, but not so large (base numbers) with the different bases-contents from the nuclear chromosomes. As a result, in the virus genomes, the mitochondrial- and the chloroplast-DNA, the multiple fractality, both the unifractality and the multifractality were also observed like in the large genomes. The non-coding regions of the genome were composed of promoter, MAR, insulator, poly (A) signal sequence, SINE, LINE, ncRNA, intron and so on [25,29-34]. These elements were known as regulation of the geneexpression for the biological phenomena. The more complex the organisms were, the more the non-coding regions might be in genome [25,34]. In genome, including these regulatory elements of the gene-expression, the base sequences of the genomic DNA would be maintained the structural features, the reverse-complement symmetry, the bias, and the multiple fractality in a single-strand [11,5,36].

Whereas, in small genomes, many genes were overlapped each other and compact to be expressed timely the regulation.

We could be tried to approach the studies targeted to the entire genome based on the appearance frequencies of the bases in genome, in other words, how to use the base sequence in genome. We had studied many, including the eukaryotes, prokaryotes, viruses, organelles and plastids genome sequences down-loaded from the data bases like NCBI [7] and so on. We had calculated the base frequencies of the chromosomes in numeric order when there were several chromosomes in one organism. In addition, the reason for using chromosome in *H. sapiens*, the personal computer can not be calculated the sum of chromosomes 1 - 22, X and Y because of the limited capacity.

The genome data are draft as described above, but most of the unreadable area was very small part compared with the huge entire chromosome. So, when there was unreadable region in chromosome, we could skip the region to calculate the base frequencies of the chromosome or genome because the unreadable region of each chromosome was small number of bases to neglect in comparison to large number of genomic DNA. The complexity of the organisms might be dependent on the capacity of the non-coding region in the entire genome.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The structural features, 1) the reverse-complement symmetry of the base or three successive base sequences, 2) the bias of the four bases-distribution, 3) the multiple fractality of the four bases-distribution were the universal in a single-strand of genomic DNA or RNA genomes in a part of virus even in the small genomes such as virus, the plastids and the organelle genomes. These three characters were co-existed in the single-strand of DNA in all genomes. The molar ratio of the plastids and the organelle genomes were different from the nuclear chromosomes of the host cells because most of the plastids and the organelle genomes might be evolved under the process of the symbiosis in other organisms.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank to Dr. Masatoshi Nakahara for his critical reading and advice of this manuscript, and to Mr. Yasuharu Mayama for his technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Watson, J.D. and Crick, F.H.C. (1953) Genetical implications of the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid. *Nature* (*London*), **171**, 964-967. doi:10.1038/171964b0
- [2] Chargaff, E. (1953) Chemical specificity of nucleic acids and mechanism of their enzymatic degradation. *Experimentia*, 6, 201-240. <u>doi:10.1007/BF02173653</u>
- [3] Franklin, R.E. and Gosling, R.G. (1953) Molecular configuration in sodium thymonucleate. *Nature*, **171**, 740-741. <u>doi:10.1038/171740a0</u>
- [4] Feughelman, M., Langridge, R., Wilkins, M.H.F., Barclay, R.K. and Hamilton, L.D. (1955) Molecular structure of Deoxyribose nucleic acid and nucleoprotein. *Nature*, **175**, 834-838. doi:10.1038/175834a0
- [5] Karkas, J.D., Rudner, R. and Chargaff, E. (1968) Separation of *B. subtilis* DNA into complementary strands. II. Template functions and composition as determined by transcription by RNA polymerase. *Proceeding National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 60,

915-920. doi:10.1073/pnas.60.3.915

- [6] Gibson, D.G., Benders, G.A., Andrews-Pfannkoch, C., Denisova, E.A., Baden-Tillson, H., Zaveri, J., Stockwell, T.B., Brownley, A., Thomas, D.W., Algire, M.A., Merryman, C., Young, L., Noskov, V.N., Glass, J.I., Venter, J.C., Hutchison, C.A.3rd and Smith, H.O. (2008) Complete chemical synthesis, assembly, and cloning of a Mycoplasma genitalium. *Science*, **319**, 1215-1220. doi:10.1126/science.1151721
- [7] NCBI genome data base. (2011). <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=genome</u>
- [8] The Sanger Institute. (2011). <u>http://www.sanger.ac.uk</u>
- [9] Saccharomyces Genome Database, (2011). http://www.yeastgenome.org/
- [10] Crick, F.H.C. (1968) The origin of genetic code. Journal of Molecular Biology, 38, 367-379. doi:10.1016/0022-2836(68)90392-6
- [11] Takeda, M. and Nakahara, M. (2009) Structural Features of the nucleotide Sequences of Genomes. *Journal of Computer Aided Chemistry*, **10**, 38-52. doi:10.2751/jcac.10.38
- [12] Bernardi, G. and Bernardi, G. (1986) Compositional constraints and genome evolution. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 24, 1-11. <u>doi:10.1007/BF02099946</u>
- [13] Le, S.-Y. and Maizei, J.V. (1986) A method for assessing the statistical significances of RNA folding. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **138**, 495-510. doi:10.1016/S0022-5193(89)80047-5
- [14] Prabhu, V.V. (1993) Symmetry observations in long nucleotide sequence. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **21**, 2797-2800. doi:10.1093/nar/21.12.2797
- [15] Forsdyke, D.R. (1995a) A stem-loop "kissing" model for the initiation of recombination and the origin of intron. *Molecular Biology of Evolution*, **12**, 949-958.
- [16] Forsdyke, D.R. (1995b) Reciprocal relationship between stem-loop potential and substitution density in retroviral quasispecies under positive Darwinian selection. *Journal* of Molecular Evolution, **41**, 1022-1037. doi:10.1007/BF00173184
- [17] Searls, D.B. and Murphy, K. (1995) Automatic-theoretic model of mutation and alignment. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Systems Molecular Biology*, **3**, 341-349.
- [18] Stern, L., Allison, L., Coppel, R.L. and Dix, T.I. (2001) Discovering patterns in *Plasmodium falciparum* genomic DNA. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **112**, 71-77.
- [19] Kanaya, S., Kinouchi, M., Abe, T., Kudo, Y., Yamada, Y., Nishi, T., Mori, H. and Ikemura, T. (2001) Analysis of codon usage diversity of bacterial genes with a self- organizing map (SOM): Characterization of horizontally transferred genes with emphasis on the *E. coli* O157 genome. *Gene*, 276, 89-99. doi:10.1016/S0378-1119(01)00673-4
- Baisnee, P.-F., Hampson, S. and Baldi, P. (2002) Why are complementary DNA strands symmetric? *Bioinformatics*, 18, 1021-1033. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/18.8.1021
- [21] Chen, L. and Zhao, H. (2005) Negative correlation between compositional symmetries and local recombination rates. *Bioinformatics*, 21, 3951-3958. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti651
- [22] Albrecht-Buehler, G. (2006). Asymptotically increasing

compliance of genomes with Chargaff's second parity rules through inversions and inverted transpositions. *Proceeding National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **103**, 17828-17833. doi:10.1073/pnas.0605553103

- [23] Knoch, T.A., Göker, M., Lohner, R., Abuseiris, A. and Grosveld, F.G. (2009) Fine-structures multi-scaling longrange correlations in completely sequenced genomesfeatures, origin, and classification. *European Biophysical Journal*, **38**, 757-779. doi:10.1007/s00249-009-0489-y
- [24] Chor, B., Horn, D., Goldman, N., Levy, Y. and Massingham, T. (2009) Genomic DNA k-mer spectra: Models and modalities. *Genome Biology*, **10**, R108.
- [25] Mattick, J.S. (2004) RNA regulation: A new genetics? Nature Review Genetics, 5, 316-323. doi:10.1038/nrg1321
- [26] Haber, J.E. and Leung, W.Y. (1996) Lack of chromosome territoriality in yeast: Promiscuous rejoining of broken chromosome ends. *Proceeding National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **93**, 13949-13954. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.24.13949
- [27] Rowley, J.D. (2001) Chromosomal translocations; dangerous liaisons revisited. *Nature Review Cancer*, 1, 245-250. doi:10.1038/35106108
- [28] Meaburn, K.J., Misteli, T. and Soutoglou, E. (2007) Spatial genome organization in the formation of chromosomal translocations. *Seminars in Cancer Biology*, **17**, 80-90. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2006.10.008
- [29] Webb, C.F., Das, C., Eneff, K. and Tucker, P.W. (1991) Identification of a matrix-associated region 5' of an immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region gene. *Molecular and. Cellular Biology*, **11**, 5206-5211.
- [30] West, A.G., Gaszner, M. and Felsenfeld, G. (2002) Insulators: many functions, many mechanisms. *Genes and Development*, 16, 271-288. doi:10.1101/gad.954702
- [31] Levine, M. and Tjian, R. (2003) Transcription regulation and animal diversity. *Nature*, 424, 147-151. doi:10.1038/nature01763
- [32] Lai, E.C., Roegiers, F., Qin, X., Jan, Y.N. and Rubin, G.M. (2005) The ubiquitin ligase Drosophila Mind bomb promotes Notch signaling by regulating the localization and activity of Serrate and Delta. *Development*, **132**, 2319-2332. doi:10.1242/dev.01825
- [33] Martens, J.A., Wu, P.Y. and Winston, F. (2005) Regulation of an intergenic transcript controls adjacent gene transcription in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes and Develoment*, **19**, 2695-2704. doi:10.1101/gad.1367605
- [34] Taft, R.J., Pheasant, M. and Mattick, J.S. (2007) The relationship between non-protein-coding DNA and eukaryotic complexity. *Bioessays*. 29, 288-299. doi:10.1002/bies.20544
- [35] Nakahara, M. and Takeda, M. (2010a). Characterization of the sequence spectrum of DNA based on the appear-

ance frequency of the nucleotide sequences of the genome—A new method for analysis of genome structure. *Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering*, **3**, 340-350. doi:10.4236/jbise.2010.34047

- [36] Nakahara, M. and Takeda, M. (2010b). Identification of the interactive region by the homology of the sequence spectrum. *Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering*, **3**, 868-883. <u>doi:10.4236/jbise.2010.39117</u>
- [37] Parisi, G. and Frisch, U. (1985) In: Ghil, N., Benzi, R., and Parisi, G., Eds., *Turbulence and Predictability of Geophysical Flows and Climatic Dynamics*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 84-87.
- [38] Halsey, T.C. Jensen, M.H., Kadanoff, L.P., Procaccia, I. and Shraiman, B. (1986) Fractal measure and their singularities: The characterization of strange sets. *Physical Review A*, 33, 1141-1151. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.33.1141
- [39] MIPS data. (2010). The yeast genome project. http://www.mips.biochem.mpg.de/
- [40] Grantham, R. (1980) Working of the genetic code. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* (TIBS), 5, 327-331. doi:10.1016/0968-0004(80)90143-7
- [41] Cohen, W.D. and Gardner, R.S. (1959) Viral theory and endosymbiosis. <u>http://www.psychoneuroendocrinology.com/symbiosis.pd</u>
- [42] Lynn, S. (1967) On the origin of mitosing cells. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 14, 255-274
- [43] Blanchard, J.L. and Lynch, M. (2000) Organellar genes: Why do they end up in the nucleus? *Trends in Geneicst*. 16, 315-320. doi:10.1016/S0168-9525(00)02053-9
- [44] Peng, C.K., Buldrev, S.V., Goldberger, A.L., Havlin, S., Sciortino, M., Simons, M. and Stanley, H.E. (1992) Long-range correlations in nucleotide sequences. *Nature*, **356**, 168-170. <u>doi:10.1038/356168a0</u>
- [45] Voss, R.F. (1992) Evolution of long-range fractal correlation and 1/f noise in DNA base sequences. *Physical Review Letters*, **68**, 3805-3809. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.68.3805
- [46] Bains, W. (1993) Local self-similarity of sequence in mammalian nuclear DNA is modulated by a 180 bp periodicity. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **161**, 137-143. <u>doi:10.1006/jtbi.1993.1046</u>
- [47] Weinberger, E.D. and Stadler, P.F. (1993) Why some fitness landscapes are fractal. *Journal of Theoretical Bi*ology, 163, 255-275. doi:10.1006/jtbi.1993.1120
- [48] Crick, F.H.C. (1971) General model for the chromosomes of higher organisms. *Nature*, 234, 25-27. doi:10.1038/234025a0
- [49] Crick, F.H.C, Wang, J.C. and Bauer, W.R. (1979) Is DNA really a double helix? *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **129**, 449-461. <u>doi:10.1016/0022-2836(79)90506-0</u>