

Identification of Inference Genes in Breast Cancer Network

Keilash Chirom^{1*}, Shahnawaz Ali^{2*}, Md. Zubbair Malik^{1,2*}, Romana Ishrat², R. K. Brojen Singh^{1#}

¹School of Computational & Integrative Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India ²Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Basic Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India Email: #brojen@jnu.ac.in

How to cite this paper: Chirom, K., Ali, S., Malik, M.Z., Ishrat, R. and Singh, R.K.B. (2017) Identification of Inference Genes in Breast Cancer Network. Journal of Biosciences and Medicines, 5, 29-42. https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2017.59004

Received: May 22, 2017 Accepted: August 26, 2017 Published: August 29, 2017

Copyright © 2017 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ **Open Access**

 \odot

Abstract

Complex breast cancer network constructed from experimentally verified seventy genes, by coordinating standard seven human protein and genome databases, follows hierarchical scale free features. Centrality based method of identification of inferred genes is implemented to this network and has predicted forty nine breast cancer genes, and nineteen non-breast cancer genes. As predicting good candidate genes before experimental analysis will save time and effort both. Fourteen genes out of nineteen are found to involve in various types of cancer and diseases, and five genes are engaged in non-cancer diseases. Some of the inferred genes need proper experimental investigation to understand fundamental roles of these genes in regulating breast cancer network.

Keywords

Breast Cancer, Network Theory, Inference Genes, Topological Propreties, Centrality

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women world-wide and it has the ability to get inherited [1]. This inheritance is propelled by various common invariants which renders lifetime risk [2]. The possible curative approach of this disease is tumor surgery, while chemotherapy still poses a high risk for initiating metastasis [3] [4]. Further, depending on the hormonal dependency of the breast carcinoma, there are few chemoprevention strategies, such as, employment of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), anti-estrogen drugs and micronutrients, which have been tested for anticancer activity [2]. There are few *Author equal contribution.

developments in the curative approaches of breast cancer, due to various systems approaches like network theory, development in omics, availability of gene expression data and integrative techniques of mapping genes of specific functions [5]. In this type of cancer, mutation plays an important role in compelling key gene(s) to cause defective protein(s) translation in regulating normal cell functioning [6]. The process involves complicated interaction of few thousand of genes in various complex biological processes of large number of molecular functions [7], and the molecular functional organization of this network is very complicated to understand [8]. This complex network involves organization of functional molecules and modules at various system levels associating the principle of disease progression [9]. The organization of diverse modules in this type of network could be the potential source of various domains of activities [10]. Structural and functional properties of complex biological systems have been studied within the formalism of network theory [11].

It has been reported that most of the existing networks in nature fall in one of the following nature, namely, scale-free, small world, random and hierarchical, and their combinations [12] [13]. Hierarchical network is of special interest because of its important topological properties (distribution of diverse modules/ communities and sparsely distributed hubs) [12] [13] and systems level working mechanisms [12]. The emerging modules in this network type are of particular attention because they may correspond to independent functions obeying their own laws and their complicated organization [12] exhibiting nonlinear activities and emergent behavior [11]. The sparsely distributed hubs generally regulate the system along with modules to maintain network stability, or help to adapt to a new fit change [14]. The present study focuses on the possibility of finding important inferred genes in breast cancer network constructed from standard cancer databases available using network theoretical approach. The newly predicting genes could be of rigorous experimental situation for important target genes of this disease.

2. Methods

Integration of breast cancer data: We have incorporated six standard databases of cancer, namely, KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), CGC (Cancer Gene Census), BCGD (Breast Cancer Gene Database), CGAP (Cancer Genome Anatomy Project), GAD (Genetic Association Database) and NCG (Network of Cancer Genes), to obtain a comprehensive list of breast cancer genes. We extracted 2050 genes from these databases, out of which 1332 were found to be unique (Figure 1). Then we follow a simple work flow stared with the mining of the list of genes (associated with breast cancer) from all the six defined storehouses. These lists were subjected to CGI-Perl codes (developed locally) for the removal of duplication both in terms of redundancy of names and use of synonymic (multiple names for the same gene) gene names. The method of removal involves pattern matching and searching globally in Gene card



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of work flow of the methodology implemented in this work.

(<u>http://www.genecards.com/</u>) database. Following this method, we could arrive at unique 1332 genes. Now, data is further curated using Agilent literature search, a *plugin* of *cytoscape*. Finally, from the whole process we possessed the list of 70 genes out of 1332 unique. Now, the details of the genes extracted by mapping these genes to Uni Prot (January 2016).

Construction of primary network: The breast cancer network is constructed following simple rule of one gene one protein concept. The network was constructed using APID2NET plug-in implemented in cytoscape version 2.8.3, which was used to retrieve all the possible information from seven main resources namely the DIP (Database of Interacting Proteins), BIND (Bio- molecular Interaction Network Database), IntAct, MINT (Molecular Interactions Database), UniProt, BioGRID (The General Repository of Interaction Datasets) and HPRD (Human Protein Reference Database) [15]. The integrative and analytical effort done in APID provided an efficient open access repository where all the curated as well as experimentally verified PPIs (protein-protein interaction) are amalgamated into an exclusive web application. On combining all the information finally, we got a network of 1732 nodes harboring 55,444 interactions from which we only selected the first neighbors of selected 70 genes (discarding self-loops and isolated nodes) ending up with network of 1476 nodes defining 22,314 connections between them.

Characterization of network compactness: LCP-DP approach: The LCPdecomposition-plot (LCP-DP) is two-dimensional representation of common neighbors (CN) index of interacting nodes and local community links (LCL)to characterize the topological properties of a network. It provides information on number, size, and compactness of communities in a network [16]. The CNindex between two nodes x and y can be calculated from the measure of overlapping between their sets of first-node-neighbors S(x) and S(y) given by, $CN = S(x) \cap S(y)$. The possible likelihood of interaction of these two nodes could happen if there is significant amount of overlapping between the sets S(x) and S(y) (large value of CN). The increase in CN is due to the increase in compactness in the network, indicating faster information processing in the network. The *LCLs* between two nodes *x* and *y*, whose upper bound is defined by, $\max(LCL) = \frac{1}{2}CN(CN-1)$, is the number of internal links in local-community (LC). These two nodes most probably link together if CN of these two nodes are members of LC [16].

The LCP correlation (LCP-corr) is the Pearson correlation co-efficient of CN and LCL defined by $LCP\text{-}corr = \frac{\text{cov}(CN, LVL)}{\sigma_{CN}\sigma_{LCL}}$ with CN > 1, where cov(CN, LVL) is the covariance between CN and LCL, σ_{CN} and σ_{LCL} are standard deviations of CN and LCL respectively.

Constant pott's model: energy distribution in a network. The state of a persisting system can be estimated by calculating the difference in the HE (Hamiltonian Energy) between two ensemble states of the system. HE based calculation was done for a network or module by considering hub influencing modules. We then identified the modules where particular hub is present at each level. HE of the system having these modules were calculated according to the formalism built by Constant Potts Model [17] [18] given by 1 that consider the contribution of nodes (N) and edges (E) in a competitive manner. HE behaves as a window to look into the variation in the network components.

$$H^{[s]} = -\sum_{C} e_{C} - \gamma n_{C}^{2}$$

where e_C and n_C number of edges and nodes in a community ("*C*") and γ is the resolution parameter acting as edge density thresh hold. in general, γ should be $\leq \frac{1}{(n_C)^2}$.

Centrality based link prediction: Since centrality measurements can characterize the most influencing candidates in a network, which are capable of fast information propagation, reception, and sensitivity to the local and global perturbations, it can be used as a method to identify important fundamental regulators. For each of the centrality Degree, Betweenness, Closeness and Eigenvector, we computed the centrality score (using CytoNCA) for each node in the breast cancer network [19]. According to the scores of the nodes for each individual matrix in the network, we rank them in a descending order and compute the percentage of the known breast cancer-associated genes. Among the top 20 ranked genes the percentages of the known breast cancer-associated genes were 85% (Closseness), 75% (Betweeness), 55% (Degree) and 40% (Eigenvector). From these four centralities, betweeness and closeness centrality measures out performed as they are able to capture high percentage of genes (associated with breast cancer) for the present study.

3. Results and Discussion

The complex breast cancer network constructed from experimentally verified

seventy genes obeys hierarchical characteristics [12] in the properties of topological parameters of it (**Figure 2**), and scale free behavior because of the power law nature in these parameters [20] [21]. The calculated data distributions of the probability of degree distributions (*P*), clustering co-efficient (*C*) and connectivity (*C_N*) exhibit power law nature with respect to degree *k* (fitted lines on the data distributions in **Figure 2**). The fitted lines on the data distributions are confirmed and verified by following a standard statistical fitting procedure due to Clauset *et al.* [22], where we considered the 2500 random sampling of each data set and found the p-value in each case larger than 0.1 which is the predicted threshold value. Hence, we found that, $P \sim k^{-\gamma}$, $C \sim k^{-\alpha}$ and $C_N \sim k^{-\beta}$, and the power exponents are found to be,

 $d = (\gamma, \alpha, \beta)^{\mathrm{T}} \sim (1.28, 0.31, 0.15)^{\mathrm{T}}$, where T is the transpose of the vector. If $F = (P, C, CN)^{\mathrm{T}}, \frac{F_i(ak)}{F_i} \sim a^{d_i}$, where *a* is a constant scale factor with d_i as the fractal dimension of the *i*th component of *F*. Hence, the network properties in-

dicate that the breast cancer network follows hierarchical scale free fractal network [12] [21] [23] [24] [25] [26]. The negative value in β of connectivity parameter shows non-assortive nature of the network, and possibility of rich-club formation among the leading hubsis unlikely [24].

Similarly, the centrality parameters, namely, betweenness (C_B) , closeness (C_C) and eigen-vector (C_E) centralities of the network also exhibit fractal behavior (**Figure 2**) given by, $G = (C_B, C_C, C_E)^T$, such that $C_B \sim k^{\epsilon}$, $C_B \sim k^{\delta}$, $C_B \sim k^{\delta}$, and $q = (\epsilon, \delta, \eta)^T \sim (2.13, 0.077, 1.14)^T$. The positive values of q components of these centrality parameters indicate the strong regulatory role of the leading hubs in the breast cancer network [27] [28]. Then the topological



Figure 2. Topological properties of the breast cancer network. The lines are fitted lines with powerlaws in the data sets.

properties of the breast cancer network can be represented by, $\Gamma = (F,G)^{T}$, $\frac{\Gamma_{j}(ck)}{\Gamma_{j}(k)} = C^{D_{j}}$ where, $D = (d,q)^{T}$ maintaining fractal properties.

Now following the centrality measurements based methodology (see in Methods), we examined the first top twenty genes each identified by each centrality and degree measurements (Figure 3 left four panels), and could able to identify eighty genes from all measurements. The repeated occurrence of some genes (EF1A1, HS90B, CTNB1, KU70, 1433Z) in the four lists of measurements draws our attention to visualize their neighbours as shown in Figure 4(a)-(e). Among these 80 central genes, 49 genes are the known breast cancer-associated genes and 31 genes are inferred genes whose relationships with cancers are needed to be further investigated. We then manually searched the evidence of their relationships with cancers from various resources such as databases and published papers, and found that among 19 out of 31 (after removing repetitions) inferred genes 14 genes are cancer-associated (but not breast cancer), which suggests that these four centralities are effective in identifying cancer-associated genes (Table 1). Further, 4 out of 19 identified genes are found to be non-cancer associated genes which are needed further experimental investigation for their importance in the study of breast cancer genome (Table 1). We now review the detailed information about the 19 identified inferred genes as follows:

Cancer associated genes: This category holds two sub-categories depending upon the source. Thus, 14 genes include 10 genes that acquired association to cancer from literature while the other 4 genes from NCG database (**Table 1**) [29].



Figure 3. Plots of the degree and centrality based identification of first top twenty genes in each measurement. The percentage of common overlapping of the identified genes by the four measurements.





Figure 4. Sub-networks constructed corresponding to most frequently repeated nonbreast cancer genes (a)-(e) and their compactness characterized by two dimensional plots between p (LCL) versus CN (f). Plots of PH and PLCP for frequently repeated five nonbreast cancer genes (g).

These 4 genes, namely, CTNNB1, HS90B, NMP, and PAPB1 are obtained after verification from NCG (**Table 1**) [29]. The other 10 genes, namely, 1433Z, TF-65, CSK1, KU70, SF3B3, RL11, RS3A, HNRPU, RL6, RL26 were verified for the association to cancer from extensive literature survey (using Pubmed, scholar etc). Out of these 10 genes the expressions of KU70 and SF3B3 were recently been correlated to resist the prognosis of ER+ breast cancer [30].

Non-cancer associated genes: This category holds only 5 genes that were found associated to other diseases but not cancer (neither in NCG nor in Literature). Out of these five genes EF1A1, 1433G, RL23, RL24 and RS26, RS26 is correlated to the conjunctival cancer.

Further, after removing breast cancer related genes from the list, the highly repeated genes (EF1A1, HS90B, CTNB1, KU70, 1433Z) in the four measurements are most probably important inferred genes which help in regulating breast cancer regulatory network and their regulating roles should be significant other than other inferred genes. Hence, we further study the topological properties of the sub-networks associated with these genes for understanding their activities (Figures 4(a)-(e)). These sub-networks still follow hierarchical scale free characteristics, may be inherited from the main network obeying fractal property of the network. These sub-networks are compact (all LCP-corrs > 0.8) where nodes are tightly bound (see Method), their sizes are in the range (26 - 170) and the points in the LCP-DP plots indicating strong linkage of the nodes in each sub-network [16]. These properties reveal that these five important inferred genes might have strong regulating activities to breast cancer network. The compactness or how strongly the nodes are interconnected in each sub-network can be characterized by defining a relative LCP-correlation given by, $P_{LCP} = \frac{x_i}{x_{ij}}; i = 1, \dots, 5$, where x_i is the value of LCP-correlation of i^{th}

sub-network and x_N is the LCP-correlation of the complete breast cancer network. Since the calculated PLCP values of EF1A1 and 1433 Z are largest, the sub-networks corresponding to these inferred genes strongly correlate with the breast cancer network, and actively regulate it. Whereas, CTNB1 has lowest

Genes	Cancer type involved	Disease other than cancer	Reference
1433Z (Cc)	Prostrate; Lung	Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease; Prion Disease; Gerstmann-Straussler Disease; Post-Vaccinal Encephalitis etc.	[34]
CTNB1 (Cc)	Colorectal cancer, somatic; Hepatocellular carcinoma, somatic; Ovarian cancer, somatic;	Pilomatricoma, somatic; Mental retardation, autosomal dominant 19	[35]
TF65 (uCb)	Lung cancer; Prostrate cancer; Leukemia;	HIV-1	[36]
CKS1 (uCb)	Multiple Myeloma; Hepatocellular Carcinoma; Cervical Squamous Cell Carcinoma; Oral Squa-mous Cell Carcinoma	Clear Cell Adenofibroma;	[37]
EF1A1 (*)		BardetBiedl Syndrome; Fusariosis; Cutaneous Anthrax; Tinea Nigra; Hepatitis	[38]
1433G (uCb)		Intellectual dissablity	[39]
KU70 (d)	Renal Cell Carcinoma; Hepatocellular Carcinoma; Lung Cancer.	Post encephalitic Parkinson Disease; DNA Ligase Iv Deficiency; Middle Cerebral Artery Infarction; Systemic Lupus Erythematosus; Lupus Erythematosus; Neuroblastoma	[40]
HS90B (d)	multiple cancer types		[41]
SF3B3 (Ce)	Breast (ER+ cells)		[42]
RL11 (Ce)	Gastric cancer	DiamondBlackfan Anemia 1; Pierre Robin Syndrome; Congenital Hypoplastic Anemia; Macrocytic Anemia;	[43]
RS3A (Ce)	Lung; Hepatocellular Carcinoma;	Diamond Blackfan Anemia; Huntington Disease	[43]
HNRPU (Ce)	Renal Cancer; Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma; Cervical Cancer (somatic);	Myotonic Dystrophy 1; Becker Muscular Dystrophy	[44]
RL6 (Ce)	Gastric Cancer; TCell Leukemia	Noonan Syndrome 1	[45]
RL23 (Ce)		Neuroblastoma; Myelodysplastic Syndrome	[46]
NPM (uCe)	Leukemia, acute myeloid, somatic		[47]
RL26 (uCe)	Conjunctival Cancer;	Diamondback Anemia; Macrocytic Anemia; Pierre Robin Syndrome; Rpl26 Related Diamond Blackfan Anemia;	[48]
RL24 (u)		Bone Remodeling Disease; Bone Resorption Disease; Cauda Equina Neoplasm; Diamond Blackfan anemia.	[43]
RS26 (u)	Conjunctival	Macrocytic Anemia; Pierre Robin Syndrome; Diamond Blackfan Anemia	[43]
PABP1 (u)	Lymphoma; Gastric cancer		[49]

Table 1. List of non-breast cancer inferred genes iden	ntified by centrality based metho	od of identification of inferred genes
--	-----------------------------------	--

Literature (cancerous genes): green; NCG (cancerous genes): yellow; not cancer white: white; (*): Commonly appeared in all (*i.e.* four) centralities(Cc); (Cc): Gene appeared in both Betweeness (Cb) and Closeness centralities (Cc); (uCb): Gene unique Betweeness (Cb); (d): Gene appeared in both Degree (d) and Closenesscentralities (Cc); (Ce): Gene appeared in both Degree (d) and Eigenvector centralities (Ce); (uCe): Gene unique to Eigenvector centralities (Ce).

 P_{LCP} value indicating weak correlation and regulation of corresponding sub-network to the breast cancer network (**Figure 4(g)**). Again, relative energy distributions in these sub-networks of the inferred genes can be estimated using Hamiltonian function within the formalism of constant potts model (see Method), by defining the energy distribution per node, which is the ratio of Hamiltonian energy of a sub-network "*j*" H_j to the size of the corresponding sub-network H_j given by, $P_{LCP} = \frac{H_s}{N_s}$; $i = 1, \dots, 5$. The calculated P_H of sub-

networks corresponding to EF1A1 and HS90B show largest values, and those of CTNB1 and 1433 Z show smallest values indicating strong and weak distribution of energies in their respective sub-networks.

4. Conclusion

Complex breast cancer network constructed from experimentally verified seventy genes follows hierarchical scale free network which involves interaction of emergent diverse modules and sparsely distributed hubs in regulating the network. Regulation of this network is done by various breast and non-breast cancer genes. These genes can be identified by centrality based measurements which is an important method for identifying inferred genes [19]. As betweenness and closeness centrality predicted more genes whose relation to the disease is currently unknown and that are candidates for experimental study. This method could able to recognize 49 breast cancer genes verified by standard database and literature reports, and nineteen genes are non-breast cancer genes. Out of nineteen inferred genes, fourteen genes are involved in other types of cancers other than breast cancer and other diseases. The other five genes are involved in other non-cancer diseases. The identified inferred non-breast cancer genes should be addressed for important experimental attention in order to understand their direct and indirect roles of regulation of these genes in breast cancer network. The highly repeating genes in the centrality based identification of inferred genes could be of significantly important regulating activities in breast cancer network. Because the sub-network associated with each inferred gene is compact and strongly interlinked, and follows hierarchical features. The energy distributions in these sub-networks are also strong for some genes indicating their significant roles in regulating breast cancer network. We strongly propose for immediately rigorous experimental investigation like on p. 53 [31] [32] [33], these inferred genes for possible proper understanding of how this particular disease network works. The proper attention to these genes may open up new understanding and preventive mechanisms of this disease.

Acknowledgements

KC and RKBS are financially supported by UPE-II sanction No. 101. SA and MZM is financially supported by Indian Council of Medical Research under SRF (Senior Research Fellowship).

References

- [1] Kamangar, F., Dores, G.M. and Anderson, W.F. (2006) Patterns of Cancer Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence across Five Continents: Defining Priorities to Reduce Cancer Disparities in Different Geographic Regions of the World. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 24, 2137-2150. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.05.2308
- [2] Cai, Q., et al. (2014) Genome-Wide Association Analysis in East Asians Identifies Breast Cancer Susceptibility Loci at 1q32.1, 5q14.3 and 15q26.1. Nature Genetics, 46, 886-890. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3041</u>

- [3] Fatouros, M., Baltoyiannis, G. and Roukos, D.H. (2008) The Predominant Role of Surgery in the Prevention and New Trends in the Surgical Treatment of Women with BRCA1/2 Mutations. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 15, 21-33. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-007-9612-4
- [4] Murphy, J.A., Milner, T.D. and O'Donoghue, J.M. (2013) Contralateral Risk-Reducing Mastectomy in Sporadic Breast Cancer. *The Lancet Oncology*, 14, e262e269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70047-0</u>
- [5] Dittrich, M., Klau, G., Rosenwald, A., Dandekar, T. and Mller, T. (2008) Identifying Functional Modules in Protein-Protein Interaction Networks: An Integrated Exact Approach. *Bioinformatics*, 24, 223-231. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn161
- [6] Ideker, T. and Sharan, R. (2008) Protein Networks in Disease. *Genome Research*, 18, 644-652. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.071852.107</u>
- [7] Camon, E., Magrane, M., Barrell, D., Lee, V., Dimmer, E., Maslen, J., Binns, D., Harte, N., Lopez, R. and Apweiler, R. (2004) The Gene Ontology Annotation (GOA) Database. Sharing Knowledge in Uniprot with Gene Ontology. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**, D262-D266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh021</u>
- [8] Palla, G., Dernyi, I., Farkas, I. and Vicsek, T. (2005) Uncovering the Overlapping Community Structure of Complex Networks in Nature and Society. *Nature*, 435, 814-818. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03607</u>
- [9] Santo, F. (2010) Community Detection in Graphs. *Physics Reports*, 486, 75-174.
- [10] Pieroni, E., de la Fuente van Bentem, S., Mancosu, G., Capobianco, E., Hirt, H. and de la Fuente, A. (2008) Protein Networking. Insights into Global Functional Organization of Proteomes. *Proteomics*, 8, 799-816. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200700767
- [11] Barzel, B. and Barabasi, A.L. (2013) Universality in Network Dynamics. Nature Physics, 9, 673-681.
- [12] Ravasz, E., Somera, A.L., Mongru, D.A., *et al.* (2002) Hierarchical Organization of Modularity in Metabolic Networks. *Science*, 297, 1551-1555. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1073374
- [13] Girvan, M. and Newman, M.E. (2002) Community Structure in Social and Biological Networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*, **99**, 7821-7826. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122653799</u>
- [14] Maslov, S. and Sneppen, K., (2002) Specificity and Stability in Topology of Protein Networks. *Science*, 296, 910-913. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065103
- [15] Hernandez-Toro, J., Prieto, C. and De las Rivas, J. (2007) APID2NET: Unified Interactome Graphic Analyzer. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 2495-2497. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm373
- [16] Cannistraci, C.V., Alanis-Lobato, G. and Ravasi, T. (2013) From Link-Prediction in Brain Connectomes and Protein Interactomes to the Local-Community-Paradigm in Complex Networks. *Scientific Reports*, **3**, 1613. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01613
- [17] Traag, V.A. and Dooren, V.P. (2011) Narrow Scope for Resolution-Limit-Free Community Detection.
- [18] Traag, V.A., Krings, G. and Dooren, V.P. (2015) Significant Scales in Community Structure. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 29-30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02930</u>
- [19] Arzucan, O., Thuy, V., Erkan, G. and Radev, D.R. (2008) Identifying Gene-Disease Associations Using Centrality on a Literature Mined Gene-Interaction Network.

Bioinformatics, 24, i277-i285. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn182

- [20] Albert, R. and Barabasi, A.L. (2002) Statistical Mechanics of Complex Networks. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74, 47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.47</u>
- [21] Barabasi, A.L. and Oltvai, Z.N. (2004) Network Biology: Understanding the Cells Functional Organization. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 5, 101-113. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1272
- [22] Clauset, A., Shalizi, C.R. and Newman, M.E.J. (2009) Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. SIAM Review. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 51, 661-703. https://doi.org/10.1137/070710111
- [23] Ravasz, E. and Barabsi, A.L. (2003) Hierarchical Organization in Complex Networks. *Physical Review E*, 67, Article ID: 026112. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.026112
- [24] Romualdo, P.S., Alexei, V. and Alessandro, V. (2001) Dynamical and Correlation Properties of the Internet. *Physical Review Letters*, 87, Article ID: 258701. <u>https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.258701</u>
- [25] Shazia, N., Kalaiarasan, P., Singh, R.K.B., Husain, M. and Bamezai, R.N.K. (2015) Apoptosis Regulatory Protein-Protein Interaction Demonstrates Hierarchical Scale-Free Fractal Network. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, **16**, 675-699.
- [26] Shazia, N., Kalaiarasan, P., Husain, M., Singh, R.K.B. and Bamezai, R.N.K. (2016) Identification of Key Regulators and Their Controlling Mechanism in a Combinatorial Apoptosis Network: A Systems Biology Approach. *Molecular BioSystems*, 12, 3357-3369. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C6MB00526H</u>
- [27] Brandes, U. (2001) A Faster Algorithm for Betweenness Centrality. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 25, 163-177. https://doi.org/10.1080/0022250X.2001.9990249
- [28] Bonacich, P. (1987) Power and Centrality: A Family of Measures. American Journal of Sociology, 92, 1170-1182. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/228631</u>
- [29] Cereda, M., Gennaro, G., Lorena, B., Fabio, I., Dominic, P., *et al.* (2016) Patients with Genetically Heterogeneous Synchronous Colorectal Cancer Carry Rare Damaging Germline Mutations in Immune-Related Genes. *Nature Communications*, 7, Article No. 12072. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12072</u>
- [30] Dorota, S., Valerie, S., Greco, M., Paul, M. and Martin, P. (2009) The Hepatitis Delta Virus RNA Genome Interacts with eEF1A1, p54nrb, hnRNP-L, GAPDH and ASF/SF2. *Virology*, **390**, 71-78.
- [31] Malik, M.Z., Ali, S., Alam, M.J., Ishrat, R. and Singh, R.B. (2015) Dynamics of p53 and Wnt Cross Talk. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, 59, 55-66.
- [32] Malik, M.Z., Ali, S., Singh, S.S., Ishrat, R. and Singh, R.B. (2017) Dynamical States, Possibilities and Propagation of Stress Signal. *Scientific Reports*, 7, Article No. 40596. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40596</u>
- [33] Malik, M.Z., Alam, M.J., Ishrat, R., Agarwal, S.M. and Singh, R.B. (2017) Control of Apoptosis by SMAR1. *Molecular BioSystems*, 13, 350-362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C6MB00525J</u>
- [34] Renauver, K., Menon, R., Svensson, M.A., Carlsson, J., Vogel, W., Andrn, O., Nowak, M. and Perner, S. (2014) Prognostic Significance of YWHAZ Expression in Localized Prostate Cancer. *Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases*, 17, 310-314. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/pcan.2014.32</u>
- [35] Iwao, K., Nakamori, S., Kameyama, M., Imaoka, S., Kinoshita, M., Fukui, T., Ishi-

guro, S., Nakamura, Y. and Miyoshi, Y. (1998) Activation of the Catenin Gene by Interstitial Deletions Involving Exon 3 in Primary Colorectal Carcinomas without *Adenomatous polyposis coli* Mutations. *Cancer Research*, **58**, 1021-1026.

- [36] Chen, P.H., Chang, H., Chang, J.T. and Lin, P. (2012) Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor in Association with RelA Modulates IL-6 Expression in Non-Smoking Lung Cancer. *Oncogene*, **31**, 2555-2565. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2011.438</u>
- [37] Huang, C.W., Lin, C.Y., Huang, H.Y., Liu, H.W., Chen, Y.J., Shih, D.F., Chen, H.Y., Juan, C.C., Ker, C.G., Huang, C.Y.F. and Li, C.F. (2010) CKS1B Overexpression Implicates Clinical Aggressiveness of Hepatocellular Carcinomas but Notp27Kip1 Protein Turnover: An Independent Prognosticator with Potential p27Kip1-Independent Oncogenic Attributes. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, **17**, 907-922. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-009-0779-8
- [38] Wei, T., Li, D., Marcial, D., Khan, M., Lin, M.H., Snape, N., Ghildyal, R., Harrich, D. and Spann, K. (2014) The Eukaryoticelongation Factor 1A Is Critical for Genome Replication of the Paramyxovirus Respiratory Syncytial Virus. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e114447. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114447</u>
- [39] Ramocki, M.B., Bartnik, M., Szafranski, P., Koodziejska, K.E., Xia, Z., Bravo, J., Miller, G.S., Rodriguez, D.L., Williams, C.A., Bader, P.I. and Szczepanik, E. (2010) Recurrent Distal 7q11.23 Deletions Including HIP1 and YWHAG Identified in Patients with Intellectual Disabilities, Epilepsy, and Neurobehavioral Problems. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 87, 857-865.
- [40] Chang, W.S., Ke, H.L., Tsai, C.W., Lien, C.S., Liao, W.L., Lin, H.H., Lee, M.H., Wu, H.C., Chang, C.H., Chen, C.C. and Lee, H.Z. (2012) The Role of XRCC6 T-991C Functional Polymorphism in Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Anticancer Research*, 32, 3855-3860.
- [41] Stratton, M.R. and Futreal, P.A. (2004) Cancer: Understanding the Target. *Nature*, 430, 30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/430030a</u>
- [42] Gkmen-Polar, Y., Neelamraju, Y., Goswami, C.P., Gu, X., Nallamothu, G., Janga, S.C. and Badve, S. (2015) Expression Levels of SF3B3 Correlate with Prognosis and Endocrine Resistance in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer. *Modern Pathology*, 28, 677-685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2014.146</u>
- [43] Doherty, L., Sheen, M.R., Vlachos, A., Choesmel, V., O'Donohue, M.F., Clinton, C., Schneider, H.E. and Sieff, C.A. (2010) New Burger, P.E., Ball, S.E. and Niewiadomska, E., Ribosomal Protein Genes RPS10 and RPS26 Are Commonly Mutated in Diamond-Blackfan Anemia. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 86, 222-228.
- [44] Hope, N.R. and Murray, G.I. (2011) The Expression Profile of RNA-Binding Proteins in Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Relationship of Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoproteins with Prognosis. *Human Pathology*, 42, 393-402.
- [45] Wu, Q., Gou, Y., Wang, Q., Jin, H., Cui, L., Zhang, Y., He, L., Wang, J., Nie, Y., Shi, Y. and Fan, D. (2011) Downregulation of RPL6 by siRNA Inhibits Proliferation and Cell Cycle Progression of Human Gastric Cancer Cell Lines. *PLoS ONE*, 6, e26401. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026401
- [46] Kadam, P.D. and Chuan, H.H. (2016) Erratum to: Rectocutaneous Fistula with Transmigration of the Suture: A Rare Delayed Complication of Vault Fixation with the Sacrospinous Ligament. *International Urogynecology Journal*, 27, 505. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-016-2952-5</u>
- [47] Falini, B., Mecucci, C., Tiacci, E., Alcalay, M., Rosati, R., et al. (2005) Cytoplasmic Nucleophosmin in Acute Myelogenous Leukemia with a Normal Karyotype. New

England Journal of Medicine, 352, 254-266. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa041974

- [48] Gazda, H.T., Preti, M., Sheen, M.R., O'Donohue, M.F., Vlachos, A., Davies, S.M., et al. (2012) Frameshift Mutation in p53 Regulator RPL26 Is Associated with Multiple Physical Abnormalities and a Specific Preribosomal RNA Processing Defect in Diamond Blackfan Anemia. *Human Mutation*, **33**, 1037-1044. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.22081
- [49] Lohr, J.G., Stojanov, P., Lawrence, M.S., Auclair, D., Chapuy, B., Sougnez, C., Cruz-Gordillo, P., Knoechel, B., Asmann, Y.W., Slager, S.L. and Novak, A.J. (2012) Discovery and Prioritization of Somatic Mutations in Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL) by Whole-Exome Sequencing. *PNAS*, **109**, 3879-3884. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1121343109

🔆 Scientific Research Publishing

Submit or recommend next manuscript to SCIRP and we will provide best service for you:

Accepting pre-submission inquiries through Email, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, etc. A wide selection of journals (inclusive of 9 subjects, more than 200 journals) Providing 24-hour high-quality service User-friendly online submission system Fair and swift peer-review system Efficient typesetting and proofreading procedure Display of the result of downloads and visits, as well as the number of cited articles Maximum dissemination of your research work

Submit your manuscript at: <u>http://papersubmission.scirp.org/</u> Or contact <u>jbm@scirp.org</u>