

# Evaluation of Effectiveness of Diode Laser System (808 nm) versus Intense Pulse Light (IPL) in the Management of Unwanted Hair: A Split Face Comparative Study

Hayder R. AL-Hamamy<sup>1</sup>, Anmar Z. Saleh<sup>2</sup>, Zahra A. Rashed<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology and Venereology, College of Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Physics, College of Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Email: \*[arzahra2010@yahoo.com](mailto:arzahra2010@yahoo.com)

Received 29 December 2014; accepted 19 January 2015; published 27 January 2015

Copyright © 2015 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

We have evaluated and compared the effectiveness of diode laser system (808 nm) and Intense Pulse Light (IPL) system (690 - 1200 nm) by studying the change in hair density, and the time of regrowth the hair. A total of 26 have completed three months' treatment, aged 16 - 50 years. Patients were treated by diode laser 808 nm and IPL 690 - 1200 nm devices. Pulse repetition rate for both was 1 Hz and short pulse width for diode laser and the IPL pulse width was 15 ms. The fluence was (33 - 41 and 30 - 41) J/cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. A split face treatment method was used. The treatment was carried out on monthly bases for three months. Hair density and regrowth duration were recorded before and after each session. After the third session, the hair density was decreased by (58%) for diode laser versus (49%) for IPL  $p = 0.366$ . The time of hair regrowth was increased to (327%) for diode laser versus IPL (339%)  $p = 0.821$ . Pain appeared more during the treatment with IPL than with diode laser. Post treatment side effects such as erythema, hyper pigmentation, and burn appeared on patients treated with diode laser more than those treated with IPL. In conclusion both diode laser and IPL were effective in reduction of hair density, and prolongation of the time of hair regrowth.

## Keywords

Unwanted Facial Hair, Diode Laser, IPL

---

\*Corresponding author.

## 1. Introduction

Some people complained from unwanted excess of hair and from hair grown in abnormal sites of the body that causes embarrassment for them [1]. Many reasons cause unwanted hair growth, for example, genetic, systematic disease, or drug reactions [2]. Excessive hair was categorized as either hirsutism [3] or hypertrichosis depending on the cause [4] [5].

Many methods were used for unwanted hair epilation. They are mainly divided into two categories, either temporary or permanent. The temporary methods include threading [6], bleaching [7], shaving, waxing and plucking, while permanent methods include electrolysis [8], laser and IPL [9].

Nowadays, laser and IPL are considered the best and the most effective methods for epilating the unwanted hair with fewer side effects [10]. Many laser systems have been devised including the normal mode and long-pulsed such as ruby lasers (694 nm) [11], the long-pulsed alexandrite lasers (755 nm), the diode laser systems (800 - 810 nm) [12], the Q-switched Nd: YAG laser system (1064 nm) [13], and non-laser light source the intense pulsed light (IPL) which gives a broad range spectrum between (500 - 1200 nm) [14]. Many papers reported the characteristics of laser and (IPL), others have compared between the two modalities [15].

## 2. Materials and Methods

The study conducted at a private clinic in Al-Karrada district, Baghdad, Iraq in the period from January to November in 2013.

A total of 26 patients participated in the study and completed three month treatments. Their age ranged between 16 - 50 years with (average  $\pm$  SD)  $29.95 \pm 8.05$  years. Patients attending the clinic during the specified time of the study were included. Hormonal evaluation was performed. Any patient with a suspected hormonal cause of hirsutism was excluded. Only patients with familial and racial hirsutism are included. Laser treatment was carried by using diode laser 808 nm generated by a diode laser device (Epilogue supplied by Class IV Interface); spot size  $10 \times 12 \text{ mm}^2$ . The device was set as short pulse (3.2 ms), pulse rate (1 Hz) and the fluence range from (33 - 41 J/cm<sup>2</sup>).

The IPL device used in this study was obtained from (Quanta Electronics Co.). The wavelength (690 - 1200 nm), spot size  $8 \times 40 \text{ mm}^2$ , with a pulse duration of 15 ms, pulse rate 1 Hz, and fluence 30 to 41 J/cm<sup>2</sup>. A split face method was used. After explaining the procedure to the patient. The patient was instructed to lie on a comfortable couch, under good light, goggles were worn by the patient, a gel applied to the area to be treated. Diode laser was applied first at right side of the face. All area was treated in a sequence with minor overlap in adjacent areas. The fluence was increased gradually with the patient pain tolerance, the fluence is increased slightly. After treating the right side of the face, the patient was moved to the IPL device and the left side was treated in the same manner **Figure 1**. Ice cold gel was used before and after treatment completion for about 10 minutes. The patient was instructed to rest for a few minutes and avoid sunlight for the next two days. The next visit was scheduled 1 month later. The patient was told to record any side effects and to call the doctor if she needed. The number of sessions depends on the response. Usually no more sessions were scheduled while a few fine hair remains.

All the patients were evaluated before the treatment and at each visit; the following observations were recorded:



**Figure 1.** Patient with age 30 years old (a): pretreatment (b): after three sessions.

## 2.1. Hair Density

The number of hair in one square was calculated, for this purpose a square was drawn on a transparent paper which has an area of one square centimeter. This transparent paper was applied on the skin. A digital camera (Sony 18.2 Mega Pixels) was used to take photographs of this area, and the number of hairs inside it were counted.

## 2.2. Time of Regrowth the Hair

The patient was instructed to epilate the hair after the treatment, and records the interval of time from the hair epilation until the hair appear and became cosmetically unacceptable necessitate a second hair epilation was recorded.

## 2.3. Patient's Satisfaction

Patient opinion with the results of treatment was recorded at each visit as fully satisfied, satisfied or unsatisfied.

## 2.4. Side Effects

Side effects were recorded immediately after treatment such as pain, itching, burning, erythema, and swelling. The patient was also asked about side effect from previous treatment, long term side effect such as hypo or hyper-pigmentation and scar.

### Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as numbers, percent, range and average  $\pm$  SD. The data were analyzed using excel program taking two tailed, "t" test, the probability level  $\leq 0.05$  as the lowest limit of significant difference between percentages and simple correlation test has also been calculated.

## 3. Results

The hair density was decreased after each session. For diode laser it decreased by 30%, 45% and 58% after the first, second, and third session, respectively compared with IPL in which the hair density was decreased by 38%, 44% and 49% after the first, second, and third, respectively **Table 1**. The reduction of hair density resulted from the two modalities after third session was not significant P-value  $> 0.366$ . **Figure 2** showing the decrease in hair density after three treatments for each modality.

The period of hair regrowth was increased at subsequent sessions. The increase in the regrowth duration after diode laser treatment was  $11.19 \pm 5.83$ ,  $15.85 \pm 7.02$ , and  $19.54 \pm 9.07$  days for the first, second, and third session, respectively and after IPL treatment was  $12.12 \pm 6.49$ ,  $16.73 \pm 7.62$ , and  $20.12 \pm 9.23$  days, respectively **Table 2**, **Figure 3**. The difference in hair diameter was not significant, p-value (0.821).

Patient satisfaction was shown in histogram **Figure 4**. After the first session (35%) preferred diode laser and (65%) preferred IPL. After second session (35%) preferred diode laser, while (65%) preferred IPL. After third session (31%) preferred diode laser, while (69%) preferred IPL. Many reasons found for preferring the patients each type of devices. Some of them relied on the treatment outcome, others preferred IPL because of the short treatment time and some of them preferred the less side effects.

It appears to be that diode laser treatment gives more side effect than what IPL. Such results can be drawn from the overall side effect observed for the three sessions collectively and for each side effect **Table 3**. The hyperpigmentation (4, 2), and burn (9, 4) were higher in diode laser than the IPL. In contrast the number of patients felling pain is significantly higher with IPL (27) treatment than those treated with diode laser (19), while side effect of swelling is the same (3, 3) and for erythema is about the same except the difference in the second session **Table 3**.

## 4. Discussion

Intense Pulse Light and diode laser hair reduction procedures depend on the principle of selective photothermolysis [16]. This involves using a wavelength that is specifically absorbed by the chromophore (melanin in hair follicle) [17]. In our work we have used diode laser wavelength (808 nm) is absorbed by melanin, and IPL with filter band width (690 - 1200 nm) is absorbed by melanin and partly with water.

**Table 1.** Average (AV) and standard deviation (SD) of hair density (hair No./cm<sup>2</sup>).

Comparison between Pretreatment and First session						
System type	Pretreatment (AV ± SD)	First session (AV ± SD)	Difference	*P-value	<sup>a</sup> %Reduction	<sup>°</sup> P-value
Diode laser	21.58 ± 11.59	15.04 ± 9.17	6.54	0.00894	30%	0.597
IPL	21.73 ± 14.1	13.58 ± 10.63	8.15	0.00584	38%	
Comparison between Pretreatment and Second session						
System type	Pretreatment (AV ± SD)	Second session (AV ± SD)	Difference	*P-value	<sup>a</sup> %Reduction	<sup>°</sup> P-value
Diode laser	21.58 ± 11.59	11.77 ± 7.55	9.81	0.000172	45%	0.9
IPL	21.73 ± 14.1	12.08 ± 9.99	9.65	0.00691	44%	
Comparison between Pretreatment and Third session						
System type	Pretreatment (AV ± SD)	Third session (AV ± SD)	Difference	*P-value	<sup>a</sup> %Reduction	<sup>°</sup> P-value
Diode laser	21.58 ± 11.59	8.96 ± 6.77	12.62	0.0000214	58%	0.366
IPL	21.73 ± 14.1	11.15 ± 10.19	10.58	0.00277	49%	

<sup>a</sup> Reduction % =  $\frac{\text{difference}}{\text{pretreatment}} \times 100$ . \*P-Value: between sessions for the same system. <sup>°</sup>P-Value: between two systems for each session.

**Table 2.** Average and standard deviation of time of regrowth the hair (day).

Comparison between Pretreatment and First session						
System type	Pretreatment (AV ± SD)	First session (AV ± SD)	Difference	*P-value	<sup>a</sup> % Increasing	<sup>°</sup> P-value
Laser	4.58 ± 3.32	11.19 ± 5.83	6.61	0.000506	144%	0.591
IPL	4.58 ± 3.32	12.12 ± 6.49	7.54	0.000131	164%	
Comparison between Pretreatment and Second session						
System type	Pretreatment (AV ± SD)	Second session (AV ± SD)	Difference	*P-value	<sup>a</sup> % Increasing	<sup>°</sup> P-value
Laser	4.58 ± 3.32	15.85 ± 7.02	11.27	0.00000343	246%	0.665
IPL	4.58 ± 3.32	16.73 ± 7.62	12.15	0.00000273	268%	
Comparison between Pretreatment and Third session						
System type	Pretreatment (AV ± SD)	Third session (AV ± SD)	Difference	*P-value	<sup>a</sup> % Increasing	<sup>°</sup> P-value
Laser	4.58 ± 3.32	19.54 ± 9.07	14.96	0.00000387	327%	0.821
IPL	4.58 ± 3.32	20.12 ± 9.23	15.54	0.00000187	339%	


<sup>a</sup> % increasing =  $\frac{\text{difference}}{\text{pretreatment}} \times 100$ . \*P-Value: between sessions for the same system. <sup>°</sup>P-Value: between two systems for each session.

**Table 3.** Side effect in right and left side.


Side effect	System type	Session No.			Total
		1st session	2nd session	3rd session	
Hyperpigmentation	Diode Laser	3	1	-	4
	IPL	2	-	-	2
Burn	Diode Laser	6	1	2	9
	IPL	4	-	-	4
Swelling	Diode Laser	1	1	1	3
	IPL	1	1	1	3
Erythema	Diode Laser	13	7	5	25
	IPL	13	5	5	23
Pain	Diode Laser	5	10	4	19
	IPL	13	8	6	27



**Figure 2.** Hair density pre and post treatment for patient 28 years old: (a) R-side pretreatment; (b) R-side after three session; (c) L-side pretreatment; (d) L-side after three session.



**Figure 3.** Relation between session No. and average of time of regrowth the hair on R-side and L-side.



**Figure 4.** Patient satisfaction on follow-up (month) for both diode laser and IPL.

In laser and IPL treatment we should be vigilant about the heat increase in the skin. When we apply laser or IPL to the skin electromagnetic energy will be absorbed. We are concerned with three types of chromophore hemoglobin, water, and melanin. The discrimination among their absorption resulting in a better treatment. Upon the entrance of laser or IPL into the skin, it will be absorbed in any of them depending on the wavelengths of laser or IPL [18].

In our treatment we are targeting the melanin *i.e.* we are aiming to deliver the maximum amount of heat to melanin to be transferred to the hair bulb and a consequent hair removal. To perform this task we have to be careful to deliver maximum heat to the hair follicle melanin. Melanin is composed of eumelanin and pheomelanin, different proportion can give different colors [18]. Melanin absorption change gradually with wavelength *i.e.* the slope of its curve on the graph is much less steep than what we can see in the case of hemoglobin or water, so within this wavelength range (700 - 1000 nm) the highest heat absorption will be in melanin and the least will be in water and hemoglobin [19].

The wide range of melanin absorption with a little absorption in the other chromophores has enabled us to use different types of lasers with different wavelengths such as ruby 694 nm, alexandrite 755 nm, diode laser 810 nm, and Nd:YAG 1064 nm also the broad band IPL (500 - 1200) nm. Again, if the treatment is out of this range (700 - 1000) we may get unnecessary skin heating with less benefit in hair removal. Obviously the increase in the concentration of melanin leads to an increase in the laser or IPL absorption [20].

The pulse duration must be less than the thermal relaxation time of the target (melanocytes in hair follicle) [19]. Heat produced into the target must destroy stem cells in hair bulge to induce permanent hair follicle death. The heat produced in hair bulb which contains most of the melanocyte must dissipate to the hair bulge [2].

A more successful treatment with fewer side effects can be achieved if we are able to discriminate between the heat delivery to the epidermis and the hair follicle. The epidermis is protected from the heat produced by laser and IPL by cooling the skin surface which is achieved by applying a cooling gel, also the sapphire contact surface of the hand piece has cooling effect during laser treatment when laser energy is absorbed in the skin. Selecting a longer wavelength will lead to more penetration of laser energy reaching the deeper (hair follicle), this can enable us to accomplish our treatment with the least of side effects [21].

The present study is comparing between the treatment with diode laser and IPL. Results reveal that both modalities are effective in hair reduction. These results are expected because the mechanism of action of diode laser and IPL is the same (destruction of stem cell in hair bulge by the heat produced by the absorption of light energy in melanosomes).

Cameron *et al.* showed that diode laser (810 nm) superior to IPL (625 - 1100 nm). The average hair counts in a 16 cm<sup>2</sup> area before and after treatment were, respectively, 42.4 and 10.4 (laser), 38.1 and 20.4 (IPL), 45.3 and 44.7 (control). His results were different between laser and IPL but both laser and IPL reduced the hair count substantially with respect to control, p-value was significant for laser (P = 0.028), but insignificant for IPL (P = 0.13) [10]. This result differs from that of the present study.

Amin and Goldberg have shown that no difference between diode laser and IPL efficacy. They compared four different hair removal systems a light source (IPL) used with two filters and two types of lasers. 1) IPL red filter (650 - 1200 nm), 2) IPL yellow filter (525 - 1200 nm), 3) diode laser (810 nm), and 4) alexandrite laser (755 nm). The hair counts were reduced by almost 50% after 2 sessions compared with initial value. No statistical difference for all modalities used [22]. The results more comparable to the present study.

Hair removal by laser or IPL resulted from the disability of the generating cells from producing new hair growth. The procedure accomplished by the introducing heat into the area or into the vicinity of the area containing the generating cells (bulge or hair shaft) through several sessions. In each session there is some damage inflicted to the cells, the amount of damage and the treatment outcome depends mainly on the stage of hair growth. Hairs in anagen phase absorb more energy because of the higher melanin content. As a consequence after each session the hair follicles become weaker and weaker. The first sign for damage is appeared on the hair thinning.

Pain during treatment was more with IPL probably because more radiation can be absorbed by water. Haak, *et al.* study spilt face when compared between IPL (525 - 1200 nm) and Long pulse diode laser (810 nm). Pain scores were higher for IPL (median 6) than long pulse diode laser (LPDL) (median 3) ( $P < 0.001$ ). Minor transient hyperpigmentation was seen after IPL (six patients) and LPDL treatments (two patients) ( $P = 0.255$ ). Transient hypopigmentation was seen at 1 month in one patient with skin type IV in both the IPL- and LPDL-treated sides [23].

Toosi, *et al.* was performed as a clinical trial on 232 persons to study the efficacy and side effect for three modalities (diode laser: 810 nm), (IPL: cut-off filter of 650 nm wavelength), and (alexandrite: 755 nm) in removal unwanted hair. The comparison of the treatment results after 6 months did not show any significant statistical difference between alexandrite, IPL, and diode ( $P = 0.194$ ), although hair reduction was observed to be higher with the last. The statistical comparison of the incidence of side effects based on the light sources showed a significant statistical difference ( $P = 0.0001$ ) with the diode laser 22 (28.9%) being higher than the alexandrite 8 (9.5%) and IPL 11 (15.3%) [24].

## 5. Conclusion

Both diode laser and IPL were effective in hair reduction, hair density, hair diameter, and prolongation of the time of hair regrowth. More pain was observed during the treatment with IPL than with laser. While post treatment side effects such as erythema, hyperpigmentation, and burn appeared on patients treated with laser more than those treated with IPL.

## References

- [1] Kamal T. (2006) Long-Pulsed Nd:YAG Laser and Intense Pulse Light Therapy for Idiopathic Facial Hirsutism. A Comparative Study. *Journal of Pakistan Association of Dermatologists*, **16**, 205-209.
- [2] Lepselter, J. and Elman, M. (2004) Biological and Clinical Aspects in Laser Hair Removal. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment*, **15**, 72-83. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09546630310023152>
- [3] Oakley, A.M.M. (2005) Hypertrichosis and Hirsutism. *NZFP*, **32**, 106-109.
- [4] Swingler, R., Awala, A. and Gordon, U. (2009) Review Hirsutism in Young Women. *The Obstetrician & Gynaecologist*, **11**, 101-107. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1576/toag.11.2.101.27483>
- [5] Sanchez, L.A., Perez, M. and Azziz, R. (2002) Laser Hair Reduction in the Hirsute Patient: A Critical Assessment. *Human Reproduction Update*, **8**, 169-181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humupd/8.2.169>
- [6] Kumar, G.S. and Narang, N. (2010) Hirstism—A Symptom Rather than a Disease: A Review. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences*, **1**, 1-7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5138/ijaps.2010.0976.1055.01001>
- [7] Azziz, R. (2003) The Evaluation and Management of Hirsutism. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, **101**, 995-1007. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844\(02\)02725-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844(02)02725-4)
- [8] Oner, G.V., Eapen, V., Faure-Brac, G., Ward, P., Hazell, P., Barton, G., *et al.* (2012) Hirsutism: Diagnosis and Treatment. *Journal of Metabolic Syndrome*, **1**, 1-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2167-0943.1000e104>
- [9] Halachmi, S. and Lapidoth, M. (2012) Low-Fluence vs. Standard Fluence Hair Removal: A Contralateral Control Non-Inferiority Study. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy*, **14**, 2-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/14764172.2011.634421>
- [10] Cameron, H., Ibbotson, S.H., Dawe, R.S., Ferguson, J. and Moseley, H. (2008) Within-Patient Right-Left Blinded

- Comparison of Diode (810 nm) Laser Therapy and Intense Pulsed Light Therapy for Hair Removal. *Lasers in Medical Science*, **23**, 393-397. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10103-007-0510-6>
- [11] Sarkar, P. and Hirsch, R.J. (2010) Update on Laser Hair Removal. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, **20**, 440-444.
- [12] Lanigan, S.W. (2001) Management of Unwanted Hair in Females. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology*, **26**, 644-647. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2230.2001.00908.x>
- [13] Lapidoth, M., Dierickx, C., Lanigan, S., Paasch, U., Campo-Voegeli, A., Dahan, S., *et al.* (2010) Best Practice Options for Hair Removal in Patients with Unwanted Facial Hair Using Combination Therapy with Laser: Guidelines Drawn up by an Expert Working Group. *Dermatology*, **221**, 34-42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000315499>
- [14] Johnson, F. and Dovale, M. (1999) Intense Pulsed Light Treatment of Hirsutism: Case Reports of Skin Phototypes V and VI. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy*, **1**, 233-237. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14628839950516733>
- [15] Babilas, P., Schreml, S., Szeimies, R.M. and Landthaler, M. (2010) Intense Pulsed Light (IPL): A Review. *Lasers in Surgery and Medicine*, **42**, 93-104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lsm.20877>
- [16] Anderson, R.R. and Parrish, J.A. (1983) Selective Photothermolysis: Precise Microsurgery by Selective Absorption of Pulsed Radiation. *Science*, **220**, 524-527. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.6836297>
- [17] Lin, T.Y., Dierickx, C.C., Campos, V.B., Farinelli, W.A., Rosenthal, J. and Anderson, R.R. (2000) Reduction of Regrowing Hair Shaft Size and Pigmentation after Ruby and Diode Laser Treatment. *Archives of Dermatological Research*, **292**, 60-67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004030050011>
- [18] Altshuler, G.B. and Yaroslavsky, I. (2004) Absorption Characteristics of Tissues as a Basis for the Optimal Wavelength Choice in Photodermatology. Palomar Medical Technologies, Burlington, 1-4.
- [19] Dierickx, C.C. (1999) Laser Hair Removal: Scientific Principles and Practical Aspects. Coherent Medical, USA, 1-8.
- [20] Patil, U.A. and Dhami, L.D. (2008) Overview of Lasers. *Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery*, **41**, 101-113.
- [21] Welch, A.J., Torres, J.H. and Cheong, W.F. (1989) Laser Physics and Laser-Tissue Interaction. *Texas Heart Institute Journal*, **16**, 141-149.
- [22] Amin, S.P. and Goldberg, D.J. (2006) Clinical Comparison of Four Hair Removal Lasers and Light Sources. *Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy*, **8**, 65-68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14764170600717902>
- [23] Haak, C.S., Nymann, P., Pedersen, A.T., Clausen, H.V., Feldt, R.U., Rasmussen, A.K., *et al.* (2010) Hair Removal in Hirsute Women with Normal Testosterone Levels: A Randomized Controlled Trial of Long-Pulsed Diode Laser vs. Intense Pulsed Light. *British Journal of Dermatology*, **163**, 1007-1013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.10004.x>
- [24] Toosi, P., Sadighha, A., Sharifian, A. and Razavi, G.M. (2006) A Comparison Study of the Efficacy and Side Effects of Different Light Sources in Hair Removal. *Lasers in Medical Science*, **21**, 1-4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10103-006-0373-2>