

# $(f, p)$ -Asymptotically Lacunary Equivalent Sequences with Respect to the Ideal $I$

Tunay Bilgin

Department of Mathematics, Education Faculty, Yuzuncu Yil University, Van, Turkey  
Email: [tbilgin@yyu.edu.tr](mailto:tbilgin@yyu.edu.tr)

Received 6 October 2014; accepted 27 September 2015; published 30 September 2015

Copyright © 2015 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.  
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

In this study, we define  $(f, p)$ -Asymptotically Lacunary Equivalent Sequences with respect to the ideal  $I$  using a non-trivial ideal  $I \subset P(N)$ , a lacunary sequence  $\theta = (k_r)$ , a strictly positive sequence  $p = (p_k)$ , and a modulus function  $f$ , and obtain some relevant connections between these notions.

## Keywords

Asymptotically Equivalence, Ideal Convergence, Lacunary Sequence, Modulus Function, Statistically Limit

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $s, \ell_\infty, c$  denote the spaces of all real sequences, bounded, and convergent sequences, respectively. Any subspace of  $s$  is called a sequence space.

Following Freedman *et al.* [1], we call the sequence  $\theta = (k_r)$  lacunary if it is an increasing sequence of integers such that  $k_0 = 0, h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $q_r = k_r/k_{r-1}$ . These notations will be used throughout the paper. The sequence space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences  $N_\theta$  was defined by Freedman *et al.* [1], as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_i) \in s : \lim_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i - s| = 0 \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

The notion of modulus function was introduced by Nakano [2]. We recall that a modulus  $f$  is a function from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that 1)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ , 2)  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ , for  $x, y \geq 0$ , 3)  $f$  is increasing and 4)  $f$  is continuous from the right at 0. Hence  $f$  must be continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Connor [3], Kolk [4], Maddox [5], Öztürk and Bilgin [6], Pehlivan and Fisher [7], Ruckle [8] and others used a modulus function to construct sequence spaces.

Marouf presented definitions for asymptotically equivalent sequences and asymptotic regular matrices in [9]. Patterson extended these concepts by presenting an asymptotically statistical equivalent analog of these definitions and natural regularity conditions for nonnegative summability matrices in [10]. Subsequently, many authors have shown their interest to solve different problems arising in this area (see [11]-[13]).

The concept of I-convergence was introduced by Kostyrko *et al.* in a metric space [14]. Later it was further studied by Dass *et al.* [15], Dems [16], Savas and Gumus [17], Kumar and Sharma [18], Kumar and Mursaleen [19] and many others.

Recently, Bilgin [20] used modulus function to define some notions of asymptotically equivalent sequences and studied some of their connections. Kumar and Sharma extended these concepts by presenting a non-trivial ideal  $I$

This paper presents introduce some new notions,  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$ , strong  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$ , and strong  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  which is a natural combination of the definition for asymptotically equivalent, a non-trivial ideal  $I$ , Lacunary sequence, a strictly positive sequence  $p = (p_k)$ , and Modulus function. In addition to these definitions, we obtain some revelent connections between these notions.

## 2. Definitions and Notations

Now we recall some definitions of sequence spaces (see [2] [4]-[6] [15], and [20]-[25]).

**Definition 2.1.** A sequence  $[x]$  is statistically convergent to  $L$  if

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0 \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ (denoted by } st - \lim x = L),$$

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the

Enclosed set.

**Definition 2.2.** A sequence  $[x]$  is strongly(Cesaro) summable to  $L$  if  $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - L| = 0$ , (denoted by

$w - \lim x = L$ ).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $f$  be any modulus; the sequence  $[x]$  is strongly (Cesaro) summable to  $L$  with respect to a modulus if  $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(|x_k - L|) = 0$ , (denoted by  $w_f - \lim x = L$ ).

**Definition 2.4.** Two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be asymptotically equivalent if  $\lim_k \frac{x_k}{y_k} = 1$ , (denoted by  $x \sim y$ ).

**Definition 2.5.** Two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0$ , (denoted by  $x \overset{s}{\sim} y$ ) and simply asymptotically statistical equivalent, if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strong asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| = 0 \text{ (denoted by } x \overset{w}{\sim} y) \text{ and simply strong asymptotically equivalent, if } L = 1.$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence; the two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0, \text{ (denoted by } x \overset{s_\theta}{\sim} y) \text{ and simply asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent, if } L = 1.$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence; the two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be

strong asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that  $\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| = 0$  (denoted by  $x \overset{N_\theta}{\sim} y$ ) and simply strong asymptotically lacunary equivalent, if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $f$  be any modulus; the two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that,

$$\lim_k f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) = 0 \text{ (denoted by } x \overset{f}{\sim} y \text{) and simply strong } f\text{-asymptotically equivalent, if } L = 1.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $f$  be any modulus; the two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strong  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that,  $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) = 0$  (denoted by  $x \overset{w_f}{\sim} y$ ) and simply strong  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent, if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $f$  be any modulus and  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence; the two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strong  $f$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple  $L$  provided that

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) = 0 \text{ (denoted by } x \overset{N_{\theta, f}}{\sim} y \text{) and simply strong } f\text{-asymptotically lacunary equivalent, if } L = 1.$$

For any non-empty set  $X$ , let  $P(X)$  denote the power set of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.12.** A family  $I \subseteq P(X)$  is said to be an ideal in  $X$  if

- 1)  $\emptyset \in I$ ;
- 2)  $A, B \in I$  imply  $A \cup B \in I$  and
- 3)  $A \in I, B \subset A$  imply  $B \in I$ .

**Definition 2.13.** A non-empty family  $F \subseteq P(X)$  is said to be a filter in  $X$  if

- 1)  $\emptyset \notin F$ ;
- 2)  $A, B \in F$  imply  $A \cap B \in F$  and
- 3)  $A \in F, B \supset A$  imply  $B \in F$ .

An ideal  $I$  is said to be non-trivial if  $I \neq \{\emptyset\}$  and  $X \notin I$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I$  is called admissible if it contains all the singleton sets. Moreover, if  $I$  is a non-trivial ideal on  $X$ , then  $F = F(I) = \{X - A : A \in I\}$  is a filter on  $X$  and conversely. The filter  $F(I)$  is called the filter associated with the ideal  $I$ .

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$  and  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. A sequence  $[x]$  in  $X$  is said to be  $I$ -convergent to  $\xi$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{k \in N : \rho(x_k, \xi) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ .

In this case, we write  $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \xi$ .

**Definition 2.15.** A sequence  $[x]$  of numbers is said to be  $I$ -statistical convergent or  $S(I)$ -convergent to  $L$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we have

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in I.$$

In this case, we write  $x_k \rightarrow L(S(I))$  or  $S(I) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = L$ .

**Definition 2.16** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ . The two non-negative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strongly asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.$$

denoted by  $x \overset{I(w)}{\sim} y$  and simply strongly asymptotically equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$  and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. The two nonnegative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\text{and } \gamma > 0, \left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \gamma \right\} \in I$$

denoted by  $x \overset{I(S_\theta)}{\sim} y$  and simply asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.18.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$  and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. The two non-negative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strongly asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I$$

denoted by  $x \overset{I(N_\theta)}{\sim} y$  and simply strongly asymptotically lacunary equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.19.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$  and  $f$  be a modulus function. The two non-negative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ k \in N; f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I$$

denoted by  $x \overset{I(f)}{\sim} y$  and simply  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.20.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$  and  $f$  be a modulus function. The two non-negative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strongly  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I$$

denoted by  $x \overset{I(w_f)}{\sim} y$  and simply strongly  $f$ -asymptotically equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

**Definition 2.21.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. The two non-negative sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strongly  $f$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I$$

denoted by  $x \overset{I(N'_\theta)}{\sim} y$  and simply strongly  $f$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

### 3. Main Results

We now consider our main results. We begin with the following definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function, and  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers. Two number sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strongly  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I$$

denoted by  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$  and simply strongly  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

If we take  $f(x) = x$  for  $x \geq 0$ , we write  $x \sim_{I(w^p)} y$  instead of  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$  and simply strongly  $p$ -asymptotically equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

If we take  $p_k = p$  for all  $k \in N$ , we write  $x \sim_{I(w^{f^p})} y$  instead of  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, and  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers. Two number sequences  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  are said to be strongly  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple  $L$  with respect to the ideal  $I$  provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \text{ denoted by } x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y \text{ and simply strongly } (f, p)\text{-asymptotically}$$

lacunary equivalent with respect to the ideal  $I$ , if  $L = 1$ .

If we take  $p_k = p$  for all  $k \in N$ , we write  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{f^p})} y$  instead of  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$

Note that, we put  $p = 1$ , we write  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^f)} y$  instead of  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$ . Hence  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^f)} y$  is the same as the  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^f)} y$  of Kumar and Sharma [15]. Also if we put  $f(x) = x$  for  $x \geq 0$ , we write  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^p)} y$  instead of  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$ . Hence  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^p)} y$  is the same as the  $x \sim_{N_\theta^{(p)}(I)} y$  of Savas and Gumus [25]

We start this section with the following theorem to show that the relation between  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalence and strong  $p$ -asymptotically equivalence with respect to the ideal  $I$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ , then

- 1) if  $x \sim_{I(w^p)} y$  then  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$ , and
- 2) if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta > 0$ , then  $x \sim_{I(w^p)} y \Leftrightarrow x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$ .

**Proof.** Part 1): Let  $x \sim_{I(w^p)} y$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(u) < \varepsilon$  for every  $u$  with  $0 \leq u \leq \delta$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_1 \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_2 \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

where the first summation is over  $\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \leq \delta$  and the second summation over  $\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| > \delta$ . By definition of  $f$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \max \{ \varepsilon^h, \varepsilon^H \} + \max \left\{ 1, (2f(1)\delta^{-1})^H \right\} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right]^{p_k}$$

Thus,

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon - \max \{ \varepsilon^h, \varepsilon^H \}}{\max \{ 1, (2f(1)\delta^{-1})^H \}} \right\}.$$

Since  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$ , it follows the later set, and hence, the first set in above expression belongs to  $I$ . This proves

that  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$

Part 2): If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta > 0$ , then  $f(t) \geq \beta t$  for all  $t > 0$ . Let  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$ , clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \beta \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right]^{p_k} \geq \min \{ \beta^h, \beta^H \} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \min \{ \beta^h, \beta^H \} \right\}$$

Since  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$ , it follows that the later set belongs to  $I$ , and therefore, the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ , then

- 1) if  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^p)} y$  then  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$ , and
- 2) if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta > 0$ , then  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^p)} y \Leftrightarrow x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$ .

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 3.2 is very similar to the Theorem 3.1. Then, we omit it.

The next theorem shows the relationship between the strong  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalence and the strong  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalence with respect to the ideal  $I$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then

- 1) if  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$  then  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$  implies  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$ ;
- 2) if  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$  then  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y$  implies  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$ ;
- 3) if  $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then  $x \sim_{I(w^{(f,p)})} y \Leftrightarrow x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$ .

**Proof.** Part (i): If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$  then there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $q_r < K$  for every  $r$ . Now suppose that  $x \sim_{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$A = \left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon \right\}$$

Hence, for all  $j \in A$  we have  $H_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{k \in I_j} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n$  be any integer with  $k_r \geq n > k_{r-1}$ .

Now write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{k \in I_m} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=1}^r \frac{k_m - k_{m-1}}{h_m} \sum_{k \in I_m} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{m=1}^r (k_m - k_{m-1}) \sup_{j \in A} H_j \\ & = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \sup_{j \in A} H_j = q_r \sup_{j \in A} H_j < K\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for any  $\varepsilon' > 0$ ,  $\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon' \right\} \in F(I)$

which yields that  $x \stackrel{I(w^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$ . Because for any set  $A \in F(I)$ ,  $\bigcup \{n : k_{r-1} < n < k_r, r \in A\} \in F(I)$ .

Part (ii): Let  $x \stackrel{I(w^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$  and  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r = (k_r/k_{r-1}) \geq 1 + \delta$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . We have, for sufficiently large  $r$ , that  $(k_r/h_r) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$  and  $(k_{r-1}/h_r) \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and define the set

$$A = \left\{ k_r \in N; \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We have  $A \in F(I)$ , which is the filter of the ideal  $I$ . For each  $k_r \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} & = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & = \frac{k_r}{k_r h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} - \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r k_{r-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{k_r}{k_r h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \left( \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \right) \varepsilon = \varepsilon' \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for any  $\varepsilon' > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon' \right\} \in F(I) \text{ which yields that } x \stackrel{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$$

Part (iii): This immediately follows from (i) and (ii).

Now we give relation between asymptotically statistical equivalence and strong  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically equivalence with respect to the ideal  $I$ . Also we give relation between asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalence and strong  $(f, p)$ -asymptotically lacunary equivalence with respect to the ideal  $I$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ , then

- 1) if  $x \stackrel{I(w^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$  then  $x \stackrel{I(S)}{\sim} y$ ,
- 2) if  $f$  is bounded then  $x \stackrel{I(S)}{\sim} y \Leftrightarrow x \stackrel{I(w^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$ .

**Proof.** Part 1): Suppose  $x \stackrel{I(w^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\sum_1$  denote the sum over  $k \leq n$  with  $\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon$

then we can write

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_1 \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \min \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

Consequently, for any  $\gamma > 0$ , we have

$$\left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \gamma \right\} \stackrel{I(S)}{\subseteq} \left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \gamma \min \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \} \right\} \in I. \quad \text{Therefore we have } x \stackrel{I(S)}{\sim} y$$

- 2) Suppose  $f$  is bounded and  $x \stackrel{I(S)}{\sim} y$ . Since  $f$  is bounded, there exists an integer  $T$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq T$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

Moreover, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , We split the sum for  $k \leq n$  into sums over  $\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon$  and  $\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \max \{ T^h, T^H \} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \max \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \} \left| \left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

Consequently, we have

$$\subseteq \left\{ n \in N; \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon - \max \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \}}{\max \{ T^h, T^H \}} \right\} \in I.$$

Therefore we have  $x \stackrel{I(w^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ , then

- 1) if  $x \stackrel{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$  then  $x \stackrel{I(S_\theta)}{\sim} y$ ,
- 2) if  $f$  is bounded then  $x \stackrel{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y \Leftrightarrow x \stackrel{I(S_\theta)}{\sim} y$ .

**Proof.** Part 1): Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\sum_1$  denote the sum over  $k \in I_r$  with  $\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_1 \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \min \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \} \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|,$$



$$\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \left[ \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \geq \gamma \right\}$$

and

$$\subseteq \left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \gamma \min \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \} \right\} \in I.$$

But then, by definition of an ideal, later

set belongs to  $I$ , and therefore  $x \sim^{I(S_\theta)} y$

Part 2): Suppose that  $f$  is bounded and  $x \sim^{I(S_\theta)} y$ . Since  $f$  is bounded, there exists an integer  $T$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq T$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . We see that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \max \{ T^h, T^H \} \frac{1}{h_r} \left[ \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right] + \max \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \},$$

so we have

$$\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\subseteq \left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \left[ \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \geq \frac{\varepsilon - \max \{ f(\varepsilon)^h, f(\varepsilon)^H \}}{\max \{ T^h, T^H \}} \right\} \in I$$

Therefore we have  $x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$

Let  $p_k = p$  for all  $k$ ,  $t_k = t$  for all  $k$  and  $0 < p \leq t$ . Then it follows following Theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function, and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, then

$$x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f)})} y \text{ implies } x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y,$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f)})} y$ . It follows from Holder's inequality

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^p \leq \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^t \right)^{p/t}$$

and  $\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^p \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^t \geq \varepsilon^{t/p} \right\} \in I$ . Thus we have  $x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$

We now consider that  $(p_k)$  and  $(t_k)$  are not constant sequences.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $I \subset P(N)$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $N$ ,  $f$  be a modulus function,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $0 < p_k \leq t_k$  for all  $k$  and  $(t_k/p_k)$  be bounded, then  $x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f,t)})} y$  implies  $x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})} y$

**Proof.** Let  $x \sim^{I(N_\theta^{(f,t)})} y$ .  $z_k = \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{t_k}$  and  $\lambda_k = (p_k/t_k)$ , so that  $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$ : We define the sequences  $(u_k)$  and  $(v_k)$  as follows: For  $z_k \geq 1$ ; let  $u_k = z_k$  and  $v_k = 0$  and for  $z_k < 1$ ; let  $v_k = z_k$  and  $u_k = 0$ . Then we have  $z_k = u_k + v_k$ ;  $z_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ . Now it follows that  $u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq u_k \leq z_k$  and  $v_k^{\lambda_k} \leq v_k$ . Therefore

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k^{\lambda_k} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} (u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}) \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k^{\lambda_k}$$

Now for each  $r$ ;

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k^{\lambda_k} = \sum_{k \in I_r} \left( \frac{1}{h_r} v_k \right)^{\lambda_k} \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \right)^{1-\lambda_k} \leq \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{h_r} v_k \right)^{\lambda_k} \right]^{1/\lambda_k} \right)^{\lambda_k} \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \right)^{1-\lambda_k} \right]^{1/(1-\lambda_k)} \right)^{1-\lambda_k} < \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k \right)^{\lambda_k} \text{ and so}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k^{\lambda_k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k + \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k \right)^{\lambda_k} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k, & z_k \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k + \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k \right)^{\lambda_k}, & z_k < 1 \end{cases} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k, & z_k \geq 1 \\ 2 \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} z_k \right)^{\lambda_k}, & z_k < 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon$  then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon, & z_k \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{1/\lambda_k}, & z_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ r \in N; \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \min \left\{ \varepsilon, \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{1/\lambda_k} \right\} \right\} \in I$ .

Thus we have  $x \stackrel{I(N_\theta^{(f,p)})}{\sim} y$

### Acknowledgements

The work is supported by the Presidency of scientific Research Projects of Yuzuncu Yil University (No. KONGRE-2014/94) and is presented at 3rd International Eurasian Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Applications (IECMSA-2014).

### References

- [1] Freedman, A.R, Sember, J.J. and Raphael, M. (1978) Some Cesaro-Type Summability Spaces. *Proceedings London Mathematical Society*, **37**, 508-520. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-37.3.508>
- [2] Nakano, H. (1953) Concave Modularity. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **5**, 29-49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00510029>
- [3] Connor, J.S. (1989) On Strong Matrix Summability with Respect to a Modulus and Statistical Convergence. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, **32**, 194-198. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1989-029-3>
- [4] Kolk, E. (1993) On Strong Boundedness and Summability with Respect to a Sequence Moduli. *Tartu Ülikooli Toimetised*, **960**, 41-50.
- [5] Maddox, I.J. (1986) Sequence Spaces Defined by a Modulus. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **100**, 161-166. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100065968>
- [6] Öztürk, E. and Bilgin, T. (1994) Strongly Summable Sequence Spaces Defined by a Modulus. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **25**, 621-625.

- [7] Pehlivan, S. and Fisher, B. (1994) On Some Sequence Spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **25**, 1067-1071.
- [8] Ruckle, W.H (1973) FK Spaces in Which the Sequence of Coordinate Vectors Is Bounded. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, **25**, 973-978. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1973-102-9>
- [9] Marouf, M. (1993) Asymptotic Equivalence and Summability. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **16**, 755-762. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S0161171293000948>
- [10] Patterson, R.F. (2003) On Asymptotically Statistically Equivalent Sequences. *Demonstratio Mathematica*, **36**, 149-153.
- [11] Metin, B. and Selma, A. (2008) On  $\delta$ -Lacunary Statistical Asymptotically Equivalent Sequences. *Filomat*, **22**, 161-172. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/FIL0801161B>
- [12] Basarir, M. and Altundag, S. (2011) On Asymptotically Equivalent Difference Sequences with Respect to a Modulus Function. *Ricerche di Matematica*, **60**, 299-311. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11587-011-0106-0>
- [13] Patterson, R.F. and Savas, E. (2006) On Asymptotically Lacunary Statistically Equivalent Sequences. *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, **4**, 267-272.
- [14] Kostyrko, P., Salat, T. and Wilczynski, W. (2001) I-Convergence. *Real Analysis Exchange*, **26**, 669-686.
- [15] Das, P., Savas, E. and Ghosal, S. (2011) On Generalizations of Certain Summability Methods Using Ideals. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **24**, 1509-1514. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.03.036>
- [16] Dems, K. (2004) On I-Cauchy Sequences. *Real Analysis Exchange*, **30**, 123-128.
- [17] Savas, E. and Gumus, H. (2013) A Generalization on  $I$ -Asymptotically Lacunary Statistical Equivalent Sequences. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2013**, 270.
- [18] Kumar, V. and Sharma, A. (2012) Asymptotically Lacunary Equivalent Sequences Defined by Ideals and Modulus Function. *Mathematical Sciences*, **6**, 1-5.
- [19] Kumar, V. and Mursaleen, M. (2003) On Ideal Analogue of Asymptotically Lacunary Statistical Equivalence of Sequences. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, **36**, 109-119.
- [20] Bilgin, T. (2011)  $f$ -Asymptotically Lacunary Equivalent Sequences. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, **28**, 271-278.
- [21] Connor, J.S. (1988) The Statistical and Strong  $p$ -Cesaro Convergence of Sequences. *Analysis*, **8**, 47-63. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1524/anly.1988.8.12.47>
- [22] Fast, H. (1951) Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloquium Mathematicae*, **2**, 241-244.
- [23] Fridy, J.A. (1985) On Statistical Convergence. *Analysis*, **5**, 301-313. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301>
- [24] Fridy, J.A. and Orhan, C. (1993) Lacunary Statistical Convergent. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **160**, 43-51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1993.160.43>
- [25] Fridy, J.A. and Orhan, C. (1993) Lacunary Statistical Summability. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **173**, 497-504. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1082>